

**IMPROVEMENT OF DAMAGE TOLERANCE OF
LASER BEAM WELDED AEROSPACE STRUCTURES
VIA LOCAL ENGINEERING**

Vom Promotionsausschuss der
Technischen Universität Hamburg-Harburg
zur Erlangung des Akademischen Grades
Doktor-Ingenieur
genehmigte Dissertation

von
Mustafa Volkan Uz

aus
Ankara, Türkei

2010

1	INTRODUCTION.....	- 1 -
1.1	Motivation and Research Objectives.....	- 3 -
2	STATE OF THE ART.....	- 6 -
2.1	Theoretical Background to Fracture Mechanics.....	- 6 -
2.1.1	Stress Intensity Factor (<i>K</i>).....	- 6 -
2.1.2	Crack Tip Opening Displacement (<i>CTOD</i>).....	- 9 -
2.2	Approaches for Preservation of Airworthiness	- 10 -
2.2.1	Safe-life Approach	- 11 -
2.2.2	Fail-safe Approach.....	- 13 -
2.2.3	Damage Tolerance Philosophy	- 13 -
2.3	Fatigue.....	- 14 -
2.3.1	Fatigue Crack Initiation.....	- 14 -
2.3.2	Fatigue Crack Propagation (FCP)	- 16 -
2.4	Fuselage Loads	- 29 -
2.5	Improvement of Damage Tolerance of Integrally Stiffened Panels.....	- 31 -
2.5.1	Current Approaches	- 31 -
2.5.2	Crenellations	- 37 -
3	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES.....	- 42 -
3.1	Small Scale Specimens	- 42 -
3.1.1	Weld Joint Examination.....	- 42 -
3.1.2	Tensile Specimens.....	- 43 -
3.1.3	C(T) Specimens.....	- 43 -
3.2	Large Scale Specimens.....	- 44 -
3.2.1	Design of Crenellation Patterns – Crenellations with 2.9 mm Equivalent Thickness (Panels 5.4L-5.6L, 5.10L-5.15L and 3.6L-3.12L)	- 46 -
3.2.2	Design of Crenellation Patterns – Crenellations with 1.35 mm Equivalent Thickness (Panel Cr135-1).....	- 48 -
3.2.3	Preparation and Testing of Crenellated and Reference Stiffened Panels	- 49 -
3.2.4	Preparation and Testing of Non-stiffened Crenellated and Reference Panels	- 54 -
3.2.5	Preparation and Testing of Crack Initiation Test Panels (Panels 3.6L-3.12L).....	- 55 -
4	DETERMINATION OF STRESS INTENSITY FACTORS AND PARIS MODEL ANALYSIS.....	- 57 -
4.1	Calculation of the Stress Intensity Factors	- 57 -
4.2	Paris Model Analysis of Panels.....	- 59 -
5	TEST RESULTS AND COMPUTATIONS.....	- 68 -
5.1	Small Scale Specimens	- 68 -
5.1.1	Weld Joint Examination.....	- 68 -
5.1.2	Hardness Tests	- 69 -
5.1.3	Tensile Tests	- 69 -
5.1.4	Fracture Toughness Tests.....	- 70 -
5.2	Large Scale Specimens.....	- 75 -
5.2.1	FCP Tests of Non-stiffened Reference and Crenellated Panels under Constant Amplitude Loading (Panels 5.8L, 5.12L - 5.14L).....	- 75 -
5.2.2	FCP Tests of Non-stiffened Reference and Crenellated Panels under Spectrum Loading (Panels 5.9L and 5.15L).....	- 77 -

5.2.3	FCP Tests of Stiffened Reference and Crenellated Panels under Constant Amplitude Loading (Panels 5.2L and 5.5L).....	- 79 -
5.2.4	FCP Tests of Stiffened Reference and Crenellated Panels under Spectrum Loading (Panels 5.3L and 5.6L).....	- 83 -
5.2.5	FCP Tests of Stiffened Reference and Thin Crenellated Panels under Constant Amplitude Loading (Panels R135-1 and C135-1).....	- 86 -
5.2.6	Performance Comparison of Stiffened and Non-stiffened Crenellated Panels (Panel 5.5L vs. Panels 5.12L-5.13L).....	- 89 -
5.2.7	Effect of Initial Crack Length on Fatigue Performance of Crenellated Panels	- 91 -
5.2.8	Conclusion	- 92 -
5.3	Fatigue Crack Initiation Tests	- 128 -
5.3.1	Fatigue Crack Initiation Test under Constant Amplitude Loading	- 128 -
5.3.2	Fatigue Crack Initiation Tests under Spectrum Loading.....	- 130 -
5.3.3	Conclusion	- 131 -
5.4	Residual Strength (RS) Tests	- 144 -
5.4.1	RS Tests of Crenellated and Reference Non-stiffened Panels	- 144 -
5.4.2	RS Tests of Crenellated and Reference Stiffened Panels	- 145 -
5.4.3	FITNET Fitness-for-Service (FFS) Analysis of Panels.....	- 145 -
6	CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK.....	- 164 -
7	REFERENCES.....	- 167 -
	APPENDIX.....	- 177 -