## **Table of Contents**

List of Abbreviationsi
List of Tablesii
List of Figuresiii
Prelude: Reflections on the Title of the Thesis
1 Introduction
1.1 Problem Definition
1.2 Course of Investigation
2 A Conceptual View on Enterprise Risk Management: Looking at and Inside the
COSO Cube
2.1 Globalizing the Idea(I) of Enterprise Risk Management in a Mechanical Manner:
COSO ERM and Rubik Cube Game
2.2 Globalizing the Idea(I) of Enterprise Risk Management Through a Plurality-
Emphasizing Narrative: ERM by COSO on 84 Pages
2.3 COSO ERM: Conceptually a Leergut for Management
3 Methodology: Studying Enterprise Risk Management as Complex Social Phenomenon
3.1 Embracing Complexity as Weltanschauung
5.1 Elitoracing Complexity as wertainschauding
3.2 From a Mechanical Towards a Complex Social View on Enterprise Risk
3.2 From a Mechanical Towards a Complex Social View on Enterprise Risk
3.2 From a Mechanical Towards a Complex Social View on Enterprise Risk  Management
3.2 From a Mechanical Towards a Complex Social View on Enterprise Risk  Management
3.2 From a Mechanical Towards a Complex Social View on Enterprise Risk  Management
3.2 From a Mechanical Towards a Complex Social View on Enterprise Risk  Management
3.2 From a Mechanical Towards a Complex Social View on Enterprise Risk  Management
3.2 From a Mechanical Towards a Complex Social View on Enterprise Risk  Management
3.2 From a Mechanical Towards a Complex Social View on Enterprise Risk  Management
3.2 From a Mechanical Towards a Complex Social View on Enterprise Risk  Management
3.2 From a Mechanical Towards a Complex Social View on Enterprise Risk  Management
3.2 From a Mechanical Towards a Complex Social View on Enterprise Risk Management
3.2 From a Mechanical Towards a Complex Social View on Enterprise Risk Management



4.1.3.2.2 Data Site(s)	
4.1.3.2.3 Data Collection	
4.1.3.2.4 Case Production	
4.1.4 ERM at Company X	
4.1.4.1 Context: From Conglomeration to Integration	
4.1.4.1.1 Internal Context	
4.1.4.1.2 External Context	
4.1.4.1.3 Integrated Risk Management	
4.1.4.2 Technologies of Enterprise Risk Management	
4.1.4.2.1 Network Organization of Risk Management	
4.1.4.2.2 R2C's Configuration of Risk Management Work	
4.1.4.2.3 Intended and Unintended Mobilizations	
4.1.4.2.4 Practice Variations and Qualculations91	
4.1.4.3 Expertise and Subject-Object Relations	
4.1.4.3.1 Risk Management Procedure	
4.1.4.3.2 Risk Management Practice	
4.1.4.3.3 Knowledge Circulation and Object-Subject Separations 95	
4.1.4.3.4 Irreducibility of Risk Interactions to Individual Responsibility 97	
4.1.4.4 Rationales: Compliance or Performance Focus	
4.1.5 Discussion	
4.1.5.1 Technologies of Enterprise Risk Management	
4.1.5.2 (Dis-)Alignment of Expertises, Eventualities and Experts 108	
4.1.5.3 Accountability: Stewardship of Everything and Nothing 112	
4.1.6 Conclusions	,
4.1.6.1 Practical implications	,
4.1.6.2 Future research	,
Intermezzo #1	)
4.2 Bringing Risk to Control and Control to Risk in Realms of Enterprise Risk	
Management: The Relation of Objects and Subjects in Linking Risk Management	
and Management Control	;
4.2.1 Introduction	,
4.2.2 Theoretical Coordinates: The Role of Objects in Sociality	ζ
4.2.3 Empirical Setting, Data Collection, and Data Analysis141	ļ
4.2.3.1 Empirical Setting142	2

4.2.3.2 Data Collection	145
4.2.3.3 Data Analysis	146
4.2.4 Case Study: Risk & Control	147
4.2.4.1 The Setting for Risk & Control	147
4.2.4.2 Operative Unit Meets Control Unit in the Risk Board	151
4.2.4.3 The Role of Objects Facilitating Interaction	156
4.2.4.4 Three Types of Inter-Action Scenarios Observed	162
4.2.5 Discussion: Linking Risk and Control	168
4.2.5.1 Risk Management as Legitimate Space for Addressing De-Personal	ized
and Objectified the Cannot-Achieve-Target	168
4.2.5.2 Keeping up the Image of a Rigid Control Contract, While Gaining	
Flexibility in Control	. 170
4.2.5.3 Challenging the Legitimate Space? Effect of Absorbing Risks by	
Control Unit and Appropriating Risk Titles for Justifying Non-Targ	get-
Achievement by Operative Unit	. 171
4.2.5.4 Re-Gaining the Upper Hand? Appropriating Risk Management for	
Tightening Control Involvement by Control Unit	. 173
4.2.5.5 Management of Risks Drifts Outside the Inter-Linked Risk	
Management Sphere, Giving Rise to the Notion of Spheres of Risk	
Management	. 176
4.2.6 Conclusion	. 179
Intermezzo #2	. 191
4.3 Enterprising in Managing Risks: Understanding the Management of Risks by	the
Practices of a Brewing Network	. 197
4.3.1 Introduction	. 198
4.3.2 Risk Management Within and Beyond its Formal Designs: A Debate on	its
Presence and Absence	. 201
4.3.3 Theoretical Coordinates: Studying BrewCollab as Site	. 205
4.3.3.1 Data Collection	. 208
4.3.3.2 Iterative Data Analysis	. 210
4.3.4 Industry and Site Selection	. 211
4.3.4.1 German Beer Brewing Industry	211
4.3.4.2 Mid-Sized Breweries Suffering Economic Pressure	212
4.3.4.3 BrewCollab as Response to Market Pressures	215

4.3.5 BrewCollab a Site for Managing Risks
4.3.5.1 BrewCollab and its Members: Silence of Risk (Management) Means its
Absence?
4.3.5.2 BrewCollab's Practice-Arrangement Bundles and the Management of
Risks
4.3.5.2.1 Voluntary Knowledge Sharing to Reflect on (Managing) Risks 223
4.3.5.2.2 Systematic Resource Pooling to Respond to Risks
4.3.5.3 Drawing a First Synthesis: BrewCollab Crafting Reflective and
Maneuvering Spaces for Managing Risks231
4.3.5.4 Members Distance Themselves From BrewCollab's Practice-
Arrangement Bundles
4.3.5.5 Drawing a Second Synthesis: Managing Risks as Coopetitive Modus
Operandi
4.3.6 Discussion and Conclusion
Intermezzo #3
5 Discussion and Conclusion: Enterprise Risk Management Transpiring as Construction
Site
Coda

**Note:** References and appendixes, if applicable are provided at the end of each chapter in order to facilitate lock up and account for the cumuluative nature of the thesis.