Contents

Versicherung I

Acknowledgements III

Abstract V

Kurzfassung VII

Contents IX

List of Figures XIII

List of Tables XIX

List of Acronyms XXI

1 Introduction 1

2 Geology of the study area 4

2.1 Kupferschiefer – Definition 4

2.2 Regional Geological Setting 5

2.3 Local Geological Setting - The Mulkwitz-anticline 6

2.4 Distribution of significant mineralization in the Kupferschiefer 8

2.5 Genetic Theories 13

2.5.1 Syn-sedimentary model 14

2.5.2 Early-diagenetic model 14

2.5.3 Late-diagenetic model 14

2.5.4 Post-diagenetic (epigenetic) model 15

2.5.5 New holistic model of Borg et al. (2012) 15

2.6 Mineralogy and Geochemistry of the copper ores in the Kupferschiefer 15

3 Geometallurgy 17

3.1 Geometallurgical domains 18

3.2 Geometallurgical mapping 19

3.3 Sampling in geometallurgical domain definition 20

4 Methodology 21

4.1 Mineral Liberation Analyzer (MLA) 21

4.2 QEMSCAN 23

5 Preparation of a polished reference block for the identification of Cu- and Cu-Fe-sulfides 25

5.1 Introduction 25

5.2 Methodology 26

5.2.1 Preparation of the Polished Reference Block 27

5.2.2 Reflected Light Microscopy 27

5.2.3 Electron Probe Microanalysis 27

5.2.4 Mineral Liberation Analysis 29

5.3 Results 30

5.3.1 Reflected Light Microscopy 30

5.3.2 Electron Probe Microanalysis 33

5.3.3 Mineral Liberation Analysis 37

5.4 Discussion 38

5.4.1 Criteria for a polished reference block for SEM-based image analysis 38

5.4.2 Digenite - high standard deviations of Cu and S measured by EPMA 39

5.4.3 Mineral Liberation Analysis – limits of differentiation between minerals of similar MAN 40

5.5 Conclusion 41

6 Proposals for standardization of automated mineralogy analyses 43

6.1 Introduction 43

6.2 Samples and Methods 43

6.2.1 QEMSCAN and MLA 44

6.2.2 Quantitative X-Ray Powder Diffraction (QXRD) 46

6.2.3 Geochemical Assay Data 47

6.2.4 Sample Preparation 47

6.2.5 Reproducibility of MLA Data 48

6.3 Results 49

6.3.1 Reproducibility of MLA Data 49

6.3.2 Qualitative and Quantitative Mineralogy 52

6.3.3 Mineral Association 56
6.3.4 Mineral Grain Size Distribution 59
6.3.5 Validation of Data 60
6.4 Discussion 64
6.4.1 Summary of major discrepancies between the MLA and QEMSCAN data sets 64
6.4.2 Identification of possible sources of error 65
6.5 Conclusion 71
7 Quantitative mineralogical and textural studies on mineralized lithotypes intersected by exploration drill core CuSp 131/09 and CuSp 136/09, Spremberg 72
7.1 Methodology 72
7.1.1 Drill Core Logging and Sampling 74
7.1.2 Transmitted and Reflected Light Microscopy 74
7.1.3 Quantitative X-Ray Powder Diffraction (QXRD) 74
7.1.4 Mineral Liberation Analysis 75
7.1.5 Geochemical Data 76
7.2 Results of drill core CuSp 131/09 76
7.2.1 Qualitative Mineralogy 77
7.2.2 Quantitative Mineralogy 84
7.2.3 Comparison of mineralogical results between MLA and QXRD 95
7.2.4 Geochemical Assay Data 99
7.2.5 Grain size distribution 102
7.2.6 Mineral Association 109
7.3 Results of drill core CuSp 136/09 114
7.3.1 Qualitative Mineralogy 114
7.3.2 Quantitative Mineralogy 119
7.3.3 Comparison of mineralogical results between MLA and QXRD 130
7.3.4 Geochemical Assay Data 134
7.3.5 Grain size distribution 137
7.3.6 Mineral Association 142

7.4 Discussion 147
7.4.1 Differences and similarities concering the gangue mineralogy 147
7.4.2 Differences and similarities concerning the ore mineralogy 151
7.4.3 Grain Size Distribution and Mineral Association Data of the Cu- and Cu-Fe sulfides . 151
7.5 Conclusion 152
8 Conclusions and Recommendations 153
8.1 Analytical problems and possible solutions concerning the MLA 153
8.1.1 Sampling and sample preparation 153
8.1.2 Measurement 154
8.1.3 Interpretation of Data 154
8.2 Analytical problems and possible solutions regarding the Kupferschiefer and adjacent lithotypes 153
8.3 Suggestions for further work 156
9 References 157
10 Appendices ..... DVD