RESEARCH Open Access

CrossMark

New applications of Schrödinger type inequalities to the existence and uniqueness of Schrödingerean equilibrium

Jianjie Wang¹ and Hugo Roncalver^{2*}

*Correspondence: hugo.goncalver@gmail.com 2Institute of Mathematical Physics, Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, D-10587, Germany Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

As new applications of Schrödinger type inequalities appearing in Jiang (J. Inequal. Appl. 2016:247, 2016), we first investigate the existence and uniqueness of a Schrödingerean equilibrium. Next we propose a tritrophic Hastings-Powell model with two different Schrödingerean time delays. Finally, the stability and direction of the Schrödingerean Hopf bifurcation are also investigated by using the center manifold theorem and normal form theorem.

Keywords: Schrödinger type inequalities; Schrödingerean equilibrium; Schrödingerean Hopf bifurcation

1 Introduction

A biological system is a nonlinear system, so it is still a public problem how to control the biological system balance. Previously a lot of research was done. Especially, the research on the predator-prey system's dynamic behaviors has obtained much attention from the scholars. There is also much research on the stability of predator-prey system with time delays. The time delays have a very complex impact on the dynamic behaviors of the non-linear dynamic system (see [2]). May and Odter (see [3]) introduced a general example of such a generalized model, that is to say, they investigated a three species model and the results show that the positive equilibrium is always locally stable when the system has two equal time delays.

Hassard and Kazarinoff (see [4]) proposed a three species food chain model with chaotic dynamical behavior in 1991, and then the dynamic properties of the model were studied. Berryman and Millstein (see [5]) studied the control of chaos of a three species Hastings-Powell food chain model. The stability of biological feasible equilibrium points of the modified food web model was also investigated. By introducing disease in the prey population, Shilnikov *et al.* (see [2]) modified the Hastings-Powell model and the stability of biological feasible equilibria was also obtained.

In this paper, we provide a differential model to describe the Schrödinger dynamic of a Schrödinger Hastings-Powell food chain model. In a three species food chain model x represents the prey, y and z represent two predators, respectively. Based on the Holling type II functional response, we know that the middle predator y feeds on the prey x and



the top predator z preys upon γ . We write the three species food chain model as follows:

$$\frac{dX}{dT} \le R_0 X \left(1 - \frac{X}{K_0} \right) - C_1 \frac{A_1 X Y}{B_1 + X},
\frac{dY}{dT} \le -D_1 Y + \frac{A_1 X Y}{B_1 + X} - \frac{A_2 Y Z}{B_2 + Y},
\frac{dZ}{dT} \le -D_2 Z + C_2 \frac{A_2 Y Z}{B_2 + Y},$$
(1)

where X, Y, Z are the prey, predator, and top predator, respectively; B_1 , B_2 represent the half-saturation constants; R_0 and K_0 represent the intrinsic growth rate and the carrying capacity of the environment of the fish, respectively; C_1 , C_2 are the conversion factors of prey-to-predator; and D_1 , D_2 represent the death rates of Y and Z, respectively. In this paper, two different Schrödinger delays are incorporated into Schrödingerean tritrophic Hastings-Powell (STHP) model which will be given in the following.

We next introduce the following dimensionless version of delayed STHP model:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} \le x(1-x) - \frac{a_1x}{1+b_1x}y(t-\tau_1),
\frac{dy}{dt} \le -d_1y + \frac{a_1x}{1+b_1x}y - \frac{a_2x}{1+b_2x}z(t-\tau_2),
\frac{dz}{dt} \le -d_2z + \frac{a_2x}{1+b_2x}z,$$
(2)

where x, y, and z represent dimensionless population variables; t represents a dimensionless time variable and all of the parameters a_i , b_i , d_i (i = 1, 2) are positive; τ_1 and τ_2 represent the period of prey transitioned to predator and predator transitioned to top predator, respectively.

2 Equilibrium and local stability analysis

Let $\dot{x} = 0$, $\dot{y} = 0$ and $\dot{z} = 0$. We introduce five non-negative Schrödinger equilibrium points of the system as follows:

$$E_0 = (0,0,0),$$
 $E_1 = (1,0,0),$
$$E_2 = \left(\frac{d_1}{a_1 - b_1 d_1}, \frac{a_1 - b_1 d_1 - d_1}{(a_1 - b_1 d_1)^2}, 0\right),$$

and

$$E_{3,4} = (\bar{x}_i, \bar{y}_i, \bar{z}_i) \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

where

$$\bar{x}_i = \frac{b_1 - 1}{2b_1} + (-1)^{i-1} \frac{\sqrt{(b_1 + 1)^2 - \frac{4a_1b_1d_2}{a_2 - b_2d_2}}}{2b_1} \quad (i = 1, 2),$$
(3)

$$y_1 = \bar{y}_2 = \frac{d_2}{a_2 - b_2 d_2}, \qquad \bar{z}_i = \frac{(a_1 - b_1 d_1)\bar{x}_i - d_1}{(a_2 - b_2 d_2)(1 + b_1 \bar{x}_i)} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$
 (4)

The Jacobian matrix for the Schrödinger system (1) at $E^* = (x^*, y^*, z^*)$ is as follows:

$$J(x^*, y^*, z^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2x - \frac{a_1 y}{(1+b_1 x)^2} & -\frac{a_1 x}{1+b_1 x} & 0\\ \frac{a_1 y}{(1+b_1 x)^2} & -d_1 + \frac{a_1 x}{1+b_1 x} - \frac{a_1 z}{(1+b_1 y)^2} & -\frac{a_2 y}{1+b_2 y}\\ 0 & \frac{a_1 z}{(1+b_1 y)^2} & -d_2 + \frac{a_2 y}{1+b_2 y} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (5)

Let

$$\begin{split} A_1 &= 1 - 2x - \frac{a_1 y}{(1 + b_1 x)^2}, \qquad A_2 &= -\frac{a_1 x}{1 + b_1 x}, \\ B_1 &= \frac{a_1 y}{(1 + b_1 x)^2}, \qquad B_2 &= -d_1 + \frac{a_1 x}{1 + b_1 x} - \frac{a_1 z}{(1 + b_1 y)^2}, \\ B_3 &= -\frac{a_2 y}{1 + b_2 y}, \qquad C_2 &= \frac{a_2 z}{(1 + b_2 y)^2}, \qquad C_3 &= -d_2 + \frac{a_2 y}{1 + b_2 y}. \end{split}$$

Then we have

$$\frac{dx}{dt} \le A_1 x + A_2 y(t - \tau_1),$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} \le B_1 x + B_2 y + B_3 z(t - \tau_2),$$

$$\frac{dz}{dt} \le C_2 y + C_3 z,$$
(6)

from the linearized form of Schrödinger systems (2), (3), (4), and (5).

The characteristic equation of the Schrödinger system (6) at $E_0 = (0, 0, 0)$ is given by the transcendental Schrödinger equation

$$\lambda^3 + A_{11}\lambda^2 + A_{12}\lambda + A_{13} + (A_{21}\lambda + A_{22})e^{-\lambda\tau_1} + (A_{31}\lambda + A_{32})e^{-\lambda\tau_2} = 0,$$
(7)

where

$$A_{11} = -(A_1 + B_2 + C_3),$$
 $A_{12} = A_1B_2 + A_1C_3 + B_2C_3,$ $A_{13} = -A_1B_2C_3,$ $A_{21} = -A_2B_1,$ $A_{22} = A_2B_1C_3,$ $A_{31} = -B_3C_2,$

and

$$A_{32} = A_1 B_3 C_2$$
.

If $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$, then the corresponding characteristic (7) is rewritten as follows:

$$\lambda^3 + A_{11}\lambda^2 + (A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{31})\lambda + A_{13} + A_{22} + A_{32} = 0.$$
 (8)

Lemma 2.1 Suppose that the following conditions hold (see [1]):

- 1. $A_{11} > 0$.
- 2. $A_{11}(A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{31}) > A_{13} + A_{22} + A_{32}$.

Then the positive Schrödinger equilibrium E^* of the Schrödinger system (2) is locally asymptotically stable for τ_1 and τ_2 .

3 Existence of Schrödingerean Hopf bifurcation

Case I: $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau \neq 0$.

The characteristic (6) reduces to

$$\lambda^3 + A_{11}\lambda^2 + A_{12}\lambda + A_{13} + (B_{11}\lambda + B_{12})e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0, (9)$$

where

$$B_{11} = A_{21} + A_{31}$$

and

$$B_{12} = A_{22} + A_{32}$$
.

Let $\lambda = i\omega$ ($\omega > 0$) be a root of (9). And then we have

$$(i\omega)^3 + A_{11}(i\omega)^2 + A_{12}i\omega + A_{13} + (B_{11}i\omega + B_{12})e^{-i\omega\tau} = 0$$

from (8).

By separating the real and imaginary parts we know that

$$\begin{cases} B_{12}\cos\omega\tau - B_{11}\omega\sin\omega\tau = A_{11}\omega^2 - A_{13}, \\ B_{11}\omega\cos\omega\tau + B_{12}\sin\omega\tau = \omega^3 - A_{12}\omega. \end{cases}$$
 (10)

From (10) we obtain

$$\sin \omega \tau = -\frac{(A_{11}B_{11} - B_{12})\omega^3 + (A_{12}B_{12} - A_{13}B_{11})\omega}{B_{11}^2\omega^2 + B_{12}^2},$$

$$\cos \omega \tau = \frac{B_{11}\omega^4 + (B_{12}A_{11} - A_{12}B_{11})\omega^2 - A_{13}B_{12}}{B_{11}^2\omega^2 + B_{12}^2},$$
(11)

which show that

$$a\omega^{8} + b\omega^{6} + c\omega^{4} + d\omega^{2} + k = 0, (12)$$

where

$$a = B_{11}^2, b = (A_{11}B_{11} - B_{12})^2 + 2(A_{11}B_{12} - A_{12}B_{11}),$$

$$c = -B_{11}^2 + 2(A_{12}B_{12} - A_{13}B_{11})(A_{11}B_{11} - B_{12}) - 2A_{13}B_{11}B_{12} + (A_{11}B_{12} - A_{12}B_{11})^2,$$

$$k = B_{12}^2 A_{13}^2 - B_{12}^4,$$

and

$$d = 2B_{11}^2 B_{12}^2 + (A_{12}B_{12} - A_{13}B_{11})^2 - 2A_{13}B_{12}(A_{11}B_{12} - A_{12}B_{11}).$$

Let $z = \omega^2$. Then we have

$$az^4 + bz^3 + cz^2 + dz + k = 0. ag{13}$$

If we define $H(z) = az^4 + bz^3 + cz^2 + dz + k$, then we have the following result from $H(+\infty) = +\infty$.

Lemma 3.1 If H(0) < 0, then (13) has at least one positive root. Suppose that (13) has four positive roots, which are defined by z_1 , z_2 , z_3 , and z_4 . Then (12) has four positive roots $\omega_k = \sqrt{z_k}$, where k = 1, 2, 3, 4.

It is easy to see that $\pm i\omega$ is a pair of purely imaginary roots of (9). It follows from (11) that

$$\tau_k^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\omega_k} \left[\arccos\left(\frac{B_{11}\omega^4 + (B_{12}A_{11} - A_{12}B_{11})\omega^2 - A_{13}B_{12}}{B_{11}^2\omega^2 + B_{12}^2}\right) + 2j\pi \right],\tag{14}$$

where k = 1, 2, 3, 4 and j = 0, 1, 2, ...

Put $\tau_0 = \tau_k^{(j)} = \min_{k \in \{1,2,3,4\}} \{\tau_k^{(0)}\}$. Let $\lambda(\tau) = \alpha(\tau) + i\omega(\tau)$ be the root of (9) near $\tau = \tau_k$, which satisfies $\alpha(\tau_k) = 0$ and $\omega(\tau_k) = \omega_0$. Then we have the following result from Lemma 3.1 and (14).

Lemma 3.2 Suppose that $H'(z) \neq 0$. Then we have

$$\left. \left[\frac{d \operatorname{Re} \lambda(\tau)}{d\tau} \right] \right|_{\tau = \tau_k} \neq 0.$$

Meanwhile, H'(z) and $\frac{d\operatorname{Re}\lambda(\tau)}{d\tau}$ have the same signs.

Proof Taking the derivative of λ with respect to τ in (9), we have

$$\left[\frac{d\lambda}{d\tau}\right]^{-1} = \frac{(3\lambda^2 + 2A_{11}\lambda + A_{12})e^{\lambda\tau}}{(B_{11}\lambda + B_{12})\lambda} + \frac{B_{11}}{(B_{11}\lambda + B_{12})\lambda} - \frac{\tau}{\lambda}.$$
 (15)

Substituting $\lambda(\tau) = \alpha(\tau) + i\omega(\tau)$ into (15), we have

$$\left[\left(3\lambda^2 + 2A_{11}\lambda + A_{12} \right) e^{\lambda \tau} \right] \Big|_{\lambda = i\omega_k} = \left(A_{12} - 3\omega^2 \right) \cos \omega \tau - 2A_{11}\omega \sin \omega \tau$$

$$+ i \left[\left(A_{12} - 3\omega^2 \right) \sin \omega \tau - 2A_{11}\omega \cos \omega \tau \right]$$

$$(16)$$

and

$$[(B_{11}\lambda + B_{12})\lambda]\Big|_{\lambda = i\omega_k} = -B_{11}\omega^2 + i[B_{12}\omega]. \tag{17}$$

For simplicity we define $\omega_k = \omega$ and $\tau_k = \tau$. From (11), (15), (16), and (17) we have

$$\begin{split} \left[\frac{d\operatorname{Re}\lambda(\tau)}{d\tau}\right]^{-1} &= \left[\frac{(3\lambda^2 + 2A_{11}\lambda + A_{12})e^{\lambda\tau} + B_{11}}{(B_{11}\lambda + B_{12})\lambda}\right]_{\lambda=i\omega}^{\lambda} \\ &= \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\left(A_{12} - 3\omega^2\right)\cos\omega\tau - 2A_{11}\omega\sin\omega\tau + B_{11}\right) \\ &+ i\left[\left(A_{12} - 3\omega^2\right)\sin\omega\tau - 2A_{11}\omega\cos\omega\tau\right]\right) \\ &/\left(-B_{11}\omega^2 + i\left[B_{12}\omega\right]\right)\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Delta}\left\{\left[\left(A_{12} - 3\omega^2\right)\cos\omega\tau - 2A_{11}\omega\sin\omega\tau + B_{11}\right]\left(-B_{11}\omega^2\right) \\ &+ \left[\left(A_{12} - 3\omega^2\right)\sin\omega\tau - 2A_{11}\omega\cos\omega\tau\right]B_{12}\omega\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Delta}\left\{4B_{11}^2\omega^8 + 3\left[\left(A_{11}B_{11} - B_{12}\right)^2 + 2\left(A_{11}B_{12} - A_{12}B_{11}\right)\right]\omega^6 \\ &+ 2\left[2\left(A_{12}B_{12} - A_{13}B_{11}\right)\left(A_{11}B_{11} - B_{12}\right) - B_{11}^2 - 2A_{13}B_{11}B_{12}\right]\omega^4 \\ &+ 2\left[\left(A_{11}B_{12} - A_{12}B_{11}\right)^2\right]\omega^4 + \left[\left(A_{12}B_{12} - A_{13}B_{11}\right)^2 + 2B_{11}^2B_{12}^2\right]\omega^2 \\ &- 2A_{13}B_{12}\left(A_{11}B_{12} - A_{12}B_{11}\right)\omega^2\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{z}{\Delta}\left\{4B_{11}^2z^3 + 3\left[\left(A_{11}B_{11} - B_{12}\right)^2 + 2\left(A_{11}B_{12} - A_{12}B_{11}\right)\right]z^2 \\ &+ 2\left[2\left(A_{12}B_{12} - A_{13}B_{11}\right)\left(A_{11}B_{11} - B_{12}\right) - B_{11}^2 - 2A_{13}B_{11}B_{12}\right]z \\ &+ \left(A_{11}B_{12} - A_{12}B_{11}\right)^2z + \left(A_{12}B_{12} - A_{13}B_{11}\right)^2 + 2B_{11}^2B_{12}^2 \\ &- 2A_{13}B_{12}\left(A_{11}B_{12} - A_{12}B_{11}\right)\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{z}{\Delta}H'(z), \end{split}$$

where $\Delta = B_{11}^2 \omega^4 + B_{12}^2 \omega^2$.

Then we obtain

$$\operatorname{sign}\left[\frac{d\operatorname{Re}\lambda(\tau)}{d\tau}\right]\bigg|_{\tau=\tau_k}=\operatorname{sign}\left[\frac{d\operatorname{Re}\lambda(\tau)}{d\tau}\right]^{-1}\bigg|_{\tau=\tau_k}=\operatorname{sign}\left[\frac{z}{\Delta}H'(z)\right]\neq 0.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

By applying Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.1 For the Schrödinger system (2), the following results hold.

- (i) For the equilibrium point $E^* = (x^*, y^*, z^*)$, the Schrödinger system (2) is asymptotically stable for $\tau \in [0, \tau_0)$. It is unstable when $\tau > \tau_0$.
- (ii) If the Schrödinger system (2) satisfies Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, then the Schrödinger Hopf bifurcation will occur at $E^*(x^*, y^*, z^*)$ when $\tau = \tau_0$.

Case II: $\tau_1 \neq 0$ and $\tau_2 = 0$.

Let $D_{11} = A_{12} + A_{31}$, $C_{11} = A_{13} + A_{32}$ and rewrite (6) as follows:

$$\lambda^3 + A_{11}\lambda^2 + D_{11}\lambda + C_{11} + (A_{21}\lambda + A_{22})e^{-\lambda\tau_1} = 0.$$
 (18)

By letting $\lambda = i\omega$ ($\omega > 0$) be the root of (18) we have

$$\begin{cases} A_{22}\cos\omega\tau_1 - A_{21}\omega\sin\omega\tau_1 = A_{11}\omega^2 - C_{11}, \\ A_{21}\omega\cos\omega\tau_1 + A_{22}\sin\omega\tau_1 = \omega^3 - D_{11}\omega. \end{cases}$$
 (19)

Similarly we have

$$a_1\omega^8 + b_1\omega^6 + c_1\omega^4 + d_1\omega^2 + k_1 = 0, (20)$$

where

$$a_1 = A_{21}^2, b_1 = (A_{11}A_{21} - A_{22})^2 + 2(A_{11}A_{22} - D_{11}A_{21}),$$

$$c_1 = -A_{21}^2 + 2(D_{11}A_{22} - C_{11}A_{21})(A_{11}A_{21} - A_{22}) - 2C_{11}A_{21}A_{22} + (A_{11}A_{22} - D_{11}A_{21})^2,$$

$$k_1 = A_{22}^2 C_{11}^2 - A_{22}^4,$$

and

$$d_1 = 2A_{21}^2 A_{22}^2 + (D_{11}A_{22} - C_{11}A_{21})^2 - 2C_{11}A_{22}(A_{11}A_{22} - D_{11}A_{21}).$$

If we define $z_1 = \omega^2$, then (20) shows that

$$a_1 z_1^4 + b_1 z_1^3 + c_1 z_1^2 + d_1 z_1 + k_1 = 0. (21)$$

If we define $H(z_1) = a_1 z_1^8 + b_1 z_1^6 + c_1 z_1^4 + d_1 z_1^2 + k_1$, then we have the following result from (19) and $H(+\infty) = +\infty$.

Lemma 3.3 If H(0) < 0, then (13) has at least one positive root. Suppose that (13) has four positive roots, which are defined by z_{11} , z_{12} , z_{13} , and z_{14} . Then we know that (12) has four positive roots $\omega_k = \sqrt{z_{1k}}$, where k = 1, 2, 3, 4.

It is easy to see that $\pm i\omega$ is a pair of purely imaginary roots of (9). From (19) and (21) we know that

$$\tau_{1k}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\omega_k} \left[\arccos\left(\frac{A_{21}\omega^4 + (A_{22}A_{11} - D_{11}A_{21})\omega^2 - C_{11}A_{22}}{A_{21}^2\omega^2 + A_{22}^2}\right) + 2j\pi \right],\tag{22}$$

where k = 1, 2, 3, 4 and j = 0, 1, 2, ...

Define
$$\tau_{10} = \tau_{1k}^{(j)} = \min_{k \in \{1,2,3,4\}} \{\tau_{1k}^{(0)}\},\,$$

$$P = \left[\left(3\lambda^2 + 2A_{11}\lambda + D_{11} \right) e^{\lambda \tau_1} \right]_{\lambda = i\omega_k}$$

$$= \left(D_{11} - 3\omega^2 \right) \cos \omega \tau_1 - 2A_{11}\omega \sin \omega \tau_1$$

$$+ i \left[\left(D_{11} - 3\omega^2 \right) \sin \omega \tau_1 - 2A_{11}\omega \cos \omega \tau_1 \right]$$

$$:= P_R + i P_I$$

and

$$Q = [(A_{21}\lambda + A_{22})] = -A_{21}\omega^2 + iA_{22}\omega := Q_R + iQ_I.$$

Let $\lambda(\tau) = \alpha(\tau) + i\omega(\tau)$ be the root of (9) near $\tau = \tau_{10}$, which satisfies $\alpha(\tau_{10}) = 0$ and $\omega(\tau_{10}) = \omega_0$. Then we obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.4 Suppose that $P_RQ_R + P_IQ_I \neq 0$. Then we have

$$\left. \left[\frac{d \operatorname{Re} \lambda(\tau_{10})}{d \tau_1} \right] \right|_{\tau = \tau_{1k}} \neq 0.$$

Proof By taking the derivative of λ with respect to τ_1 in (17), we have (see [6])

$$\left[\frac{d\lambda}{d\tau_1}\right]^{-1} = \text{Re}\left[\frac{(3\lambda^2 + 2A_{11}\lambda + A_{12})e^{\lambda\tau_1}}{(A_{21}\lambda + A_{22})\lambda} + \frac{A_{21}}{(A_{21}\lambda + A_{22})\lambda} - \frac{\tau_1}{\lambda}\right]. \tag{23}$$

By substituting $\lambda = i\omega$ into (22) we have

$$\begin{split} \left[\frac{d \operatorname{Re} \lambda}{d \tau_{1}} \right]_{\tau = \tau_{1k}}^{-1} &\leq \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{(3\lambda^{2} + 2A_{11}\lambda + A_{12})e^{\lambda \tau_{1}}}{(A_{21}\lambda + A_{22})\lambda} + \frac{A_{21}}{(A_{21}\lambda + A_{22})\lambda} - \frac{\tau_{1}}{\lambda} \right]_{\tau = \tau_{1k}} \\ &\leq \frac{P_{R}Q_{R} + P_{I}Q_{I}}{P_{R}^{2} + P_{I}^{2}}. \end{split}$$

Since $P_R Q_R + P_I Q_I \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\left. \left[\frac{d \operatorname{Re} \lambda(\tau_{10})}{d \tau_1} \right] \right|_{\tau = \tau_{1k}} \neq 0.$$

So we complete the proof of Lemma 3.3.

By applying Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we prove the existence of the Schrödinger Hopf bifurcation.

Theorem 3.2 For the Schrödinger system (2), the following results hold.

- (i) For the equilibrium point $E^*(x^*, y^*, z^*)$, the Schrödinger system (2) is asymptotically stable for $\tau_1 \in [0, \tau_{10})$. And it is unstable for $\tau_1 > \tau_{10}$.
- (ii) If the Schrödinger system (2) satisfies Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, then the Schrödinger system (2) undergoes the Schrödinger Hopf bifurcation at $E^*(x^*, y^*, z^*)$ when $\tau_1 = \tau_{10}$.

Case III: $\tau_1 = 0$ and $\tau_2 \neq 0$.

Equation (7) can be written as (see [7])

$$\lambda^3 + A_{11}\lambda^2 + D_{12}\lambda + C_{12} + (A_{31}\lambda + A_{32})e^{-\lambda\tau_2} = 0, (24)$$

where $D_{12} = A_{12} + A_{21}$ and $C_{12} = A_{13} + A_{22}$.

By letting $\lambda = i\omega$ ($\omega > 0$) be the root of (24) we have

$$\begin{cases} A_{32}\cos\omega\tau_2 - A_{31}\omega\sin\omega\tau_2 = A_{11}\omega^2 - C_{12}, \\ A_{31}\omega\cos\omega\tau_2 + A_{32}\sin\omega\tau_2 = \omega^3 - D_{12}\omega, \end{cases}$$
 (25)

which shows that

$$a_2\omega^8 + b_2\omega^6 + c_2\omega^4 + d_2\omega^2 + k_2 = 0, (26)$$

where

$$\begin{split} a_2 &= A_{31}^2, \qquad b_2 &= (A_{11}A_{31} - A_{32})^2 + 2(A_{11}A_{32} - D_{12}A_{31}), \\ c_2 &= -A_{31}^2 + 2(D_{12}A_{32} - C_{12}A_{31})(A_{11}A_{31} - A_{32}) - 2C_{12}A_{31}A_{32} + (A_{11}A_{32} - D_{12}A_{31})^2, \\ k_2 &= A_{32}^2C_{12}^2 - A_{32}^4, \end{split}$$

and

$$d_2 = 2A_{31}^2A_{32}^2 + (D_{12}A_{32} - C_{12}A_{31})^2 - 2C_{12}A_{32}(A_{11}A_{32} - D_{12}A_{31}).$$

Let $z_2 = \omega^2$. It follows from (24) that

$$a_2 z_2^4 + b_2 z_2^3 + c_2 z_2^2 + d_2 z_2 + k_2 = 0. (27)$$

If we define $H(z_2) = a_2 z_2^4 + b_2 z_2^3 + c_2 z_2^2 + d_2 z_2 + k_2$, then we have the following result from $H(+\infty) = +\infty$.

Lemma 3.5 If H(0) < 0, then (27) has at least one positive root. Suppose that (27) has four positive roots, which are defined by z_{21} , z_{22} , z_{23} , and z_{24} . Then (26) has four positive roots $\omega_k = \sqrt{z_{2k}}$, where k = 1, 2, 3, 4.

It is easy to see that $\pm i\omega$ is a pair of purely imaginary roots of (24). Denote

$$\tau_{2k}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\omega_k} \left[\arccos\left(\frac{A_{31}\omega^4 + (A_{32}A_{11} - D_{12}A_{31})\omega^2 - C_{12}A_{32}}{A_{31}^2\omega^2 + A_{32}^2}\right) + 2j\pi \right],\tag{28}$$

where k = 1, 2, 3, 4 and j = 0, 1, 2, ...

Define $\tau_{20} = \tau_{2k}^{(j)} = \min_{k \in \{1,2,3,4\}} \{\tau_{2k}^{(0)}\}$. Let $\lambda(\tau) = \alpha(\tau) + i\omega(\tau)$ be the root of (9) near $\tau = \tau_{20}$, which satisfies $\alpha(\tau_{20}) = 0$ and $\omega(\tau_{20}) = \omega_0$. Then we obtain the following result from (25) and (28).

Lemma 3.6 Suppose that $z_2 = \omega^2$. Then

$$\left[\left.\frac{d\operatorname{Re}\lambda(\tau_2)}{d\tau_2}\right]\right|_{\tau=\tau_{2k}}\neq 0.$$

Proof This proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.4, so we omit it here.

By applying Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 to (24) we have the following result.

Theorem 3.3 For the Schrödinger system (2), the following results hold.

- (i) $E^*(x^*, y^*, z^*)$ is asymptotically stable when $\tau_2 \in [0, \tau_{20})$ and unstable when $\tau_2 > \tau_{20}$.
- (ii) If the Schrödinger system (2) satisfies Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, then the Schrödinger Hopf bifurcation occurs at $E^*(x^*, y^*, z^*)$ when $\tau_2 = \tau_{20}$.

Case IV: $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2 \neq 0$.

We consider (7) with τ_1 in the stability range. Regarding τ_2 as a parameter, and without loss of generality, we only consider the Schrödinger system (2) under the case I.

By letting $\lambda = i\omega$ ($\omega > 0$) be the root of (7) we have

$$\begin{cases} A_{32}\cos\omega\tau_{2} + A_{31}\omega\sin\omega\tau_{2} \leq A_{11}\omega^{2} - A_{13} - (A_{22}\cos\omega\tau_{1} + A_{12}\omega\sin\omega\tau_{1}), \\ A_{31}\omega\cos\omega\tau_{2} + A_{32}\sin\omega\tau_{2} \leq \omega^{3} - A_{12}\omega - (A_{12}\omega\cos\omega\tau_{1} - A_{22}\sin\omega\tau_{1}). \end{cases}$$
(29)

It is easy to see from (29)

$$y_1(\omega) + y_2(\omega)\cos\omega\tau_1 + y_3(\omega)\sin\omega\tau_1 = 0. \tag{30}$$

Lemma 3.7 Suppose that equation (30) has at least finite positive roots, which are defined by $z_{31}, z_{32}, ..., z_{3k}$. So (26) also has four positive roots $\omega_k = \sqrt{z_{3i}}$, where i = 1, 2, ..., k.

Put

$$\tau_{3i}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\omega_i} \left[\arccos\left(\frac{\psi_1}{\psi_2}\right) + 2j\pi \right],\tag{31}$$

where i = 1, 2, ..., k, j = 0, 1, 2, ...,

$$\psi_1 = A_{31}\omega^4 + (A_{32}A_{11} - A_{31}A_{12})\omega^2 - (A_{22}A_{32} + A_{31}A_{12}\omega^2)\cos\omega\tau_1$$
$$+ (A_{31}A_{22} - A_{32}A_{12})\omega\sin\omega\tau_1\psi_2$$
$$= A_{31}\omega^2 + A_{32}^2.$$

It is obvious that $\pm i\omega$ is a pair of purely imaginary roots of (7). Define $\tau_{30} = \tau_{3i}^{(j)} = \min\{\tau_{3i}^{(j)} | i=1,2,\ldots,k, j=0,1,2,\ldots\}$. Let $\lambda(\tau) = \alpha(\tau) + i\omega(\tau)$ be the root of (9) near $\tau = \tau_{30}$, which satisfies $\alpha(\tau_{30}) = 0$ and $\omega(\tau_{30}) = \omega_0$.

Put

$$\begin{split} Q_R &= -3\omega^2 + A_{12} + (A_{21} - A_{22}\tau_1)\cos\omega\tau_1 - A_{21}\omega\tau_1\sin\omega\tau_1 \\ &\quad + (A_{31} - A_{32}\tau_2)\cos\omega\tau_2 - A_{31}\omega\tau_2\sin\omega\tau_2, \\ Q_I &= 2A_{11}\omega + (A_{22}\tau_1 - A_{21})\sin\omega\tau_1 - A_{21}\omega\tau_1\cos\omega\tau_1 \\ &\quad + (A_{32}\tau_2 - A_{31})\sin\omega\tau_2 - A_{31}\omega\tau_2\cos\omega\tau_2, \\ P_R &= -A_{31}\omega^2\cos\omega\tau_2 + A_{32}\omega\sin\omega\tau_2, \end{split}$$

and

$$P_I = A_{31}\omega^2 \sin \omega \tau_2 + A_{32}\omega \cos \omega \tau_2.$$

From (30) and (31) we have the following result.

Lemma 3.8 *Suppose that* $P_RQ_R + P_IQ_I \neq 0$ *. Then we have*

$$\left. \left[\frac{d \operatorname{Re} \lambda(\tau_2)}{d \tau_2} \right] \right|_{\tau = \tau_{3i}} \neq 0.$$

By applying Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 to (24), we have the following theorem based on the Schrödingerean Hopf theorem for FDEs.

Theorem 3.4 Let $\tau_1 \in [0, \tau_{10})$. Then the following results for the Schrödinger system (2) hold.

- (i) $E^*(x^*, y^*, z^*)$ is asymptotically stable for $\tau_2 \in [0, \tau_{30})$ and unstable when $\tau_2 > \tau_{30}$.
- (ii) If Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 hold, then the Schrödingerean Hopf bifurcation occurs at $E^*(x^*, y^*, z^*)$ when $\tau_2 = \tau_{30}$.

4 Numerical simulations

In this section we give some numerical examples to verify above results. We consider the Schrödinger system (2) with the following coefficients in the different cases:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} \ge x(1-x) - \frac{4x}{1+0.1x}y(t-\tau_1),$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} \ge -0.6y + \frac{4x}{1+0.1x}y - \frac{4x}{1+0.1x}z(t-\tau_2),$$

$$\frac{dz}{dt} \ge -0.7z + \frac{4x}{1+0.1x}z.$$
(32)

Through a simple calculation, we have $E^* = (1.2454, 0.1523, 0.9467)$. Firstly, we get $\tau_0 = 2.31$ when $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau \neq 0$. Then we have $\tau_{10} = 2.58$ when $\tau_2 = 0$. Next we obtain $\tau_{20} = 2.945$ when $\tau_1 = 0$. Finally, by regarding τ_2 as a parameter and letting $\tau_1 = 2.5$ in its stable interval, we prove that E^* is locally asymptotically stable for $\tau_2 \in (0, \tau_{30})$ and unstable for $\tau_2 > \tau_{30}$.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

HR completed the main study. JW pointed out some mistakes and verified the calculation. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹College of Applied Mathematics, Shanxi University of Finance and Economics, Taiyuan, 030006, China. ²Institute of Mathematical Physics, Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, D-10587, Germany.

Acknowledgements

The first author was supported by Shanxi Province Education Science "13th Five-Year" Program (Grant No. GH-16043). The authors would like to thank the referee for invaluable comments and insightful suggestions. Portions of this paper were written during a short stay of the corresponding author at the Institute of Mathematical Physics, Technische Universität Berlin, as a visiting professor.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 2 December 2016 Accepted: 2 March 2017 Published online: 15 March 2017

References

- Jiang, Z: Some Schrödinger type inequalities for stabilization of discrete linear systems associated with the stationary Schrödinger operator. J. Inequal. Appl. 2016, 247 (2016)
- 2. Shilnikov, LP, Shilnikov, A, Turaev, D, Chua, L: Methods of Qualitative Theory in Nonlinear Dynamics. World Scientific Series on Nonlinear Science Series A, vol. 4. World Scientific, Singapore (1998)
- 3. May, RM, Odter, GF: Bifurcations and dynamic complexity in simple ecological models. Am. Nat. 110, 573-599 (1976)
- 4. Hassard, BD, Kazarinoff, ND, Wan, YH: Theory and Applications of Schrödingerean Hopf. Cambridge University Press, London (1981)
- 5. Berryman, AA, Millstein, JA: Are ecological systems chaotic and if not, why not? Trends Ecol. Evol. 4, 26-28 (1989)

- 6. Yan, Z: Sufficient conditions for non-stability of stochastic differential systems. J. Inequal. Appl. 2015, 377 (2015)
- 7. Xue, G, Yuzbasi, E: Fixed point theorems for solutions of the stationary Schrödinger equation on cones. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2015, 34 (2015)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- \blacktriangleright Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com