

Inhaltsverzeichnis

Abbreviations	21
Introduction	23
Part I: Investment protection in the European Union: the rise of potentially conflicting legal frameworks in the European Union	33
Chapter 1: The necessity of investment protection	33
Chapter 2: The ascent of investment protection through IIA / BITs	37
A. The historical development of investment protection mechanisms	37
I. From the Middle Ages until the Colonial Era: the use of force and other individual measures	37
II. The Post-Colonial Era: the gradual rise of public international law as protection mechanism	39
1. Customary international law: minimum standard of treatment	40
2. Conventional obligations: Treaties of Friendship, Commerce and Navigation	42
III. Remaining inefficiencies of the protection mechanisms leading to more specific instruments	44
1. Procedural enforcement: dependence on either the home state or the host state	44
2. Unspecific provisions and a general trend to the conclusion of more specific treaties	46
B. International Investment Agreements: investment protection through bilateral or multilateral international treaties	47
I. Conventional protection of investments through IIAs	49
II. Higher degree of clarity as to the protection standards	50
III. Direct enforcement by investors	51
IV. Enlargement of the scope of rights through the terms investment and investor	54
C. State-contracts	57
Chapter 3: The reception of modern investment protection through IIAs / BITs in the EU: doubling the protection?	58
A. Rise of the EU and the internal market: Investment protection through EU law	58
	9

B. Rise of IIAs concluded by EU member states: EU-Investment protection through IIAs	63
I. Extra-EU IIAs	66
II. Intra-EU IIAs	67
III. Mixed agreements including the EU as contracting party	68
Chapter 4: The separate legal frameworks of investment protection in the EU	70
A. The IIA framework: investment-protection through IIAs	70
I. Guiding principles of investment protection through IIAs	70
II. Substantive protection: overview and scope of protection standards in IIAs	71
1. Fair and equitable treatment	72
2. Protection against Expropriation	77
3. Full protection and security	80
4. Non-discrimination clauses	83
(1) National treatment	83
(2) Most favoured nation treatment	86
(3) Other non-discrimination clauses	87
5. Umbrella clauses	89
6. Free transfer of funds	90
7. Admission clauses and right to establish	92
III. Legal remedies: the dispute settlement mechanism in IIAs	93
1. Investor-state arbitration governed by the ICSID-Convention	95
(1) Jurisdiction of the Centre	95
(2) Procedural rules and applicable law	97
(3) Recourse and enforcement	99
2. Investor-state arbitration in private forums: ICC / SCC, etc.	101
(1) Jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal	101
(2) Applicable law and procedural rules	102
(3) Recourse and enforcement of arbitral awards issued in private forums	104
3. Other remedies such as inter-state and local remedies	107
B. The EU-Law framework: „investment“-protection in EU law	108
I. Guiding principles of EU law	109
II. Substantive protection and its limits under EU law	110
1. Investment protection through the fundamental freedoms	110
(1) Freedom of establishment	111
(2) Free movement of capital	118
(3) Free transfer of funds	122

(4) Free movement of goods and freedom to provide services	122
2. Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU	123
(1) Scope of application of the Charter (Art. 51 of the Charter)	124
(2) Freedom to conduct business (Art. 16 of the Charter)	127
(3) Right to property (Art. 17 of the Charter)	129
3. Other principles, including the general principles of EU law and principles of access to justice and due process / relief	136
III. Dual provisions offering protection but also enabling interventionist measures	139
1. Competition law: Art. 101, 102 TFEU	140
2. State aid provisions: Art. 107 ff TFEU	140
3. Secondary legislation	141
IV. Legal remedies: judicial review as conceived under EU law	142
1. Proceedings before national courts and preliminary ruling procedure (Art. 267 TFEU)	142
2. Immediate proceedings before the CJEU: legal actions enumerated in the European Treaties	145
(1) Action for annulment (Art. 263, 264 TFEU)	145
(2) Infringement proceedings as inter-state remedies for violation of EU law (Art. 258 ff TFEU)	147
3. Action for damages	148
(1) Extra-contractual action for damages against the EU	149
(2) Extra-contractual action for damages against the member states	150
Chapter 5: Potential conflicts of EU law and international investment law	151
A. Preliminary remarks as to the notion of conflict regarding different legal frameworks	153
B. Potential conflicts between EU law and IIAs	156
I. Conflicting provisions between EU law and IIAs regarding substantive guarantees	156
1. State aid rules (Art. 107 ff TFEU)	158
2. European public procurement and competition law	161
3. Fundamental freedoms and their limitations in general	165
4. Non-discrimination (Art. 18 TFEU or the fundamental freedoms)	168
5. Free movement of capital	169

6. Changing legal framework	170
II. Conflicting provisions between EU law and IIAs regarding jurisdiction	170
1. Conflict between arbitration clauses and Art. 344 TFEU	171
2. Conflict between arbitration clauses and the dispatch of competencies in the EU	172
3. Conflict between arbitration clauses and the principle of non-discrimination (Art. 18 TFEU)	173
C. Potential implications of the conflict	175
I. Arbitration proceedings	176
II. ‘Setting-aside’ or ‘vacatur’ proceedings and annulment proceedings	176
1. Setting-aside an award rendered in private forums (ICC, SCC, etc.)	177
(1) Invalidity of the arbitration clause (Art. 34 (2) lit. a UNCITRAL Model Law (Lack of jurisdiction))	177
(2) Award contrary to public policy	179
2. ICSID-Annulment proceedings	181
III. Enforcement proceedings	183
1. Enforcement in private forums (ICC, SCC, etc.)	183
2. Enforcement of ICSID-Awards	184
IV. The impact of further potential EU proceedings on the enforcement of an award	186
V. Conclusion on potential conflicts in the arbitration proceedings	187
D. Handling EU law in international investment arbitration proceedings: different scenarios but similar interests	187
I. Distinguishing different types of situations raising the conflict: intra-EU vs. extra-EU IIAs	187
II. Enjeux en question – Guiding principles which should be respected by any solution to this conflict of laws	189
 Part II: Conflicts between EU Law and IIAs in the intra-EU setting	 191
Chapter 6: Intra-EU IIAs in arbitration proceedings: how to address the interaction of EU law and intra-EU IIAs	193
A. Structure of the analysis	198
B. The perspective of an arbitral tribunal in an intra-EU investment dispute	200

Chapter 7: EU law as international law applicable to the dispute	204
A. The relevance of any other rule of law than the IIA in the proceedings	204
B. The qualification of EU law as international law	206
I. EU law is sourced in international law	213
1. Transferal of competencies and rights to legislate	214
2. Irrelevance of principles set forth in the European Treaties for its qualification	218
II. EU law primarily creates rights and obligations towards member states and as an auxiliary individual rights	222
III. EU law regulates rights between subjects of international law	223
Chapter 8: The relevant rule of conflict of laws regarding EU law and IIAs	225
A. Preliminary remarks how to resolve conflicts between several rules of laws	226
I. Absence of explicit provisions dealing with the conflict in IIAs and the European Treaties	228
II. Absence of conflict rule leading to the termination of an entire treaty	230
B. Art. 59 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties	231
I. Same subject matter in terms of Art. 59 VCLT	232
1. The notion of sameness in Art. 59 VLCT as interpreted by the arbitral tribunals dealing with intra-EU disputes	233
2. The misuse of the notion of “same subject matter” to eliminate conflicts ab initio	235
II. Tacit intention to terminate the IIA subsequent to a member state’s accession to the European Union (Art. 59 (1) lit. a VCLT)	240
III. Incompatibility of EU law and IIAs (Art. 59 (1) lit. b VCLT)	243
IV. Conclusions on the effect of Art. 59 VCLT on intra-EU IIAs	245
C. Conflict rules of the TFEU (Art. 350, 351 TFEU and the principle of primacy)	245
I. Ordinary meaning and scope of Art. 351 TFEU	246
II. Ordinary meaning and scope of Art. 350 TFEU	247
III. Art. 350, 351 TFEU as opposition to the (inherent) general principle of primacy	247
D. Applicable conflict rule under the principles of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties	252
E. Conclusion and summary concerning the rule of conflict in the intra-EU hypothesis	254

I. First sub-hypothesis: IIA concluded before accession	254
II. Second sub-hypothesis: IIA concluded after accession	255
III. Necessity of a conflict and the legal consequences	255
Chapter 9: Jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal: Incompatibility of the intra-EU IIA's arbitration clause	256
A. Incompatibility of arbitration clauses with the specific characteristics and the autonomy of EU law (the exclusive jurisdiction of the CJEU)	257
I. Why the arbitral tribunal should consider the interpretation of the CJEU in its arbitration proceedings	257
II. The erroneous view on the criteria to be observed by a dispute settlement mechanism within the European Union	259
1. The irrelevance of the EU's competencies to regulate arbitration for the question of compatibility	263
2. The scope of application of Art. 344 TFEU	264
3. The existence of a duty to respect the fundamental elements of the European Union's legal order and judicial system	268
4. The criteria to determine the incompatibility with the general principles of the European Union's legal and judicial order	272
III. Violation of the principles deducted by the CJEU by arbitral tribunals in investment proceedings	280
1. Quantity of cases and quality of provisions concerned (criteria n° 1 and n° 2)	280
2. Interpretative monopoly – (<i>de facto</i>) binding interpretation (criterion n° 3)	283
3. Circumvention of preliminary ruling procedure – disrupting the interaction of the courts (criterion n° 4)	286
4. Further safeguards to ensure the uniform application of EU law (criterion n° 5)	290
5. Contravention of the principle of mutual trust (criterion n° 6)	292
6. Overall assessment of the criteria n° 1 to n° 6	295
IV. Interim conclusions on the arbitration clause's incompatibility with the autonomy of the EU's legal order and its specific characteristics	295
V. Excursus for mixed agreements	296
B. Incompatibility of the arbitration clause with EU law due to the violation of competencies exclusively attributed to the EU?	297

I. The type of provisions to be affected to trigger the application of the ERTA-jurisprudence	301
II. Scope of comparison under the ERTA-Doctrine / Pringle-Case	303
III. Interim conclusion on the arbitration clause's incompatibility due to violation of the EU's exclusive competences	305
C. Incompatibility of the arbitration clause with the principle of non-discrimination	305
I. Violation of the principle of non-discrimination by the arbitration clause	307
1. Inbound perspective (host state discriminating non-IIA protected investors)	307
(1) Difference in treatment between investors from different nationalities	308
(2) Comparable circumstances between such investors	309
(3) Justification for the differential treatment in comparable circumstances	316
2. Outbound perspective (home state favoring its national investors towards non-national investors)	316
II. Legal consequences of the violation of the principle of non-discrimination	319
D. Interim conclusions on conflicts between EU law and arbitration clauses contained in IIAs	321
Chapter 10: Primacy of EU law on the merits	322
A. Inconsistency with substantive guarantees provided in the IIA	322
B. How to implement EU law's primacy on the merits	323
Chapter 11: Challenges to the results due to the particular interests and rights in question	326
A. Impact of sunset clauses on the results	326
B. Conformity of the solution with the interests in question	332
Part III: Conflicts between IIAs and EU Law in the extra-EU setting	335
Chapter 12: The current status of extra-EU IIAs under public international law and EU law	338
A. External perspective: continuous validity of extra-EU IIAs under public international law	338
I. Principle of pacta sunt servanda	339
II. No fundamental change of circumstances upon accession to the EU (Art. 62 VCLT)	340
III. Consequences for obligations arising from IIAs under public international law	342

B. Unionist perspective: primacy of EU law despite contradicting obligations under public international law	343
I. The effects of Art. 351 TFEU: grandfathering of conflicting obligations	344
II. Limits to Art. 351 (1) TFEU and its consequences for the unionist perspective on extra-EU IIAs	346
1. Limits to Art. 351 (1) TFEU regarding <i>prior treaties</i>	347
2. Non-application of Art. 351 (1) TFEU to substantial conflicts in posterior treaties	352
(1) Arguments against the application of Art. 351 (1) TFEU by analogy	353
(2) Limitations to the grandfathering effect of Art. 351 (1) TFEU if applied by analogy	354
III. Summary regarding the unionist perspective and the status of extra-EU IIAs	356
C. Excursus for mixed agreements and future comprehensive trade agreements	357
Chapter 13: Current perception of EU law in extra-EU arbitration proceedings	358
A. The dual nature of EU law in extra-EU arbitration proceedings: international law but also domestic law of the member state	359
B. Potential interferences of EU law with extra-EU investment proceedings	361
I. Interferences of EU law with extra-EU investment proceedings through the IIA itself	362
1. The legality requirement: investments made in accordance with the law of the host state	363
(1) The prerequisite of the investment's legality under the law of the host state	363
(2) Consequences for interferences of investments with EU law	369
2. Interpretation of the Fair and Equitable Treatment standard	372
II. Interferences of EU law with extra-EU investment proceedings through domestic law being applicable law to the arbitration proceedings	376
1. EU law being the applicable law to the jurisdictional stage as <i>lex loci arbitri</i> .	376
2. EU law at the merits stage	379
C. The role of EU law in the subsequent proceedings	382
I. Setting-aside or enforcement proceedings	383
II. Potential decisions by the European Commission	384

D. Interim conclusion on the disruptive potential of ignoring EU law in extra-EU investment proceedings	387
Chapter 14: Cooperative approach: transposing Solange and Bosphorus to extra-EU investment proceedings	388
A. The reception of “similar conflicts” by other courts: Solange and Bosphorus	389
I. German Constitutional Court: Solange II	389
II. ECtHR: Bosphorus vs. Ireland	390
B. Transposing Solange and Bosphorus to extra-EU IIA investment arbitration	395
I. EU law as a mean of interpretation for obligations under an IIA	396
1. EU law as domestic law being applicable law to the investment dispute	397
2. Considering EU law by virtue of Art. 31 (3) lit. c VCLT	397
(1) Mixed agreements (e.g. Energy Charter Treaty)	398
(2) Transposing the underlying idea of the Bosphorus-Approach to extra-EU-IIAs	405
II. Interim results on the possibility to transpose the Bosphorus Approach to IIAs	406
Chapter 15: Conditions to reduce the margin of control according to the Bosphorus-Approach	407
A. Equivalence of substantive protection under EU law	408
I. Protection against (direct and indirect) expropriations	408
1. Equivalence of measures being qualified as expropriations	412
2. Equivalence of conditions for a lawful expropriation	417
(1) Public purpose / public interest	418
(2) Due process of law	418
(3) Prohibition of discrimination	419
(4) Due compensation	420
3. Equivalence of protected assets and holders of these rights	422
(1) Protected assets and difficulties arising thereof	422
(2) Implications of the chain of control: indirectly owned investments	427
II. National treatment and non-discrimination clauses	428
1. Equivalence of prohibition of national treatment under the free movement of capital	429
(1) Protected assets: “investment” and “free movement of capital”	430
(2) Exceptions and justifications to guarantees under the IIA and under EU law	432

(3) Scope of application of the free movement of capital: <i>erga omnes</i> protection?	440
2. Compensation of protection through application of Art. 20 of the Charter	443
III. Fair and equitable treatment	445
1. Administrative and judicial due process (element no°1)	447
(1) Due process in judicial proceedings	447
(2) Due process in administrative proceedings	450
2. Prohibition of arbitrary and discriminatory measures and measures causing intentional harm (element no°2)	452
3. Obligation to provide legality, stability and transparency in exercising legislative or regulatory powers (element no°3)	453
4. Honouring of legitimate expectations and stability of legislation (element no°4)	457
5. Principle of proportionality (element no°5)	462
6. Overall comparative assessment regarding the FET standard	462
IV. Further principles: Prohibition of arbitrary measures and umbrella clauses	463
1. Protection against arbitrary measures	463
2. Umbrella clauses	463
V. Additional protection for investors established within the EU and operating in other EU member states	465
VI. Overall assessment of substantive protection under EU law and IIAs	465
B. Equivalence of procedural guarantees under EU law	467
I. How to address the equivalence of procedural protection	468
II. The implementation of procedural investment protection by mixed arbitration	469
III. Equivalence of procedural investment protection under EU law compared to IIAs	470
1. Procedural protection through a non-national court system	471
(1) Direct actions before the CJEU	471
(2) Supplementary function of national courts under EU law	478
(3) The assisting function of secondary relief: compensation for violation of EU law	482
2. Specific performance instead of deterrence: how to ensure observance of substantive guarantees	484

3. Complementary means offered by EU law to enforce compliance with substantive guarantees	485
IV. Overall assessment of the equivalence of procedural protection under IIAs and EU law	487
Chapter 16: Implementing the Bosphorus-Approach in extra-EU investment arbitration proceedings	488
A. The presumption of lawfulness: how to determine if an act is 'mandatory' under EU law	488
I. Mandatory actions by the host states under EU law	489
II. The burden of proof relating to an act being "mandatory"	490
B. Rebutting the presumption: when to consider the protection to be manifestly deficient	491
I. Consequence of prior proceedings	491
II. Downgrade in the level of protection	492
III. Considerable lack of protection in the particular case depriving the investor of any protection	492
IV. Denial of justice: forcing the EU member states to grant sufficient procedural protection	493
C. The required dialogue of the judges	495
D. Conformity of the solution with the interests in question	496
 Part IV: Outlook regarding the EU's future investment policy	 499
 Conclusion	 503
 Literature	 513