

Groupoids in categories with partial covers

Dissertation

zur Erlangung des mathematisch-naturwissenschaftlichen Doktorgrades
“Doctor rerum naturalium” (Dr.rer.nat.)
der Georg-August-Universität Göttingen
im Promotionsprogramm der PhD School of Mathematical Science (SMS)
der Georg-August University School of Science (GAUSS)

vorgelegt von
Giorgi Arabidze
aus Tiflis, Georgien

Göttingen 2018

Betreuungsausschuss:

Betreuer: **Prof. Dr. Ralf Meyer**
Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen
Betreuerin: **Prof. Dr. Chenchang Zhu**
Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen

Mitglieder der Prüfungskommission:

Referent: **Prof. Dr. Ralf Meyer**
Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen
Koreferentin: **Prof. Dr. Chenchang Zhu**
Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen

Prof. Dr. Preda Mihailescu

Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen

Prof. Dr. Thomas Schick

Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen

Prof. Dr. Dominic Schuhmacher

Institut für Mathematische Stochastik, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen

Prof. Dr. Max Wardetzky

Institut für Numerische und Angewandte Mathematik, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 15.10.2018

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	3
2. Pretopologies	6
2.1. Extra assumptions on stronger pretopologies	9
3. Groupoids in a category with partial covers	10
3.1. Examples of groupoids	15
4. Morphisms between groupoids	16
4.1. Groupoid fibrations	18
5. Groupoid actions	28
5.1. Examples of groupoid actions	33
5.2. Transformation groupoids	35
5.3. Principal bundles	39
5.4. Examples of principal bundles	40
5.5. Pull-back of a bundle	42
5.6. Basic actions and assumptions on it	47
5.7. Groupoid fibrations with basic fibre	50
6. Generalised morphisms between groupoids	56
6.1. Actors	56
6.2. Bibundle actors	57
6.3. Bibundle functor	61
6.4. Bibundle equivalence	66
7. Generalised groupoid actions	68
8. Examples of categories with partial covers	81
8.1. Category of sets	82
8.2. Categories of topological spaces	82
8.3. Categories of manifolds	89
References	90

1. INTRODUCTION

Groupoids are basic objects in noncommutative geometry and differential geometry. There are different kinds of groupoids, such as topological groupoids and Lie groupoids of infinite or finite dimension. Meyer and Zhu [47] developed a framework to study these different kinds of groupoids with the same theory. More recently, it became clear that this framework should be modified to allow for various “partial” phenomena, such as partial actions, partial groupoid equivalences, or partial fibrations. This thesis develops this variant of the theory in [47].

The notion of groupoid has many meanings. It depends on in which field of mathematics it is considered. For instance, there are topological groupoids, étale topological groupoids, Lie groupoids of finite and infinite dimension, algebraic groupoids, and so on. The paper of Meyer and Zhu [47] develops a common theory for these different kinds of groupoids. For instance, they construct a bicategory of groupoids with bibundle equivalences as arrows and equivariant maps as 2-arrows. A bibundle equivalence is also called a Morita equivalence. A Morita equivalence of locally compact, Hausdorff topological groupoids is defined by Muhly, Renault and Williams in [39]. They proved that Morita equivalent locally compact, Hausdorff groupoids have equivalent C^* -algebras. Hilsum and Skandalis define a generalised morphism between Lie groupoids in [6] in order to build wrong-way maps in the K-theory of foliation C^* -algebras. Lie groupoids with isomorphism classes of Hilsum-Skandalis morphisms as arrows form a category [18]. Bibundle functors are introduced by Meyer and Zhu in [47]. They are an abstract analogue of Hilsum-Skandalis morphisms. Meyer and Zhu construct a bicategory of groupoids with bibundle functors as arrows and equivariant maps as 2-arrows.

A pretopology is an extra structure in a general category that allows to develop various kinds of mathematical objects. For instance, groupoids, groupoid actions, principal bundles, groupoid fibrations, actors, Hilsum–Skandalis morphisms, Morita equivalences, and so on. A category with pretopology is equipped with a notion of “cover”. As it is discussed above there are many different kinds of groupoids. In each case, the range and source maps are assumed to be “covers”. For instance, covers are surjective submersions in the context of Lie groupoids. The covers also influence the notion of principal bundle because their bundle projections are assumed to be covers; this is equivalent to “local triviality” in the sense of the pretopology. If our category is that of topological spaces and the covers are the continuous surjections with local continuous sections, then we get exactly the usual notion of local triviality for principal bundles; this is why many geometers prefer this pretopology on topological spaces. Many operator algebraists prefer the pretopology of open continuous surjections instead.

In the abstract setting of groupoids in a category with pretopology there occurred the importance to modify the categorical framework there to allow for “partial” notions. A category with partial covers is equipped with a notion of “partial cover”, which allows to define partial sheaves, partial bibundle actors, partial Hilsum–Skandalis morphisms, partial Morita equivalences, and so on.

The book proposed by Ruy Exel is about partial dynamical systems, [49]. A partial action of a group is an important notion in this theory and it is largely connected to some basic tools in this thesis. Also, Ralf Meyer and Alcides Buss define and study partial fibrations of topological groupoids. This notion of a groupoid fibration comes from higher category theory. It can also be defined in a category with partial covers and several basic properties can be proved in this general situation. If

the partial covers are étale continuous maps, partial bibundle actors are the groupoid correspondences which are introduced by Suliman Albandik in his dissertation.

Ralf Meyer and Alcides Buss define different kinds of morphisms between topological groupoids. They call a continuous functor $F: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ a fibration of topological groupoids if the continuous map

$$(1.1) \quad (F^1; s_G): \mathbb{L}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1 \times_{s_H, \mathbb{H}^0, F^0} \mathbb{L}^0, \quad l \mapsto (F^1(l); s(l)),$$

is an open surjection. They call the functor $F: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ a groupoid covering if (1.1) is an isomorphism. The fibre of this fibration of topological groupoids is the subgroupoid \mathbb{G} of \mathbb{L} defined by $\mathbb{G}^0 = \mathbb{L}^0$ and

$$\mathbb{G}^1 = \{g \in \mathbb{L}^1 \mid F^1(g) = 1_{F^0(s_G(g))}\},$$

equipped with the subspace topology on $\mathbb{G}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{L}^1$. They prove that many properties are preserved by fibrations, such as being (locally) Hausdorff, locally compact, amenable, étale or proper. They show that a crossed product for an action of \mathbb{L} is isomorphic to an iterated crossed product first by \mathbb{G} and then by \mathbb{H} . Here “groupoid action” means a saturated Fell bundle over the groupoid, and “crossed product” means the section C^* -algebra. They interpret a (partial) fibration of topological groupoids $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ with fibre \mathbb{G} as a generalised (partial) action of \mathbb{H} on \mathbb{G} by groupoid equivalences. The idea is the following: An action of \mathbb{H} on \mathbb{G} should give a transformation groupoid $\mathbb{H} := \mathbb{G} \rtimes \mathbb{H}$ that contains \mathbb{G} and comes with a continuous functor $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$. Thus defining actions of topological groupoids on topological groupoids amounts to characterising which chains of continuous functors $\mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ correspond to actions. They require $\mathbb{L} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ to be a groupoid fibration with fibre \mathbb{G} .

Groupoid fibrations are inspired by higher category theory. The thesis of Li Du describes actions of ∞ -groupoids on ∞ -groupoids through Kan fibrations in [54]. By definition, a groupoid fibration between two topological groupoids is a Kan fibration between the associated topological ∞ -groupoids.

There is the well known decomposition $C^*(X \rtimes \mathbb{H}) \cong C^0(X) \rtimes \mathbb{H}$ for an action of a groupoid \mathbb{H} on a space X . Meyer and Buss generalise this fact. If we have a groupoid fibration $\mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{H}$, then there is an induced action of \mathbb{H} on the C^* -algebra of \mathbb{G} , such that the cross product is $C^*(\mathbb{L})$. In general, an “action” of a locally compact groupoid on a C^* -algebra is a (saturated) Fell bundle over the groupoid, and its “crossed product” is the section C^* -algebra of the Fell bundle. Saturated Fell bundles are interpreted as actions by Morita–Rieffel equivalences.

A (partial) groupoid fibration, (partial) groupoid covering and the fibre can be defined in a category with partial covers (see Definitions 4.6 and 4.13 and Proposition 4.15). We use the notation $\mathbb{G} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ to denote that we have a partial groupoid fibration from \mathbb{L} to \mathbb{H} with fibre \mathbb{G} . We generalise some basic results about (partial) groupoid fibrations and their fibres. The fibre of a (partial) groupoid fibration $F: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is a 0-groupoid (groupoid where the range map is an isomorphism) if and only if $F: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is a (partial) groupoid covering. The composition of (partial) groupoid fibrations is a (partial) groupoid fibration. The composable pair of (partial) groupoid fibrations $\mathbb{G}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{G}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ gives a (partial) groupoid fibration $\mathbb{G}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{G}_2$, where \mathbb{G} is the fibre of the composition. $\mathbb{G}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{G}_2$ is a (partial) groupoid covering if and only if $\mathbb{G}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is so. If $\mathbb{G}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a (partial) groupoid covering then \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{G}_1 are isomorphic.

We will see that an action of \mathbb{H} on \mathbb{G} may be transformed along a Morita equivalence $\mathbb{G} \sim \mathbb{K}$ to an action of \mathbb{H} on \mathbb{K} . We have an action of \mathbb{H} on \mathbb{G} , that is, there is a groupoid \mathbb{L} and a groupoid fibration $F: \mathbb{L} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ with fibre \mathbb{G} . If we have a bibundle equivalence \mathbb{X} from \mathbb{G} to \mathbb{K} , then we can construct a generalised action of the groupoid \mathbb{H} on the groupoid \mathbb{K} . In particular, we can construct a groupoid \mathbb{R} ,

equivalent to the groupoid L by some Y , and a groupoid fibration $E: R \rightarrow H$ with fibre K . Symbolically,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 G & \hookrightarrow & L & & \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & \searrow & \\
 X & & Y & & H \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\
 K & \hookrightarrow & R & &
 \end{array}$$

We use the technique of composing bibundle actors. For instance, the arrow space of the groupoid R is the underlying space of the composition $X \circ L^1 \circ X^{-1}$ and the bibundle equivalence Y is constructed by $L^1 \circ X^{-1}$.

We say that the groupoid G is basic if the canonical action of G on its objects is a part of a principal bundle. There is an interesting case when the groupoid fibration has a basic fibre. We define the quotient groupoid L/G for such a groupoid fibration $F: L \rightarrow H$ with basic fibre G . We have a commuting triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L & \xrightarrow{F} & H \\
 \searrow F_1 & & \nearrow F_2 \\
 & L/G &
 \end{array}$$

of groupoid fibrations, where $F_1: L \rightarrow L/G$ is a cover on objects and $F_2: L/G \rightarrow H$ is a groupoid covering. We construct an action of H on the orbit space of the canonical action of G on its objects. The quotient groupoid L/G is the transformation groupoid of this action. Roughly speaking, under Assumption 5.63 about actions of basic groupoids, the quotient groupoid preserves the property of a groupoid H to be basic and transmits it to L . In a groupoid fibration $G \hookrightarrow L \rightarrow H$, if the groupoids G and H are basic then so is L .

Partial groupoid fibrations appear in the theory of partial dynamical systems. There is an important notion of a partial action of a group. A partial action of the topological group G on the topological space X is a pair $(\{X_g\}_{g \in G}; \{\theta_g\}_{g \in G})$ of open subsets $\{X_g\}_{g \in G}$ of X and homeomorphisms $\{\theta_g\}_{g \in G}: X_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow X_g$ such that:

- (1) $X_e = X$ and $\theta_e = \text{id}_X$;
- (2) $\theta_g(X_{g^{-1}} \cap X_{g_1}) = X_g \cap X_{g \cdot g_1}$;
- (3) $\theta_g(\theta_{g_1}(x)) = \theta_{g \cdot g_1}(x)$, for all x in $X_{g_1^{-1}} \cap X_{g^{-1} \cdot g_1^{-1}}$;
- (4) $D^{-1} := \{(g; x) \in G \times X \mid x \in X_{g^{-1}}\}$ is open in $G \times X$;
- (5) The map $D^{-1} \rightarrow X$ given by $(g; x) \mapsto x$ is continuous.

Thus a partial action of G on X is also a map from G into the power set of X , just as for actions of inverse semigroups. For this data we construct a topological groupoid \mathfrak{G} with objects X and with

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x, x_1) = \{(x_1; g; x) \in X \times G \times X \mid (g; x) \in D^{-1}, x_1 = \theta_g(x)\}.$$

The multiplication map is given by

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x, x_1) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x_2, x) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x_2, x_1), (x_2, g, x) \cdot (x, g_1, x_1) \mapsto (x_2, g \cdot g_1, x_1).$$

It can be shown easily that \mathfrak{G} is a topological groupoid. There is a continuous functor $F: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow G$ given by $(x_1; g; x) \mapsto g$, which is a partial groupoid covering from the topological groupoid to the topological group because the continuous map $\mathfrak{G} \rightarrow G \times X$ given by $(x_1; g; x) \mapsto (g; x)$ is open and monic. The fibre of this

partial groupoid covering is the topological space X . Therefore, partial actions of a topological group can be understood as partial groupoid coverings.

There is a connection of this thesis and the thesis of Suliman Albandik [35]. We will see that étale maps form a stronger pretopology in the category of topological spaces. In this category with stronger pretopology a partial bibundle actor is the same as a groupoid correspondence, which are introduced by Suliman Albandik. A partial bibundle actor from a groupoid G to a groupoid H is a commuting left action of G and a right action of H on the same object X , where the right anchor map $s_X: X \dashrightarrow H^0$ is a partial cover and the right action of H on X is basic. A groupoid correspondence from a groupoid G to a groupoid H is a commuting left action of G and a right action of H on the same object X , where the right anchor map $s_X: X \rightarrow H^0$ is étale and the right action of H on X is free and proper. In the category of topological spaces with étale maps as partial covers an action of a topological groupoid on a topological space is free and proper if and only if it is basic and the orbit space is Hausdorff. In the case of locally compact, Hausdorff groupoids the groupoid correspondences and bibundle actors are just the same because for this case an action is basic if and only if it is free and proper. We will see that like the groupoid correspondences, there is a bicategory of groupoids with bibundle actors as arrows and equivariant maps as 2-arrows.

2. PRETOPOLOGIES

A stronger pretopology is an extra structure in a general category that allows to develop various kinds of mathematical objects. A category with stronger pretopology is equipped with a notion of “partial cover”.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{C} be any category. We say there is defined a *stronger pretopology* on \mathcal{C} if we have a collection \mathcal{F}_p of arrows, called *partial covers*, with the following properties:

- (1) isomorphisms are partial covers;
- (2) the composite of two partial covers is a partial cover;
- (3) if $x: X \rightarrow B$ is an arrow in \mathcal{C} and $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ is a partial cover, then the fibre product $A \times_{f,B,x} X$ exists in \mathcal{C} and the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f,B,x} X \dashrightarrow X$ is a partial cover. Symbolically,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & & A \times_{f,B,x} X \dashrightarrow^{\text{pr}_2} X \\ \downarrow x & \Rightarrow & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \quad \quad \downarrow x \\ A \dashrightarrow^f B & & A \dashrightarrow^f B \end{array}$$

Definition 2.2. Consider a collection \mathcal{F} of such partial covers $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ that are the coequalisers of the coordinate projections $\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2: A \times_{f,B,f} A \rightrightarrows A$. Call them *covers*.

We use dashed arrows \dashrightarrow to denote partial covers and double-headed arrows \rightrightarrows to denote covers.

Remark 2.3. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ be a category with partial covers. If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_p$ then $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ is a category with a subcanonical pretopology \mathcal{F} , as in [47, Definition 2.1].

Remark 2.4. We cannot say that any category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers is a category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ with pretopology because, in general, the composition of two covers is not a cover.

The following lemmas hold in any category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers.

Lemma 2.5. *If a partial cover splits, then it is a cover.*

Proof. Let $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ be a partial cover and let $g: B \rightarrow A$ be such that $f \circ g = \text{id}_B$. We have to show that $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ is the coequaliser of the coordinate projections $\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2: A \times_{f,B,f} A \rightrightarrows A$.

Let $x: A \rightarrow X$ be any arrow in \mathcal{C} such that $x \circ \text{pr}_1 = x \circ \text{pr}_2$. We know that $f \circ (g \circ f) = f \circ \text{id}_A$. Therefore, there is an arrow $(\text{id}_A; g \circ f): A \rightarrow A \times_{f,B,f} A$ such that $\text{pr}_1 \circ (\text{id}_A; g \circ f) = \text{id}_A$ and $\text{pr}_2 \circ (\text{id}_A; g \circ f) = g \circ f$. After this we can see that the composition $x \circ g: B \rightarrow X$ provides a factorization of x through f :

$$\begin{aligned} (x \circ g) \circ f &= x \circ (g \circ f) \\ &= x \circ (\text{pr}_2 \circ (\text{id}_A; g \circ f)) \\ &= (x \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ (\text{id}_A; g \circ f) \\ &= (x \circ \text{pr}_1) \circ (\text{id}_A; g \circ f) \\ &= x \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ (\text{id}_A; g \circ f)) \\ &= x \circ \text{id}_A \\ &= x. \end{aligned}$$

Such a factorization is unique because if for some parallel arrows $e_1, e_2: B \rightrightarrows X$ we have $e_1 \circ f = e_2 \circ f$ then

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= e_1 \circ \text{id}_B \\ &= e_1 \circ (f \circ g) \\ &= (e_1 \circ f) \circ g \\ &= (e_2 \circ f) \circ g \\ &= e_2 \circ (f \circ g) \\ &= e_2 \circ \text{id}_B \\ &= e_2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.6. *An isomorphism is a cover.*

Proof. Any isomorphism is a partial cover by Definition 2.1 and it splits by its inverse, so Lemma 2.5 works. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ be a partial cover. If f splits, then any pull-back of f is a cover. Moreover, it splits too.*

Proof. Let $\text{pr}_2: A \times_B X \dashrightarrow X$ be the pull-back of $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ along an arrow $x: X \rightarrow B$. It is a partial cover by Definition 2.1. Let $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ split by $g: B \rightarrow A$. We know that

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ (g \circ x) &= (f \circ g) \circ x \\ &= \text{id}_B \circ x \\ &= x \circ \text{id}_X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is an arrow $(g \circ x; \text{id}_X): X \rightarrow A \times_{f,B,x} X$ such that

$$\text{pr}_2 \circ (g \circ x; \text{id}_X) = \text{id}_X.$$

This means that $\text{pr}_2: A \times_B X \dashrightarrow X$ splits. Therefore, it is a cover by Lemma 2.5. □

Corollary 2.8. *Let $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$ be a partial cover. The pull-back of $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$ along itself is a cover. Moreover, it splits.*

Proof. It is clear that the arrow $(\text{id}_X; \text{id}_X): X \rightarrow X \times_{f,Y,f} X$ is well-defined and $\text{pr}_2 \circ (\text{id}_X; \text{id}_X) = \text{id}_X$. So pr_2 is a partial cover because it is a pull-back of $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$, and it splits by $(\text{id}_X; \text{id}_X)$. Therefore, it is a cover by Lemma 2.5. □

Corollary 2.9. *The composition of splitting covers is a cover. Moreover, it splits.*

Proof. It is clear that the composition of splitting arrows is a splitting arrow, too. Also, the composition of partial covers is a partial cover. So the composition of splitting covers is a splitting partial cover, and, therefore, it is a cover by Lemma 2.5. \square

Lemma 2.10. *The composition of an isomorphism and a cover is a cover.*

Proof. Let $f: A \rightrightarrows B$ and $\varphi: B \rightarrow C$ be a cover and an isomorphism, respectively. The composition $\varphi \circ f$ is a partial cover by the definition of a stronger pretopology. Therefore, the object $A \times_{\varphi \circ f, B, \varphi \circ f} A$ exists. The arrows $(\text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2): A \times_{f, B, f} A \rightarrow A \times_{\varphi \circ f, B, \varphi \circ f} A$ and $(\text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2): A \times_{\varphi \circ f, B, \varphi \circ f} A \rightarrow A \times_{f, B, f} A$ are well-defined and inverses of each other. Hence the arrow $f: A \rightrightarrows B$, and, therefore, the composition $\varphi \circ f$, is a coequaliser of both pairs of the coordinate projections. Thus $\varphi \circ f$ is a cover.

Let $f: A \rightrightarrows B$ be a cover and let $\varphi: C \rightarrow A$ an isomorphism. There is the arrow $(\varphi^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_1; \varphi^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_2): A \times_{f, B, f} A \rightarrow C \times_{f \circ \varphi, B, f \circ \varphi} C$. It is well-defined because $f \circ \varphi \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_1 = f \circ \text{pr}_1 = f \circ \text{pr}_2 = f \circ \varphi \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_2$. Let the arrow $x: C \rightarrow X$ be such that $x \circ \text{pr}_1 = x \circ \text{pr}_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_1 &= x \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ (\varphi^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_1; \varphi^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_2) \\ &= x \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ (\varphi^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_1; \varphi^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_2) \\ &= x \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f: A \rightrightarrows B$ is a coequaliser of $\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2: A \times_{f, B, f} A \rightrightarrows A$, there is a unique arrow $h: B \rightarrow X$ such that $h \circ f = x \circ \varphi^{-1}$. This equality is equivalent to $h \circ f \circ \varphi = x$. This means that $f \circ \varphi: C \dashrightarrow B$ is a coequaliser of $\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2: C \times_{f \circ \varphi, B, f \circ \varphi} C \rightrightarrows C$. Therefore, it is a cover. \square

Lemma 2.11. *If a cover is monic then it is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let the arrow $f: A \rightrightarrows B$ be a cover and monic. By Definition 2.1 $f: A \rightrightarrows B$ is a coequalizer of the pair of arrows $\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2: A \times_{f, B, f} A \rightrightarrows A$. Since $f \circ \text{pr}_1 = f \circ \text{pr}_2$ and f is monic, $\text{pr}_1 = \text{pr}_2$. Therefore, $\text{id}_A \circ \text{pr}_1 = \text{id}_A \circ \text{pr}_2$. Hence there is an arrow $g: B \rightarrow A$ such that $g \circ f = \text{id}_A$. Also $f \circ \text{pr}_1 = f \circ \text{pr}_2$ and we have two arrows $\text{id}_B, f \circ g: B \rightarrow B$ such that $\text{id}_B \circ f = f$ and $(f \circ g) \circ f = f \circ (g \circ f) = f \circ \text{id}_A = f$. Thus $f \circ g = \text{id}_B$. Therefore, f is an isomorphism. \square

Lemma 2.12. *Let $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ be a partial cover. f is a cover if and only if it is a coequaliser of some pair of parallel arrows $e_1, e_2: E \rightrightarrows A$.*

Proof. One side of the proof is obvious by the definition of a cover. Now, let $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ be a coequaliser of parallel arrows $e_1, e_2: E \rightrightarrows A$. There is the arrow $(e_1; e_2): E \rightarrow A \times_{f, B, f} A$. It is well-defined because $f \circ e_1 = f \circ e_2$. Let the arrow $g: A \rightarrow C$ be such that $g \circ \text{pr}_1 = g \circ \text{pr}_2$, where pr_1 and pr_2 are the coordinate projections of $A \times_{f, B, f} A$. We have $g \circ e_1 = g \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ (e_1; e_2) = g \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ (e_1; e_2) = g \circ e_2$. Since $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ is the coequaliser of $e_1, e_2: E \rightrightarrows A$, there is a unique $h: B \rightarrow C$ such that $h \circ f = g$. Therefore, f is a coequaliser of the coordinate projections $\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2: A \times_{f, B, f} A \rightrightarrows A$. Hence it is a cover. \square

Lemma 2.13. *Assume that the pull-back of an arrow $g: C \rightarrow B$ along a cover $f: A \rightrightarrows B$ is an isomorphism. If the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f, B, g} C \dashrightarrow C$ is epic, then $g: C \rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism, too.*

Proof. Let $f: A \rightrightarrows B$ be a coequaliser of the pair of parallel arrows $e_1, e_2: E \rightrightarrows A$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & A \times_{f,B,g} C & \overset{\text{pr}_2}{\dashrightarrow} & C \\
 & \nearrow^{(e_2; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1} \circ e_1)} & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 & \nearrow^{\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1}} & \downarrow g \\
 E & \xrightarrow[e_2]{e_1} & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B
 \end{array}$$

There is an arrow $(e_2; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1} \circ e_1): E \rightarrow A \times_{f,B,g} C$. It is well-defined because

$$\begin{aligned}
 g \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1} \circ e_1 &= f \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1} \circ e_1 \\
 &= f \circ e_1 \\
 &= f \circ e_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{pr}_1 \circ (e_2; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1} \circ e_1) = e_2$, we have $\text{pr}_1^{-1} \circ e_2 = (e_2; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1} \circ e_1)$. Therefore, $\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1} \circ e_2 = \text{pr}_2 \circ (e_2; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1} \circ e_1) = \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1} \circ e_1$. Hence there is a unique arrow $g': B \rightarrow C$ such that $g' \circ f = \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g \circ g' \circ f &= g \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1} \\
 &= f \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1} \\
 &= f.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a cover, it is epic and, therefore, $g \circ g' = \text{id}_B$. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g' \circ g \circ \text{pr}_2 &= g' \circ f \circ \text{pr}_1 \\
 &= \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_1 \\
 &= \text{pr}_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f,B,g} C \dashrightarrow C$ is epic, we have $g' \circ g = \text{id}_C$. So g' is an inverse of g , and, therefore, g is an isomorphism. \square

2.1. Extra assumptions on stronger pretopologies. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ be a category with partial covers. We know that the composition of splitting covers is a cover (Corollary 2.9). Also, the composition of an isomorphism and a cover is a cover (Lemma 2.10). But, generally, we cannot say that the composition of covers is a cover. The following assumption on the stronger pretopology is about this.

Assumption 2.14. [47, Definition 2.1]. The composition of covers is a cover.

The next assumption is about the pull-back of a cover. We know that the pull-back of a splitting cover is a cover (Corollary 2.7). Also, the pull-back of a cover along itself is a cover (Corollary 2.8). But, generally, the pull-back of a cover is not a cover. In some results we require the following assumption.

Assumption 2.15. [47, Definition 2.1]. Any pull-back of a cover is a cover.

Remark 2.16. Under Assumption 2.15 we do not need the requirement that the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f,B,g} C \dashrightarrow C$ is epic in Lemma 2.13, because it automatically comes from Assumption 2.15. $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f,B,g} C \rightarrow C$ is a pull-back of the cover $f: A \rightrightarrows B$. Therefore, it is a cover. Thus it is epic.

Remark 2.17. Under Assumptions 2.14 and 2.15, a category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers is a category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ with pretopology as defined in [47].

The next assumption is important for principal bundles and arrows between the orbit spaces. We need this assumption for defining a composition of bibundle functors.

Assumption 2.18. If a pull-back of f along a cover is a cover, then f is a cover, too.

The following assumption is stronger than the previous one. It is necessary when we want to compose bibundle actors.

Assumption 2.19. If $f \circ g$ and g are covers, then so is f .

The following assumption is about the final object. We know that the obvious example of a groupoid is a group. A group is a groupoid with only one identity. So we need the following assumption to define groups in a category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers.

Assumption 2.20. There is a final object in $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ and all arrows to it are covers.

Remark 2.21. Under Assumption 2.20, the category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ has finite products because they are fibre products over the final object.

3. GROUPOIDS IN A CATEGORY WITH PARTIAL COVERS

Before defining a groupoid we need to explain the notion of elementwise expressions. Let A be any object in \mathcal{C} . An element x in A is interpreted as arrow in \mathcal{C} from some object $? \in \mathcal{C}$ to A . The elements of A form a category, which determines A by the Yoneda Lemma. If $f: A \rightarrow B$ is any arrow in \mathcal{C} then for any element x in A $f(x)$ is interpreted as the composition $f \circ x: ? \rightarrow B$, which is an element in B .

Definition 3.1. A groupoid $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m)$ in the category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers consists of

- objects $G^0, G^1 \in \mathcal{C}$,
- arrows $r: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$, $s: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$ and $m: G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1 \rightarrow G^1$;

such that

- (1) r and s are covers;
- (2) for the coordinate projections $\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2: G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1 \rightrightarrows G^1$ we have equations $r \circ m = r \circ \text{pr}_1$; $s \circ m = s \circ \text{pr}_2$; $r(g \cdot g_1) = r(g)$; $s(g \cdot g_1) = s(g_1)$, for all $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $r(g_1) = s(g)$;
- (3) m is associative, that is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1) \times_{\text{pr}_2, G^1, \text{pr}_1} (G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1) & \xrightarrow{(m \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2)} & G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1 \\ \downarrow (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; m \circ \text{pr}_2) & & \downarrow m \\ G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1 & \xrightarrow{m} & G^1 \end{array}$$

$$m \circ (m \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2) = m \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; m \circ \text{pr}_2); \quad (g \cdot g_1) \cdot g_2 = g \cdot (g_1 \cdot g_2), \\ \forall g, g_1, g_2 \in G^1 \text{ with } s(g) = r(g_1) \text{ and } s(g_1) = r(g_2);$$

- (4) the maps

$$(3.1) \quad (\text{pr}_2; m): G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1 \longrightarrow G^1 \times_{s, G^0, s} G^1, \quad (g; g_1) \mapsto (g_1; g \cdot g_1);$$

$$(3.2) \quad (\text{pr}_1; m): G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1 \longrightarrow G^1 \times_{r, G^0, r} G^1, \quad (g; g_1) \mapsto (g; g \cdot g_1);$$

are isomorphisms;

We call the objects G^0, G^1 and $G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1$ the *objects*, *arrows* and *composable pairs*, and the arrows r, s and m the *range*, *source* and *multiplication* maps, respectively.

Here, for $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r(g_1)$, $g \cdot g_1$ means the composite arrow

$$? \xrightarrow{(g; g_1)} G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1 \xrightarrow{m} G^1.$$

Remark 3.2. All objects in (2), (3) and (4) exist because of (1). The arrows $(\mathbf{m} \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{pr}_2 \circ \mathbf{pr}_2)$, $(\mathbf{pr}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m} \circ \mathbf{pr}_2)$, $(\mathbf{pr}_2, \mathbf{m})$ and $(\mathbf{pr}_1, \mathbf{m})$ are well-defined because of the equalities in (2).

Remark 3.3. Definition 3.1 is the same as Definition 3.4 in [47]. The only difference is the structure of the category in which we define groupoids.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{G}^0, \mathbf{G}^1, r, s, \mathbf{m})$ be a groupoid. Then the coordinate projections $\mathbf{pr}_1, \mathbf{pr}_2: \mathbf{G}^1 \times_{s, \mathbf{G}^0, r} \mathbf{G}^1 \rightrightarrows \mathbf{G}^1$ are covers. Moreover, they split.*

Proof. It is clear that the arrow $(\text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}; \text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}): \mathbf{G}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^1 \times_{s, \mathbf{G}^0, s} \mathbf{G}^1$ is well-defined. We also have $\mathbf{pr}_2 \circ (\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m})^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}; \text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}) = \mathbf{pr}_2 \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}; \text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}) = \text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}$. So \mathbf{pr}_2 splits by $(\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m})^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}; \text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1})$. Analogously, \mathbf{pr}_1 splits by the arrow $(\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m})^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}; \text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1})$. The coordinate projections are partial covers because they are pull-backs of the source and range maps. Therefore, they are covers by Lemma 2.5. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{G}^0, \mathbf{G}^1, r, s, \mathbf{m})$ be a groupoid and let \mathbf{X} be an object in \mathcal{C} . Let $x_1, x_2, g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{G}^1)$ be such that $(x_1; g), (x_2; g) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{G}^1 \times_{s, \mathbf{G}^0, r} \mathbf{G}^1)$ are well-defined arrows and $\mathbf{m} \circ (x_1; g) = \mathbf{m} \circ (x_2; g)$, then $x_1 = x_2$.*

Proof. Consider the following composition:

$$\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{(x_1; g)} \mathbf{G}^1 \times_{s, \mathbf{G}^0, r} \mathbf{G}^1 \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m})} \mathbf{G}^1 \times_{s, \mathbf{G}^0, s} \mathbf{G}^1.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m}) \circ (x_1; g) &= (\mathbf{pr}_2 \circ (x_1; g); \mathbf{m} \circ (x_1; g)) \\ &= (g; \mathbf{m} \circ (x_1; g)). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$(\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m}) \circ (x_2; g) = (g; \mathbf{m} \circ (x_2; g)).$$

Therefore,

$$(\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m}) \circ (x_1; g) = (\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m}) \circ (x_2; g).$$

The arrow $(\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m})$ is an isomorphism by Definition 3.1. So $(x_1; g) = (x_2; g)$. Hence $x_1 = x_2$. \square

Remark 3.6. We can also deduce that $x_1 = x_2$ if the arrows $(g; x_1)$ and $(g; x_2)$ are well-defined and $\mathbf{m} \circ (g; x_1) = \mathbf{m} \circ (g; x_2)$. In this case, we use the isomorphism $(\mathbf{m}; \mathbf{pr}_1)$.

Remark 3.7. In elementwise notation, Lemma 3.5 and Remark 3.6 say the following: If $x_1 \cdot g = x_2 \cdot g$ then $x_1 = x_2$, and if $g \cdot x_1 = g \cdot x_2$ then $x_1 = x_2$.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{G}^0, \mathbf{G}^1, r, s, \mathbf{m})$ be a groupoid. There are arrows $\mathbf{u}: \mathbf{G}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^1$ and $\mathbf{i}: \mathbf{G}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^1$ such that the following equalities hold:*

- (1) $r \circ \mathbf{u} = \text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^0} = s \circ \mathbf{u}; \quad r(1_{g_0}) = g_0 = r(1_{g_0}), \forall g_0 \in \mathbf{G}^0;$
- (2) $\mathbf{m} \circ (\mathbf{u} \circ r; \text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}) = \text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1} = \mathbf{m} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}; \mathbf{u} \circ s); \quad 1_{r(g)} \cdot g = g = g \cdot 1_{s(g)}, \forall g \in \mathbf{G}^1;$
- (3) $s \circ \mathbf{i} = r; \quad r \circ \mathbf{i} = s; \quad s(g^{-1}) = r(g); \quad r(g^{-1}) = s(g), \forall g \in \mathbf{G}^1;$
- (4) $\mathbf{m} \circ (\mathbf{i}; \text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}) = \mathbf{u} \circ s; \quad \mathbf{m} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}; \mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{u} \circ r; \quad g^{-1} \cdot g = 1_{s(g)}; \quad g \cdot g^{-1} = 1_{r(g)}, \forall g \in \mathbf{G}^1;$

Here, for $g_0 \in \mathbf{G}^0$, 1_{g_0} means the composite arrow $\mathbf{u} \circ g_0$, and for $g \in \mathbf{G}^1$, g^{-1} means $\mathbf{i} \circ g$.

Proof. First we construct the arrow $\mathbf{u}: \mathbf{G}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^1$. Consider the following composition:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}: \mathbf{G}^1 \xrightarrow{(\text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}; \text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1})} \mathbf{G}^1 \times_{s, \mathbf{G}^0, s} \mathbf{G}^1 \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m})^{-1}} \mathbf{G}^1 \times_{s, \mathbf{G}^0, r} \mathbf{G}^1 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{pr}_1} \mathbf{G}^1.$$

We have $(\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m})^{-1}(g; g) = (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(g); g)$. Hence $(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(g); g)$ is a composable pair and $(\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m})(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(g); g) = (g; g)$. Thus $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(g) \cdot g = g$ for all $g \in \mathbf{G}^1$. So we have

$$\mathbf{m} \circ (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}; \text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}) = \text{id}_{\mathbf{G}^1}.$$

Consider any composable pair $(g; g_1)$ of arrows in G^1 . We have $\tilde{u}(g \cdot g_1) \cdot g \cdot g_1 = g \cdot g_1$. Thus $\tilde{u}(g \cdot g_1) \cdot g = g$ by Lemma 3.5. We also have $\tilde{u}(g) \cdot g = g$. Hence $\tilde{u}(g \cdot g_1) = \tilde{u}(g)$. Since the arrow $(\text{pr}_2; \text{m})$ is an isomorphism, we have

$$\tilde{u}(g) = \tilde{u}(g'),$$

for all $g, g' \in G^1$ with $r(g) = r(g')$.

The range map is a cover, hence it is a coequaliser of the coordinate projections $\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2: G^1 \times_{r, G^0, r} G^1 \rightrightarrows G^1$. Since $\text{pr}_1 \circ \tilde{u} = \text{pr}_2 \circ \tilde{u}$, there is a unique arrow $u: G^0 \rightarrow G^1$ such that $u \circ r = \tilde{u}$. Denote the element $u(g_0)$ by 1_{g_0} for all $g_0 \in G^0$. So we have $1_{r(g)} = \tilde{u}(g)$ for all $g \in G^1$. The construction of the arrow $u: G^0 \rightarrow G^1$ is done.

The next step is to show the properties in (1) and (2). We proved above that $s \circ \tilde{u} = r$. Thus $s \circ u \circ r = r$. Thus $s \circ u = \text{id}_{G^0}$ because the range map is epic. We also have $r(\tilde{u}(g)) = r(\tilde{u}(g) \cdot g) = r(g)$ for all $g \in G^1$. Therefore, $r = r \circ \tilde{u} = r \circ u \circ r$, hence $r \circ u = \text{id}_{G^0}$. So the proof of (1) is done.

The first part of (2) follows from $\text{m} \circ (\tilde{u}; \text{id}_{G^1}) = \text{id}_{G^1}$ and $u \circ r = \tilde{u}$, which are proved above. For proving the second part consider a composable pair $(g; g_1)$ of arrows in G^1 . Since $s(1_{s(g)}) = s(g) = r(g_1)$, the pair $(1_{s(g)}; g_1)$ is composable, too. We have $g \cdot 1_{s(g)} \cdot g_1 = g \cdot 1_{r(g_1)} \cdot g_1 = g \cdot g_1$. Thus $g \cdot 1_{s(g)} = g$ by Lemma 3.5. That is, $\text{m} \circ (\text{id}_{G^1}; u \circ s) = \text{id}_{G^1}$. So (2) is completely proved.

Now we have to construct the arrow $i: G^1 \rightarrow G^1$. We can directly name this arrow. Let $i: G^1 \rightarrow G^1$ be the following composition:

$$G^1 \xrightarrow{(\text{id}_{G^1}; u \circ s)} G^1 \times_{s, G^0, s} G^1 \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_2; \text{m})^{-1}} G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} G^1.$$

The first arrow is well-defined because $s(1_{s(g)}) = s(g)$. Denote the element $i(g)$ by g^{-1} . We have $(\text{pr}_2; \text{m})^{-1}(g; 1_{s(g)}) = (g^{-1}; g)$. Hence $(g^{-1}; g)$ is a composable pair, that is, $s(g^{-1}) = r(g)$, and $(\text{pr}_2; \text{m})(g^{-1}; g) = (g; 1_{s(g)})$. Thus $g^{-1} \cdot g = 1_{s(g)}$, for all $g \in G^1$. So $s \circ i = r$ and $\text{m} \circ (i; \text{id}_{G^1}) = u \circ s$. We also have $r(g^{-1}) = r(g^{-1} \cdot g) = r(1_{s(g)}) = s(g)$, for all $g \in G^1$. This means that $r \circ i = s$. Hence the pair $(g; g^{-1})$ is composable. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (g \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot g &= g \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot g) \\ &= g \cdot 1_{s(g)} \\ &= g \\ &= 1_{r(g)} \cdot g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $g \cdot g^{-1} = 1_{r(g)}$, for all $g \in G^1$ by Lemma 3.5. Hence $\text{m} \circ (i; \text{id}_{G^1}) = u \circ r$ and the proof is done. \square

We call the arrows $u: G^0 \rightarrow G^1$ and $i: G^1 \rightarrow G^1$ described in Lemma 3.8 the *unit* and *inverse* maps of the groupoid $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, \text{m})$, respectively. If the arrows $u: G^0 \rightarrow G^1$ and $i: G^1 \rightarrow G^1$ are the unit and inverse maps of the groupoid $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, \text{m})$ we shortly say it is a groupoid $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, \text{m}, u, i)$.

Corollary 3.9. *Let $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, \text{m}, u, i)$ be a groupoid. then*

- (1) $\text{m} \circ (u; u) = u$, $1_{g_0} \cdot 1_{g_0} = 1_{g_0}, \forall g_0 \in G^0$;
- (2) $i \circ i = \text{id}_{G^1}$, $(g^{-1})^{-1} = g, \forall g \in G^1$;
- (3) $i \circ u = u$, $(1_{g_0})^{-1} = 1_{g_0}, \forall g_0 \in G^0$;
- (4) $\text{m} \circ (i \circ \text{pr}_1; i \circ \text{pr}_2) = i \circ \text{m} \circ (\text{pr}_2; \text{pr}_1)$, $g_1^{-1} \cdot g^{-1} = (g \cdot g_1)^{-1}$, for all $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r(g_1)$. Here pr_1 and pr_2 are the coordinate projections of $G^1 \times_{r, G^0, s} G^1$.

Proof. We already know that $1_{r(g)} \cdot g = g$, for all $g \in G^1$ and $r(1_{g_0}) = g_0$ for all $g_0 \in G^0$. Hence $1_{g_0} \cdot 1_{g_0} = 1_{r(1_{g_0})} \cdot 1_{g_0} = 1_{g_0}$, for all $g_0 \in G^0$. (1) is proved. We also

have

$$\begin{aligned} (g^{-1})^{-1} \cdot g^{-1} &= 1_{s(g^{-1})} \\ &= 1_{r(g)} \\ &= g \cdot g^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in G^1$. Hence $(g^{-1})^{-1} = g$, for all $g \in G^1$ by Lemma 3.5. (2) is done. Also

$$\begin{aligned} (1_{g_0})^{-1} \cdot 1_{g_0} &= 1_{s(1_{g_0})} \\ &= 1_{g_0} \\ &= 1_{g_0} \cdot 1_{g_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(1_{g_0})^{-1} = 1_{g_0}$, for all $g_0 \in G^0$. Hence (3) holds. Consider any composable pair $(g; g_1)$ of arrows in G^1 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (g \cdot g_1)^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot g_1) &= 1_{s(g \cdot g_1)} \\ &= 1_{s(g_1)} \\ &= g_1^{-1} \cdot g_1 \\ &= g_1^{-1} \cdot 1_{r(g_1)} \cdot g_1 \\ &= g_1^{-1} \cdot 1_{s(g)} \cdot g_1 \\ &= g_1^{-1} \cdot g^{-1} \cdot g \cdot g_1 \\ &= (g_1^{-1} \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot (g \cdot g_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $g_1^{-1} \cdot g^{-1} = (g \cdot g_1)^{-1}$, for all $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r(g_1)$. So the proof is done. \square

Lemma 3.10. *If $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m, u, i)$ is a groupoid, then the multiplication map is a cover. Moreover, it splits.*

Proof. The multiplication map is the following composition

$$G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1 \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_2; m)} G^1 \times_{s, G^0, s} G^1 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} G^1.$$

We know that the arrows $(\text{pr}_2; m)$ as an isomorphism and pr_2 as a pull-back of the source map are partial covers by Definition 2.1. Therefore, m is a partial cover. Also, we have that $m \circ (u \circ r; \text{id}_{G^1}) = \text{id}_{G^1}$. So m splits. Therefore, it is a cover by Lemma 2.5. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let G^0 and G^1 be objects and let $r: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$, $s: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$, $m: G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1 \rightarrow G^1$, $u: G^0 \rightarrow G^1$ and $i: G^1 \rightarrow G^1$ be such that the properties (1), (2) and (3) in Definition 3.1 and all properties in Proposition 3.8 are satisfied. Then $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m)$ is a groupoid.*

Proof. We just have to prove that the arrows

$$(\text{pr}_2; m): G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1 \longrightarrow G^1 \times_{s, G^0, s} G^1$$

and

$$(\text{pr}_1; m): G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1 \longrightarrow G^1 \times_{r, G^0, r} G^1$$

are isomorphisms. We can directly name the inverse arrows of them. Let us show that these arrows are

$$(m \circ (\text{pr}_2; i \circ \text{pr}_1); \text{pr}_1): G^1 \times_{s, G^0, s} G^1 \longrightarrow G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1, \quad (g; g_1) \mapsto (g_1 \cdot g^{-1}; g),$$

and

$$(\text{pr}_1; m \circ (i \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)): G^1 \times_{r, G^0, r} G^1 \longrightarrow G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1, \quad (g; g_1) \mapsto (g; g^{-1} \cdot g_1),$$

respectively. First of all, they are well-defined: in the first case, we have $s(g_1) = s(g) = r(g^{-1})$, so g_1 and g^{-1} are composable and $s(g_1 \cdot g^{-1}) = s(g^{-1}) = r(g)$; in

the second case, we have $s(g^{-1}) = r(g) = r(g_1)$, so g^{-1} and g_1 are composable and $s(g) = r(g^{-1}) = r(g^{-1} \cdot g_1)$. At this time we used the property (2) in Definition 3.1 and the property (3) in Proposition 3.8.

Now consider the composition:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{m} \circ (\mathbf{pr}_2; i \circ \mathbf{pr}_1); \mathbf{pr}_1)((\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m})(g; g_1)) &= (\mathbf{m} \circ (\mathbf{pr}_2; i \circ \mathbf{pr}_1); \mathbf{pr}_1)(g_1; g \cdot g_1) \\
&= ((g \cdot g_1) \cdot g_1^{-1}; g_1) \\
&= (g \cdot (g_1 \cdot g_1^{-1}); g_1) \\
&= (g \cdot 1_{r(g_1)}; g_1) \\
&= (g \cdot 1_{s(g)}; g_1) \\
&= (g; g_1)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r(g_1)$. Hence

$$(\mathbf{m} \circ (\mathbf{pr}_2; i \circ \mathbf{pr}_1); \mathbf{pr}_1) \circ (\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m}) = \text{id}_{(G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1)}.$$

Here we used the associativity of the multiplication map \mathbf{m} and the properties (2) and (4) in Proposition 3.8. Also, we need the inverse composition:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m})((\mathbf{m} \circ (\mathbf{pr}_2; i \circ \mathbf{pr}_1); \mathbf{pr}_1)(g; g_1)) &= (\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m})(g_1 \cdot g^{-1}; g) \\
&= (g; (g_1 \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot g) \\
&= (g; g_1 \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot g)) \\
&= (g; g_1 \cdot 1_{s(g)}) \\
&= (g; g_1 \cdot 1_{s(g_1)}) \\
&= (g; g_1)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s(g) = s(g_1)$. Hence $(\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m}) \circ (\mathbf{m} \circ (\mathbf{pr}_2; i \circ \mathbf{pr}_1); \mathbf{pr}_1) = \text{id}_{(G^1 \times_{s, G^0, s} G^1)}$. As above, we used the associativity of the multiplication map \mathbf{m} and the properties (2) and (4) in Proposition 3.8. So both compositions are identities and, therefore,

$$(\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m}): G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1 \longrightarrow G^1 \times_{s, G^0, s} G^1$$

is an isomorphism.

Therefore, for the compositions $(\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m} \circ (i \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{pr}_2)) \circ (\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m})$ and $(\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}) \circ (\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m} \circ (i \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{pr}_2))$ is similar.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m} \circ (i \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{pr}_2))((\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m})(g; g_1)) &= (\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m} \circ (i \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{pr}_2))(g; g \cdot g_1) \\
&= (g; g^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot g_1)) \\
&= (g; (g^{-1} \cdot g) \cdot g_1) \\
&= (g; 1_{s(g)} \cdot g_1) \\
&= (g; 1_{r(g_1)} \cdot g_1) \\
&= (g; g_1)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r(g_1)$. Hence

$$(\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m} \circ (i \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{pr}_2)) \circ (\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}) = \text{id}_{(G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1)}.$$

Also, for the second composition we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m})((\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m} \circ (i \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{pr}_2))(g; g_1)) &= (\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m})(g; g^{-1} \cdot g_1) \\
&= (g; g \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot g_1)) \\
&= (g; (g \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot g_1) \\
&= (g; 1_{r(g)} \cdot g_1) \\
&= (g; 1_{r(g_1)} \cdot g_1) \\
&= (g; g_1)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $r(g) = r(g_1)$. Hence

$$(\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}) \circ (\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m} \circ (i \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{pr}_2)) = \text{id}_{(G^1 \times_{r, G^0, r} G^1)}.$$

As for the arrow $(\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{m})$, we used the properties (2) and (4) in Proposition 3.8 and the associativity of the multiplication map \mathbf{m} . \square

Remark 3.12. We did not use the property (1) in the proof, but it has to be required for the arrows in the property (2) to be well-defined.

Remark 3.13. If we require that the arrows $r: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$ and $s: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$ are partial covers instead of the property (1) in Definition 3.1 it would be enough because in this case the arrows $r: G^1 \dashrightarrow G^0$ and $s: G^1 \dashrightarrow G^0$ are partial covers which split by $u: G^0 \rightarrow G^1$ and therefore, they are covers by Lemma 2.5.

3.1. Examples of groupoids.

Example 3.14. Let X be an object in \mathcal{C} . There is a groupoid with the object X as arrows and as objects, too. The source and range maps are $\text{id}_X: X \rightarrow X$, and the multiplication map is the obvious isomorphism $\mathbf{pr}_1: X \times_{\text{id}_X, X, \text{id}_X} X \xrightarrow{\sim} X$. It is easy to check that this defines a groupoid. The unit and inverse maps are identity arrows like the source and range maps. We say that the object X is viewed as a groupoid with only identity arrows.

Example 3.15. Let $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$ be a partial cover. Its *Čech groupoid* is the groupoid with X as objects and $X \times_{f, Y, f} X$ as arrows. The range and source maps are $\mathbf{pr}_1: X \times_{f, Y, f} X \rightarrow X$ and $\mathbf{pr}_2: X \times_{f, Y, f} X \rightarrow X$, respectively. They are covers because of Corollary 2.8. The multiplication map is

$$(X \times_{f, Y, f} X) \times_{\mathbf{pr}_2, X, \mathbf{pr}_1} (X \times_{f, Y, f} X) \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{pr}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{pr}_2 \circ \mathbf{pr}_2)} X \times_{f, Y, f} X,$$

defined elementwise by $(x_1; x_2) \cdot (x_2; x_3) = (x_1; x_3)$ for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ with $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = f(x_3)$. It is easy to check that this defines a groupoid. The unit map is

$$(\text{id}_X; \text{id}_X): X \rightarrow X \times_{f, Y, f} X, \quad x \mapsto (x; x)$$

for all $x \in X$ and the inverse map is

$$(\mathbf{pr}_2; \mathbf{pr}_1): X \times_{f, Y, f} X \rightarrow X \times_{f, Y, f} X, \quad (x_1; x_2) \mapsto (x_2; x_1)$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$.

Example 3.16. Let G be a groupoid and let $f: X \dashrightarrow G^0$ be a partial cover. We define a groupoid $G(X, f)$ with arrows $(X \times_{f, G^0, r} G^1) \times_{\mathbf{pr}_2, G^1, \mathbf{pr}_1} (G^1 \times_{s, G^0, f} X)$ and objects X . The range and source maps are $\mathbf{pr}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1$ and $\mathbf{pr}_2 \circ \mathbf{pr}_2$, respectively. The multiplication map is $((\mathbf{pr}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \hat{\mathbf{m}}); (\hat{\mathbf{m}}; \mathbf{pr}_2 \circ \mathbf{pr}_2 \circ \mathbf{pr}_2))$, where $\hat{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{m} \circ (\mathbf{pr}_2 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{pr}_2 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_2)$. Elementwise, $((x_1; g); (g; x_2)) \cdot ((x_2; g_1); (g_1; x_3)) = ((x_1; g \cdot g_1); (g \cdot g_1; x_3))$. The inverse map is the arrow $((\mathbf{pr}_2 \circ \mathbf{pr}_2; i \circ \mathbf{pr}_2 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1); (i \circ \mathbf{pr}_2 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{pr}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1))$. Elementwise, $((x_1; g); (g; x_2)) \mapsto ((x_2; g^{-1}); (g^{-1}; x_1))$. The unit map is $((\text{id}_X; u \circ f); (u \circ f; \text{id}_X))$. Elementwise, $x \mapsto ((x; 1_{f(x)}); (1_{f(x)}; x))$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{pr}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1 \circ ((\text{id}_X; u \circ f); (u \circ f; \text{id}_X)) &= \text{id}_X \\ &= \mathbf{pr}_2 \circ \mathbf{pr}_2 \circ ((\text{id}_X; u \circ f); (u \circ f; \text{id}_X)), \end{aligned}$$

the range and source maps are split partial covers, Hence they are covers by Lemma 2.5. It is easy to check that these arrows satisfy all conditions from Proposition 3.11. Hence $G(X, f)$ is a groupoid.

If the groupoid G in Example 3.16 is a groupoid with only identity arrows, then the groupoid $G(X, f)$ is the Čech groupoid of $f: X \dashrightarrow G^0$ defined in Example 3.15.

If $f_1: X_1 \dashrightarrow X$ is a partial cover then there is a natural groupoid isomorphism

$$G(X_1, f \circ f_1) \cong G(X, f)(X_1, f_1).$$

Example 3.17. Assume Assumption 2.20. A *group* is a groupoid $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m)$, where G^0 is the final object.

4. MORPHISMS BETWEEN GROUPOIDS

The usual morphisms between groupoids in a category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers are functors. They form a category with the groupoids as objects.

Definition 4.1. Let L and H be groupoids in $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$. A *functor* from L to H is given by arrows $F^0: L^0 \rightarrow H^0$ and $F^1: L^1 \rightarrow H^1$ that intertwine the source, range and multiplication maps. That is,

- (1) $F^0 \circ s_L = s_H \circ F^1$; $F^0(s_L(l)) = s_H(F^1(l))$, $\forall l \in L^1$;
- (2) $F^0 \circ r_L = r_H \circ F^1$; $F^0(r_L(l)) = r_H(F^1(l))$, $\forall l \in L^1$;
- (3) $F^1 \circ m_L = m_H \circ (F^1 \circ pr_1; F^1 \circ pr_2)$; $F^1(l \cdot l_1) = F^1(l) \cdot F^1(l_1)$ for all $l, l_1 \in L^1$ with $s_L(l) = r_L(l_1)$; here pr_1 and pr_2 are the coordinate projections $pr_1, pr_2: L^1 \times_{s_L, l^0, r_L} L^1 \rightrightarrows L^1$.

The composition of functors $F: L \rightarrow H$ and $E: H \rightarrow R$ is the functor $E \circ F: L \rightarrow R$ which is given by the composite arrows $(E \circ F)^0 = E^0 \circ F^0$ and $(E \circ F)^1 = E^1 \circ F^1$. We just need to check that this composition intertwines the source, range and multiplication maps of the groupoids L and R . It is so because

$$\begin{aligned} (E \circ F)^0 \circ s_L &= E^0 \circ F^0 \circ s_L \\ &= E^0 \circ s_H \circ F^1 \\ &= s_R \circ E^1 \circ F^1 \\ &= s_R \circ (E \circ F)^1. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} (E \circ F)^0 \circ r_L &= E^0 \circ F^0 \circ r_L \\ &= E^0 \circ r_H \circ F^1 \\ &= r_R \circ E^1 \circ F^1 \\ &= r_R \circ (E \circ F)^1. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for the multiplication maps we have

$$\begin{aligned} (E \circ F)^1 \circ m_L &= E^1 \circ F^1 \circ m_L \\ &= E^1 \circ m_H \circ (F^1 \circ pr_1; F^1 \circ pr_2) \\ &= m_R \circ (E^1 \circ pr_1; E^1 \circ pr_2) \circ (F^1 \circ pr_1; F^1 \circ pr_2) \\ &= m_R \circ (E^1 \circ F^1 \circ pr_1; E^1 \circ F^1 \circ pr_2) \\ &= m_R \circ ((E \circ F)^1 \circ pr_1; (E \circ F)^1 \circ pr_2). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the functor $id_L: L \rightarrow L$ given by the arrows id_{L^0} and id_{L^1} is an identity functor on the groupoid L . So groupoids and functors between them form a category.

Lemma 4.2. *Any functor $F: L \rightarrow H$ intertwines the unit and inverse maps of the groupoids L and H .*

Proof. For any element $l \in L^1$ the pair $(F^1(l); F^1(1_{s(l)}))$ is composable in H because $s_H(F^1(l)) = F^0(s_L(l)) = F^0(r_L(1_{s_L(l)})) = r_H(F^1(1_{s_L(l)}))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F^1(l) \cdot F^1(1_{s(l)}) &= F^1(l \cdot 1_{s(l)}) \\ &= F^1(l) \\ &= F^1(l) \cdot 1_{s_H(F^1(l))} \\ &= F^1(l) \cdot 1_{F^0(s_L(l))}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F^1(1_{s(l)}) = 1_{F^0(s_L(l))}$ by Remark 3.6. Since the source map is epic, we have $F^1(1_{l_0}) = 1_{F^0(l_0)}$, for all $l_0 \in L^0$. So $F: L \rightarrow H$ intertwines the unit maps.

Also, for any element $l \in L^1$ the pair $(F^1(l); F^1(l^{-1}))$ is composable in H because $s_H(F^1(l)) = F^1(s_L(l)) = F^1(r_L(l^{-1})) = r_H(F^1(l^{-1}))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F^1(l) \cdot F^1(l^{-1}) &= F^1(l \cdot l^{-1}) \\ &= F^1(1_{r_L(l)}) \\ &= 1_{F^0(r_L(l))} = 1_{r_H(F^1(l))} \\ &= F^1(l) \cdot (F^1(l))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $F^1(l^{-1}) = (F^1(l))^{-1}$, for all $l \in L^1$ by Remark 3.6. So $F: L \rightarrow H$ intertwines the inverse maps. \square

Definition 4.3. The groupoids L and H are called isomorphic if there is a functor $F: L \rightarrow H$ such that F^1 and F^0 are isomorphisms. Such functors are called isomorphisms between groupoids.

It is easy to check that if F is an isomorphism from L to H then the pair $((F^1)^{-1}; (F^0)^{-1}) = F^{-1}$ defines a functor from H to L . Hence it is an isomorphism too.

Lemma 4.4. *The groupoid L is isomorphic to the groupoid with only identity arrows (see Example 3.14) if and only if its source map is an isomorphism. Such groupoids are called 0-groupoid.*

Proof. Let F be a isomorphism from $L = (L^0, L^1, r, s, m)$ to $X = (X, X, id_X, id_X, pr_1)$. Then the arrow $(F^1)^{-1} \circ F^0: L^0 \rightarrow L^1$ is an inverse of the source map, because

$$\begin{aligned} (F^1)^{-1} \circ F^0 \circ s &= (F^1)^{-1} \circ id_X \circ F^1 \\ &= (F^1)^{-1} \circ F^1 \\ &= id_{L^1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s \circ (F^1)^{-1} \circ F^0 &= (F^0)^{-1} \circ id_X \circ F^0 \\ &= (F^0)^{-1} \circ F^0 \\ &= id_{L^0}. \end{aligned}$$

So the source map is an isomorphism and equal to the range map because

$$\begin{aligned} s &= s \circ F^0 \circ (F^0)^{-1} \\ &= F^1 \circ id_X \circ (F^0)^{-1} \\ &= r \circ F^0 \circ (F^0)^{-1} \\ &= r. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let the source map be an isomorphism. Then $s^{-1} = u$ because $s \circ u = id_{L^0}$. Hence $r = r \circ s^{-1} \circ s = r \circ u \circ s = id_{L^0} \circ s = s$. Hence the source and range maps are the same. Now it is easy to check that the pair of isomorphisms $(s; id_{L^0})$ intertwines the source and range maps of the groupoids $L = (L^0, L^1, r, s, m)$ and $L^0 = (L^0, L^0, id_{L^0}, id_{L^0}, pr_1)$. It intertwines the multiplication maps too because

$$\begin{aligned} s(g \cdot g_1) &= s(g_1) \\ &= r(g_1) \\ &= r(g_1) \cdot r(g_1) \\ &= s(g) \cdot s(g_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $g, g_1 \in L^1$ with $s(g) = r(g_1)$. Therefore, $(s; id_{L^0})$ is an isomorphism from L to L^0 . \square

Remark 4.5. The proof shows that for any 0-goupoid the source and range maps are isomorphisms and the unit map is an inverse of them.

4.1. Groupoid fibrations. We consider special functors which form a full subcategory of the category of groupoids. For topological groupoids, groupoid fibrations are studied in [10].

Definition 4.6. Let L and H be groupoids and let $F: L \rightarrow H$ be a functor between them. We call F a *partial groupoid fibration*, *groupoid fibration*, *partial groupoid covering* or *groupoid covering* if the arrow

$$(4.1) \quad L^1 \xrightarrow{(F^1; s_L)} H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0$$

is a partial cover, cover, monic partial cover or isomorphism, respectively.

The arrow (4.1) is well-defined because a functor between groupoids intertwines the source maps of the groupoids L and H , that is $s_H \circ F^1 = F^0 \circ s_L$.

Remark 4.7. Any groupoid covering is a groupoid fibration, any groupoid fibration is a partial groupoid fibration and any groupoid covering is a partial groupoid covering.

Remark 4.8. If a functor between groupoids is a groupoid fibration and a partial groupoid covering, then it is a groupoid covering. That follows easily from Lemma 2.11.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $F: L \rightarrow H$ be a functor between groupoids. Then the arrow*

$$L^1 \xrightarrow{(F^1; s_L)} H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0$$

is a partial cover, cover, isomorphism or monic if and only if the arrow

$$L^1 \xrightarrow{(F^1; r_L)} H^1 \times_{r_H, H^0, F^0} L^0$$

is a partial cover, cover, isomorphism or monic, respectively.

Proof. Since $r_H \circ i_H = s_H$, there is a rectangle of pull-back squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 & \xrightarrow{(i_H \circ pr_1; pr_2)} & H^1 \times_{r_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & L^0 \\ pr_1 \downarrow & & pr_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow F^0 \\ H^1 & \xrightarrow{i_H} & H^1 & \xrightarrow{r_H} & H^0 \end{array}$$

By using the well-known lemma about the rectangle of pull-back squares we can say that the left-side square is a pull-back square. Therefore, the arrow

$$H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 \xrightarrow{(i_H \circ pr_1; pr_2)} H^1 \times_{r_H, H^0, F^0} L^0$$

is an isomorphism because it is the pull-back of the inverse map of the groupoid H , which is an isomorphism because of (2) in Corollary 3.9.

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (i_H \circ pr_1; pr_2) \circ (F^1; s_L) &= (i_H \circ F^1; s_L) \\ &= (F^1 \circ i_L; r_L \circ i_L) \\ &= (F^1; r_L) \circ i_L. \end{aligned}$$

So we have the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L^1 & \xrightarrow{i_L} & L^1 \\
 (F^1; s_L) \downarrow & & \downarrow (F^1; r_L) \\
 H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 & \xrightarrow{(i_H \circ pr_1; pr_2)} & H^1 \times_{r_H, H^0, F^0} L^0
 \end{array}$$

such that the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms. This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proposition 4.10. *A composite of partial groupoid fibrations is a partial groupoid fibration.*

Proof. Let $F: L \rightarrow H$ and $E: H \rightarrow R$ be partial groupoid fibrations. We have two partial covers

$$L^1 \overset{(F^1; s_L)}{\dashrightarrow} H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0$$

and

$$H^1 \overset{(E^1; s_H)}{\dashrightarrow} R^1 \times_{s_R, R^0, E^0} H^0$$

by Definition 4.6. We need to prove that the arrow

$$L^1 \xrightarrow{(E^1 \circ F^1; s_L)} R^1 \times_{s_R, R^0, E^0 \circ F^0} L^0$$

is a partial cover, too, which implies that the composition $E \circ F: L \rightarrow H \rightarrow R$ is a partial groupoid fibration.

By using the well-known lemma about the rectangle of pull-back squares we can construct the following diagram, where each square is a pull-back square.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 & \overset{(E^1 \circ pr_1; pr_2)}{\dashrightarrow} & R^1 \times_{s_R, R^0, E^0 \circ F^0} L^0 & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & L^0 \\
 pr_1 \downarrow & & (pr_1; F^0 \circ pr_2) \downarrow & & \downarrow F^0 \\
 H^1 & \overset{(E^1; s_H)}{\dashrightarrow} & R^1 \times_{s_R, R^0, E^0} H^0 & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & H^0 \\
 & \searrow E^1 & pr_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow E^0 \\
 & & R^1 & \xrightarrow{s_R} & R^0
 \end{array}$$

The arrow

$$H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 \overset{(E^1 \circ pr_1; pr_2)}{\dashrightarrow} R^1 \times_{s_R, R^0, E^0 \circ F^0} L^0$$

is a partial cover, because it is a pull-back of the arrow $(E^1; s_H)$. Therefore, the composition

$$L^1 \overset{(F^1; s_L)}{\dashrightarrow} H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 \overset{(E^1 \circ pr_1; pr_2)}{\dashrightarrow} R^1 \times_{s_R, R^0, E^0 \circ F^0} L^0$$

is a partial cover. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (E^1 \circ pr_1; pr_2) \circ (F^1; s_L) &= (E^1 \circ (pr_1 \circ (F^1; s_L)); pr_2 \circ (F^1; s_L)) \\
 &= (E^1 \circ F^1; s_L).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the composition $E \circ F: L \rightarrow H \rightarrow R$ is a partial groupoid fibration. \square

Remark 4.11. The composition of two groupoid coverings is a groupoid covering. The proof is absolutely same. We just use that a pull-back of an isomorphism is an isomorphism and a composition of isomorphisms is an isomorphism. Similarly, a composition of partial groupoid coverings is a partial groupoid covering.

Remark 4.12. Under Assumptions 2.14 and 2.15, compositions of groupoid fibrations are groupoid fibrations, too. The proof is the same as the proof of Proposition 4.10 if we use the term “cover” instead of the term “partial cover”.

The identity functor $(\text{id}_{L^1}; \text{id}_{L^0}): L \rightarrow L$ is a groupoid covering because, in this case, the arrow (4.1) is $(\text{id}_{L^1}; \text{s}_L): L^1 \rightarrow L^1 \times_{\text{s}_L, L^0, \text{id}_{L^0}} L^0$ with inverse $\text{pr}_1: L^1 \times_{\text{s}_L, L^0, \text{id}_{L^0}} L^0 \rightarrow L^1$. Therefore, it is an identity morphism for each kind of functors defined in Definition 4.6. So, under relevant assumptions, we have four full subcategories of the category of groupoids with functors as arrows between them.

The next goal is to define the fibre of a partial groupoid fibration.

Definition 4.13. Let $F: L \rightarrow H$ be a partial groupoid fibration. A fibre G consists of

- objects
 - (1) $G^1 = L^1 \times_{(F^1; \text{s}_L), (H^1 \times_{\text{s}_H, H^0, F^0} L^0), (F^1 \circ \text{u}_L; \text{id}_{L^0})} L^0$, elements of this object are the pairs (l, l_0) , $l \in L^1$, $l_0 \in L^0$ with $\text{s}_L(l) = l_0$ and $F^1(l) = F^1(1_{\text{s}_L(l)})$;
 - (2) $G^0 = L^0$,
- arrows
 - (1) $\text{s}_G = \text{s}_L \circ \text{pr}_1: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$, $\text{s}_G(l; l_0) = \text{s}_L(l)$, $\forall (l; l_0) \in G^1$;
 - (2) $\text{r}_G = \text{r}_L \circ \text{pr}_1: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$, $\text{r}_G(l; l_0) = \text{r}_L(l)$, $\forall (l; l_0) \in G^1$;
 - (3) $\text{m}_G = (\text{m}_L \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2); \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2): G^1 \times_{\text{s}_G, G^0, \text{r}_G} G^1 \rightarrow G^1$,
 $(l; l_0) \cdot (l'; l'_0) = (l \cdot l'; l'_0)$ $\forall (l; l_0), (l'; l'_0) \in G^1$ with $\text{s}_G(l; l_0) = \text{r}_G(l'; l'_0)$.

Lemma 4.14. If we have the data above then there are two important equalities:

- (1) $\text{s}_L \circ \text{pr}_1 = \text{pr}_2$, $\text{s}_L(l) = l_0$, $\forall (l; l_0) \in G^1$;
- (2) $F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1 = F^1 \circ \text{u}_L \circ \text{s}_L \circ \text{pr}_1$, $F^1(l) = F^1(1_{\text{s}_L(l)})$, $\forall (l; l_0) \in G^1$;

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{s}_L \circ \text{pr}_1) &= (F^1; \text{s}_L) \circ \text{pr}_1 \\ &= (F^1 \circ \text{u}_L; \text{id}_{L^0}) \circ \text{pr}_2 \\ &= (F^1 \circ \text{u}_L \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{pr}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\text{s}_L \circ \text{pr}_1 = \text{pr}_2$ and $F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1 = F^1 \circ \text{u}_L \circ \text{pr}_2$. Hence $F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1 = F^1 \circ \text{u}_L \circ \text{s}_L \circ \text{pr}_1$. \square

Proposition 4.15. The data $G = (G^0, G^1, \text{r}_G, \text{s}_G, \text{m}_G)$ in Definition 4.13 is a well-defined groupoid.

Proof. Firstly we have to check that the arrow

$$L^0 \xrightarrow{(F^1 \circ \text{u}_L; \text{id}_{L^0})} H^1 \times_{\text{s}_H, H^0, F^0} L^0$$

is well-defined. It is so because

$$\begin{aligned} \text{s}_H \circ (F^1 \circ \text{u}_L) &= (\text{s}_H \circ F^1) \circ \text{u}_L \\ &= (F^0 \circ \text{s}_L) \circ \text{u}_L \\ &= F^0 \circ (\text{s}_L \circ \text{u}_L) \\ &= F^0 \circ \text{id}_{L^0}. \end{aligned}$$

The arrow

$$L^1 \xrightarrow{(F^1; \text{s}_L)} H^1 \times_{\text{s}_H, H^0, F^0} L^0$$

is a partial cover by Definition 4.6. Therefore, the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G^1 & \overset{\text{pr}_2}{\dashrightarrow} & L^0 \\ \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow (F^1 \circ u_L; \text{id}_{L^0}) \\ L^1 & \overset{(F^1; s_L)}{\dashrightarrow} & H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 \end{array}$$

exists and the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_2: G^1 \dashrightarrow L^0$ is a partial cover too. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (F^1; s_L) \circ u_L &= (F^1 \circ u_L; s_L \circ u_L) \\ &= (F^1 \circ u_L; \text{id}_{L^0}) \\ &= (F^1 \circ u_L; \text{id}_{L^0}) \circ \text{id}_{L^0}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that there is a well-defined arrow $(u_L; \text{id}_{L^0}): L^0 \rightarrow G^1$. Since $\text{pr}_2 \circ (u_L; \text{id}_{L^0}) = \text{id}_{L^0}$, the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_2: G^1 \dashrightarrow L^0$ is a partial cover which splits by $(u_L; \text{id}_{L^0})$. Hence it is a cover by Lemma 2.5. Now we can infer that the source map $s_G: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$ is a cover too because $s_G = s_L \circ \text{pr}_1 = \text{pr}_2$ because of Lemma 4.14.

We need to prove the same for the range map $r_G: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$. The inverse map would help us for proving this, so let us construct it firstly.

Consider the arrow

$$(4.2) \quad G^1 \xrightarrow{(i_L \circ \text{pr}_1; r_L \circ \text{pr}_1)} G^1, \quad (l; l_0) \mapsto (l^{-1}; r_L(l)).$$

First of all, we have to show that this arrow is well-defined. Let us find out what we need for the arrow $(i_L \circ \text{pr}_1; r_L \circ \text{pr}_1)$ to be well-defined. We need that the arrows $(F^1; s_L) \circ i_L \circ \text{pr}_1$ and $(F^1 \circ u_L; \text{id}_{L^0}) \circ r_L \circ \text{pr}_1$ be equal. The first one is the same as $(F^1 \circ i_L \circ \text{pr}_1; s_L \circ i_L \circ \text{pr}_1)$ and the second one is $(F^1 \circ u_L \circ r_L \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{id}_{L^0} \circ r_L \circ \text{pr}_1)$. It is clear that the right parts of the arrows are equal, $s_L \circ i_L \circ \text{pr}_1 = \text{id}_{L^0} \circ r_L \circ \text{pr}_1$. So we need to prove that the left parts of the arrows are equal too. We have to show that the arrows $F^1 \circ i_L \circ \text{pr}_1$ and $F^1 \circ u_L \circ r_L \circ \text{pr}_1$ from G^1 to H^1 are equal. That is right because we have (3) in Corollary 3.9 and Lemma 4.14 and we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} F^1(l^{-1}) &= (F^1(l))^{-1} \\ &= (F^1(1_{s_L(l)}))^{-1} \\ &= F^1((1_{s_L(l)})^{-1}) \\ &= F^1(1_{s_L(l)}) \\ &= F^1(l) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(l; l_0) \in G^1$. Therefore, $F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1 = F^1 \circ i_L \circ \text{pr}_1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F^1(1_{r_L(l)}) &= F^1(1_{s_L(l^{-1})}) \\ &= 1_{F^0(s_L(l^{-1}))} \\ &= 1_{s_H(F^1(l^{-1}))} \\ &= 1_{s_H(F^1(l))} \\ &= 1_{F^0(s_L(l))} \\ &= F^1(1_{s_L(l)}) \\ &= F^1(l) \\ &= F^1(l^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(l; l_0) \in G^1$. Therefore, $(F^1(l^{-1}); s_L(l^{-1})) = (F^1(1_{r_L(l)}); r_L(l))$ for all $(l; l_0) \in G^1$. Thus the arrow $(i_L \circ \text{pr}_1; r_L \circ \text{pr}_1): G^1 \rightarrow G^1$ is well-defined. Denote it by i_G and

consider the composition $i_G \circ i_G$:

$$\begin{aligned} i_G(i_G(l; l_0)) &= i_G(l^{-1}; r_L(l)) \\ &= ((l^{-1})^{-1}; r_L(l^{-1})) \\ &= (l; s_L(l)) \\ &= (l; l_0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(l; l_0) \in G^1$. So $i_G \circ i_G = \text{id}_{G^1}$. Hence i_G is an isomorphism. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_G(i_G(l; l_0)) &= s_G(l^{-1}; r_L(l)) \\ &= s_L(l^{-1}) \\ &= r_L(l) \\ &= r_G(l; l_0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(l; l_0) \in G^1$. Therefore, $s_G \circ i_G = r_G$. Hence the range map $r_G: G^1 \rightarrow G^1$ is a cover like the source map $s_G: G^1 \rightarrow G^1$. So the condition (1) in Definition 3.1 is satisfied. Now let us prove the condition (2).

$$\begin{aligned} r_G((l; l_0) \cdot (l'; l'_0)) &= r_G(l \cdot l'; l'_0) \\ &= r_L(l \cdot l') \\ &= r_L(l) = r_G((l; l_0)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s_G((l; l_0) \cdot (l'; l'_0)) &= s_G(l \cdot l'; l'_0) \\ &= s_L(l \cdot l') \\ &= s_L(l') \\ &= s_G((l'; l'_0)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(l; l_0), (l'; l'_0) \in G^1$ with $s_G(l; l_0) = r_G(l'; l'_0)$. So the condition (2) is satisfied.

The next step is to show that the multiplication map $m_G: G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r_G} G^1 \rightarrow G^1$ is associative. We have

$$\begin{aligned} ((l; l_0) \cdot (l'; l'_0)) \cdot (l''; l''_0) &= (l \cdot l'; l'_0) \cdot (l''; l''_0) \\ &= ((l \cdot l') \cdot l''; l''_0) \\ &= (l \cdot (l' \cdot l''); l''_0) \\ &= (l; l_0) \cdot ((l'; l'_0) \cdot (l''; l''_0)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(l; l_0), (l'; l'_0), (l''; l''_0) \in G^1$ with $s_G(l; l_0) = r_G(l'; l'_0)$ and $s_G(l'; l'_0) = r_G(l''; l''_0)$. So the multiplication map m_G is associative.

We have proved the properties (1), (2) and (3) in Definition 3.1. The next step is to construct the arrow $u_G: G^0 \rightarrow G^1$ and prove all properties in Proposition 3.8, which then allows us to use Proposition 3.11.

Consider the arrow

$$G^0 \xrightarrow{(u_L; \text{id}_{L^0})} G^1, \quad l_0 \mapsto (1_{l_0}; l_0)$$

for all $l_0 \in L^0$. It is well-defined because $(F^1(1_{l_0}); s_L(1_{l_0})) = (F^1(1_{l_0}); l_0)$ for all $l_0 \in L^0$. Denote it by u_G . The property (1) in Proposition 3.8 is clear:

$$\begin{aligned} s_G(u_G(l_0)) &= s_G(1_{l_0}; l_0) \\ &= s_L(1_{l_0}) \\ &= l_0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r_G(u_G(l_0)) &= r_G(1_{l_0}; l_0) \\ &= r_L(1_{l_0}) \\ &= l_0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $l_0 \in L^0$. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_G(r_G(l; l_0)) \cdot (l; l_0) &= u_G(r_L(l)) \cdot (l; l_0) \\ &= (1_{r_L(l)}; r_L(l)) \cdot (l; l_0) \\ &= (1_{r_L(l)} \cdot l; l_0) \\ &= (l; l_0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (l; l_0) \cdot u_G(s_G(l; l_0)) &= (l; l_0) \cdot u_G(s_L(l)) \\ &= (l; l_0) \cdot (1_{s_L(l)}; s_L(l)) \\ &= (l \cdot 1_{s_L(l)}; s_L(l)) \\ &= (l; l_0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(l; l_0) \in G^1$. So the property (2) is proved.

We proved above that $r_G = s_G \circ i_G$ and $i_G \circ i_G = \text{id}_{G^1}$, thus $s_G = s_G \circ i_G \circ i_G = r_G \circ i_G$. So property (3) is done.

The last step is to prove the property (4) in Proposition 3.8. We have

$$\begin{aligned} i_G(l; l_0) \cdot (l; l_0) &= (l^{-1}; r_L(l)) \cdot (l; l_0) \\ &= (l^{-1} \cdot l; l_0) \\ &= (1_{s_L(l)}; s_L(l)) \\ &= (1_{s_G(l; l_0)}; s_G(l; l_0)) \\ &= u_G(s_G(l; l_0)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (l; l_0) \cdot i_G(l; l_0) &= (l; l_0) \cdot (l^{-1}; r_L(l)) \\ &= (l \cdot l^{-1}; r_L(l)) \\ &= (1_{r_L(l)}; r_L(l)) \\ &= (1_{r_G(l; l_0)}; r_G(l; l_0)) \\ &= u_G(r_G(l; l_0)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(l; l_0) \in G^1$. So we have all required properties in Proposition 3.11. Therefore, $G = (G^0, G^1, r_G, s_G, m_G)$ is a groupoid. \square

Remark 4.16. We shortly denote the element $(l; l_0) \in G^1$ by g and we always mean an element $g \in G^1$ is equivalent to $g \in L^1$ and with $F^1(g) = F^1(1_{s_L(g)})$.

Remark 4.17. Let G be the fibre of the partial groupoid fibration $F: L \rightarrow H$. The pair $(\text{pr}_1; \text{id}_{L^0})$ defines a functor from G to L . It intertwines the source, range and multiplication maps by definition. Let us call this functor an *inclusion*. This functor is always monic. Here I mean that the arrow $\text{pr}_1: G^1 \rightarrow L^1$ is monic. That is true because if for some parallel pair of arrows $x_1, x_2: X \rightrightarrows G^1$ we have $\text{pr}_1 \circ x_1 = \text{pr}_1 \circ x_2$ then $\text{pr}_2 \circ x_1 = s_L \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ x_1 = s_L \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ x_2 = \text{pr}_2 \circ x_2$. Therefore, $x_1 = x_2$ by universal property of the fibre product. Hence $\text{pr}_1: G^1 \rightarrow L^1$ is monic.

We will use the notation $G \hookrightarrow L \twoheadrightarrow H$ to denote that we have a partial groupoid fibration from L to H with fibre G .

Example 4.18. Any functor F from a groupoid L to a 0-groupoid H is a groupoid fibration with fibre isomorphic to L . Here the source map $s_H: H^1 \rightarrow H^0$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, $\text{pr}_2: H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 \rightarrow L^0$ is an isomorphism, too. Since $(F^1; s_L) = (\text{pr}_2)^{-1} \circ s_L$, the arrow $(F^1; s_L)$ is a partial cover. Moreover, it splits by $u_L \circ \text{pr}_2$. Thus it is a cover. Hence F is a groupoid fibration. Since $\text{pr}_2 \circ (F^1 \circ u_L; \text{id}_{L^0}) = \text{id}_{L^0}$, we have $(\text{pr}_2)^{-1} = (F^1 \circ u_L; \text{id}_{L^0})$. Therefore, $(F^1 \circ u_L; \text{id}_{L^0})$ is an isomorphism. Hence its pull-back is an isomorphism, too, and the functor described in Remark 4.17 is an identity on objects and an isomorphism on arrows. Therefore, the fibre of F is isomorphic to L .

Lemma 4.19. *The fibre of a partial groupoid fibration $F: L \rightarrow H$ is a 0-groupoid if and only if F is a partial groupoid covering.*

Proof. Suppose that $F: L \rightarrow H$ is a partial groupoid covering. That is, the arrow

$$L^1 \xrightarrow{(F^1; s_L)} H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0$$

is a partial cover and monic. We are going to prove that $u_G \circ s_G = \text{id}_{G^1}$, where G is the fibre of F . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (F^1; s_L)(g) &= (F^1(g); s_L(g)) \\ &= (1_{s_L(g)}; s_L(g)) \\ &= (1_{s_L(g)}; s_L(1_{s_L(g)})) \\ &= (F^1(1_{s_L(g)}); s_L(1_{s_L(g)})) \\ &= (F^1; s_L)(1_{s_L(g)}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in G^1$. Since $(F^1; s_L)$ is monic, $1_{s_L(g)} = g$ for all $g \in G^1$. Therefore, $u_G \circ s_G = \text{id}_{G^1}$. Also we know that $s_G \circ u_G = \text{id}_{G^0}$. Hence the source map of G is an isomorphism. Therefore, G is a 0-groupoid by Lemma 4.4.

Conversely, suppose that G is a 0-groupoid. That is, the source map s_G is an isomorphism. Suppose that there are elements $l_1, l_2 \in L^1$ such that $(F^1; s_L)(l_1) = (F^1; s_L)(l_2)$. Hence $(F^1(l_1); s_L(l_1)) = (F^1(l_2); s_L(l_2))$. Thus $F^1(l_1) = F^1(l_2)$ and $s_L(l_1) = s_L(l_2)$. Therefore, there is the element $(l_1; l_2^{-1}) \in L^1 \times_{s_L, L^0, r_L} L^1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F^1(l_1 \cdot l_2^{-1}) &= F^1(l_1) \cdot F^1(l_2^{-1}) \\ &= F^1(l_2) \cdot F^1(l_2)^{-1} \\ &= 1_{r_H(F^1(l_2))} \\ &= 1_{F^0(r_L(l_2))}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the element $l_1 \cdot l_2^{-1}$ is in G . Also, the element $l_2 \cdot l_2^{-1}$ is in G . We have $s_G(l_1 \cdot l_2^{-1}) = s_G(l_2^{-1}) = s_G(l_2 \cdot l_2^{-1})$. We know that s_G is an isomorphism. Thus $l_1 = l_2$. Hence $(F^1; s_L)$ is monic and, therefore, $F: L \rightarrow H$ is a partial groupoid covering. \square

Corollary 4.20. *The fibre of a groupoid fibration $F: L \rightarrow H$ is a 0-groupoid if and only if F is a groupoid covering.*

Proof. If $F: L \rightarrow H$ is a groupoid covering then it is a partial groupoid covering by Lemma 4.19. Therefore, the fibre of $F: L \rightarrow H$ is a 0-groupoid.

Conversely, if the fibre of the groupoid fibration $F: L \rightarrow H$ is a 0-groupoid, then F is a partial groupoid covering by Lemma 4.19. So F is a groupoid fibration and a partial groupoid covering. Therefore, it is a groupoid covering by Remark 4.8. \square

Lemma 4.21. *The groupoid fibration described in Example 4.18 is a groupoid covering if and only if L is a 0-groupoid.*

Proof. If L is a 0-groupoid, then the fibre of F is a 0-groupoid, too. Therefore, F is a groupoid covering by Corollary 4.20. Conversely, if F is a groupoid covering, then $(F^1; s_L): L^1 \rightarrow H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0$ is an isomorphism. Since $s_L = \text{pr}_2 \circ (F^1; s_L)$, we have that s_L is an isomorphism, too. Therefore, L is a 0-groupoid. \square

Proposition 4.22. *Let $F: L \rightarrow H$ and $E: H \rightarrow R$ be a composable pair of partial groupoid fibrations with fibres G_1 and G_2 , respectively. Let G be the fibre of their composition. There is a partial groupoid fibration $F|_G: G \rightarrow G_2$ which commutes with inclusions and F , and its fibre is isomorphic to G_1 , as in the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 G_1 & \hookrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{F|_G} & G_2 \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & L & \xrightarrow{F} & H & \xrightarrow{E} & R \\
 & & & \searrow & & \nearrow \\
 & & & & & & E \circ F
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Firstly, we construct the functor $F|_G$ from G to G_2 . The objects of these groupoids are L^0 and H^0 . Let $F|_G$ be F^0 on objects and let $F|_G^1 = (F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1; F^0 \circ \text{pr}_2)$. It must be well-defined. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 E^1(F^1(g)) &= E^1(F^1(1_{s_L(g)})) \\
 &= E^1(1_{F^0(s_L(g))}) \\
 &= E^1(1_{s_H(F^1(g))})
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in G^1$. Therefore, $F^1(g) \in G_2^1$. Now, we need to prove that $F|_G$ intertwines the source, range and multiplication maps of G and G_2 . There is an important commutation which holds between arrows of G , G_2 , L and H . That is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{pr}_1 \circ F|_G^1 &= \text{pr}_1 \circ (F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1; F^0 \circ \text{pr}_2) \\
 &= F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F^0 \circ r_G &= F^0 \circ r_L \circ \text{pr}_1 \\
 &= r_H \circ F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1 \\
 &= r_H \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ F|_G^1 \\
 &= r_{G_2} \circ F|_G^1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, $F|_G$ intertwines the source maps: $F^0 \circ s_G = s_{G_2} \circ F|_G^1$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r_G} G^1 & \xrightarrow{m_G} & G^1 & & G^1 \\
 \downarrow (F|_G^1 \circ \text{pr}_1; F|_G^1 \circ \text{pr}_2) & \searrow & \downarrow F|_G^1 & \searrow \text{pr}_1 & \searrow \text{pr}_2 \\
 L^1 \times_{s_L, L^0, r_L} L^1 & \xrightarrow{m_L} & L^1 & \xrightarrow{s_L} & L^0 \\
 \downarrow (F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1; F^1 \circ \text{pr}_2) & \searrow & \downarrow F^1 & \searrow \text{pr}_1 & \searrow \text{pr}_2 \\
 G_2^1 \times_{s_{G_2}, G_2^0, r_{G_2}} G_2^1 & \xrightarrow{m_{G_2}} & G_2^1 & \xrightarrow{s_{G_2}} & G_2^0 \\
 \downarrow (F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1; F^1 \circ \text{pr}_2) & \searrow & \downarrow F^1 & \searrow \text{pr}_1 & \searrow \text{pr}_2 \\
 H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, r_H} H^1 & \xrightarrow{m_H} & H^1 & \xrightarrow{s_H} & H^0
 \end{array}$$

It is easy to check that every relevant square commutes in the diagram. The up and bottom squares commutes because of the definition of the multiplication map of the fibre. The left square commutes because the right one does. The square in front of the reader commutes because the functor F intertwines the multiplication maps of L and H . Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{pr}_1 \circ F|_G^1 \circ m_G &= F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ m_G \\
&= F^1 \circ m_L \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2) \\
&= m_H \circ (F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1; F^1 \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2) \\
&= m_H \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ (F|_G^1 \circ \text{pr}_1; F|_G^1 \circ \text{pr}_2) \\
&= \text{pr}_1 \circ m_{G_2} \circ (F|_G^1 \circ \text{pr}_1; F|_G^1 \circ \text{pr}_2).
\end{aligned}$$

We know from Remark 4.17 that $\text{pr}_1: G_2^1 \rightarrow H^1$ is monic. Therefore, we have $F|_G^1 \circ m_G = m_{G_2} \circ (F|_G^1 \circ \text{pr}_1; F|_G^1 \circ \text{pr}_2)$. Hence $F|_G$ intertwines the multiplication maps, too.

Now, we have to show that this well-defined functor $F|_G: G \rightarrow G_2$ is a partial groupoid fibration. First step is to show that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & L^1 \\
F|_G^1 \downarrow & & \downarrow F^1 \\
G_2^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & H^1
\end{array}$$

is a pull-back square. Suppose that there are arrows $x_1: X \rightarrow L^1$ and $x_2: X \rightarrow G_2^1$ such that $F^1 \circ x_1 = \text{pr}_1 \circ x_2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
E^1 \circ F^1 \circ x_1 &= E^1 \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ x_2 \\
&= E^1 \circ u_H \circ s_H \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ x_2 \\
&= E^1 \circ u_H \circ s_H \circ F^1 \circ x_1
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.14. Therefore, we have a well-defined arrow $(x_1; s_L \circ x_1): X \rightarrow G^1$ because

$$\begin{aligned}
(E^1 \circ F^1 \circ u_L; \text{id}_{L^0}) \circ s_L \circ x_1 &= (E^1 \circ F^1 \circ u_L \circ s_L \circ x_1; \text{id}_{L^0} \circ s_L \circ x_1) \\
&= (E^1 \circ u_H \circ s_H \circ F^1 \circ x_1; s_L \circ x_1) \\
&= (E^1 \circ F^1 \circ x_1; s_L \circ x_1) \\
&= (E^1 \circ F^1; s_L) \circ x_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, $\text{pr}_1 \circ (x_1; s_L \circ x_1) = x_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{pr}_1 \circ F|_G^1 \circ (x_1; s_L \circ x_1) &= F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ (x_1; s_L \circ x_1) \\
&= F^1 \circ x_1 = \text{pr}_1 \circ x_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since pr_1 is monic, $F|_G^1 \circ (x_1; s_L \circ x_1) = x_2$. Hence the arrow $(x_1; s_L \circ x_1)$ commutes with $F|_G^1: G^1 \rightarrow G_2^1$ and $\text{pr}_1: G^1 \rightarrow L^1$. Such an arrow is unique because $\text{pr}_1: G^1 \rightarrow L^1$ is monic. So the diagram above is a pull-back square.

Now consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
G^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & L^1 & & \\
(F|_G^1; \text{pr}_2) \downarrow & & (F^1; s_L) \downarrow & & \\
G_2^1 \times_{\text{pr}_2, H^0, F^0} L^0 & \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)} & H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & L^0 \\
\text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow F^0 \\
G_2^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & H^1 & \xrightarrow{s_H} & H^0
\end{array}$$

I (between G^1, L^1 and $G_2^1 \times_{\text{pr}_2, H^0, F^0} L^0, H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0$)
 II (between $G_2^1 \times_{\text{pr}_2, H^0, F^0} L^0, H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0$ and G_2^1, H^1)
 III (between $H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0, L^0$ and H^1, H^0)

Since III and $(II; III)$ are pull-back squares, II is a pull-back square. We have shown above that $(I; II)$ is a pull-back square, thus I is a pull-back square, too. Therefore, the arrow $(F|_G^1; \text{pr}_2): G^1 \dashrightarrow G_2^1 \times_{\text{pr}_2, H^0, F^0} L^0$ is a partial cover and, since $\text{pr}_2 = s_L \circ \text{pr}_1 = s_G$, that is why the functor $F|_G: G \rightarrow G_2$ is a partial groupoid fibration.

The pull-back square I allows to say that the arrows of the fibre of the partial groupoid fibration $F|_G: G \rightarrow G_2$ is isomorphic to G_1^1 because there is a diagram of pull-back squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G_1^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & L^0 \\
(\text{pr}_1; ((F^1 \circ u_L; F^0); \text{id}_{L^0})) \downarrow & & \downarrow ((F^1 \circ u_L; F^0); \text{id}_{L^0}) \\
G^1 & \xrightarrow{(F|_G^1; \text{pr}_2)} & G_2^1 \times_{\text{pr}_2, H^0, F^0} L^0 \\
\text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) \\
L^1 & \xrightarrow{(F^1; s_L)} & H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0
\end{array}$$

which shows that there is a canonical isomorphism $(\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_1)$ between arrows of the fibres of $F|_G$ and F . This isomorphism is natural. The objects of these groupoids are the same, thus they are isomorphic. \square

Corollary 4.23. *Assume all data from Proposition 4.22. Then $F: L \rightarrow H$ is a partial groupoid covering if and only if $F|_G$ is so. If $E: H \rightarrow R$ is a partial groupoid covering, then G and G_1 are isomorphic.*

Proof. F is a partial groupoid covering if and only if G_1 is a 0-groupoid. That is equivalent to $F|_G$ being a partial groupoid covering by Lemma 4.19.

If E is a partial groupoid covering, then G_2 is a 0-groupoid. Therefore, G and G_1 are isomorphic by Example 4.18. \square

Remark 4.24. Under Assumption 2.15, Proposition 4.22 and Corollary 4.23 are true in global cases instead of partial situations. The proofs are similar ([10], Propositions 2.10 and 2.21).

Example 4.25. Let $f: X \dashrightarrow G^0$ be a partial cover over the objects of the groupoid G . The functor $(\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2; f)$ from the groupoid $G(X, f)$, defined in Example 3.16, to G is a partial groupoid fibration. We just need to check that the arrow (4.1) is a partial cover. It is equal to

$$\text{pr}_2: (X \times_{f, G^0, r} G^1) \times_{\text{pr}_2, G_1, \text{pr}_1} (G^1 \times_{s, G^0, f} X) \dashrightarrow (G^1 \times_{s, G^0, f} X)$$

and it is a partial cover because it is a pull-back of $\text{pr}_2: X \times_{f, G^0, r} G^1 \dashrightarrow G^1$, which is a partial cover because it is a pull-back of f . It is easy to check that the arrow $(\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ \text{pr}_1$ is an isomorphism between the arrows of the fibre and the arrows of the Čech groupoid of f . Since they have the same objects, the fibre and the Čech groupoid of f are isomorphic. Under Assumption 2.15, we can deduce that if $f: X \rightarrow G^0$ is a cover, then this functor is a groupoid fibration.

5. GROUPOID ACTIONS

Definition 5.1. Let $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m, u, i)$ be a groupoid. A *right G-action* $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is an object X with arrows $s_X: X \rightarrow G^0$ and $m_X: X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightarrow X$ such that

- (1) $s_X \circ m_X = s \circ \text{pr}_2$, $s_X(x \cdot g) = s(g)$ for all $x \in X, g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g)$;
- (2) $m_X \circ (m_X \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2) = m_X \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; m \circ \text{pr}_2)$, $(x \cdot g) \cdot g_1 = x \cdot (g \cdot g_1)$, for all $x \in X, g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g)$ and $s(g) = r(g_1)$;

That is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1) \times_{\text{pr}_2, G^1, \text{pr}_1} (G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1) & \xrightarrow{(m_X \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2)} & X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \\ \downarrow (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; m \circ \text{pr}_2) & & \downarrow m_X \\ X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 & \xrightarrow{m_X} & X; \end{array}$$

(3) the arrow

$$(5.1) \quad (m_X; \text{pr}_2): X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \longrightarrow X \times_{s_X, G^0, s} G^1, \quad (x; g) \mapsto (x \cdot g; g),$$

is an isomorphism.

If the arrow $s_X: X \rightarrow G^0$ is a partial cover or cover then this right action is called a *partial sheaf* or *sheaf*, respectively.

We call the arrows $s_X: X \rightarrow G^0$ and $m_X: X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightarrow X$ the *anchor* and *action* maps, respectively.

Remark 5.2. All objects in this definition exist because the range and source maps are covers. The arrows $(m_X \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2)$, $(\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; m \circ \text{pr}_2)$ and $(m_X; \text{pr}_2)$ are well-defined because of (1).

Definition 5.3. Let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ be a right G-action. An arrow $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called *G-invariant* if $f \circ m_X = f \circ \text{pr}_1$. Elementwise, $f(x \cdot g) = f(x)$.

Lemma 5.4. Let $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m)$ be a groupoid and let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ be a right G-action. Let x_1, x_2 and g be such that $(x_1; g), (x_2; g) \in \mathcal{C}(-, X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1)$ are well-defined arrows and $m_X \circ (x_1; g) = m_X \circ (x_2; g)$, then $x_1 = x_2$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (m_X; \text{pr}_2) \circ (x_1; g) &= (m_X \circ (x_1; g); g) \\ &= (m_X \circ (x_2; g); g) \\ &= (m_X; \text{pr}_2) \circ (x_2; g). \end{aligned}$$

We know that the arrow $(m_X; \text{pr}_2)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, $(x_1; g) = (x_2; g)$, hence $x_1 = x_2$. \square

Remark 5.5. The elementwise notation of Lemma 5.4 is the following. If $x_1 \cdot g = x_2 \cdot g$ then $x_1 = x_2$.

Lemma 5.6. Let G be a groupoid and let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ be a right G-action. Then the action map $m_X: X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightarrow X$ is a cover. Moreover it splits by the arrow $(\text{id}_X; u \circ s_X): X \rightarrow X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1$.

Proof. The action map $m_X: X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightarrow X$ is the following composition:

$$X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \xrightarrow{(m_X; pr_2)} X \times_{s_X, G^0, s} G^1 \xrightarrow{pr_1} X.$$

Also, the coordinate projection $pr_1: X \times_{s_X, G^0, s} G^1 \rightarrow X$ is a partial cover because it is a pull-back of the source map $s: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$, and the arrow $(m_X; pr_2)$ is a partial cover because it is an isomorphism. Therefore, their composition m_X is a partial cover, too.

Consider the following arrow

$$X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \xrightarrow{((id_X; u \circ s_X) \circ pr_1; (u \circ r; id_{G^1}) \circ pr_2)} (X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1) \times_{pr_2, G^1, pr_1} (G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1).$$

This map is defined elementwise by $(x; g) \mapsto ((x; 1_{s_X(x)}); (1_{r(g)}; g))$. It is well-defined because $r(1_{s_X(x)}) = s_X(x)$, $s(1_{r(g)}) = r(g)$ and $1_{s_X(x)} = 1_{r(g)}$, for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g)$. The condition (2) in Definition 5.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} (x \cdot 1_{s_X(x)}) \cdot g &= x \cdot (1_{r(g)} \cdot g) \\ &= x \cdot g. \end{aligned}$$

Because of Lemma 5.4 we can deduce that $x \cdot 1_{s_X(x)} = x$, for all $x \in X$. Therefore, $m_X \circ (id_X; u \circ s_X) \circ pr_1 = pr_1$. Also we know that $pr_1: X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightarrow X$ is a cover because it is a pull-back of the range map $r: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$, which is a cover and splits by the unit map $u: G^0 \rightarrow G^1$. Therefore, Corollary 2.7 works. So pr_1 is a coequaliser. Hence it is epic and we can infer that

$$(5.2) \quad m_X \circ (id_X; u \circ s_X) = id_X.$$

So the action map m_X is a partial cover and it splits by $(id_X; u \circ s_X)$. Therefore, it is a cover by Lemma 2.5. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Let $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m, u, i)$ be a groupoid. Assume that the triple $(X; m_X; s_X)$ satisfies the conditions (1), (2) and that the equation (5.2) holds. Then $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is a right G -action.*

Proof. We only need to prove that the arrow (5.1) is an isomorphism. Consider the arrow

$$X \times_{s_X, G^0, s} G^1 \xrightarrow{(m_X \circ (pr_1; i \circ pr_2); pr_2)} X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1, \quad (x; g) \mapsto (x \cdot g^{-1}; g).$$

It is well-defined because $s_X(x \cdot g^{-1}) = s(g^{-1}) = r(g)$. Consider the composition

$$\begin{aligned} (m_X; pr_2)((m_X \circ (pr_1; i \circ pr_2); pr_2)(x; g)) &= (m_X; pr_2)(x \cdot g^{-1}; g) \\ &= ((x \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot g; g) \\ &= (x \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot g); g) \\ &= (x \cdot 1_{s(g)}; g) \\ &= (x \cdot 1_{s_X(x)}; g) \\ &= (x; g) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = s(g)$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (m_X \circ (pr_1; i \circ pr_2); pr_2)((m_X; pr_2)(x; g)) &= (m_X \circ (pr_1; i \circ pr_2); pr_2)(x \cdot g; g) \\ &= ((x \cdot g) \cdot g^{-1}; g) \\ &= (x \cdot (g \cdot g^{-1}); g) \\ &= (x \cdot 1_{r(g)}; g) \\ &= (x \cdot 1_{s_X(x)}; g) \\ &= (x; g) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g)$. Therefore, the arrow $(m_X \circ (\text{pr}_1; i \circ \text{pr}_2); \text{pr}_2)$ is an inverse of $(m_X; \text{pr}_2)$. Thus (5.2) is an isomorphism. Hence $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is a right G -action. \square

The definitions of right and left actions are similar.

Definition 5.8. Let $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m, u, i)$ be a groupoid. A *left G -action* $(X; m_X; r_X)$ is an object X with arrows $r_X: X \rightarrow G^0$ and $m_X: G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X \rightarrow X$ such that

- (1) $r_X \circ m_X = r \circ \text{pr}_1$, $r_X(g \cdot x) = r(g)$ for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r_X(x)$;
- (2) $m_X \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; m_X \circ \text{pr}_2) = m_X \circ (m \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2)$, $g \cdot (g_1 \cdot x) = (g \cdot g_1) \cdot x$, for all $x \in X$, $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r(g_1)$ and $s(g_1) = r_X(x)$;

That is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1) \times_{\text{pr}_2, G^1, \text{pr}_1} (G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X) & \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; m_X \circ \text{pr}_2)} & G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X \\ \downarrow (m \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2) & & \downarrow m_X \\ G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X & \xrightarrow{m_X} & X \end{array}$$

- (3) the arrow

$$(5.3) \quad (\text{pr}_1; m_X): G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X \longrightarrow G^1 \times_{r, G^0, r_X} X, \quad (g; x) \mapsto (g; g \cdot x)$$

is an isomorphism.

If the arrow $r_X: X \rightarrow G^0$ is a partial cover or cover then this left action is called a *partial sheaf* or *sheaf*, respectively.

Remark 5.9. Lemma 5.4 has an analogue for left actions. If we have the elements x_1, x_2 and g with all required properties and $g \cdot x_1 = g \cdot x_2$, then $x_1 = x_2$. The proof is similar. We have to use the isomorphism (5.3) instead of (5.1). The elementwise notation of this fact is the following. If $g \cdot x_1 = g \cdot x_2$ then $x_1 = x_2$.

Remark 5.10. The action map m_X of a left G -action is a splitting cover. The proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 5.6. We just have to use the isomorphism (5.3) and the arrow $(u \circ r_X; \text{id}_X): X \rightarrow G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X$ instead of (5.1) and $(\text{id}_X; u \circ s_X)$, respectively.

Remark 5.11. Lemma 5.7 has an analogue for left actions. If the triple $(X; m_X; r_X)$ satisfies the conditions (1), (2) in Definition 5.8, and the equation $1_{r_X(x)} \cdot x = x$ holds, then $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is a left G -action. The proof is almost the same. We just need to use the arrow $(\text{pr}_1; m_X \circ (i \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2))$ instead of $(m_X \circ (\text{pr}_1; i \circ \text{pr}_2); \text{pr}_2)$.

Definition 5.12. Let $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m, u, i)$ be a groupoid and let X and Y be right G -actions. An arrow $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *right G -map* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $s_X = s_Y \circ f$, $s_X(x) = s_Y(f(x))$, $\forall x \in X$;
- (2) $f \circ m_X = m_Y \circ (f \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$, $f(x \cdot g) = f(x) \cdot g$ for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g)$; that is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 & \xrightarrow{m_X} & X \\ \downarrow (f \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) & & \downarrow f \\ Y \times_{s_Y, G^0, r} G^1 & \xrightarrow{m_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

The arrow $(f \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2): X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightarrow Y \times_{s_Y, G^0, r} G^1$ is well-defined because $s_Y(f(x)) = s_X(x) = r(g)$ for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g)$.

Remark 5.13. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a right G -map and it is invertible, then its inverse is a right G -map, too. We just need to remark that $(f^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$ is inverse to $(f \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$, and the needed commutation works automatically.

Lemma 5.14. *For any groupoid $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m, u, i)$, the right G -actions as objects and the right G -maps as arrows form a category. Denote it by $\mathcal{C}(G)$.*

Proof. It is enough to check that the composition of two composable right G -maps is a right G -map too. Let $f_1: X \rightarrow Y$ and $f_2: Y \rightarrow Z$ be right G -maps. We have $s_Z(f_2(f_1(x))) = s_Y(f_1(x)) = s_X(x)$ and $f_2(f_1(x \cdot g)) = f_2(f_1(x) \cdot g) = f_2(f_1(x)) \cdot g$ for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g)$. So the composition $f_2 \circ f_1$ satisfies the conditions. Hence it is a G -map too. \square

Remark 5.15. There are full subcategories of right partial G -sheaves and right G -sheaves. Denote them by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_p}(G)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$, respectively.

Analogously, we can define the categories of left G -actions, left partial G -sheaves and left G -sheaves.

Definition 5.16. Let $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m, u, i)$ be a groupoid and let X and Y be left G -actions. The arrow $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *left G -map* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $r_X = r_Y \circ f$, $r_X(x) = r_Y(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$;
- (2) $f \circ m_X = m_Y \circ (\text{pr}_1; f \circ \text{pr}_2)$, $f(g \cdot x) = g \cdot f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r_X(x)$; that is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X & \xrightarrow{m_X} & X \\ (\text{pr}_1; f \circ \text{pr}_2) \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} Y & \xrightarrow{m_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

The arrow $(\text{pr}_1; f \circ \text{pr}_2): G^1 \times_{s_X, G^0, r} X \rightarrow G^1 \times_{s_Y, G^0, r} Y$ is well-defined because $r_Y(f(x)) = r_X(x) = s(g)$.

Remark 5.17. The left G -actions as objects and the left G -maps as arrows form a category. The proof is similar to the proof of the Lemma 5.14.

Lemma 5.18. *The categories of right and left G -actions are isomorphic.*

Proof. We turn a right G -action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ into a left G -action $(X; \hat{m}_X; r_X)$ by $r_X = s_X$ and $\hat{m}_X = m_X \circ (\text{pr}_2; i \circ \text{pr}_1)$. Elementwise, $g \cdot x = x \cdot g^{-1}$ for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r_X(x)$. The arrow

$$G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_2; i \circ \text{pr}_1)} X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1$$

is well-defined because $r(g^{-1}) = s(g) = r_X(x) = s_X(x)$.

We have to prove that $(X; m_X \circ (\text{pr}_2; i \circ \text{pr}_1); s_X)$ defines a left G -action. It is clear that $s_X(g \cdot x) = s_X(x \cdot g^{-1}) = s(g^{-1}) = r(g)$ for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r_X(x)$. So we have the property (1) in Definition 5.8.

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot (g_1 \cdot x) &= g \cdot (x \cdot g_1^{-1}) \\ &= (x \cdot g_1^{-1}) \cdot g^{-1} \\ &= x \cdot (g_1^{-1} \cdot g^{-1}) \\ &= x \cdot (g \cdot g_1)^{-1} \\ &= (g \cdot g_1) \cdot x \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r(g_1)$ and $s(g_1) = r_X(x)$. So the property (2) is satisfied.

The arrow

$$X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \xrightarrow{(i \circ pr_2; pr_1)} G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X, \quad (x; g) \mapsto (g^{-1}; x)$$

is well-defined because $s(g^{-1}) = r(g) = s_X(x) = r_X(x)$ for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r_X(x)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (i \circ pr_2; pr_1)((pr_2; i \circ pr_1)(g; x)) &= (i \circ pr_2; pr_1)(x; g^{-1}) \\ &= ((g^{-1})^{-1}; x) \\ &= (g; x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r_X(x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (pr_2; i \circ pr_1)((i \circ pr_2; pr_1)(x; g)) &= (g^{-1}; x) \\ &= pr_2; i \circ pr_1(x; (g^{-1})^{-1}) \\ &= (x; g) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g)$. Therefore, $(pr_2; i \circ pr_1)$ is an isomorphism. Analogously, we have the following isomorphism

$$(i \circ pr_2; pr_1): X \times_{s_X, G^0, s} G^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} G^1 \times_{r, G^0, r_X} X, \quad (x; g) \mapsto (g^{-1}; x).$$

Consider the composition of isomorphisms

$$G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X \xrightarrow{(pr_2; i \circ pr_1)} X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \xrightarrow{(m_X; pr_2)} X \times_{s_X, G^0, s} G^1 \xrightarrow{(i \circ pr_2; pr_1)} G^1 \times_{r, G^0, r_X} X.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (i \circ pr_2; pr_1)((m_X; pr_2)((pr_2; i \circ pr_1)(g; x))) &= (i \circ pr_2; pr_1)((m_X; pr_2)(x; g^{-1})) \\ &= (i \circ pr_2; pr_1)(x \cdot g^{-1}; g^{-1}) \\ &= (g^{-1^{-1}}; x \cdot g^{-1}) \\ &= (g; g \cdot x) \\ &= (pr_1; \hat{m}_X)(g; x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r_X(x)$. Hence the arrow $(pr_1; \hat{m}_X)$ is an isomorphism. So the property (3) is satisfied, too, and $(X; m_X \circ (pr_2; i \circ pr_1); s_X)$ is a left G -action.

Analogously, we can prove that if $(X; m_X; r_X)$ is a left G -action then there is a corresponding right G -action $(X; m_X \circ (i \circ pr_2; pr_1); r_X)$. Finally, we can deduce that this construction of the corresponding left and right G -actions gives an isomorphism between these categories because the arrows $(i \circ pr_2; pr_1)$ and $(pr_2; i \circ pr_1)$ are inverses of each other. \square

Remark 5.19. In the proof of Lemma 5.18 during the construction of the corresponding left and right G -actions we do not change the anchor map. Therefore, the categories of left and right partial G -sheaves are isomorphic and the categories of left and right G -sheaves are isomorphic, too.

Lemma 5.20. *Let $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m, u, i)$ be a groupoid. Suppose that $(X; m_X; s_X)$, $(X_1; m_{X_1}; s_{X_1})$ and $(X_2; m_{X_2}; s_{X_2})$ are the right G -actions and that $f_1: X_1 \rightarrow X$ and $f_2: X_2 \rightarrow X$ are the right G -maps. If the fibre product $X_1 \times_{f_1, X, f_2} X_2$ exists in \mathcal{C} then it exists in $\mathcal{C}(G)$, too. Call it the fibre product of G -maps with the same target.*

Proof. We must define a right G -action $(X_1 \times_{f_1, X, f_2} X_2; m_0; s_0)$. Let the anchor map be $s_0(x_1; x_2) = s_X(f_1(x_1))$ and let $(x_1; x_2) \cdot g = (x_1 \cdot g; x_2 \cdot g)$ for all $x_1 \in X_1$, $x_2 \in X_2$ and $g \in G^1$ with $f_1(x_1) = f_2(x_2)$ and $s_0(x_1; x_2) = r(g)$. This action map is well-defined because $f_1(x_1 \cdot g) = f_1(x_1) \cdot g = f_2(x_2) \cdot g = f_2(x_2 \cdot g)$. Also we have

$s_0((x_1; x_2) \cdot g) = s_0(x_1 \cdot g; x_2 \cdot g) = s_X(f_1(x_1 \cdot g)) = s_X(f_1(x_1) \cdot g) = r(g)$. Hence the property (1) holds. Associativity holds because

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1; x_2) \cdot (g_1 \cdot g_2) &= (x_1 \cdot (g_1 \cdot g_2); x_2 \cdot (g_1 \cdot g_2)) \\ &= ((x_1 \cdot g_1) \cdot g_2; (x_2 \cdot g_1) \cdot g_2) \\ &= (x_1 \cdot g_1; x_2 \cdot g_1) \cdot g_2 \\ &= ((x_1; x_2) \cdot g_1) \cdot g_2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1 \in X_1$, $x_2 \in X_2$ and $g_1, g_2 \in G^1$ with $f_1(x_1) = f_2(x_2)$, $s_0(x_1; x_2) = r(g_1)$ and $s(g_1) = r(g_2)$. Also, we have $(x_1; x_2) \cdot 1_{s_X(f_1(x_1))} = (x_1 \cdot 1_{s_{X_1}(x_1)}; x_2 \cdot 1_{s_{X_2}(x_2)}) = (x_1; x_2)$. Therefore, $(X_1 \times_{f_1, X, f_2} X_2; m_0; s_0)$ is a right G -action by Lemma 5.7.

We must also show that the coordinate projections $\text{pr}_1: X_1 \times_{f_1, X, f_2} X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ and $\text{pr}_2: X_1 \times_{f_1, X, f_2} X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ are G -maps. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_1((x_1; x_2) \cdot g) &= \text{pr}_1(x_1 \cdot g; x_2 \cdot g) \\ &= x_1 \cdot g \\ &= \text{pr}_1(x_1; x_2) \cdot g. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, the second coordinate projection pr_2 is a G -map.

Now consider a G -action $(A; \hat{m}; \hat{s})$ and two G -maps $\alpha: A \rightarrow X_1$ and $\beta: A \rightarrow X_2$ such that $f_1 \circ \alpha = f_2 \circ \beta$. The unique arrow $(\alpha; \beta): A \rightarrow X_1 \times_{f_1, X, f_2} X_2$ is a G -map because

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha; \beta)(a \cdot g) &= (\alpha(a \cdot g); \beta(a \cdot g)) \\ &= (\alpha(a) \cdot g; \beta(a) \cdot g) \\ &= (\alpha(a); \beta(a)) \cdot g \\ &= (\alpha; \beta)(a) \cdot g \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$ and $g \in G^1$ with $\hat{s}(a) = r(g)$. Therefore, $X_1 \times_{f_1, X, f_2} X_2$ is a fibre product in $\mathcal{C}(G)$, too. \square

5.1. Examples of groupoid actions.

Example 5.21. If G is a groupoid then there is a right G -sheaf $(G^0; s \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{id}_{G^0})$. It is clear that the property (1) in Definition 5.1 is satisfied. The property (3) is satisfied because the arrow $(s \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{pr}_2)$ has the inverse $(r \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{pr}_2)$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (g_0 \cdot g) \cdot g_1 &= s(g) \cdot g_1 \\ &= s(g_1) = s(g \cdot g_1) \\ &= g_0 \cdot (g \cdot g_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $g_0 \in G^0$, $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $g_0 = r(g)$ and $s(g) = r(g_1)$. The property (2) is done. So we have a right G -action. It is a sheaf because the anchor map is a cover. The left case is similar. If G is a groupoid then there is a left G -sheaf $(G^0; r \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{id}_{G^0})$.

Proposition 5.22. $(G^0; s \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{id}_{G^0})$ is a final object in $\mathcal{C}(G)$, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_p}(G)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$.

Proof. Let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ be any right G -action. The anchor map $s_X: X \rightarrow G^0$ is a right G -map because $s_X = \text{id}_{G^0} \circ s_X$ and $s_X \circ m_X = s \circ \text{pr}_2 = s \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ (s_X \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{pr}_2)$. Also if any arrow $f: Y \rightarrow G^0$ is a right G -map then $s_Y = \text{id}_{G^0} \circ f$. Therefore, any right G -map to G^0 is an anchor map. Hence $(G^0; s \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{id}_{G^0})$ is a final object in $\mathcal{C}(G)$. It is a final object in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_p}(G)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ too because of Remark 5.15. \square

Example 5.23. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an arrow. If the object Y is viewed as a 0-groupoid as in Example 3.14, then there is a right Y -action $(X; \text{pr}_1; f)$. All required properties of the action are clearly satisfied. A Y -map between the Y -actions $(X_1; \text{pr}_1; f_1)$ and $(X_2; \text{pr}_1; f_2)$ is an arrow $g: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ with $f_2 \circ g = f_1$. Thus the category of Y -actions $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ is the slice category $\mathcal{C} \downarrow Y$ of objects in \mathcal{C} over Y .

Example 5.24. If $G = (G^0; G^1; r; s; m)$ is a groupoid then there is a right G -sheaf $(G^1; m; s)$. All required properties of an action are clearly satisfied. Call this action the *right translation action*. Analogously, we have the *left translation G -sheaf* $(G^1; m; r)$.

Example 5.25. Let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ and $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ be right G -actions. If the object $X \times_{s_X, G^0, s_Y} Y$ exists, then there is a unique right G -action $(X \times_{s_X, G^0, s_Y} Y; m_0; s_0)$ such that both coordinate projections are G -maps. Call this action the *fibre product* of $(X; m_X; s_X)$ and $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$. Since $\text{pr}_1: X \times_{s_X, G^0, s_Y} Y \rightarrow X$ is a G -map, we have $s_0 = s_X \circ \text{pr}_1$. Elementwise $s_0(x; y) = s_X(x)$ for all $x \in X, y \in Y, g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = s_Y(y)$. Hence the anchor map s_0 is defined uniquely. For the same reason, we have $m_X \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) = \text{pr}_1 \circ m_0$. Also, since $\text{pr}_2: X \times_{s_X, G^0, s_Y} Y \rightarrow Y$ is a G -map, we have $m_Y \circ (\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) = \text{pr}_2 \circ m_0$. Therefore, the action map m_0 is defined uniquely and $m_0 = (m_X \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2); m_Y \circ (\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2))$. Elementwise, $(x; y) \cdot g = (x \cdot g; y \cdot g)$ for all $x \in X, y \in Y, g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = s_Y(y) = r(g)$. We need to show that such arrows m_0 and s_0 defines a G -action on $X \times_{s_X, G^0, s_Y} Y$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} s_0((x; y) \cdot g) &= s_0(x \cdot g; y \cdot g) \\ &= s_X(x \cdot g) \\ &= s(g) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X, y \in Y, g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = s_Y(y) = r(g)$. We have a property (1). The property (2) holds because

$$\begin{aligned} ((x; y) \cdot g) \cdot g_1 &= (x \cdot g; y \cdot g) \cdot g_1 \\ &= ((x \cdot g) \cdot g_1; (y \cdot g) \cdot g_1) \\ &= (x \cdot (g \cdot g_1); y \cdot (g \cdot g_1)) \\ &= (x; y) \cdot (g \cdot g_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X, y \in Y, g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = s_Y(y) = r(g)$ and $s(g) = r(g_1)$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (x; y) \cdot 1_{s_0(x, y)} &= (x \cdot 1_{s_0(x, y)}; y \cdot 1_{s_0(x, y)}) \\ &= (x \cdot 1_{s_X(x)}; y \cdot 1_{s_Y(y)}) \\ &= (x; y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X, y \in Y$ with $s_X(x) = s_Y(y)$. So $(X \times_{s_X, G^0, s_Y} Y; m_0; s_0)$ is a G -action by Lemma 5.7.

Example 5.26. Let $F: G \rightarrow H$ be a functor between groupoids. There is a left G -sheaf $(X; m_X; r_X)$, where $X = G^0 \times_{F^0, H^0, r_H} H^1$, $r_X = \text{pr}_1: G^0 \times_{F^0, H^0, r_H} H^1 \rightarrow G^0$ and $m_X = (r_G \circ \text{pr}_1; m_H \circ (F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2)): G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, \text{pr}_1} (G^0 \times_{F^0, H^0, r_H} H^1) \rightarrow G^0 \times_{F^0, H^0, r_H} H^1$. Elementwise, $g \cdot (g_0; h) = (r_G(g); F^1(g) \cdot h)$, for all $g_0 \in G^0, g \in G^1, h \in H^1$ with $s_G(g) = g_0$ and $F^0(g_0) = r_H(h)$. The object X exists because $r_H: H^1 \rightarrow H^0$ is a cover. Since it is a splitting cover, the anchor map $r_X = \text{pr}_1: G^0 \times_{F^0, H^0, r_H} H^1 \rightarrow G^0$ is a cover by Corollary 2.7. We have $r_X(g \cdot (g_0; h)) = \text{pr}_1(r_G(g); F^1(g) \cdot h) = r_G(g)$. That is the property (1) in Definition 5.8. m_X commutes with m_G because

$$\begin{aligned} (g \cdot g_1) \cdot (g_0; h) &= (r_G(g \cdot g_1); F^1(g \cdot g_1) \cdot h) \\ &= (r_G(g); F^1(g) \cdot F^1(g_1) \cdot h) \\ &= g \cdot (s_G(g); F^1(g_1) \cdot h) \\ &= g \cdot (r_G(g_1); F^1(g_1) \cdot h) \\ &= g \cdot (g_1 \cdot (s_G(g); h)) \\ &= g \cdot (g_1 \cdot (g_0; h)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $g_0 \in G^0$, $g, g_1 \in G^1$, $h \in H^1$ with $s_G(g_1) = g_0$, $r_G(g_1) = s_G(g)$ and $F^0(g_0) = r_H(h)$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{s_0(g_0;h)} \cdot (g_0; h) &= 1_{g_0} \cdot (g_0; h) \\ &= (r_G(1_{g_0}); F^1(1_{g_0}) \cdot h) \\ &= (g_0; 1_{F^0(g_0)} \cdot h) \\ &= (g_0; 1_{r_H(h)} \cdot h) \\ &= (g_0; h) \end{aligned}$$

for all $g_0 \in G^0$, $h \in H^1$ with $F^0(g_0) = r_H(h)$. So we have all required properties in Remark 5.11 and, therefore, the triple $(X; m_X; r_X)$ defines a G -sheaf.

Example 5.27. Let G be the fibre of the partial groupoid fibration $F: L \rightarrow H$. There is a left G -sheaf $(L^1; m; r)$, where $r = r_L$ and $m = m_L \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$. Since the element $g \in G^1$ can be understood as an element in L^1 , the action map can be defined elementwise by $g \cdot l = g \cdot l$, for all $g \in G^1$, $l \in L^1$ with $s_G(g) = r_L(l)$. All required properties are clearly satisfied by Remark 5.11. Therefore, $(L^1; m; r)$ is a left G -action.

Remark 5.28. There is also a right G -sheaf as in Example 5.27, namely, $(L^1; m_L \circ (\text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2); s_L)$. The proof is absolutely similar.

5.2. Transformation groupoids.

Definition 5.29. Let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ be a right G -action. There is a *transformation groupoid* $X \rtimes G$ with X as objects and $X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1$ as arrows. The range map $r_{(X \rtimes G)}$ and the source map $s_{(X \rtimes G)}$ are pr_1 and m_X , respectively. The multiplication map $m_{(X \rtimes G)}$ is

$$(X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1) \times_{m_X, X, \text{pr}_1} (X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1) \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; m \circ (\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2))} X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1,$$

defined elementwise by $(x; g) \cdot (x_1; g_1) = (x; g \cdot g_1)$, for all $x, x_1 \in X$, $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g)$, $s_X(x_1) = r(g_1)$ and $x \cdot g = x_1$.

Lemma 5.30. *The data in Definition 5.29 defines a groupoid.*

Proof. The source map m_X is a cover by Lemma 5.6. The range map pr_1 is a cover because it is a pull-back of $r: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$, which splits by $u: G^0 \rightarrow G^1$, so that Corollary 2.7 works. So condition (1) in Definition 3.1 holds.

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{(X \rtimes G)}((x; g) \cdot (x_1; g_1)) &= m_{(X \rtimes G)}(x; g \cdot g_1) \\ &= x \cdot (g \cdot g_1) = (x \cdot g) \cdot g_1 \\ &= x_1 \cdot g_1 \\ &= s_{(X \rtimes G)}(x_1; g_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1 \in X$, $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g)$, $s_X(x_1) = r(g_1)$ and $x \cdot g = x_1$. So the condition (2) is satisfied.

We also have to show that the multiplication map $m_{(X \rtimes G)}$ is associative. We have

$$\begin{aligned} ((x; g) \cdot (x_1; g_1)) \cdot (x_2; g_2) &= (x; g \cdot g_1) \cdot (x_2; g_2) \\ &= (x; (g \cdot g_1) \cdot g_2) \\ &= (x; g \cdot (g_1 \cdot g_2)) \\ &= (x; g) \cdot (x_1; g_1 \cdot g_2) \\ &= (x; g) \cdot ((x_1; g_1) \cdot (x_2; g_2)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1, x_2 \in X$, $g, g_1, g_2 \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g)$, $s_X(x_1) = r(g_1)$, $s_X(x_2) = r(g_2)$, $x \cdot g = x_1$ and $x_1 \cdot g_1 = x_2$. So the multiplication map $m_{(X \rtimes G)}$ is associative.

We proved the properties (1), (2) and (3) in Definition 3.1. The next step is to construct the arrows $u_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})} : (\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})^0 \rightarrow (\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})^1$ and $i_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})} : (\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})^1 \rightarrow (\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})^1$ and prove all properties in Proposition 3.8, which then allows to use Proposition 3.11.

We know from the proof of Lemma 5.6 that there is a well-defined arrow

$$u_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})} : \mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{(\text{id}_{\mathbf{X}}; u \circ s_{\mathbf{X}})} \mathbf{X} \times_{s_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{G}^0, r} \mathbf{G}^1, \quad x \mapsto (x; 1_{s_{\mathbf{X}}(x)}).$$

We have the following: $s_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(u_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(x)) = s_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(x; 1_{s_{\mathbf{X}}(x)}) = x \cdot 1_{s_{\mathbf{X}}(x)} = x$ and $r_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(u_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(x)) = r_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(x; 1_{s_{\mathbf{X}}(x)}) = x$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So (1) in Proposition 3.11 is done. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{r_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(x; g)} \cdot (x; g) &= 1_x \cdot (x; g) \\ &= (x; 1_{s_{\mathbf{X}}(x)}) \cdot (x; g) \\ &= (x; 1_{r(g)} \cdot g) \\ &= (x; g) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (x; g) \cdot 1_{s_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(x; g)} &= (x; g) \cdot 1_{x \cdot g} \\ &= (x; g) \cdot (x \cdot g; 1_{s_{\mathbf{X}}(x \cdot g)}) \\ &= (x; g \cdot 1_{s(g)}) \\ &= (x; g) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $g \in \mathbf{G}^1$ with $s_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = r(g)$. So condition (2) is satisfied.

Now consider the arrow

$$i_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})} : \mathbf{X} \times_{s_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{G}^0, r} \mathbf{G}^1 \xrightarrow{(\text{m}_{\mathbf{X}}; i \circ \text{pr}_2)} \mathbf{X} \times_{s_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{G}^0, r} \mathbf{G}^1, \quad (x; g) \mapsto (x \cdot g; g^{-1}).$$

It is well-defined because $s_{\mathbf{X}}(x \cdot g) = s(g) = r(g^{-1})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(i_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(x; g)) &= s_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(x \cdot g; g^{-1}) \\ &= (x \cdot g) \cdot g^{-1} \\ &= x \cdot (g \cdot g^{-1}) \\ &= x \cdot 1_{r(g)} \\ &= x \cdot 1_{s_{\mathbf{X}}(x)} \\ &= x \\ &= r_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(x; g) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(i_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(x; g)) &= r_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(x \cdot g; g^{-1}) \\ &= x \cdot g \\ &= s_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(x; g) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $g \in \mathbf{G}^1$ with $s_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = r(g)$. So all conditions in Proposition (3) hold.

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} i_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(x; g) \cdot (x; g) &= (x \cdot g; g^{-1}) \cdot (x; g) \\ &= (x \cdot g; g^{-1} \cdot g) \\ &= (x \cdot g; 1_{s(g)}) \\ &= (x \cdot g; 1_{s_{\mathbf{X}}(x \cdot g)}) \\ &= 1_{x \cdot g} \\ &= u_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(s_{(\mathbf{X} \rtimes \mathbf{G})}(x; g)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(x; g) \cdot i_{(X \rtimes G)}(x; g) &= (x; g) \cdot (x \cdot g; g^{-1}) \\
&= (x; g \cdot g^{-1}) \\
&= (x; 1_{r(g)}) \\
&= (x; 1_{s_X(x)}) \\
&= 1_x \\
&= u_{(X \rtimes G)}(r_{(X \rtimes G)}(x; g))
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g)$.

We proved all required properties for Proposition 3.11. Therefore, we can deduce that the transformation groupoid is a well-defined groupoid. \square

We can define the transformation groupoid of a left action analogously. Let $(X; m_X; r_X)$ be a left G -action. There is a transformation groupoid $G \ltimes X$ with X as objects and $G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X$ as arrows. The range and source maps are m_X and pr_2 , respectively. The multiplication map is

$$(G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X) \times_{pr_2, X, m_X} (G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X) \xrightarrow{(m \circ (pr_1 \circ pr_1; pr_1 \circ pr_2); (pr_2 \circ pr_2))} (G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X)$$

defined elementwise by $(g; x) \cdot (g_1; x_1) = (g \cdot g_1; x_1)$, for all $x, x_1 \in X$, $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r_X(x)$, $s(g_1) = r_X(x_1)$ and $x = g_1 \cdot x_1$.

Transformation groupoids give an important example of a groupoid covering.

Example 5.31. Let $(X; m_X; r_X)$ be a left G -action. There is a groupoid covering from the transformation groupoid of $(X; m_X; r_X)$ to G , which is $pr_1: G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X \rightarrow G^1$ on arrows and $r_X: X \rightarrow G^0$ on objects. These maps clearly intertwine the source and range maps. pr_1 intertwines the multiplication maps because

$$\begin{aligned}
pr_1((g; x) \cdot (g_1; x_1)) &= pr_1(g \cdot g_1; x_1) \\
&= g \cdot g_1 \\
&= pr_1(g; x) \cdot pr_1(g_1; x_1).
\end{aligned}$$

This functor is a groupoid covering because the arrow (4.1) in this case is $(pr_1; pr_2)$, which is the identity arrow on $G^1 \times_{s, G^0, r_X} X$. Analogously, for a right action, we have a groupoid covering $F: X \rtimes G \rightarrow G$, which is the arrow $pr_2: X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightarrow G^1$ on arrows and $s_X: X \rightarrow G^0$ on objects.

Proposition 5.32. *Let (X, m_X, s_X) be a G -action. An action of the transformation groupoid $X \rtimes G$ on an object Y is equivalent to an action of G on Y together with a G -map $f: Y \rightarrow X$. Furthermore, the following groupoids are isomorphic:*

$$Y \rtimes (X \rtimes G) \cong Y \rtimes G.$$

A map $Y \rightarrow Z$ is $X \rtimes G$ -invariant if and only if it is G -invariant, and a map between two $X \rtimes G$ -actions is an $X \rtimes G$ -map if and only if it is a G -map over X .

Proof. Let $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ be a G -action and let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a G -map. There is an $X \rtimes G$ -action $(Y; \hat{m}_Y; \hat{s}_Y)$ where $\hat{s}_Y = f$ and $\hat{m}_Y = m_Y \circ (pr_1; pr_2 \circ pr_2)$. Elementwise, $y \cdot (x; g) = y \cdot g$ for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $g \in G^1$ with $x = f(y)$ and $s_X(x) = r(g)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{s}_Y(y \cdot (x; g)) &= f(y \cdot g) \\
&= f(y) \cdot g \\
&= x \cdot g \\
&= s_{(X \rtimes G)}(x; g)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $g \in G^1$ with $x = f(y)$ and $s_X(x) = r(g)$. So we have the condition (1) in Definition 5.1. Also

$$\begin{aligned} (y \cdot (x; g)) \cdot (x_1; g_1) &= (y \cdot g) \cdot (x_1; g_1) \\ &= (y \cdot g) \cdot g_1 \\ &= y \cdot (g \cdot g_1) \\ &= y \cdot (x; g \cdot g_1) \\ &= y \cdot ((x; g) \cdot (x_1; g_1)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1 \in X$, $y \in Y$, $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $x = f(y)$, $s_X(x) = r(g)$, $s_X(x_1) = r(g_1)$ and $x_1 = x \cdot g$. Therefore, the action map \hat{m}_Y commutes with the multiplication map $m_{X \times G}$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} y \cdot 1_{\hat{s}_Y(y)} &= y \cdot 1_{f(y)} \\ &= y \cdot (f(y); 1_{s_X(f(y))}) \\ &= y \cdot 1_{s_Y(y)} \\ &= y \end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in Y$. Therefore, $(Y; \hat{m}_Y; \hat{s}_Y)$ is an $X \times G$ -action by Lemma 5.7.

Conversely, if $(Y; \hat{m}_Y; \hat{s}_Y)$ is a right $X \times G$ -action then there is a right G -action $(Y; \overline{m}_Y; \overline{s}_Y)$, such that the anchor map $\hat{s}_Y: Y \rightarrow X$ is a G -map, where $\overline{s}_Y = s_X \circ \hat{s}_Y$ and $\overline{m}_Y = \hat{m}_Y \circ (\text{pr}_1; (\hat{s}_Y \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2))$. Elementwise, $\overline{s}_Y(y) = s_X(\hat{s}_Y(y))$ and $y \cdot g = y \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y); g)$ for all $y \in Y$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(\hat{s}_Y(y)) = r(g)$.

We are going to prove the conditions (1) and (2) in Definition 5.1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{s}_Y(y \cdot g) &= s_X(\hat{s}_Y(y \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y); g))) \\ &= s_X(s_{(X \times G)}(\hat{s}_Y(y); g)) \\ &= s_X(\hat{s}_Y(y) \cdot g) \\ &= s(g) \end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in Y$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(\hat{s}_Y(y)) = r(g)$. The condition (1) is done. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (y \cdot g) \cdot g_1 &= (y \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y); g)) \cdot g_1 \\ &= (y \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y); g)) \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y); g)); g_1) \\ &= (y \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y); g)) \cdot (s_{(X \times G)}(\hat{s}_Y(y); g); g_1) \\ &= (y \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y); g)) \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y) \cdot g; g_1) \\ &= y \cdot ((\hat{s}_Y(y); g) \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y) \cdot g; g_1)) \\ &= y \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y); g \cdot g_1) \\ &= y \cdot (g \cdot g_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in Y$, $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s_X(\hat{s}_Y(y)) = r(g)$ and $s(g) = r(g_1)$. Hence the condition (2) holds. The next step is to check (5.2). We have

$$\begin{aligned} y \cdot 1_{\overline{s}_Y(y)} &= y \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y); 1_{\overline{s}_Y(y)}) \\ &= y \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y); 1_{s_X(\hat{s}_Y(y))}) \\ &= y \cdot 1_{\hat{s}_Y(y)} \\ &= y \end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in Y$. So we have all properties which are required in Lemma 5.7. Hence $(Y; \overline{m}_Y; \overline{s}_Y)$ is a G -action. The arrow $\hat{s}_Y: Y \rightarrow X$ is a G -map because $\overline{s}_Y = s_X \circ \hat{s}_Y$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s}_Y(y \cdot g) &= \hat{s}_Y(y \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y); g)) \\ &= s_{(X \times G)}(\hat{s}_Y(y); g) \\ &= \hat{s}_Y(y) \cdot g \end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in Y$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(\hat{s}_Y(y)) = r(g)$. It is clear that these two processes are inverse to each other. So the first part of the lemma is proved.

Consider an arrow $\alpha: Y \rightarrow Z$ which is G -invariant. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(y \cdot (x; g)) &= \alpha(y \cdot g) \\ &= \alpha(y)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $g \in G^1$ with $x = \hat{s}_Y(y)$ and $s_X(x) = r(g)$. So $\alpha: Y \rightarrow Z$ is $X \rtimes G$ -invariant. Conversely, if $\alpha: Y \rightarrow Z$ is $X \rtimes G$ -invariant

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(y \cdot g) &= \alpha(y \cdot (\hat{s}_Y(y); g)) \\ &= \alpha(y)\end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in Y$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(\hat{s}_Y(y)) = r(g)$. Hence the arrow $\alpha: Y \rightarrow Z$ is G -invariant if and only if it is $X \rtimes G$ -invariant.

Consider an arrow $\beta: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ which is an $X \rtimes G$ -map. That is, $\hat{s}_{Y_1}(y) = \hat{s}_{Y_2}(\beta(y))$ and $\beta(y \cdot (x; g)) = \beta(y) \cdot (x; g)$ for all $y \in Y_1$, $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $x = \hat{s}_{Y_1}(y)$ and $s_X(x) = r(g)$. We have $\overline{s_{Y_1}}(y) = s_X(\hat{s}_{Y_1}(y)) = s_X(\hat{s}_{Y_2}(\beta(y))) = \overline{s_{Y_2}}(\beta(y))$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(y \cdot g) &= \beta(y \cdot (\hat{s}_{Y_1}(y); g)) \\ &= \beta(y) \cdot (\hat{s}_{Y_1}(y); g) \\ &= \beta(y) \cdot (\hat{s}_{Y_2}(\beta(y)); g) \\ &= \beta(y) \cdot g\end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in Y_1$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(\hat{s}_Y(y)) = r(g)$. Hence the arrow $\beta: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is a G -map. Conversely, if we have three G -maps β, f_1, f_2 such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y_2 \\ & \searrow f_1 & \swarrow f_2 \\ & X & \end{array}$$

then $\beta: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is an $X \rtimes G$ -map. This is true because $f_1 = f_2 \circ \beta$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(y \cdot (x; g)) &= \beta(y \cdot g) \\ &= \beta(y) \cdot g \\ &= \beta(y) \cdot (\hat{s}_{Y_2}(\beta(y)); g) \\ &= \beta(y) \cdot (\hat{s}_{Y_1}(y); g) \\ &= \beta(y) \cdot (x; g)\end{aligned}$$

for all $y \in Y_1$, $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $x = \hat{s}_{Y_1}(y)$ and $s_X(x) = r(g)$. Hence a map between two $X \rtimes G$ -actions is an $X \rtimes G$ -map if and only if it is a G -map and over X .

The transformation groupoids $Y \rtimes (X \rtimes G)$ and $Y \rtimes G$ have the same objects Y . The arrows $(\text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2)$ and $(\text{pr}_1; (\hat{s}_Y \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2))$ are maps between the arrows of these transformation groupoids, which are inverses of each other. These isomorphisms clearly intertwine the source, range and multiplication maps of these transformation groupoids. So they give a natural isomorphism between the groupoids $Y \rtimes (X \rtimes G)$ and $Y \rtimes G$. \square

5.3. Principal bundles. Let $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m, u, i)$ be a groupoid in a category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers.

Definition 5.33. A right G -action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is called a *right G -bundle over $p: X \rightarrow Z$* if $p: X \rightarrow Z$ is G -invariant. A right G -bundle over $p: X \rightarrow Z$ is *partially principal* if

- (1) \mathfrak{p} is a partial cover;
- (2) the arrow

$$(5.4) \quad (\mathfrak{pr}_1; \mathfrak{m}_X): X \times_{s_X, G_0, r} G^1 \rightarrow X \times_{p, Z, p} X, \quad (x; g) \mapsto (x; x \cdot g),$$

is invertible.

A partially principal right G -bundle over $\mathfrak{p}: X \rightarrow Z$ is called *principal* if \mathfrak{p} is a cover. Then we call Z and \mathfrak{p} an *orbit space* and *orbit space projection* of the G -action $(X; \mathfrak{m}_X; s_X)$, respectively.

Lemma 5.34. *An orbit space projection of a principal right G -action $(X; \mathfrak{m}_X; s_X)$ is a coequaliser of the arrows $\mathfrak{pr}_1, \mathfrak{m}_X: X \times_{s_X, G_0, r} G^1 \rightrightarrows X$.*

Proof. Since (5.4) is an isomorphism, the equations $f \circ \mathfrak{m}_X = f \circ \mathfrak{pr}_1$ and $f \circ \mathfrak{pr}_1 = f \circ \mathfrak{pr}_2$ are equivalent. Since \mathfrak{p} is a cover, it is a coequaliser of $\mathfrak{pr}_1, \mathfrak{pr}_2: X \times_{p, Z, p} X \rightrightarrows X$. Therefore, it is a coequaliser of $\mathfrak{pr}_1, \mathfrak{m}_X: X \times_{s_X, G_0, r} G^1 \rightrightarrows X$. \square

Corollary 5.35. *If a right G -action $(X; \mathfrak{m}_X; s_X)$ is a principal bundle, then the orbit space is unique up to isomorphism.*

Proof. A coequaliser is unique up to isomorphism. \square

Remark 5.36. A left G -bundle is defined similarly. A left G -bundle is *partially principal* if $\mathfrak{p}: X \dashrightarrow Z$ is a partial cover and the arrow

$$(\mathfrak{m}_X; \mathfrak{pr}_2): G^1 \times_{s_G, G_0, r_X} X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_{p, Z, p} X, \quad (g; x) \mapsto (g \cdot x; x),$$

is invertible. If \mathfrak{p} is a cover then it is called *principal*.

Remark 5.37. A right G -action is (partially) principal over $\mathfrak{p}: X \rightarrow Z$ if and only if the corresponding left G -action described in Lemma 5.18 is (partially) principal over $\mathfrak{p}: X \rightarrow Z$.

Remark 5.38. We denote the element $\mathfrak{p}(x)$ in Z by $[x]$ for an element x in X . There are other elements in Z which are not given by the composition of \mathfrak{p} , but in the case of principal bundles it is enough to check some condition only for elements which are given by the composition with \mathfrak{p} because \mathfrak{p} is epic.

Definition 5.39. Let $(X; \mathfrak{m}_X; s_X)$ and $(\tilde{X}; \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_X; \tilde{s}_X)$ be G -bundles over $\mathfrak{p}: X \rightarrow Z$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Z$, respectively. An arrow $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is called a *G -bundle map* if it is a G -map and $\mathfrak{p} \circ f = \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$. Elementwise $s_X(f(x)) = \tilde{s}_X(x)$, $f(x \cdot g) = f(x) \cdot g$ and $[f(x)] = [x]$ for all $x \in \tilde{X}$, $g \in G^1$ with $\tilde{s}_X(x) = r(g)$.

5.4. Examples of principal bundles.

Example 5.40. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an arrow in $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$. The right Y -action $(X; \mathfrak{pr}_1; f)$ described in Example 5.23 is a principal bundle over $\text{id}_X: X \rightarrow X$ because there is an obvious isomorphism $(\mathfrak{pr}_1; \mathfrak{pr}_1): X \times_{f, Y, \text{id}_Y} Y \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_{\text{id}_X, X, \text{id}_X} X$.

Example 5.41. Let $\mathfrak{p}: X \dashrightarrow Z$ be a partial cover and let G be the Čech groupoid of \mathfrak{p} . The action of G on its objects $(X; \mathfrak{pr}_2 \circ \mathfrak{pr}_2; \text{id}_X)$ (see Example 5.21) is a partially principal bundle over $\mathfrak{p}: X \dashrightarrow Z$. We just need to check that the arrow $(\mathfrak{pr}_1; \mathfrak{pr}_2 \circ \mathfrak{pr}_2): X \times_{\text{id}_X, X, \mathfrak{pr}_1} (X \times_{p, Z, p} X) \rightarrow X \times_{p, Z, p} X$ is an isomorphism. That is true because $(\mathfrak{pr}_1; \mathfrak{pr}_2 \circ \mathfrak{pr}_2) = (\mathfrak{pr}_1 \circ \mathfrak{pr}_2; \mathfrak{pr}_2 \circ \mathfrak{pr}_2) = (\mathfrak{pr}_1; \mathfrak{pr}_2) \circ \mathfrak{pr}_2 = \mathfrak{pr}_2$, and this coordinate projection is an isomorphism because it is a pull-back of id_X .

Example 5.42. Let $G = (G^0; G^1; r; s; m)$ be a groupoid. The right translation action described in Example 5.24 is a principal G -bundle over $r: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$. This follows from the well-defined isomorphism (3.2).

Example 5.43. The \mathbf{G} -action $(\mathbf{L}^1; \mathbf{m}; \mathbf{r})$ described in Example 5.27 is a partially principal bundle over $(\mathbf{F}^1; \mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}): \mathbf{L}^1 \dashrightarrow \mathbf{H}^1 \times_{\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}^0, \mathbf{F}^0} \mathbf{L}^0$. We need to check that the arrow

$$\mathbf{G}^1 \times_{\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{r}_\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{L}^1 \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{m}; \mathbf{pr}_2)} \mathbf{L}^1 \times_{(\mathbf{F}^1; \mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}), (\mathbf{H}^1 \times_{\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}^0, \mathbf{F}^0} \mathbf{L}^0), (\mathbf{F}^1; \mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L})} \mathbf{L}^1, \quad (g; l) \mapsto (g \cdot l; l),$$

is well-defined and invertible. It is well-defined, and therefore, $(\mathbf{F}^1; \mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L})$ is \mathbf{G} -invariant, because $\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(g \cdot l) = \mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(l)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}^1(g \cdot l) &= \mathbf{F}^1(g) \cdot \mathbf{F}^1(l) \\ &= \mathbf{F}^1(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(g)}) \cdot \mathbf{F}^1(l) \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{F}^0(\mathbf{r}_\mathbf{L}(l))} \cdot \mathbf{F}^1(l) \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F}^1(l))} \cdot \mathbf{F}^1(l) \\ &= \mathbf{F}^1(l) \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in \mathbf{G}^1$, $l \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(g) = \mathbf{r}_\mathbf{L}(l)$.

Consider the arrow

$$\mathbf{L}^1 \times_{(\mathbf{F}^1; \mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}), (\mathbf{H}^1 \times_{\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}^0, \mathbf{F}^0} \mathbf{L}^0), (\mathbf{F}^1; \mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L})} \mathbf{L}^1 \xrightarrow{((\mathbf{m}_\mathbf{L} \circ (\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{i}_\mathbf{L} \circ \mathbf{pr}_2); \mathbf{r}_\mathbf{L} \circ \mathbf{pr}_2); \mathbf{pr}_2)} \mathbf{G}^1 \times_{\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{r}_\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{L}^1$$

defined elementwise by $(l; l_1) \mapsto (l \cdot l_1^{-1}; l_1)$ for all $l, l_1 \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $\mathbf{F}^1(l) = \mathbf{F}^1(l_1)$ and $\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(l) = \mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(l_1)$. It is well-defined because

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}^1(l \cdot l_1^{-1}) &= \mathbf{F}^1(l) \cdot \mathbf{F}^1(l_1^{-1}) \\ &= \mathbf{F}^1(l) \cdot (\mathbf{F}^1(l_1))^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{F}^1(l) \cdot (\mathbf{F}^1(l))^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F}^1(l))} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F}^1(l_1))} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{F}^0(\mathbf{r}_\mathbf{L}(l_1))} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{F}^0(\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(l_1^{-1}))} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{F}^0(\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(l \cdot l_1^{-1}))} \\ &= \mathbf{F}^1(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(l \cdot l_1^{-1})}) \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(l \cdot l_1^{-1}) = \mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(l_1^{-1}) = \mathbf{r}_\mathbf{L}(l_1)$ for all $l, l_1 \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $\mathbf{F}^1(l) = \mathbf{F}^1(l_1)$ and $\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(l) = \mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(l_1)$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{m}; \mathbf{pr}_2)((\mathbf{m}_\mathbf{L} \circ (\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{i}_\mathbf{L} \circ \mathbf{pr}_2); \mathbf{r}_\mathbf{L} \circ \mathbf{pr}_2); \mathbf{pr}_2)(l; l_1) &= (\mathbf{m}; \mathbf{pr}_2)(l \cdot l_1^{-1}; l_1) \\ &= ((l \cdot l_1^{-1}) \cdot l_1; l_1) \\ &= (l \cdot (l_1^{-1} \cdot l_1); l_1) \\ &= (l \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(l_1)}; l_1) \\ &= (l \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{L}(l)}; l_1) \\ &= (l; l_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $l, l_1 \in L^1$ with $F^1(l) = F^1(l_1)$ and $s_L(l) = s_L(l_1)$. We also need to compute the composition in inverse order

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((m_L \circ (\text{pr}_1; i_L \circ \text{pr}_2); r_L \circ \text{pr}_2); \text{pr}_2)((m; \text{pr}_2)(g; l)) \\
&= ((m_L \circ (\text{pr}_1; i_L \circ \text{pr}_2); r_L \circ \text{pr}_2); \text{pr}_2)(g \cdot l; l) \\
&= ((g \cdot l) \cdot l^{-1}; l) \\
&= (g \cdot (l \cdot l^{-1}); l) \\
&= (g \cdot 1_{r_L(l)}; l) \\
&= (g \cdot 1_{s_L(g)}; l) \\
&= (g; l)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in G^1$, $l \in L^1$ with $s_L(g) = r_L(l)$. So $(m; \text{pr}_2)$ is invertible. Therefore, the G -action $(L^1; m; r)$ described in Example 5.27 is a partially principal bundle over $(F^1; s_L): L^1 \dashrightarrow H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0$. If $F: L \dashrightarrow H$ is a groupoid fibration, then the G -action $(L^1; m; r)$ is a principal bundle.

5.5. Pull-back of a bundle. Let $G = (G^0; G^1; r; s; m)$ be a groupoid in the category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers.

Proposition 5.44. *Let $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ be a G -bundle over $p_Y: Y \rightarrow Z_Y$. If the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
p_X \downarrow & & \downarrow p_Y \\
Z_X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & Z_Y
\end{array}$$

is a pull-back square, then there is a G -bundle $(X; m_X; s_X)$ over $p_X: X \rightarrow Z_X$, such that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -map, and this construction is unique.

This G -bundle is called the *pull-back* of the G -bundle $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ along the arrow $\tilde{f}: Z_X \rightarrow Z_Y$.

Proof. Firstly, it is clear that the anchor map s_X has to be equal to $s_Y \circ f$. We also need the following equations to hold: $p_X \circ m_X = p_X \circ \text{pr}_1$ and $f \circ m_X = m_Y \circ (f \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$. This determines m_X uniquely. Therefore, $m_X = (p_X \circ \text{pr}_1; m_Y \circ (f \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2))$. Elementwise, $(z; y) \cdot g = (z; y \cdot g)$ for all $z \in Z_X$, $y \in Y$, $g \in G^1$ with $\tilde{f}(z) = p_Y(y)$ and $s_Y(y) = r(g)$. The map m_X is well-defined because $\tilde{f}(z) = p_Y(y) = p_Y(y \cdot g)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 & \xrightarrow{(f \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)} & Y \times_{s_Y, G^0, r} G^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & G^1 \\
\text{pr}_1 \downarrow \Downarrow m_X & & \text{pr}_1 \downarrow \Downarrow m_Y & & \downarrow r \\
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{s_Y} & G^0 \\
p_X \downarrow & & \downarrow p_Y & & \\
Z_X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & Z_Y & &
\end{array}$$

We have to show that $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is a G -action. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_X((z; y) \cdot g) &= s_X(z; y \cdot g) \\
&= s_Y(y \cdot g) \\
&= s(g)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in Z_X$, $y \in Y$, $g \in G^1$ with $\tilde{f}(z) = p_Y(y)$ and $s_Y(y) = r(g)$. Property (1) in Definition 5.1 is shown. m_X commutes with m because

$$\begin{aligned} ((z; y) \cdot g) \cdot g_1 &= (z; y \cdot g) \cdot g_1 \\ &= (z; (y \cdot g) \cdot g_1) \\ &= (z; y \cdot (g \cdot g_1)) \\ &= (z; y) \cdot (g \cdot g_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in Z_X$, $y \in Y$, $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $\tilde{f}(z) = p_Y(y)$, $s_Y(y) = r(g)$ and $s(g) = r(g_1)$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (z; y) \cdot 1_{s_X(z; y)} &= (z; y \cdot 1_{s_X(z; y)}) \\ &= (z; y \cdot 1_{s_Y(y)}) \\ &= (z; y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in Z_X$, $y \in Y$, with $\tilde{f}(z) = p_Y(y)$. So Lemma 5.7 works. Hence $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is a G -action, and therefore, it is a G -bundle over $p_X: X \rightarrow Z_X$. \square

Remark 5.45. The construction in Proposition 5.44 is for a right G -action. For a left action the construction is similar. The only change is the action map. The pull back of a left G -bundle $(Y; m_Y; r_Y)$ over $p_Y: Y \rightarrow Z_Y$ along the arrow $\tilde{f}: Z_X \rightarrow Z_Y$ is a G -bundle $(X; (p_X \circ pr_2; m_Y \circ (pr_1; f \circ pr_2)); r_Y \circ f)$ over $p_X: X \rightarrow Z_X$. The action map is defined elementwise by $g \cdot (z; y) = (z; g \cdot y)$ for all $z \in Z_X$, $y \in Y$, $g \in G^1$ with $\tilde{f}(z) = p_Y(y)$ and $r_Y(y) = s(g)$.

Proposition 5.46. *Let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ be a partially principal G -bundle over $p: X \dashrightarrow Z$. Let $f: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ be any arrow. There are a partially principal G -bundle $(\tilde{X}; \tilde{m}_X; \tilde{s}_X)$ over $\tilde{p}: \tilde{X} \dashrightarrow \tilde{Z}$ and a G -map $\alpha: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ with $p \circ \alpha = f \circ \tilde{p}$.*

This partially principal G -bundle is called the *pull-back* of the partially principal G -bundle $(X; m_X; s_X)$ over $p: X \dashrightarrow Z$ along the arrow $f: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{X} = \tilde{Z} \times_{f, Z, p} X$, $\tilde{p} = pr_1$ and $\alpha = pr_2$. We know that this fibre product exists and $\tilde{p} = pr_1$ is a partial cover because $p: X \dashrightarrow Z$ is. Now we can use Proposition 5.44. Consider the pull-back of the G -bundle $(X; m_X; s_X)$ over $p: X \dashrightarrow Z$ along the arrow $f: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$. That is $(\tilde{Z} \times_{f, Z, p} X; (pr_1 \circ pr_1; m_X \circ (pr_2 \circ pr_1; pr_2)); s_X \circ pr_2)$ over $pr_1: \tilde{Z} \times_{f, Z, p} X \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$. This action is defined elementwise by $(z; x) \cdot g = (z; x \cdot g)$ for all $z \in \tilde{Z}$, $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $f(z) = p(x)$ and $s_X(x) = r(g)$. We have to prove that it is partially principal. We need to check that $(pr_1; \tilde{m}_X)$ is invertible, where $\tilde{m}_X = (pr_1 \circ pr_1; m_X \circ (pr_2 \circ pr_1; pr_2))$. Let us show that the following arrow

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xleftarrow{(pr_1; pr_2 \circ (pr_1; m_X)^{-1} \circ (pr_2 \circ pr_1; pr_2 \circ pr_2))} & \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ (\tilde{Z} \times_{f, Z, p} X) \times_{s_X \circ pr_2, G^0, r} G^1 & \xrightarrow{(pr_1; \tilde{m}_X)} & (\tilde{Z} \times_{f, Z, p} X) \times_{pr_1, \tilde{Z}, pr_1} (\tilde{Z} \times_{f, Z, p} X) \end{array}$$

is an inverse of $(pr_1; \tilde{m}_X)$. It is defined elementwise by $((z; x); (z; x_1)) \mapsto ((z; x); g)$, for all $z \in \tilde{Z}$, $x, x_1 \in X$ with $p(x) = f(z) = p(x_1)$, where the element $g \in G^1$ is the unique one with $g \cdot x_1 = x$. This arrow is well-defined because

$$\begin{aligned} s_X(x) &= s_X(x_1 \cdot g^{-1}) \\ &= s(g^{-1}) \\ &= r(g). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the composition:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ (\text{pr}_1; \text{m}_X)^{-1} \circ (\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2))((\text{pr}_1; \tilde{\text{m}}_X)((z; x); g)) \\
&= (\text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ (\text{pr}_1; \text{m}_X)^{-1} \circ (\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2))((z; x); (z; x) \cdot g) \\
&= (\text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ (\text{pr}_1; \text{m}_X)^{-1} \circ (\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2))((z; x); (z; x \cdot g)) \\
&= ((z; x); g)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \tilde{Z}$, $x \in X$, $g \in G^1$ with $\text{p}(x) = f(z)$ and $\text{s}_X(x) = r(g)$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\text{pr}_1; \tilde{\text{m}}_X)((\text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ (\text{pr}_1; \text{m}_X)^{-1} \circ (\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2))((z; x); (z; x_1))) \\
&= (\text{pr}_1; \tilde{\text{m}}_X)((z; x); g) \\
&= ((z; x); (z; x) \cdot g) \\
&= ((z; x); (z; x \cdot g)) \\
&= ((z; x); (z; x_1))
\end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \tilde{Z}$, $x, x_1 \in X$ with $\text{p}(x) = f(z) = \text{p}(x_1)$. So $(\text{pr}_1; \tilde{\text{m}}_X)$ is an isomorphism and therefore, $(\tilde{Z} \times_{f, Z, \text{p}} X; (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{m}_X \circ (\text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)); \text{s}_X \circ \text{pr}_2)$ is a partially principal G -bundle over $\text{pr}_1: \tilde{Z} \times_{f, Z, \text{p}} X \dashrightarrow \tilde{Z}$. \square

Remark 5.47. Under Assumption 2.15, we have the same result as Proposition 5.46 in the global case. Let $(X; \text{m}_X; \text{s}_X)$ be a principal G -bundle over $\text{p}: X \rightarrow Z$. Let $f: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ be any arrow. There are a principal G -bundle $(\tilde{X}; \tilde{\text{m}}_X; \tilde{\text{s}}_X)$ over $\tilde{\text{p}}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ and a G -map $\alpha: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ with $\text{p} \circ \alpha = f \circ \tilde{\text{p}}$. The construction is absolutely the same. The only difference is the conclusion that the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_1: \tilde{Z} \times_{f, Z, \text{p}} X \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ is a cover instead of a partial cover by Assumption 2.15.

Proposition 5.48. *Assume Assumption 2.15. Let $(X; \text{m}_X; \text{s}_X)$ be a partially principal G -bundle over $\text{p}: X \dashrightarrow Z$. Let $f: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ be any arrow. Let $(\tilde{X}; \tilde{\text{m}}_X; \tilde{\text{s}}_X)$ be a principal G -bundle over $\tilde{\text{p}}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ with a G -map $\alpha: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ with $\text{p} \circ \alpha = f \circ \tilde{\text{p}}$. Then this principal G -bundle is bundle isomorphic to the pull-back of the partially principal G -bundle $(X; \text{m}_X; \text{s}_X)$ over $\text{p}: X \dashrightarrow Z$ along the arrow $f: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ (described in Proposition 5.46), which automatically is a principal G -bundle.*

Proof. Our data is depicted in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\tilde{X} \times_{\text{s}_X \circ \alpha, G^0, r} G^1 & \xrightarrow{(\alpha \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)} & X \times_{\text{s}_X, G^0, r} G^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & G^1 \\
\text{pr}_1 \downarrow \Downarrow \tilde{\text{m}}_X & & \text{pr}_1 \downarrow \Downarrow \text{m}_X & & \downarrow r \\
\tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X & \xrightarrow{\text{s}_X} & G^0 \\
\tilde{\text{p}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{p} & & \\
\tilde{Z} & \xrightarrow{f} & Z & &
\end{array}$$

There is a well-defined arrow $(\tilde{\text{p}}; \alpha): \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Z} \times_{f, Z, \text{p}} X$. It is a G -bundle map because $\text{pr}_1 \circ (\tilde{\text{p}}; \alpha) = \tilde{\text{p}}$ and $(\tilde{\text{p}}; \alpha) \circ \tilde{\text{m}}_X = \tilde{\text{m}}_X \circ ((\tilde{\text{p}}; \alpha) \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$, where $\tilde{\text{m}}_X$ is the action map of the pull-back of the partially principal G -bundle $(X; \text{m}_X; \text{s}_X)$ over $\text{p}: X \dashrightarrow Z$ along $f: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$. The previous equation holds because

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{\text{p}}; \alpha)(x \cdot g) &= (\tilde{\text{p}}(x \cdot g); \alpha(x \cdot g)) \\
&= (\tilde{\text{p}}(x); \alpha(x) \cdot g) \\
&= (\tilde{\text{p}}(x); \alpha(x)) \cdot g \\
&= (\tilde{\text{p}}; \alpha)(x) \cdot g
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \hat{X}$, $g \in \mathbf{G}^1$ with $\hat{s}_X(x) = r(g)$. So $(\hat{p}; \alpha)$ is a \mathbf{G} -bundle map. We are going to show that $(\hat{p}; \alpha)$ is an isomorphism. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\hat{X} \times_{\hat{p}, \tilde{Z}, \hat{p}} \hat{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & \hat{X} & & \\
(\text{pr}_1; \alpha \circ \text{pr}_2) \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow (\hat{p}; \alpha) & & \\
\hat{X} \times_{f \circ \hat{p}, Z, p} \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{(\hat{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)} & \tilde{Z} \times_{f, Z, p} \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & \mathbf{X} \\
\text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
\hat{X} & \xrightarrow{\hat{p}} & \tilde{Z} & \xrightarrow{f} & Z
\end{array}$$

It is clear that all squares are pull-back squares by the well-known lemma about a rectangle of a pull-back squares. The arrow

$$(\hat{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2): \hat{X} \times_{f \circ \hat{p}, Z, p} \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Z} \times_{f, Z, p} \mathbf{X}$$

is a cover because of Assumption 2.15. Let us show that the arrow

$$(\text{pr}_1; \alpha \circ \text{pr}_2): \hat{X} \times_{\hat{p}, \tilde{Z}, \hat{p}} \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{X} \times_{f \circ \hat{p}, Z, p} \mathbf{X}$$

has an inverse φ . Let $\varphi = (\text{pr}_1; \hat{m}_X) \circ (\text{pr}_1; \psi)$, where ψ is the composition

$$\hat{X} \times_{f \circ \hat{p}, Z, p} \mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{(\alpha \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)} \mathbf{X} \times_{p, Z, p} \mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_1; \text{m}_X)^{-1}} \mathbf{X} \times_{s_X, \mathbf{G}^0, r} \mathbf{G}^1 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} \mathbf{G}^1,$$

defined elementwise by $\psi(x; x_1) = g$ for all $x \in \hat{X}$, $x_1 \in \mathbf{X}$ with $f(\hat{p}(x)) = p(x_1)$, where g is the unique element in \mathbf{G}^1 such that $\alpha(x) \cdot g = x_1$. So φ is defined elementwise by $\varphi(x; x_1) = (x; x \cdot g)$ for all $x \in \hat{X}$, $x_1 \in \mathbf{X}$ with $f(\hat{p}(x)) = p(x_1)$, where g is the unique element in \mathbf{G}^1 such that $\alpha(x) \cdot g = x_1$. Here the arrow $(\alpha \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$ is well-defined because $p \circ \alpha \circ \text{pr}_1 = f \circ \hat{p} \circ \text{pr}_1 = p \circ \text{pr}_2$. The arrow

$$(\text{pr}_1; \psi): \hat{X} \times_{f \circ \hat{p}, Z, p} \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \hat{X} \times_{s_X \circ \alpha, \mathbf{G}^0, r} \mathbf{G}^1$$

is well-defined because $s_X(\alpha(x)) = s_X(x_1 \cdot g^{-1}) = s(g^{-1}) = r(g)$ for all $x, x_1 \in \mathbf{X}$ with $f(\hat{p}(x)) = p(x_1)$.

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{pr}_1; \alpha \circ \text{pr}_2)(\varphi(x; x_1)) &= (\text{pr}_1; \alpha \circ \text{pr}_2)(x; x \cdot g) \\
&= (x; \alpha(x \cdot g)) \\
&= (x; \alpha(x) \cdot g) \\
&= (x; x_1)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1 \in \mathbf{X}$ with $f(\hat{p}(x)) = p(x_1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi((\text{pr}_1; \alpha \circ \text{pr}_2)(x; x_1)) &= \varphi(x; \alpha(x_1)) \\
&= (x; x \cdot g)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1 \in \mathbf{X}$ with $\hat{p}(x) = \hat{p}(x_1)$, where g is the unique element in \mathbf{G}^1 such that $\alpha(x) \cdot g = \alpha(x_1)$ for all $x, x_1 \in \mathbf{X}$ with $\hat{p}(x) = \hat{p}(x_1)$. Since $\hat{p}(x) = \hat{p}(x_1)$, there is the unique $g_1 \in \mathbf{G}^1$ such that $x \cdot g_1 = x_1$. Thus $\alpha(x_1) = \alpha(x \cdot g_1) = \alpha(x) \cdot g_1$. Therefore, $g = g_1$. Thus $\varphi((\text{pr}_1; \alpha \circ \text{pr}_2)(x; x_1)) = (x; x \cdot g) = (x; x \cdot g_1) = (x; x_1)$ for all $x, x_1 \in \mathbf{X}$ with $\hat{p}(x) = \hat{p}(x_1)$. So $(\text{pr}_1; \alpha \circ \text{pr}_2)$ is an isomorphism. Since it is the pull-back of $(\hat{p}; \alpha)$ along the cover $(\hat{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$, $(\hat{p}; \alpha)$ is an isomorphism by Lemma 2.13. Thus the principal \mathbf{G} -bundle $(\hat{X}; \hat{m}_X; \hat{s}_X)$ over $\hat{p}: \hat{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ is isomorphic to the pull-back of the partially principal \mathbf{G} -bundle $(\mathbf{X}; m_X; s_X)$ over $p: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow Z$ along the arrow $f: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$. Hence this pull-back is a principal bundle, too. Thus the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_1: \tilde{Z} \times_{f, Z, p} \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ is a cover. \square

Corollary 5.49. *Assume Assumption 2.15. Let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ be a principal G -bundle over $p: X \rightarrow Z$. Then its pull-back along any $f: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ is a principal bundle, and it is unique in the following sense: for any principal G -bundle $(\hat{X}; \hat{m}_X; \hat{s}_X)$ over $\hat{p}: \hat{X} \rightarrow Z$ and for any G -map $g: \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ with $p \circ g = f \circ \hat{p}$, this principal G -bundle is bundle isomorphic to the pull-back of $(X; m_X; s_X)$ along $f: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$.*

Proof. The coordinate projection $\text{pr}_1: \tilde{Z} \times_{f, Z, p} X \rightarrow \tilde{Z}$ is a cover by Assumption 2.15 because $p: X \rightarrow Z$ is a cover. The other things are the same as in the proof of Proposition 5.48. \square

Lemma 5.50. *Let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ be the pull-back of a G -bundle $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ over $\beta: Y \rightarrow A$ along a partial cover $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ (Proposition 5.44). If $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ is a principal G -bundle over $p_Y: Y \rightarrow Z$, then $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is a partially principal G -bundle over $(\text{pr}_1; p_Y \circ \text{pr}_2): X \dashrightarrow B \times_{f, A, \alpha} Z$, where $\alpha: Z \rightarrow A$ is a unique factorization of $p_Y: Y \rightarrow Z$ by $\beta: Y \rightarrow A$. Under Assumption 2.15, $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is a principal bundle, too.*

Proof. The arrow $\alpha: Z \rightarrow A$ exists because $p_Y: Y \rightarrow Z$ is a coequaliser of the parallel arrows $\text{pr}_1, m_Y: Y \times_{s_Y, G^0, r} G^1 \rightrightarrows Y$ and $\beta \circ \text{pr}_1 = \beta \circ m_Y$. For any element y in Y we have $\alpha([y]) = \beta(y)$.

We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \overset{\text{pr}_2}{\dashrightarrow} & Y \\
 (\text{pr}_1; p_Y \circ \text{pr}_2) \downarrow & & \downarrow p_Y \\
 B \times_{f, A, \alpha} Z & \overset{\text{pr}_2}{\dashrightarrow} & Z \\
 \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 B & \overset{f}{\dashrightarrow} & A
 \end{array}
 \quad \beta$$

of pull-back squares, which shows that the upper square is a pull-back square, too. Therefore, the arrow $(\text{pr}_1; p_Y \circ \text{pr}_2): X \dashrightarrow B \times_{f, A, \alpha} Z$ is a partial cover because it is the pull-back of the cover $p_Y: Y \rightarrow Z$. Because of Propositions 5.46 and 5.44 the G -bundle $(X; m_X; s_X)$ over $(\text{pr}_1; p_Y \circ \text{pr}_2): X \dashrightarrow B \times_{f, A, \alpha} Z$ is the pull-back of the principal G -bundle $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ over $p_Y: Y \rightarrow Z$ along $\text{pr}_2: B \times_{f, A, \alpha} Z \dashrightarrow Z$. Therefore, it is a partially principal bundle. It is clear that under Assumption 2.15, the arrow $(\text{pr}_1; p_Y \circ \text{pr}_2): X \dashrightarrow B \times_{f, A, \alpha} Z$ is a cover and, therefore, the G -bundle $(X; m_X; s_X)$ over $(\text{pr}_1; p_Y \circ \text{pr}_2): X \dashrightarrow B \times_{f, A, \alpha} Z$ is principal. \square

Lemma 5.51. *Let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ be a principal G -bundle over $p_X: X \rightarrow Z_X$ and let $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ be a partially principal G -bundle over $p_Y: Y \dashrightarrow Z_Y$. Any G -map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces an arrow $\tilde{f}: Z_X \rightarrow Z_Y$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ p_X = p_Y \circ f$.*

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a G -map. We know that $p_X: X \rightarrow Z_X$ is a coequaliser of the pair of parallel arrows $\text{pr}_1, m_X: X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightrightarrows X$, and

$$p_Y \circ f \circ \text{pr}_1 = p_Y \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ (f \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) = p_Y \circ m_Y \circ (f \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) = p_Y \circ f \circ m_X.$$

Therefore, there exists an arrow $\tilde{f}: Z_X \rightarrow Z_Y$ which is a factorization of the arrow $p_Y \circ f: X \rightarrow Z_Y$. Hence $\tilde{f} \circ p_X = p_Y \circ f$. The arrow \tilde{f} is defined elementwise by $\tilde{f}([x]) = [f(x)]$ for all $x \in X$. \square

The equality in Lemma 5.51 means that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 p_X \downarrow & & \downarrow p_Y \\
 Z_X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & Z_Y
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Under Assumption 2.15, it is a pull-back square by Proposition 5.48. The following corollaries give extra information about the arrows $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $\tilde{f}: Z_X \rightarrow Z_Y$ under additional assumptions.

Corollary 5.52. *Under Assumption 2.15, if $\tilde{f}: Z_X \dashrightarrow Z_Y$ is a partial cover, so is $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$, and if $\tilde{f}: Z_X \twoheadrightarrow Z_Y$ is a cover, so is $f: X \twoheadrightarrow Y$.*

Proof. We just use Proposition 5.48 and Assumption 2.15 and the proof is obvious. \square

Corollary 5.53. *Assume Assumptions 2.15 and 2.18. Let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ and $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ be principal G -bundles over $p_X: X \twoheadrightarrow Z_X$ and $p_Y: Y \twoheadrightarrow Z_Y$, respectively, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a G -map that induces the arrow $\tilde{f}: Z_X \rightarrow Z_Y$. $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a cover if and only if $\tilde{f}: Z_X \rightarrow Z_Y$ is.*

Proof. If $\tilde{f}: Z_X \rightarrow Z_Y$ is a cover, then so is $f: X \rightarrow Y$ because of Corollary 5.52. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a cover, then so is $\tilde{f}: Z_X \rightarrow Z_Y$ because of Assumption 2.18. \square

Corollary 5.54. *Under Assumption 2.15, $\tilde{f}: Z_X \rightarrow Z_Y$ is an isomorphism if and only if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is.*

Proof. Since $p_X: X \twoheadrightarrow Z_X$ is a cover, it is epic. Therefore, Lemma 2.13 works. The converse holds in any pull-back square. \square

5.6. Basic actions and assumptions on it. In this subsection, we define basic and partially basic actions and consider an extra assumption about basic actions. Let G be a groupoid in the category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers.

Definition 5.55. A G -action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is called *partially basic* if it is a partially principal G -bundle over some partial cover $p: X \dashrightarrow Z$. A partially basic action is *basic* if this G -bundle is principal.

Proposition 5.56. *A G -action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is partially basic if and only if the transformation groupoid $X \rtimes G$ is isomorphic to a Čech groupoid of some partial cover $p: X \dashrightarrow Z$.*

Here we mean that the isomorphism between these groupoids is an identity arrow on objects.

Proof. Suppose that the G -action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is partially basic. That is, it is a partially principal G -bundle over a partial cover $p: X \dashrightarrow Z$. We are going to show that the transformation groupoid is isomorphic to the Čech groupoid of $p: X \dashrightarrow Z$. Notice that the pair of arrows $(pr_1; m_X): X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightarrow X \times_{p, Z, p} X$ and $id_X: X \rightarrow X$ gives the functor from the transformation groupoid to the Čech groupoid of $p: X \dashrightarrow Z$. The equalities $pr_1 \circ (pr_1; m_X) = pr_1$ and $pr_2 \circ (pr_1; m_X) = m_X$ mean that $(pr_1; m_X)$ and id_X intertwine the source and range maps of these groupoids. We need to check it for the multiplication maps. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(pr_1; m_X)((x; g) \cdot (x_1; g_1)) &= (pr_1; m_X)(x; g \cdot g_1) \\
&= (x; x \cdot (g \cdot g_1)) \\
&= (x; (x \cdot g) \cdot g_1) \\
&= (x; x_1 \cdot g_1) \\
&= (x; x_1) \cdot (x_1; x_1 \cdot g_1) \\
&= (x; x \cdot g) \cdot (x_1; x_1 \cdot g_1) \\
&= (pr_1; m_X)(x; g) \cdot (pr_1; m_X)(x_1; g_1)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1 \in X$, $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g)$, $s_X(x_1) = r(g_1)$ and $x \cdot g = x_1$. So the multiplication maps are intertwined, too. Since $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is a partially

principal G -bundle over $p: X \dashrightarrow Z$, the arrow $(pr_1; m_X)$ is invertible. So we have an isomorphism between the transformation groupoid $X \rtimes G$ and the Čech groupoid of $p: X \dashrightarrow Z$.

Conversely, let the transformation groupoid be isomorphic to the Čech groupoid of $p: X \dashrightarrow Z$. We assume that this isomorphism is an identity arrow on objects and an isomorphism $\varphi: X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightarrow X \times_{p, Z, p} X$ on arrows. Since it has to intertwine the source and range maps, we have $pr_1 \circ \varphi = pr_1$ and $pr_2 \circ \varphi = m_X$. Therefore, $\varphi = (pr_1; m_X)$. So $(pr_1; m_X): X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightarrow X \times_{p, Z, p} X$ is invertible. Thus the G -action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is partially basic. \square

Remark 5.57. The global case of Proposition 5.56 with its proof is absolutely the same. A G -action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is basic if and only if the transformation groupoid $X \rtimes G$ is isomorphic to a Čech groupoid of some cover $p: X \rightarrow Z$.

Definition 5.58. A groupoid G is called *partially basic* or *basic* if the canonical action on its objects (described in Example 5.21) is partially basic or basic, respectively.

Lemma 5.59. *A groupoid $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m)$ is partially basic if and only if there is a partial cover $p: G^0 \dashrightarrow Z$ such that the arrow $(r; s): G^1 \rightarrow G^0 \times_{p, Z, p} G^0$ is well-defined and invertible.*

Proof. Suppose that a groupoid G is partially basic. That is, the action described in Example 5.21 is partially basic. Therefore, there is a G -invariant partial cover $p: G^0 \dashrightarrow Z$ such that the arrow $(pr_1; s \circ pr_2): G^0 \times_{id_{G^0}, G^0, s} G^1 \rightarrow G^0 \times_{p, Z, p} G^0$ is invertible. The arrow $G^0 \times_{id_{G^0}, G^0, s} G^1 \rightarrow G^1$ is an isomorphism because it is a pull-back of id_{G^0} . Also, $pr_1 = r \circ pr_2$. Therefore, $(r; s) = (pr_1; s \circ pr_2) \circ pr_2^{-1}$. Thus the arrow $(r; s): G^1 \rightarrow G^0 \times_{p, Z, p} G^0$ is well-defined and invertible.

Conversely, if the arrow $(r; s): G^1 \rightarrow G^0 \times_{p, Z, p} G^0$ is well-defined and invertible, then the arrow $(pr_1; s \circ pr_2): G^0 \times_{id_{G^0}, G^0, s} G^1 \rightarrow G^0 \times_{p, Z, p} G^0$ is well-defined and invertible because $(pr_1; s \circ pr_2) = (r; s) \circ pr_2$. \square

It is clear that we have the same in the case of the global situation.

Corollary 5.60. *A groupoid $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m)$ is partially basic if and only if it is isomorphic to the Čech groupoid of some partial cover $p: G^0 \dashrightarrow Z$.*

Here we mean that the isomorphism between these groupoids is an identity arrow on objects.

Proof. If G is partially basic then there are a partial cover $p: G^0 \dashrightarrow Z$ and a well-defined isomorphism $(r; s): G^1 \rightarrow G^0 \times_{p, Z, p} G^0$. This isomorphism and the identity arrow on objects intertwine the range and source maps of the groupoid G and the Čech groupoid of $p: G^0 \dashrightarrow Z$. They intertwine the multiplication maps, too because we have

$$\begin{aligned} (r; s)(g \cdot g_1) &= (r(g \cdot g_1); s(g \cdot g_1)) \\ &= (r(g); s(g_1)) \\ &= (r(g); s(g)) \cdot (s(g); s(g_1)) \\ &= (r(g); s(g)) \cdot (r(g_1); s(g_1)) \\ &= (r; s)(g) \cdot (r; s)(g_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s(g) = r(g_1)$. Therefore, we have an isomorphism between the groupoid G and the Čech groupoid of $p: G^0 \dashrightarrow Z$. \square

Remark 5.61. There is an analogous corollary in the global case: A groupoid $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m)$ is basic if and only if it is isomorphic to the Čech groupoid of some cover $p: G^0 \rightarrow Z$.

Corollary 5.62. *A G-action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is partially basic if and only if the transformation groupoid $X \rtimes G$ is partially basic. A G-action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is basic if and only if the transformation groupoid $X \rtimes G$ is basic.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 5.56 and Corollary 5.60. \square

The following useful lemmas cannot be proven without the following extra assumption about basic groupoids and basic actions.

Assumption 5.63. Any action of a basic groupoid is basic.

Lemma 5.64. *Let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ and $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ be G-actions and let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a G-map. Under Assumption 5.63, if $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is basic then so is $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$.*

Proof. Since the G-action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is basic, the transformation groupoid $X \rtimes G$ is basic by Corollary 5.62. The G-action $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ together with a G-map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is equivalent to an $X \rtimes G$ -action over Y by Proposition 5.32. Therefore, the G-action $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ is basic by Assumption 5.63. \square

Lemma 5.65. *Assume Assumptions 2.15 and 5.63. Let $(X; m_X; s_X)$, $(X_1; m_{X_1}; s_{X_1})$ and $(X_2; m_{X_2}; s_{X_2})$ be principal G-bundles over $p: X \rightarrow Z$, $p_1: X_1 \rightarrow Z_1$ and $p_2: X_2 \rightarrow Z_2$, respectively. Let $f_1: X_1 \rightarrow X$ and $f_2: X_2 \rightarrow X$ be G-maps and let them induce $\tilde{f}_1: Z_1 \rightarrow Z$ and $\tilde{f}_2: Z_2 \rightarrow Z$, respectively. If the fibre products $X_1 \times_{f_1, X, f_2} X_2$ and $Z_1 \times_{\tilde{f}_1, Z, \tilde{f}_2} Z_2$ exist, then the fibre product of G-maps $f_1: X_1 \rightarrow X$ and $f_2: X_2 \rightarrow X$, described in Lemma 5.20, is a principal G-bundle over*

$$(p_1 \circ pr_1; p_2 \circ pr_2): X_1 \times_{f_1, X, f_2} X_2 \rightarrow Z_1 \times_{\tilde{f}_1, Z, \tilde{f}_2} Z_2, \quad (x_1; x_2) \mapsto ([x_1]; [x_2]).$$

Proof. We know by construction that the coordinate projections pr_1 and pr_2 of $X_1 \times_{f_1, X, f_2} X_2$ are G-maps (see Lemma 5.20). So the G-action $(X_1 \times_{f_1, X, f_2} X_2; m_0; s_0)$ is a principal G-bundle over some cover $p_{12}: X_1 \times_{f_1, X, f_2} X_2 \rightarrow Z_{12}$ by Lemma 5.64. Let $\tilde{pr}_1: Z_{12} \rightarrow Z_1$ and $\tilde{pr}_2: Z_{12} \rightarrow Z_2$ be arrows induced by pr_1 and pr_2 , respectively. We must show that $Z_{12} \cong Z_1 \times_{\tilde{f}_1, Z, \tilde{f}_2} Z_2$ and $p_{12} = (p_1 \circ pr_1; p_2 \circ pr_2)$.

Consider any object A and arrows $z_1: A \rightarrow Z_1$ and $z_2: A \rightarrow Z_2$ such that $\tilde{f}_1 \circ z_1 = \tilde{f}_2 \circ z_2$. Let G-action $(B; m; s)$ over $\alpha: B \rightarrow A$ be a pull-back of the principal G-bundle $(X; m_X; s_X)$ over $p: X \rightarrow Z$ along the arrow $\tilde{f}_1 \circ z_1 = \tilde{f}_2 \circ z_2$. On the one hand it is a pull-back of the principal G-bundle $(X_1; m_{X_1}; s_{X_1})$ over $p_1: X_1 \rightarrow Z_1$ along the arrow $z_1: A \rightarrow Z_1$ and on the other hand it is a pull-back of the principal G-bundle $(X_2; m_{X_2}; s_{X_2})$ over $p_2: X_2 \rightarrow Z_2$ along the arrow $z_2: A \rightarrow Z_2$. Therefore, there are G-maps $x_1: B \rightarrow X_1$ and $x_2: B \rightarrow X_2$ which induce the arrows $z_1: A \rightarrow Z_1$ and $z_2: A \rightarrow Z_2$, respectively. Since the lifting of the arrow $\tilde{f}_1 \circ z_1 = \tilde{f}_2 \circ z_2$ is unique, we have $f_1 \circ x_1 = f_2 \circ x_2$. Thus there is a unique arrow $(x_1; x_2): B \rightarrow X_1 \times_{f_1, X, f_2} X_2$ which is a G-map by Lemma 5.20. Therefore, it induces a unique arrow $z_{12}: A \rightarrow Z_{12}$ such that $z_{12} \circ \alpha = p_{12} \circ (x_1; x_2)$. Since $pr_1 \circ (x_1; x_2) = x_1$ and $pr_2 \circ (x_1; x_2) = x_2$, we have $\tilde{pr}_1 \circ z_{12} = z_1$ and $\tilde{pr}_2 \circ z_{12} = z_2$. By construction, such arrow z_{12} is unique, and therefore, we have $Z_{12} \cong Z_1 \times_{\tilde{f}_1, Z, \tilde{f}_2} Z_2$. Since $\tilde{pr}_1 \circ p_{12} = p_1 \circ pr_1$ and $\tilde{pr}_2 \circ p_{12} = p_2 \circ pr_2$, we can deduce that the fibre product of the G-maps $f_1: X_1 \rightarrow X$ and $f_2: X_2 \rightarrow X$ is a principal G-bundle over $(p_1 \circ pr_1; p_2 \circ pr_2): X_1 \times_{f_1, X, f_2} X_2 \rightarrow Z_1 \times_{\tilde{f}_1, Z, \tilde{f}_2} Z_2$. \square

There is a weaker assumption about basic groupoids and basic action.

Assumption 5.66. Any sheaf over a basic groupoid is basic.

Lemma 5.67. *Let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ and $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ be G-actions and let the cover $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a G-map. Under Assumption 5.66, if $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is basic then so is $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$.*

Proof. Since the G -action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is basic, the transformation groupoid $X \rtimes G$ is basic by Corollary 5.62. The G -action $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ together with a G -map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is equivalent to an $X \rtimes G$ -action on Y by Proposition 5.32. Therefore, the G -action $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ is basic by Assumption 5.63. \square

5.7. Groupoid fibrations with basic fibre.

Proposition 5.68. *Assume Assumption 2.15. Let $F: L \rightarrow H$ be a groupoid fibration with basic fibre G . There is a groupoid L/G , call it a quotient groupoid, with a canonical groupoid fibration $F_1: L \rightarrow L/G$ with fibre G , which is a cover on objects, and with a quotient groupoid covering $F_2: L/G \rightarrow H$ such that $F = F_2 \circ F_1$.*

Proof. Since the groupoid G is basic, there are an object Z and a cover $p: L^0 \rightarrow Z$ which are an orbit space and orbit space projection of the right canonical action of G on its objects L^0 (see Example 5.21). It is clear that the left canonical action of G on its objects L^0 has the same orbit space and orbit space projection.

Notice that the arrow $F^0: L^0 \rightarrow H^0$ is G -invariant because

$$\begin{aligned} F^0(l_0 \cdot g) &= F^0(s_L(g)) \\ &= r_H(1_{F^0(s_L(g))}) \\ &= r_H(F^1(g)) \\ &= F^0(r_L(g)) \\ &= F^0(l_0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $l_0 \in L^0$, $g \in G^1$ with $l_0 = r_L(g)$. Thus we have a unique arrow $\alpha: Z \rightarrow H^0$ such that $F^0 = \alpha \circ p$. Elementwise $\alpha([l_0]) = F^0(l_0)$ for all $l_0 \in L^0$.

There is a left principal G -bundle $(L^1; m; r_L)$ over the arrow

$$(F^1; s_L): L^1 \rightarrow H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0, \quad l \mapsto (F^1(l); s_L(l)),$$

which is described in Example 5.27. Also, we have a canonical left principal G -bundle over $p: L^0 \rightarrow Z$. Let us show that the range map $r_L: L^1 \rightarrow L^0$ is a G -map. It obviously commutes with anchor maps. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} r_L(g \cdot l) &= r_L(g) \\ &= g \cdot s_L(g) \\ &= g \cdot r_L(l) \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in G^1$, $l \in L^1$ with $s_L(g) = r_L(l)$. Therefore, the G -map $r_L: L^1 \rightarrow L^0$ induces an arrow $\tilde{r}: H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 \rightarrow Z$ such that $\tilde{r} \circ (F^1; s_L) = p \circ r_L$ by Lemma 5.51. \tilde{r} is defined elementwise by $\tilde{r}(F^1(l); s_L(l)) = [r_L(l)]$ for all $l \in L^1$.

Consider the pull-back of the right G -bundle $(L^0; s_G \circ pr_2; id_{L^0})$ over $F^0: L^0 \rightarrow H^0$ along the cover $s_H: H^1 \rightarrow H^0$. An action map of this action is defined elementwise by $(h; l_0) \cdot g = (h; s_L(g))$ for all $h \in H^1$, $l_0 \in L^0$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_H(h) = F^0(l_0)$ and $l_0 = r_L(g)$. We know that, under Assumption 2.15, this action is a principal G -bundle over $(pr_1; p \circ pr_2): H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 \rightarrow H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, \alpha} Z$ by Lemma 5.50. Let us show that the arrow $\tilde{r}: H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 \rightarrow Z$ is G -invariant. There is one more right G -action defined in Remark 5.28. That is $(L^1; m_2; s_L)$, where $m_2 = m_L \circ (pr_1; pr_1 \circ pr_2)$. In the

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
L^1 \times_{s_L, G^0, r_G} G^1 & \xrightarrow{((F^1; s_L) \circ pr_1; pr_2)} & (H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0) \times_{pr_2, G^0, r_G} G^1 & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & G^1 \\
\downarrow pr_1 \downarrow m_2 & & \downarrow pr_1 \downarrow m_1 & & \downarrow r_G \\
L^1 & \xrightarrow{(F^1; s_L)} & H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 & \xrightarrow{pr_2} & G^0 \\
\downarrow r_L & & \downarrow \tilde{r} & & \\
L^0 & \xrightarrow{p} & Z & &
\end{array}$$

each square is a pull-back square. The arrow $((F^1; s_L) \circ pr_1; pr_2)$ is a cover by Assumption 2.15 because it is a pull-back of $(F^1; s_L)$. So it is a coequaliser and therefore, it is epic. For any l in L^1 and for any g in G^1 with $s_L(l) = r_L(g)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{r}((F^1(l); s_L(l)) \cdot g) &= \tilde{r}(F^1(l); r_L(g)) \\
&= \tilde{r}(F^1(l); s_L(l)) \\
&= [r_L(l)] \\
&= \tilde{r}(F^1(l); s_L(l)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\tilde{r} \circ m_1 \circ ((F^1; s_L) \circ pr_1; pr_2) = \tilde{r} \circ pr_1 \circ ((F^1; s_L) \circ pr_1; pr_2)$. Since $((F^1; s_L) \circ pr_1; pr_2)$ is epic, $\tilde{r} \circ m_1 = \tilde{r} \circ pr_1$. So the arrow $\tilde{r}: H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 \rightarrow Z$ is G -invariant. Therefore, there is a unique arrow $m_Z: H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, \alpha} Z \rightarrow Z$ such that $m_Z \circ (pr_1; p \circ pr_2) = \tilde{r}$. Elementwise $h \cdot [l_0] = \tilde{r}(h; l_0)$ for all $h \in H^1$, $l_0 \in L^0$ with $s_H(h) = F^0(l_0)$. For any element l in L^1 we have $F^1(l) \cdot [s_L(l)] = [r_L(l)]$. We are going to show that $(Z; m_Z; \alpha)$ is a left H -action.

Since the arrows $(pr_1; p \circ pr_2)$ and $(F^1; s_L)$ are covers, they are coequalisers and therefore, they are epics. It is clear that the composition of epics is epic. So $(pr_1; p \circ pr_2) \circ (F^1; s_L)$ is epic. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(F^1(l) \cdot [s_L(l)]) &= \alpha([r_L(l)]) \\
&= F^0(r_L(l)) \\
&= r_H(F^1(l))
\end{aligned}$$

for all $l \in L^1$. Therefore, $\alpha \circ m_Z \circ (pr_1; p \circ pr_2) \circ (F^1; s_L) = r_H \circ pr_1 \circ (pr_1; p \circ pr_2) \circ (F^1; s_L)$. Thus $\alpha \circ m_Z = r_H \circ pr_1$. This is the condition (1).

The next goal is to show that the arrow $m_Z: H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, \alpha} Z \rightarrow Z$ commutes with the multiplication map of H .

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & L^1 \times_{pos_L, L^0, por_L} L^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{m}_L} & L^1 \\
& \swarrow pr_1 & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow r_L \\
L^1 & \xrightarrow{r_L} & L^0 & \xrightarrow{(F^1; pos_L)} & L^1 \\
\downarrow (F^1; pos_L) & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow (F^1; pos_L) \\
H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, \alpha} Z & \xrightarrow{pr_1} & A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, \alpha} Z \\
& \swarrow pr_1 & \downarrow p & & \downarrow m_Z \\
H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, \alpha} Z & \xrightarrow{m_Z} & Z & & Z
\end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= (H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, \alpha} Z) \times_{pr_2, Z, m_Z} (H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, \alpha} Z), \\
\beta &= (m_H \circ (pr_1 \circ pr_1; pr_1 \circ pr_2); pr_2 \circ pr_2), \quad ((h; z); (h_1; z_1)) \mapsto (h \cdot h_1; z_1),
\end{aligned}$$

$\varphi = ((F^1; p \circ s_L) \circ pr_1; (F^1; p \circ s_L) \circ pr_2), \quad (l; l_1) \mapsto ((F^1(l); [s_L(l)]); (F^1(l_1); [s_L(l_1)]))$
and $\tilde{m}_L = m_L \circ (pr_1; m_L \circ (pr_1 \circ \psi; pr_2))$, where ψ is the following composition:

$$L^1 \times_{p \circ s_L, Z, p \circ r_L} L^1 \xrightarrow{(s_L \circ pr_1; r_L \circ pr_2)} L^0 \times_{p, Z, p} L^0 \xrightarrow{(pr_1; s_G \circ pr_2)^{-1}} L^0 \times_{id_{L^0}, G^0, r_G \circ pr_2} G^1 \xrightarrow{pr_2} G^1.$$

The arrow \tilde{m}_L is defined elementwise by $\tilde{m}_L(l; l_1) = l \cdot g \cdot l_1$ for all $l, l_1 \in L^1$ with $[s_L(l)] = [r_L(l_1)]$, where g is the unique element in G^1 such that $s_L(l) \cdot g = r_L(l_1)$. Since $pr_2: H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, \alpha} Z \rightarrow Z$ is a pull-back of s_H , it is a cover, and therefore, the object A exists. Since $s_H \circ F^1 = F^0 \circ s_L = \alpha \circ p \circ s_L$, the arrow $(F^1; p \circ s_L)$ is well-defined. The arrow β is well-defined because

$$\begin{aligned} s_H(h \cdot h_1) &= s_H(h_1) \\ &= \alpha(z_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $h, h_1 \in H^1$, $z_1 \in Z$ with $s_H(h_1) = \alpha(z_1)$. The arrow φ is well-defined because

$$\begin{aligned} F^1(l_1) \cdot [s_L(l_1)] &= [r_L(l_1)] \\ &= [s_L(l)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $l, l_1 \in L^1$ with $[s_L(l)] = [r_L(l_1)]$. We are going to prove that each square commutes in the diagram above.

Since $r_L(l \cdot g \cdot l_1) = r_L(l)$ for all $l, l_1 \in L^1$ with $[s_L(l)] = [r_L(l_1)]$, the upper square commutes. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (F^1(l \cdot g \cdot l_1); [s_L(l \cdot g \cdot l_1)]) &= (F^1(l) \cdot F^1(g) \cdot F^1(l_1); [s_L(l_1)]) \\ &= (F^1(l) \cdot F^1(l_1); [s_L(l_1)]) \\ &= \beta((F^1(l); [s_L(l)]); (F^1(l_1); [s_L(l_1)])) \\ &= \beta(\varphi(l; l_1)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $l, l_1 \in L^1$ with $[s_L(l)] = [r_L(l_1)]$. Therefore, $\beta \circ \varphi = (F^1; p \circ s_L) \circ \tilde{m}_L$. The left and right squares and the square face to the reader commute obviously. Finally, We have

$$\begin{aligned} m_Z \circ pr_1 \circ \varphi &= m_Z \circ (F^1; p \circ s_L) \circ pr_1 \\ &= p \circ r_L \circ pr_1 \\ &= p \circ r_L \circ m_L \\ &= m_Z \circ (F^1; p \circ s_L) \circ m_L \\ &= m_Z \circ \beta \circ \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $m_Z \circ pr_1 \circ \varphi = m_Z \circ \beta \circ \varphi$. The next goal is to show that the arrow φ is epic.

Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^1 \times_{p \circ s_L, Z, p \circ r_L} L^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad pr_2 \quad} & L^1 \\ \downarrow (pr_1; (F^1; s_L) \circ pr_2) & & \downarrow (F^1; s_L) \\ L^1 \times_{p \circ s_L, Z, \alpha} (H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0) & \xrightarrow{\quad pr_2 \quad} & H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 \\ \downarrow (pr_1; (pr_1; p \circ pr_2) \circ pr_2) & & \downarrow (pr_1; p \circ pr_2) \\ L^1 \times_{p \circ s_L, Z, m_Z} (H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, \alpha} Z) & \xrightarrow{\quad pr_2 \quad} & H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, \alpha} Z \\ \downarrow pr_1 & & \downarrow m_Z \\ L^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad p \circ s_L \quad} & Z \end{array}$$

Each square is a pull-back square in it. Under Assumption 2.15, the arrows $(\text{pr}_1; (\text{F}^1; \text{s}_L) \circ \text{pr}_2)$ and $(\text{pr}_1; (\text{pr}_1; \text{p} \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ \text{pr}_2)$ are covers. Hence they are coequalisers and therefore, epics. Thus their composition

$$(\text{pr}_1; (\text{pr}_1; \text{p} \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ (\text{pr}_1; (\text{F}^1; \text{s}_L) \circ \text{pr}_2) = (\text{pr}_1; (\text{F}^1; \text{p} \circ \text{s}_L) \circ \text{pr}_2)$$

is epic. Analogously, if we consider the pull-backs of the following sequence of the composable covers

$$\text{L}^1 \xrightarrow{(\text{F}^1; \text{s}_L)} \text{H}^1 \times_{\text{s}_H, \text{H}^0, \text{F}^0} \text{L}^0 \xrightarrow{((\text{pr}_1; \text{p} \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)} \text{H}^1 \times_{\text{s}_H, \text{H}^0, \alpha} \text{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} \text{Z}$$

along the arrow $\text{m}_Z: \text{H}^1 \times_{\text{s}_H, \text{H}^0, \alpha} \text{Z} \rightarrow \text{Z}$, we get that the following composition

$$((\text{pr}_1; \text{p} \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) \circ ((\text{F}^1; \text{s}_L) \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) = ((\text{F}^1; \text{p} \circ \text{s}_L) \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$$

is epic. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\text{F}^1; \text{p} \circ \text{s}_L) \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) \circ (\text{pr}_1; (\text{F}^1; \text{p} \circ \text{s}_L) \circ \text{pr}_2) \\ &= ((\text{F}^1; \text{p} \circ \text{s}_L) \circ \text{pr}_1; (\text{F}^1; \text{p} \circ \text{s}_L) \circ \text{pr}_2) \\ &= \varphi \end{aligned}$$

is epic. Therefore, $\text{m}_Z \circ \beta = \text{m}_Z \circ \text{pr}_1$. Elementwise $(h \cdot h_1) \cdot z_1 = h \cdot z$ for all $((h; z); (h_1; z_1)) \in \mathbf{A}$. Since $z = h_1 \cdot z_1$, we have $(h \cdot h_1) \cdot z_1 = h \cdot (h_1 \cdot z_1)$ for all $h, h_1 \in \text{H}^1$, $z_1 \in \text{Z}$ with $\text{s}_H(h) = \text{r}_H(h_1)$ and $\text{s}_H(h_1) = \alpha(z_1)$. So the condition (2) holds.

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\alpha(l_0)} \cdot [l_0] &= \tilde{r}(1_{\alpha(l_0)}; [l_0]) \\ &= \tilde{r}(1_{\text{F}^0(l_0)}; l_0) \\ &= \tilde{r}(\text{F}^1(1_{(l_0)}); l_0) \\ &= \tilde{r}(\text{F}^1(1_{(l_0)}); \text{s}_L(1_{(l_0)})) \\ &= [\text{r}_L(1_{(l_0)})] \\ &= [l_0] \end{aligned}$$

for all $l_0 \in \text{L}^0$. Since $\text{p}: \text{L}^0 \rightarrow \text{Z}$ is a cover, it is epic and therefore, $\text{m}_Z \circ (\text{u}_H \circ \alpha; \text{id}_Z) = \text{id}_Z$. So we can deduce that $(\text{Z}; \text{m}_Z; \alpha)$ is a left H -action by Remark 5.11.

Let the groupoid L/G be the transformation groupoid of the left H -action $(\text{Z}; \text{m}_Z; \alpha)$. We know from Example 5.31 that there is a groupoid covering $\text{F}_2: \text{H} \times \text{Z} \rightarrow \text{H}$ where $\text{F}_2^1 = \text{pr}_1: \text{H}^1 \times_{\text{s}_H, \text{H}^0, \alpha} \text{Z} \rightarrow \text{H}^1$ and $\text{F}_2^0 = \alpha: \text{Z} \rightarrow \text{H}^0$.

There is a functor $\text{F}_1: \text{L} \rightarrow \text{H} \times \text{Z}$, where $\text{F}_1^1 = (\text{F}^1; \text{p} \circ \text{s}_L): \text{L}^1 \rightarrow \text{H}^1 \times_{\text{s}_H, \text{H}^0, \alpha} \text{Z}$ and $\text{F}_1^0 = \text{p}: \text{L}^0 \rightarrow \text{Z}$. These arrows intertwine the range maps because the right square commutes in the diagram above. They intertwine the source maps because $\text{pr}_2 \circ (\text{F}^1; \text{p} \circ \text{s}_L) = \text{p} \circ \text{s}_L$. Notice that the multiplication map of $\text{H} \times \text{Z}$ is β . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{F}^1; \text{p} \circ \text{s}_L)(l \cdot l_1) &= (\text{F}^1(l \cdot l_1); [\text{s}_L(l \cdot l_1)]) \\ &= (\text{F}^1(l) \cdot \text{F}^1(l_1); [\text{s}_L(l_1)]) \\ &= (\text{F}^1(l); [\text{s}_L(l)]) \cdot (\text{F}^1(l_1); [\text{s}_L(l_1)]) \\ &= (\text{F}^1; \text{p} \circ \text{s}_L)(l) \cdot (\text{F}^1; \text{p} \circ \text{s}_L)(l_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $l, l_1 \in \text{L}^1$ with $\text{s}_L(l) = \text{r}_L(l_1)$. Therefore, $\text{F}_1: \text{L} \rightarrow \text{H} \times \text{Z}$ intertwines the multiplication maps, too. We need to check that this functor is a groupoid fibration. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$(\text{H}^1 \times_{\text{s}_H, \text{H}^0, \alpha} \text{Z}) \times_{\text{pr}_2, \text{Z}, \text{p}} \text{L}^0 \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)} \text{H}^1 \times_{\text{s}_H, \text{H}^0, \text{F}^0} \text{L}^0.$$

And $(\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) \circ ((F^1; \text{p} \circ \text{s}_L); \text{s}_L) = (F^1; \text{s}_L)$. Therefore, the arrow $((F^1; \text{p} \circ \text{s}_L); \text{s}_L)$ is a cover, which shows that $F_1: L \rightarrow H \times Z$ is a groupoid fibration. Since $\text{pr}_1 \circ (F^1; \text{s}_L) = F^1$ and $\alpha \circ \text{p} = F^0$, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{F} & H \\ & \searrow F_1 & \nearrow F_2 \\ & L/G & \end{array}$$

Finally, the fibre of $F_1: L \rightarrow L/G$ is G by Corollary 4.23. \square

Proposition 5.69. *Let $F: L \twoheadrightarrow H$ be a groupoid fibration with fibre G . Under Assumptions 5.63, 2.15 and 2.14, if the groupoids G and H are basic, then so is L .*

Proof. Since the groupoid H is basic, the left H -action $(Z; \text{m}_Z; \alpha)$, used in the proof of Proposition 5.68, is basic by Assumption 5.63. Therefore, the quotient groupoid L/G is basic by Corollary 5.62 in the global case. That is, there are an object Q and a cover $q: Z \twoheadrightarrow Q$ such that the arrow

$$H^1 \times_{\text{s}_H, H^0, \alpha} Z \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_2; \text{m}_Z)} Z \times_{q, Q, q} Z, \quad (h; z) \mapsto (h; h \cdot z),$$

is a well-defined isomorphism. We are going to show that the arrow

$$L^1 \xrightarrow{(\text{s}_L; \text{r}_L)} L^0 \times_{q \circ \text{p}, Q, q \circ \text{p}} L^0 \quad l \mapsto (\text{s}_L(l); \text{r}_L(l)),$$

is a well-defined isomorphism, which gives that the groupoid L is basic. It is well-defined because

$$\begin{aligned} [[\text{r}_L(l)]] &= [F^1(l) \cdot \text{s}_L(l)] \\ &= [[\text{s}_L(l)]] \end{aligned}$$

for all $l \in L^1$.

Consider the pull-back of the G -bundle $(L^0; \text{s}_G \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{id}_{G^0})$ over $q \circ \text{p}: L^0 \twoheadrightarrow Q$ along $q \circ \text{p}: L^0 \twoheadrightarrow Q$. That is $(L^0 \times_{q \circ \text{p}, Q, q \circ \text{p}} L^0; \text{m}_1; \text{pr}_2)$, where $\text{m}_1 = (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{s}_G \circ \text{pr}_2)$. Elementwise $(l_0; l'_0) \cdot g = (l_0; l'_0 \cdot g)$ for all $l_0, l'_0 \in L^0$, $g \in G^1$ with $[[l_0]] = [[l'_0]]$ and $l'_0 = r_G(g)$. Since the G -bundle $(L^0; \text{s}_G \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{id}_{G^0})$ over $q \circ \text{p}: L^0 \twoheadrightarrow Q$ is a principal G -bundle over $\text{p}: L^0 \twoheadrightarrow Z$, its pull-back along the arrow $q \circ \text{p}: L^0 \twoheadrightarrow Q$ is a principal G -bundle over $(\text{pr}_1; \text{p} \circ \text{pr}_2): L^0 \times_{q \circ \text{p}, Q, q \circ \text{p}} L^0 \twoheadrightarrow L^0 \times_{q \circ \text{p}, Q, q} Z$ by Lemma 5.50. Also, there is one more principal G -bundle over $(F^1; \text{s}_L): L^1 \twoheadrightarrow H^1 \times_{\text{s}_H, H^0, F^0} L^0$. That is $(L^1; \text{m}_2; \text{r}_L)$, where $\text{m}_2 = \text{m}_L \circ (\text{pr}_1; \text{i}_L \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2)$. Elementwise $l \cdot g = g^{-1} \cdot l$ for all $l \in L^1$, $g \in G^1$ with $\text{r}_L(l) = r_G(g)$. We are going to show that the arrow $(\text{s}_L; \text{r}_L): L^1 \rightarrow L^0 \times_{q \circ \text{p}, Q, q \circ \text{p}} L^0$ is a G -map. So it induces an arrow $(\text{pr}_2; \tilde{\text{r}}): H^1 \times_{\text{s}_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 \rightarrow L^0 \times_{q \circ \text{p}, Q, q} Z$. All needed arrows are in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L^1 \times_{\text{r}_L, G^0, r_G} G^1 & \xrightarrow{((\text{s}_L; \text{r}_L) \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)} & (L^0 \times_{q \circ \text{p}, Q, q \circ \text{p}} L^0) \times_{\text{pr}_1, G^0, r_G} G^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & G^1 \\ \text{pr}_1 \downarrow \Downarrow \text{m}_2 & & \text{pr}_1 \downarrow \Downarrow \text{m}_1 & & \downarrow r_G \\ L^1 & \xrightarrow{(\text{s}_L; \text{r}_L)} & L^0 \times_{q \circ \text{p}, Q, q \circ \text{p}} L^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & G^0 \\ (\text{F}^1; \text{s}_L) \downarrow \Downarrow & & \downarrow (\text{pr}_1; \text{p} \circ \text{pr}_2) & & \\ H^1 \times_{\text{s}_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 & \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_2; \tilde{\text{r}})} & L^0 \times_{q \circ \text{p}, Q, q} Z & & \end{array}$$

The arrow $(s_L; r_L): L^1 \rightarrow L^0 \times_{q \circ p, Q, q \circ p} L^0$ commutes with the anchor maps, that is $pr_2 \circ (s_L; r_L) = r_L$. It also commutes with the action maps because

$$\begin{aligned}
(s_L; r_L)(l \cdot g) &= (s_L; r_L)(g^{-1} \cdot l) \\
&= (s_L(g^{-1} \cdot l); r_L(g^{-1} \cdot l)) \\
&= (s_L(l); r_L(g^{-1})) \\
&= (s_L(l); s_L(g)) \\
&= (s_L(l); r_L(g) \cdot g) \\
&= (s_L(l); r_L(l) \cdot g) \\
&= (s_L(l); r_L(l)) \cdot g \\
&= (s_L; r_L)(l) \cdot g
\end{aligned}$$

for all $l \in L^1$, $g \in G^1$ with $r_L(l) = r_L(g)$. So the arrow $(s_L; r_L): L^1 \rightarrow L^0 \times_{q \circ p, Q, q \circ p} L^0$ is a G -map. Also, the bottom square commutes because

$$\begin{aligned}
(pr_2; \tilde{r})(F^1; s_L)(l) &= (pr_2; \tilde{r})(F^1(l); s_L(l)) \\
&= (s_L(l); \tilde{r}(F^1(l); s_L(l))) \\
&= (s_L(l); [r_L(l)]) \\
&= (pr_1; p \circ pr_2)(s_L(l); r_L(l)) \\
&= (pr_1; p \circ pr_2)((s_L; r_L)(l))
\end{aligned}$$

for all $l \in L^1$. Therefore, the bottom square is a pull-back square by Proposition 5.48. Hence $(s_L; r_L)$ induces $(pr_2; \tilde{r})$. Hence one of them is an isomorphism if and only if the other one is by Corollary 5.54.

Consider the pull-back of the G -bundle $(L^0; s_G \circ pr_2; id_{G^0})$ over $q \circ p: L^0 \rightarrow Q$ along $q: Z \rightarrow Q$. That is $(Z \times_{q, Q, q \circ p} L^0; \tilde{m}_1; pr_2)$, where $\tilde{m}_1 = (pr_1 \circ pr_1; s_G \circ pr_2)$. Elementwise $(z; l_0) \cdot g = (z; l_0 \cdot g)$ for all $z \in Z$, $l_0 \in L^0$, $g \in G^1$, with $[z] = [[l_0]]$ and $l_0 = r_G(g)$. Analogously, we can say that this action is a principal G -bundle over the arrow $(pr_1; p \circ pr_2): Z \times_{q, Q, q \circ p} L^0 \rightarrow Z \times_{q, Q, q} Z$ by Lemma 5.50. Also, we have one more G -action. That is $(H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0; \tilde{m}_2; pr_2)$, where $\tilde{m}_2 = (pr_1 \circ pr_1; s_G \circ pr_2)$. Elementwise $(h; l_0) \cdot g = (h; l_0 \cdot g)$ for all $h \in H^1$, $l_0 \in L^0$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_H(h) = F^0(l_0)$ and $l_0 = r_G(g)$. This action is a principal bundle over $(pr_1; p \circ pr_2): H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 \rightarrow H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, \alpha} Z$ because it is a pull-back of a G -bundle $(L^0; s_G \circ pr_2; id_{G^0})$ over $F^0: L^0 \rightarrow H^0$ along $s_L: H^1 \rightarrow H^0$, which is principal over $p: L^0 \rightarrow Z$. We are going to show that the arrow $(\tilde{r}; pr_2): H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 \rightarrow Z \times_{q, Q, q \circ p} L^0$ is a G -map and it induces the arrow $(m_Z; pr_2): H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, \alpha} Z \rightarrow Z \times_{q, Q, q} Z$. All needed arrows are in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0) \times_{pr_2, G^0, r_G} G^1 & \xrightarrow{((\tilde{r}; pr_2) \circ pr_1; pr_2)} & (Z \times_{q \circ p, Q, q} L^0) \times_{pr_2, G^0, r_G} G^1 \\
\begin{array}{c} \Downarrow \\ \text{pr}_1 \Downarrow \tilde{m}_2 \\ \Downarrow \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \Downarrow \\ \text{pr}_1 \Downarrow \tilde{m}_1 \\ \Downarrow \end{array} \\
H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{r}; pr_2)} & Z \times_{q \circ p, Q, q} L^0 \\
\begin{array}{c} \Downarrow \\ (\text{pr}_1; p \circ pr_2) \\ \Downarrow \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \Downarrow \\ (\text{pr}_1; p \circ pr_2) \\ \Downarrow \end{array} \\
H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, \alpha} Z & \xrightarrow{(m_Z; pr_2)} & Z \times_{q, Q, q} Z
\end{array}$$

The arrow $(\tilde{r}; \text{pr}_2)$ clearly commutes with the anchor maps, $\text{pr}_2 \circ (\tilde{r}; \text{pr}_2) = \text{pr}_2$. It also commutes with the action maps because

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{r}; \text{pr}_2)((h; l_0) \cdot g) &= (\tilde{r}; \text{pr}_2)(h; l_0 \cdot g) \\ &= (\tilde{r}(h; l_0 \cdot g); l_0 \cdot g) \\ &= (h \cdot [l_0 \cdot g]; l_0 \cdot g) \\ &= (h \cdot [l_0]; l_0 \cdot g) \\ &= (\tilde{r}(h; l_0); l_0 \cdot g) \\ &= (\tilde{r}(h; l_0); l_0) \cdot g \\ &= (\tilde{r}; \text{pr}_2)(h; l_0) \cdot g \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H^1$, $l_0 \in L^0$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_H(h) = F^0(l_0)$ and $l_0 = r_G(g)$. The bottom square commutes because

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{pr}_1; \text{p} \circ \text{pr}_2)((\tilde{r}; \text{pr}_2)(h; l_0)) &= (\text{pr}_1; \text{p} \circ \text{pr}_2)(\tilde{r}(h; l_0); l_0) \\ &= (\text{pr}_1; \text{p} \circ \text{pr}_2)(h \cdot [l_0]; l_0) \\ &= (h \cdot [l_0]; [l_0]) \\ &= (\text{m}_Z; \text{pr}_2)(h; [l_0]) \\ &= (\text{m}_Z; \text{pr}_2)((\text{pr}_1; \text{p} \circ \text{pr}_2)(h; l_0)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H^1$, $l_0 \in L^0$ with $s_H(h) = F^0(l_0)$. Therefore, the arrow $(\text{m}_Z; \text{pr}_2)$ induces $(\tilde{r}; \text{pr}_2)$. Hence $(\tilde{r}; \text{pr}_2)$ is an isomorphism by Corollary 5.54. Thus the arrow

$$(\text{s}_L; \text{r}_L): L^1 \rightarrow L^0 \times_{\text{q} \circ \text{p}, \text{Q}, \text{q} \circ \text{p}} L^0$$

is an isomorphism for the same reason. So the groupoid L is basic because the arrow $\text{q} \circ \text{p}$ is a cover by Assumption 2.14. \square

6. GENERALISED MORPHISMS BETWEEN GROUPOIDS

There are several types of generalised morphisms between groupoids which form categories with groupoids as objects.

6.1. Actors. Let $G = (G^0, G^1, r_G, s_G, m_G, u_G, i_G)$ and $H = (H^0, H^1, r_H, s_H, m_H, u_H, i_H)$ be groupoids in a category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers.

Definition 6.1. [47, Definition 4.15] An *actor* from G to H is a pair of arrows $(m; r)$ such that $(H^1; m; r)$ is a left G -action which commutes with the right translation action $(H^1; m_H; s_H)$. That is,

- (1) $s_H \circ m = s_H \circ \text{pr}_2$ $s_H(g \cdot h) = s_H(h)$, $\forall g \in G^1, \forall h \in H^1$ with $s_G(g) = r(h)$;
- (2) $r \circ m_H = r \circ \text{pr}_1$ $r(h \cdot h_1) = r(h)$, $\forall h, h_1 \in H^1$ with $s_H(h) = r_H(h_1)$;
- (3) $m_H \circ (m \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2) = m \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; m_H \circ \text{pr}_2)$ $(g \cdot h) \cdot h_1 = g \cdot (h \cdot h_1)$
for all $g \in G^1, h, h_1 \in H^1$ with $s_G(g) = r(h)$ and $s_H(h) = r_H(h_1)$; that is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r} H^1) \times_{\text{pr}_2, H^1, \text{pr}_1} (H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, r_H} H^1) & \xrightarrow{(m \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2)} & H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, r_H} H^1 \\ \downarrow (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; m_H \circ \text{pr}_2) & & \downarrow m_H \\ G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r} H^1 & \xrightarrow{m} & H^1 \end{array}$$

The following lemma allows us to compose actors.

Lemma 6.2. Let $(m_1; r_1): G \rightarrow H$ and $(m_2; r_2): H \rightarrow L$ be actors between groupoids. The pair $(m; r)$, where $r = r_1 \circ u_H \circ r_2$, elementwise $r(l) = r_1(1_{r_2(l)})$ for all $l \in L^1$ and $m = m_2 \circ (m_1 \circ (\text{pr}_1; u_H \circ r_2 \circ \text{pr}_2); \text{pr}_2)$, elementwise $g \cdot l = (g \cdot 1_{r_2(l)}) \cdot l$, for all $g \in G^1, l \in L^1$ with $s_G(g) = r(l)$ defines an actor from G to L .

Proof. We have to check the properties required in Definition 6.1.

$$\begin{aligned} s_L(g \cdot l) &= s_L((g \cdot 1_{r_2(l)}) \cdot l) \\ &= s_L(l) \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in G^1$, $l \in L^1$ with $s_G(g) = r(l)$. That is (1). Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(l \cdot l_1) &= r_1(1_{r_2(l \cdot l_1)}) \\ &= r_1(1_{r_2(l)}) \\ &= r(l) \end{aligned}$$

for all $l, l_1 \in L^1$ with $s_L(l) = r_1(l_1)$. Hence the property (2) is satisfied. In case of the property (3) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (g \cdot l) \cdot l_1 &= ((g \cdot 1_{r_2(l)}) \cdot l) \cdot l_1 \\ &= (g \cdot 1_{r_2(l)}) \cdot (l \cdot l_1) \\ &= (g \cdot 1_{r_2(l \cdot l_1)}) \cdot (l \cdot l_1) \\ &= g \cdot (l \cdot l_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in G^1$, $l, l_1 \in L^1$ with $s_G(g) = r(l)$ and $s_L(l) = r_1(l_1)$. Therefore, $(m; r)$ is an actor from G to L . \square

Example 6.3. If G is a groupoid then the left and right translation actions of G on G^1 commute. Therefore, $(m_G; r_G)$ is an actor from G to itself.

It is easy to check that the actor described in Example 6.3 is an identity actor in the sense of the composition defined in Lemma 6.2. Therefore, groupoids and actors between them form a category.

Generally, actors do not come from functors and vice versa. The following example describes actors and functors that are associated to each other.

Example 6.4. Let $F: G \rightarrow H$ be a functor with invertible F^0 . Then the pair $(m; r)$, where $m = m_H \circ (F^1 \circ pr_1; pr_2)$ and $r = (F^0)^{-1} \circ r_H$, defines an actor from G to H . Conversely, if we have an actor $(m; r)$ from G to H and if $r \circ u_H$ is invertible then this actor comes from the functor $F: G \rightarrow H$, defined by $F^0 = (r \circ u_H)^{-1}$ and $F^1 = m \circ (id_{G^1}; u_H \circ (r \circ u_H)^{-1} \circ s_G)$.

Example 6.5. Given partial groupoid fibration $F: L \rightarrow H$ there is a functor $(pr_1; id_{L^0})$ from the fibre to L . Therefore, $(m_L \circ (pr_1 \circ pr_1; pr_2); r_L)$ defines an actor from the fibre of F to L .

6.2. Bibundle actors. The generalised morphisms of groupoids described in this subsection are a special kind of bibundles of groupoids. Let $G = (G^0, G^1, r_G, s_G, m_G)$ and $H = (H^0, H^1, r_H, s_H, m_H)$ be groupoids.

Definition 6.6. [47, Definition 2.1] A G, H -*bibundle* is $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$, where $(X; m_{1X}; r_X)$ is a left G -bundle over $s_X: X \rightarrow H^0$ and $(X; m_{2X}; s_X)$ is a right H -bundle over $r_X: X \rightarrow G^0$ such that the action maps commute: $m_{2X} \circ (m_{1X} \circ pr_1; pr_2 \circ pr_2) = m_{1X} \circ (pr_1 \circ pr_1; m_{2X} \circ pr_2)$. Elementwise $(g \cdot x) \cdot h = g \cdot (x \cdot h)$ for all $g \in G^1$, $x \in X$, $h \in H^1$ with $s_G(g) = r_X(x)$ and $s_X(x) = r_H(h)$. That is, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r_X} X) \times_{pr_2, X, pr_1} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_H} H^1) & \xrightarrow{(m_{1X} \circ pr_1; pr_2 \circ pr_2)} & X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_H} H^1 \\ \downarrow (pr_1 \circ pr_1; m_{2X} \circ pr_2) & & \downarrow m_{2X} \\ G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r_X} X & \xrightarrow{m_{1X}} & X \end{array}$$

An arrow $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is called a G, H -*bibundle map* between two G, H -bibundles $(\tilde{X}; m_{1\tilde{X}}; m_{2\tilde{X}}; s_{\tilde{X}}; r_{\tilde{X}})$ and $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$ if it is a G -bundle and an H -bundle map.

Definition 6.7. A (*partial*) *bibundle actor* from G to H is the G, H -bibundle $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$, where the right H -action $(X; m_{2X}; s_X)$ is basic and a (*partial*) sheaf.

An important example is the bibundle actor from the fibre of a groupoid fibration to itself.

Example 6.8. Let $F: L \rightrightarrows H$ be a groupoid fibration with fibre G . The left and right G -actions $(L^1; m; r)$ and $(L^1; m'; s)$, described in Example 5.27, define a G, G -bibundle $(L^1; m; m'; s; r)$ because $s(g \cdot l_1) = s(l_1)$, $r(l \cdot g) = r(l)$ and $l \cdot (g \cdot l_1) = (l \cdot g) \cdot l_1$ for all $g \in G^1$, $l, l_1 \in L^1$ with $s_L(g) = r_L(l_1)$ and $s_L(l) = r_L(g)$. It is a bibundle actor because $s = s_L$ is a cover and $(L^1; m'; s)$ is basic by Example 5.43.

Lemma 6.9. *Under Assumptions 2.15 and 5.63, a partial bibundle actor from G to H induces a functor from the category of right H -actions to the category of left G -actions:*

$$\mathcal{C}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G), \quad Y \mapsto X \times_H Y.$$

Proof. Let $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$ be a bibundle actor from G to H and let $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ be a right H -action. Let us consider the fibre product $(X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y; m_0; s_0)$ of the right H -actions $(X; m_{2X}; s_X)$ and $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ (see Example 5.25). It exists because the anchor map $s_X: X \dashrightarrow H^0$ is a partial cover. Also, we can consider the pull-back $(X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y; \tilde{m}; \tilde{r})$ of the left G -bundle $(X; m_{1X}; r_X)$ over $s_X: X \dashrightarrow H^0$ along the arrow $s_Y: Y \rightarrow H^0$. These actions defines a G, H -bibundle $(X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y; \tilde{m}; m_0; s_0; \tilde{r})$. We need to check that the action maps \tilde{m} and m_0 commute. We have

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot ((x; y) \cdot h) &= g \cdot (x \cdot h; y \cdot h) \\ &= (g \cdot (x \cdot h); y \cdot h) \\ &= ((g \cdot x) \cdot h; y \cdot h) \\ &= (g \cdot x; y) \cdot h \\ &= (g \cdot (x; y)) \cdot h \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $g \in G$, $h \in H$ with $s_X(x) = s_Y(y) = r_H(h)$ and $r_X(x) = s_G(g)$. The arrow $\tilde{r}: X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y \rightarrow G^0$ is H -invariant because

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{r}((x; y) \cdot h) &= r_X(\text{pr}_1((x; y) \cdot h)) \\ &= r_X(\text{pr}_1(x \cdot h; y \cdot h)) \\ &= r_X(x \cdot h) \\ &= r_X(x) \\ &= r_X(\text{pr}_1(x; y)) \\ &= \tilde{r}(x; y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $h \in H$ with $s_X(x) = s_Y(y) = r_H(h)$. The arrow $s_0: X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y \rightarrow H$ is G -invariant because

$$\begin{aligned} s_0(g \cdot (x; y)) &= s_X(\text{pr}_1(g \cdot (x; y))) \\ &= s_X(\text{pr}_1(g \cdot x; y)) \\ &= s_X(g \cdot x) \\ &= s_X(x) \\ &= s_X(\text{pr}_1(x; y)) \\ &= s_0(x; y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $g \in G$ with $s_X(x) = s_Y(y)$ and $r_X(x) = s_G(g)$.

We know that the H -action $(X; m_{2X}; s_X)$ is basic and the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_1: X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y \rightarrow X$ is an H -map. Hence the H -action $(X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y; m_0; s_0)$ is basic by Lemma 5.64. Therefore, there are an orbit space, denote it by $X \times_H Y$, and an orbit space projection $\tilde{p}: X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y \rightarrow X \times_H Y$ of the H -action $(X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y; m_0; s_0)$. We are going to define the left action of G on $X \times_H Y$.

Consider the pull-back of the H -bundle $(X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y; m_0; s_0)$ over the arrow $\tilde{r} = r_X \circ \text{pr}_1: X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y \rightarrow G^0$ along the cover $s_G: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$. It is a principal bundle over $(\text{pr}_1; \tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_2): G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r_X \circ \text{pr}_1} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y) \rightarrow G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, \alpha} (X \times_H Y)$ by Lemma 5.50, where $\alpha: X \times_H Y \rightarrow G^0$ is the unique arrow such that $\tilde{r} = \alpha \circ \tilde{p}$. Such α exists because \tilde{r} is H -invariant, and it is defined elementwise by $\alpha([x; y]) = r_X(x)$. The action map $\tilde{m}: G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r_X \circ \text{pr}_1} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y) \rightarrow X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y$ is an H -map because

$$(g \cdot (x; y)) \cdot h = g \cdot ((x; y) \cdot h).$$

Therefore, it induces an arrow $m: G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, \alpha} (X \times_H Y) \rightarrow X \times_H Y$ such that $m \circ (\text{pr}_1; \tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_2) = \tilde{p} \circ \tilde{m}$. Elementwise $g \cdot [x; y] = [g \cdot x; y]$. Now, we need to show that the triple $(X \times_H Y; m; \alpha)$ defines a left G -action. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(g \cdot [x; y]) &= \alpha([g \cdot x; y]) \\ &= r_X(g \cdot x) \\ &= r_G(g) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = s_Y(y)$ and $r_X(x) = s_G(g)$. Since the arrow $(\text{pr}_1; \tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_2)$ is a cover, it is a coequaliser and therefore, it is epic. Thus $\alpha \circ m = r_G \circ \text{pr}_1$. This is property (1).

There is a diagram of pull-back squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{A} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, \tilde{r}} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y) \\ \downarrow (\text{pr}_1; (\text{pr}_1; \tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ \text{pr}_2) & & \downarrow (\text{pr}_1; \tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_2) \\ \text{B} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, \alpha} (X \times_H Y) \\ \downarrow \text{pr}_1 & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r_G} G^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & G^1, \end{array}$$

where the object A is $(G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r_G} G^1) \times_{\text{pr}_2, G^1, \text{pr}_1} (G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, \tilde{r}} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y))$ and B is $(G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r_G} G^1) \times_{\text{pr}_2, G^1, \text{pr}_1} (G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, \alpha} (X \times_H Y))$. Since the upper square is a pull-back square, the arrow $(\text{pr}_1; (\text{pr}_1; \tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ \text{pr}_2): A \rightarrow B$ is a cover by Assumption 2.15 because it is a pull-back of the cover $(\text{pr}_1; \tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_2)$. Therefore, $(\text{pr}_1; (\text{pr}_1; \tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ \text{pr}_2)$ is epic. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 \cdot (g \cdot [x; y]) &= g_1 \cdot [g \cdot x; y] \\ &= [g_1 \cdot (g \cdot x); y] \\ &= [(g_1 \cdot g) \cdot x; y] \\ &= (g_1 \cdot g) \cdot [x; y] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = s_Y(y)$, $r_X(x) = s_G(g)$ and $s_G(g_1) = r_G(g)$. Since the arrow $(\text{pr}_1; (\text{pr}_1; \tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ \text{pr}_2)$ is epic, the property (2) holds. That is, $m \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; m \circ \text{pr}_2) = m \circ (m_G \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2)$. We need one more property:

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\alpha([x; y])} \cdot [x; y] &= 1_{r_X(x)} \cdot [x; y] \\ &= [1_{r_X(x)} \cdot x; y] \\ &= [x; y] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ with $s_X(x) = s_Y(y)$. Since $\tilde{p}: X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y \rightarrow X \times_H Y$ is epic, we have $m \circ (u_G \circ \alpha; \text{id}_X) = \text{id}_X$. Therefore, the triple $(X \times_H Y; m; \alpha)$ is a left G -action by Remark 5.11.

Now, consider any H -map $f: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$. Since $s_{Y_2} \circ f = s_{Y_1}$, we have a well-defined arrow $(\text{pr}_1; f \circ \text{pr}_2): X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_{Y_1}} Y_1 \rightarrow X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_{Y_2}} Y_2$. Elementwise $(x; y) \mapsto (x; f(y))$. It is a G -map because it clearly commutes with the anchor maps and also we have $g \cdot (x; f(y)) = (g \cdot x; f(y))$. It is an H -map, too, because

$$\begin{aligned} (x; f(y)) \cdot h &= (x \cdot h; f(y) \cdot h) \\ &= (x \cdot h; f(y \cdot h)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y_1$, $h \in H^1$ with $s_X(x) = s_{Y_1}(y) = r_H(h)$. Therefore, the H -map $(\text{pr}_1; f \circ \text{pr}_2)$ induces an arrow $\tilde{f}: X \times_H Y_1 \rightarrow X \times_H Y_2$ such that

$$\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{p}_1 = \tilde{p}_2 \circ (\text{pr}_1; f \circ \text{pr}_2), \quad \tilde{f}([x; y]) = [x; f(y)].$$

Since the cover $(\text{pr}_1; \tilde{p}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2): G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, \tilde{r}_1} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_{Y_1}} Y_1) \rightarrow G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, \alpha_1} (X \times_H Y_1)$ is epic and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(g \cdot [x; y]) &= \tilde{f}([g \cdot x; y]) \\ &= [g \cdot x; f(y)] \\ &= g \cdot [x; f(y)] \\ &= \tilde{f}([x; y]) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y_1$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = s_{Y_1}(y)$ and $r_X(x) = s_H(g)$, we have that $\tilde{f} \circ m_1 = m_2 \circ (\text{pr}_1; \tilde{f} \circ \text{pr}_2)$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2(\tilde{f}([x; y])) &= \alpha_2([x; f(y)]) \\ &= r_X(x) \\ &= \alpha_1([x; y]) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y_1$ with $s_X(x) = s_{Y_1}(y)$. Since $\tilde{p}_1: X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_{Y_1}} Y_1 \rightarrow X \times_H Y_1$ is a cover, it is epic and therefore, we have $\alpha_2 \circ \tilde{f} = \alpha_1$. Thus an H -map $f: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ gives a G -map $\tilde{f}: X \times_H Y_1 \rightarrow X \times_H Y_2$.

The last step is to show that

$$\widetilde{f_2 \circ f_1} = \tilde{f}_2 \circ \tilde{f}_1.$$

Consider two composable H -maps $f_1: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ and $f_2: Y_2 \rightarrow Y_3$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_{Y_1}} Y_1 & \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_1; f_1 \circ \text{pr}_2)} & X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_{Y_2}} Y_2 & \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_1; f_2 \circ \text{pr}_2)} & X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_{Y_3}} Y_3 \\ \tilde{p}_1 \downarrow & & \tilde{p}_2 \downarrow & & \tilde{p}_3 \downarrow \\ X \times_H Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_1} & X \times_H Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_2} & X \times_H Y_3 \end{array}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{f_2 \circ f_1}([x; y]) &= [x; f_2(f_1(y))] \\ &= \tilde{f}_2([x; f_1(y)]) \\ &= \tilde{f}_2(\tilde{f}_1([x; y])) \\ &= (\tilde{f}_2 \circ \tilde{f}_1)([x; y]) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y_1$ with $s_X(x) = s_{Y_1}(y)$. The arrow $(\text{pr}_1; f_2 \circ f_1 \circ \text{pr}_2)$ induces a unique arrow $\widetilde{f_2 \circ f_1}$ such that the suitable square commutes by Lemma 5.51. Therefore, $\widetilde{f_2 \circ f_1} = \tilde{f}_2 \circ \tilde{f}_1$. \square

Remark 6.10. Let $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$ and $(X_1; m_{1X_1}; m_{2X_1}; s_{X_1}; r_{X_1})$ be partial bibundle actors from G to H . A G, H -map $f: X \rightarrow X_1$ induces a G -map $\hat{f}: X \times_H Y \rightarrow X_1 \times_H Y$. The arrow

$$X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y \xrightarrow{(f \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)} X_1 \times_{s_{X_1}, H^0, s_Y} Y, \quad (x; y) \mapsto (f(x); y),$$

is an H -map because

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)((x; y) \cdot h) &= (f \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)(x \cdot h; y \cdot h) \\ &= (f(x \cdot h); y \cdot h) \\ &= (f(x) \cdot h; y \cdot h) \\ &= (f(x); y) \cdot h \\ &= (f \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)(x; y) \cdot h \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $h \in H^1$ with $s_X(x) = s_Y(y) = r_H(h)$. Therefore, it induces a unique arrow $\hat{f}: X \times_H Y \rightarrow X_1 \times_H Y$ such that $\hat{f} \circ \tilde{\text{p}} = \tilde{\text{p}}_1 \circ (f \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$. Elementwise $\hat{f}([x; y]) = [f(x); y]$. This arrow is a G -map because

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(g \cdot [x; y]) &= \hat{f}([g \cdot x; y]) \\ &= [f(g \cdot x); y] \\ &= [g \cdot f(x); y] \\ &= g \cdot [f(x); y] \\ &= g \cdot \hat{f}([x; y]) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $g \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = s_Y(y) = r_H(h)$ and $r_X(x) = s_G(g)$.

6.3. Bibundle functor. Let $G = (G^0; G^1; r_G; s_G; m_G)$ and $H = (H^0; H^1; r_H; s_H; m_H)$ be groupoids in a category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers.

Definition 6.11. A *(partial) bibundle functor* from G to H is a G, H -bibundle $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$, where the right H -bundle $(X; m_{2X}; s_X)$ is (partially) principal over $r_X: X \rightarrow G^0$. A bibundle functor from G to H is a *(partially) covering* if the arrow $s_X: X \rightarrow H^0$ is a (partial) cover.

An important example is the bibundle functor from G to H associated to the functor $F: G \rightarrow H$.

Example 6.12. Let $F: G \rightarrow H$ be a functor between groupoids. There is a bibundle functor $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$ from G to H , where the left G -action $(X; m_{1X}; r_X)$ is the G -sheaf described in Example 5.26 and the right H -bundle $(X; m_{2X}; s_X)$ is the pull-back of the principal H -bundle described in Example 5.42 along the arrow $F^0: G^0 \rightarrow H^0$. We have to check that the multiplication maps commute. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (g \cdot (g_0; h)) \cdot h_1 &= (r_G(g); h) \cdot h_1 \\ &= (r_G(g); h \cdot h_1) \\ &= g \cdot (g_0; h \cdot h_1) \\ &= g \cdot ((g_0; h) \cdot h_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in G^1$, $g_0 \in G^0$, $h, h_1 \in H^1$ with $s_G(g) = g_0$, $F^0(g_0) = r_H(h)$ and $s_H(h) = r_H(h_1)$. The anchor map $s_X = s_H \circ \text{pr}_2$ is G -invariant because

$$\begin{aligned} s_X(g \cdot (g_0; h)) &= s_X(r_G(g); h) \\ &= s_H(h) \\ &= s_X(g_0; h) \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in G^1$, $g_0 \in G^0$, $h \in H^1$ with $s_G(g) = g_0$ and $F^0(g_0) = r_H(h)$. Also, we know that the H -bundle $(X; m_{2X}; s_X)$ is partially principal by Lemma 5.50. It is

even a principal bundle because $r_H: H^1 \rightarrow H^0$ is a splitting cover and therefore, $\text{pr}_1: G^0 \times_{F^0, H^0, r_H} H^1 \rightarrow G^0$ is a cover by Corollary 2.7. So we have all properties needed for a bibundle functor. So $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$ defines a bibundle functor from G to H . Call such a bibundle functor *associated* to the functor $F: G \rightarrow H$.

Lemma 6.13. *Under Assumptions 2.15 and 5.66, a bibundle functor from G to H induces a functor from the category of right H -sheaves to the category of left G -actions:*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G), \quad Y \mapsto X \times_H Y.$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma and the proof of Lemma 6.9 are almost the same. There are two differences. The first of them is that the object $X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y$ exists for different reasons: In the previous case we use that the arrow $s_X: X \dashrightarrow H^0$ is a partial cover and in this case we use that the arrow $s_Y: Y \rightarrow H^0$ is a cover because $(Y; m_Y; s_Y)$ is an H -sheaf.

The second difference is an argument why an H -action $(X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y; m_0; s_0)$ is basic. In the previous case this is Lemma 5.64. In this case the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_1: X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y \rightarrow X$ is a cover as a pull-back of a cover $s_Y: Y \rightarrow H^0$ and therefore, $(X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y; m_0; s_0)$ is basic by Lemma 5.67. \square

Remark 6.14. In Lemma 6.13, under Assumption 2.18, the G -action $(X \times_H Y; m; \alpha)$ is a sheaf. That is, the anchor map $\alpha: X \times_H Y \rightarrow G^0$ is a cover. This anchor map $\alpha: X \times_H Y \rightarrow G^0$ is induced by $\text{pr}_1: X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y \rightarrow X$ because $\alpha \circ \tilde{p} = r_X \circ \text{pr}_1$, and $\text{pr}_1: X \times_{s_X, H^0, s_Y} Y \rightarrow X$ is a cover because it is a pull-back of $s_Y: Y \rightarrow H^0$. Therefore, $\alpha: X \times_H Y \rightarrow G^0$ is a cover by Corollary 5.53.

Proposition 6.15. *Assume Assumptions 2.15, 2.18 and 5.66. Let G, H and K be groupoids in $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$. Let $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X) \equiv \mathbb{X}$ and $(Y; m_{1Y}; m_{2Y}; s_Y; r_Y) \equiv \mathbb{Y}$ be bibundle functors from G to H and from H to K , respectively. Then there is a G, K -bibundle functor $(Y \circ X; m_1; m_2; s; r)$ from G to K . Call it a composition of bibundle functors $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X) \equiv \mathbb{X}$ and $(Y; m_{1Y}; m_{2Y}; s_Y; r_Y) \equiv \mathbb{Y}$ and denote it by $\mathbb{Y} \circ \mathbb{X}$.*

Proof. First of all, let us define all data in $(Y \circ X; m_1; m_2; s; r)$. According to Lemma 5.18, we have a right H -action $(Y; m_{1Y} \circ (i_H \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{pr}_1); r_Y)$ corresponding to the left H -action $(Y; m_{1Y}; r_Y)$. Let $(Y \circ X; m_1; r)$ be the left G -action which is given by the right H -action $(Y; m_{1Y} \circ (i_H \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{pr}_1); r_Y)$ by using the functor described in Lemma 6.13, which is induced by the bibundle functor $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$. Also, we need to define the right K -action $(X \times_H Y; m_2; s)$. Consider the pull-back $(X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y; \hat{m}; \hat{s})$ of the right K -bundle $(Y; m_{2Y}; s_Y)$ over $r_Y: Y \rightarrow H^0$ along the arrow $s_X: X \rightarrow H^0$. Let us show that the anchor map $\hat{s}: X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y \rightarrow K^0$ is H -invariant in the sense of the right H -action $(X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y; m_0; s_0)$, which is used for the construction of the left G -action $(X \times_H Y; m_1; r)$. This action is the fibre product of right H -actions $(X; m_{2X}; s_X)$ and $(Y; m_{1Y} \circ (i_H \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{pr}_1); r_Y)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s}((x; y) \cdot h) &= s_Y(\text{pr}_2(x \cdot h; h^{-1} \cdot y)) \\ &= s_Y(h^{-1} \cdot y) \\ &= s_Y(y) \\ &= s_Y(\text{pr}_2(x; y)) \\ &= \hat{s}(x; y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X, y \in Y, h \in H^1$ with $s_X(x) = r_Y(y) = r_H(h)$. Therefore, there is a unique arrow $s: X \times_H Y \rightarrow K^0$ such that $s \circ \tilde{p} = \hat{s}$. Elementwise $s([x; y]) = s_Y(y)$. Now, consider a pull-back of the H -bundle over $\hat{s}: X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y \rightarrow K^0$ along the cover

$r_K: K^1 \rightarrow K^0$. It is a principal bundle over

$$(\tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2): (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \times_{\hat{s}, K^0, r_K} K^1 \rightarrow (X \times_H Y) \times_{s, K^0, r_K} K^1$$

by Lemma 5.50. Let us show that the arrow

$$(X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \times_{\hat{s}, K^0, r_K} K^1 \xrightarrow{\hat{m}} X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y$$

is an H-map. We have

$$\begin{aligned} ((x; y) \cdot h) \cdot k &= (x \cdot h; h^{-1} \cdot y) \cdot k \\ &= (x \cdot h; (h^{-1} \cdot y) \cdot k) \\ &= (x \cdot h; h^{-1} \cdot (y \cdot k)) \\ &= (x; y \cdot k) \cdot h \\ &= ((x; y) \cdot k) \cdot h \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X, y \in Y, h \in H^1, k \in K^1$ with $s_X(x) = r_Y(y) = r_H(h)$ and $s_Y(y) = r_K(k)$. Therefore, we have an induced arrow $m_2: (X \times_H Y) \times_{s, K^0, r_K} K^1 \rightarrow X \times_H Y$ such that $m_2 \circ (\tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) = \tilde{p} \circ \hat{m}$. Elementwise $[x; y] \cdot k = [x; y \cdot k]$.

We need to show that $(X \times_H Y; m_2; s)$ is a right K-action. We have

$$\begin{aligned} s([x; y] \cdot k) &= s([x; y \cdot k]) \\ &= s_Y(y \cdot k) \\ &= s_K(k) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X, y \in Y, k \in K^1$ with $s_X(x) = r_Y(y)$ and $s_Y(y) = r_K(k)$. Since the arrow $(\tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$ is a cover, it is a coequaliser and therefore, it is epic. Thus $s \circ m_2 = s_K \circ \text{pr}_2$. This is a property (1).

There is a diagram of pull-back squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{((\tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)} & B & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & K^1 \times_{s_K, K^0, r_K} K^1 \\ \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \times_{\hat{s}, K^0, r_K} K^1 & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)} & (X \times_H Y) \times_{s, K^0, r_K} K^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & K^1 \end{array}$$

where the object A is $((X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \times_{\hat{s}, K^0, r_K} K^1) \times_{\text{pr}_2, K^1, \text{pr}_1} (K^1 \times_{s_K, K^0, r_K} K^1)$ and the object B is $((X \times_H Y) \times_{s, K^0, r_K} K^1) \times_{\text{pr}_2, K^1, \text{pr}_1} (K^1 \times_{s_K, K^0, r_K} K^1)$. Since the left square is a pull-back square, the arrow $((\tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2): A \rightarrow B$ is a cover by Assumption 2.15 as the pull-back of the cover $(\tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$. Therefore, $((\tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$ is epic. We have

$$\begin{aligned} ([x; y] \cdot k) \cdot k_1 &= [x; y \cdot k] \cdot k_1 \\ &= [x; (y \cdot k) \cdot k_1] \\ &= [x; y \cdot (k \cdot k_1)] \\ &= [x; y] \cdot (k \cdot k_1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X, y \in Y, k, k_1 \in K^1$ with $s_X(x) = r_Y(y), s_Y(y) = r_K(k)$ and $s_K(k) = r_K(k_1)$. Since the arrow $((\tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$ is epic, the property (2) holds. That is: $m_2 \circ (m_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_2) = m_2 \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; m_K \circ \text{pr}_2)$. We need one more property.

$$\begin{aligned} [x; y] \cdot 1_{s([x; y])} &= [x; y \cdot 1_{s_Y(y)}] \\ &= [x; y]. \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X, y \in Y$ with $s_X(x) = r_Y(y)$. Since $\tilde{p}: X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y \rightarrow X \times_H Y$ is epic, we have $m_2 \circ (\text{id}_{(X \times_H Y)}; u_K \circ s) = \text{id}_{(X \times_H Y)}$. So (5.2) holds. Therefore, the

triple $(X \times_H Y; m_2; s)$ is a right K -action by Lemma 5.7. We have to prove that $(X \times_H Y; m_1; m_2; s; r)$ is a G, K -bibundle. We have

$$\begin{aligned} r([x; y] \cdot k) &= r([x; y \cdot k]) \\ &= r_X(x) \\ &= r([x; y]) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X, y \in Y, k \in K^1$ with $s_X(x) = r_Y(y)$ and $s_Y(y) = r_K(k)$. Since the arrow $(\tilde{p} \circ pr_1; pr_2): (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \times_{\hat{s}, K^0, r_K} K^1 \rightarrow (X \times_H Y) \times_{s, K^0, r_K} K^1$ is epic, we have $r \circ m_2 = r \circ pr_1$. So $r: X \times_H Y \rightarrow G^0$ is K -invariant. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(g \cdot [x; y]) &= s([g \cdot x; y]) \\ &= s_Y(y) \\ &= s([x; y]). \end{aligned}$$

Since the arrow $(pr_1; \tilde{p} \circ pr_2): G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, \tilde{r}} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \rightarrow G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r} (X \times_H Y)$ is epic, we have $s \circ m_1 = s \circ pr_2$. So $s: X \times_H Y \rightarrow K^0$ is G -invariant. We also have to show that the action maps m_1 and m_2 commutes. The arrow $((pr_1; \tilde{p} \circ pr_2) \circ pr_1; (\tilde{p} \circ pr_1; pr_2) \circ pr_2)$ from $(G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, \tilde{r}} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y)) \times_{pr_2, (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y), pr_1} ((X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \times_{\hat{s}, K^0, r_K} K^1)$ to $(G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r} (X \times_H Y)) \times_{pr_2, (X \times_H Y), pr_1} ((X \times_H Y) \times_{s, K^0, r_K} K^1)$ is epic because it is a composition of epics.

$$((pr_1; \tilde{p} \circ pr_2) \circ pr_1; (\tilde{p} \circ pr_1; pr_2) \circ pr_2) = ((pr_1; \tilde{p} \circ pr_2) \circ pr_1; pr_2) \circ (pr_1; (\tilde{p} \circ pr_1; pr_2) \circ pr_2).$$

The right term of the composition is epic because it is a pull-back of the cover $(\tilde{p} \circ pr_1; pr_2)$. And the left term of the composition is epic because it is a pull-back of the cover $(pr_1; \tilde{p} \circ pr_2)$. So $((pr_1; \tilde{p} \circ pr_2) \circ pr_1; (\tilde{p} \circ pr_1; pr_2) \circ pr_2)$ is epic. We have

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot ([x; y] \cdot k) &= g \cdot [x; y \cdot k] \\ &= [g \cdot x; y \cdot k] \\ &= [g \cdot x; y \cdot k] \\ &= [g \cdot x; y] \cdot k \\ &= (g \cdot [x; y]) \cdot k \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X, y \in Y, g \in G^1, k \in K^1$ with $s_X(x) = r_Y(y), r_X(x) = s_G(g), s_Y(y) = r_K(k)$. Since the arrow $((pr_1; \tilde{p} \circ pr_2) \circ pr_1; (\tilde{p} \circ pr_1; pr_2) \circ pr_2)$ is epic, the action maps m_1 and m_2 commute: $m_1 \circ (pr_1 \circ pr_1; m_2 \circ pr_2) = m_2 \circ (m_1 \circ pr_1; pr_2 \circ pr_2)$. So $(X \times_H Y; m_1; m_2; s; r)$ is a G, K -bibundle. The last step is to show that $(X \times_H Y; m_2; s)$ is a principal K -bundle over $r: X \times_H Y \rightarrow G^0$.

The coordinate projection $pr_1: X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y \rightarrow X$, as a pull-back of $r_Y: Y \rightarrow H^0$, is a cover. Since $r \circ \tilde{p} = \tilde{r} = r_X \circ pr_1$ and the coordinate projection $pr_1: X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y \rightarrow X$ is an H -map in the sense of H -bundles $(X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y; m_0; s_0)$ and $(X; m_{2X}; s_X)$, it induces the arrow $r: X \times_H Y \rightarrow G^0$. Therefore, $r: X \times_H Y \rightarrow G^0$ is a cover by Corollary 5.53. Also, we need to show that the arrow

$$(6.1) \quad (X \times_H Y) \times_{s, K^0, r_K} K^1 \xrightarrow{(pr_1; m_2)} (X \times_H Y) \times_{r, G^0, r} (X \times_H Y)$$

is an isomorphism.

We know that $(X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y; \hat{m}; \hat{s})$ is the pull-back of the right principal K -bundle $(Y; m_{2Y}; s_Y)$ over $r_Y: Y \rightarrow H^0$ along the arrow $s_X: X \rightarrow H^0$. Thus this K -action $(X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y; \hat{m}; \hat{s})$ is a principal bundle over $pr_1: X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y \rightarrow X$ by Remark 5.47. Therefore, we have the following isomorphism:

$$(6.2) \quad (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \times_{\hat{s}, K^0, r_K} K^1 \xrightarrow{(pr_1; \hat{m})} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \times_{pr_1, X, pr_1} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y).$$

We know from Lemma 5.65 that the fibre product of the H -map $\text{pr}_1: X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y \rightarrow X$ on itself is a principal H -bundle over

$$(\tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_2): (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \times_{\text{pr}_1, X, \text{pr}_1} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \rightarrow (X \times_H Y) \times_{r, G^0, r} (X \times_H Y).$$

Since the arrow $s_Y: Y \rightarrow K^0$ is H -equivariant, the arrow $s_Y \circ \text{pr}_2: X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y \rightarrow K^0$ is H -equivariant, too. Therefore, we can consider the pull-back of the H -bundle $(X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y; \hat{m}; \hat{s})$ over $s_Y \circ \text{pr}_2$ along the cover $r_K: K^1 \rightarrow K^0$. It is a principal H -bundle over $(\tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2): (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \times_{\hat{s}, K^0, r_K} K^1 \rightarrow (X \times_H Y) \times_{s, K^0, r_K} K^1$ by Lemma 5.50. Since $m_2 \circ (\tilde{p} \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) = \tilde{p} \circ \hat{m}$, the arrow 6.1 is induced by the isomorphism in 6.2. Therefore, 6.1 is an isomorphism by Corollary 5.54. So $(Y \circ X; m_1; m_2; s; r)$ is a bibundle functor from G to K . \square

Corollary 6.16. *Under Assumptions 2.14, 2.15, 2.18, 2.19 and 5.63, we can compose bibundle actors as in Proposition 6.15.*

Proof. The proof is almost the same. We just use the cover $p: X \rightarrow Z$ instead of the cover $r_X: X \rightarrow G^0$. One of the differences is that in this case we have to show that the anchor map $s: X \times_G Y \rightarrow K^0$ is a cover. We have $s \circ \tilde{p} = s_Y \circ \text{pr}_2$. The coordinate projection $\text{pr}_2: X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y \rightarrow Y$ is a cover because it is a pull-back of the cover $s_X: X \rightarrow H^0$. Thus $s_Y \circ \text{pr}_2$ is a cover by Assumption 2.14. Since $\tilde{p}: X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y \rightarrow X \times_G Y$ is a cover, the anchor map $s: X \times_G Y \rightarrow K^0$ is a cover, too by Assumption 2.19.

Also, there is one more important difference from the proof of Proposition 6.15. We have to find an orbit space projection of the K -action on $X \times_H Y$. For this we must define the right H -action on Z elementwise by $[y] \cdot h = [h^{-1} \cdot y]$, and then consider the fibre product of H -actions on X and Z and get the H -action on $X \times_{s_X, H^0, \alpha} Z$ defined elementwise by $(x; [y]) \cdot h = (x \cdot h; [h^{-1} \cdot y])$. This action is basic because the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_1: X \times_{s_X, H^0, \alpha} Z \rightarrow X$ is an H -map, and then, similarly as in case of bibundle functors, we can deduce that the orbit space projection of the K -action on $X \times_H Y$ is a cover from $X \times_H Y$ to $X \times_H Z$ induced by the orbit space projection $(\text{pr}_1; p \circ \text{pr}_2): X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y \rightarrow X \times_{s_X, H^0, \alpha} Z$ of the H -action on $X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y$. Here $\alpha: Z \rightarrow H^0$ is the unique arrow such that $\alpha \circ p = r_Y$. \square

Remark 6.17. Consider the following assumption. If $f \circ g$ is a partial cover and g is a cover then f is a partial cover. This assumption holds in most examples of categories with partial covers which are discussed in this thesis. Under this assumption we can compose partial bibundle actors. We have to check that the anchor map $s: X \times_G Y \rightarrow K^0$ is a partial cover. We have that $s \circ \tilde{p}$ is a partial cover and \tilde{p} is a cover. Therefore, $s: X \times_G Y \rightarrow K^0$ is a partial cover by assumption above.

Remark 6.18. The composition of (partial) bibundle actors is a naturally associative. Consider three composable (partial) bibundle actors $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$, $(Y; m_{1Y}; m_{2Y}; s_Y; r_Y)$ and $(Z; m_{1Z}; m_{2Z}; s_Z; r_Z)$ from G to H , from H to K and from K to L , respectively. The object $Y \times_{s_Y, K^0, r_Z} Z$ with obvious actions of H and K on it is a (partial) bibundle actor from H to K . Hence we can consider the composition of this (partial) bibundle actor and $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$. We get a (partial) bibundle actor from G to K defined by the object $X \times_H (Y \times_{s_Y, K^0, r_Z} Z)$ and by suitable G and K actions on it. We know from the proof of Corollary 6.16 that an orbit space of the K -action on $X \times_H (Y \times_{s_Y, K^0, r_Z} Z)$ is $X \times_H (Y \times_K Z)$. Also, we have a natural isomorphism $X \times_H (Y \times_{s_Y, K^0, r_Z} Z) \cong (X \times_H Y) \times_{s', K^0, r_Z} Z$ induced by the canonical isomorphism $X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y \circ \text{pr}_1} (Y \times_{s_Y, K^0, r_Z} Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \times_{s_Y \text{pr}_2, K^0, r_Z} Z$ in the sense of the H -actions defined elementwise by $(x; (y; z)) \cdot h = (x \cdot h; (h^{-1} \cdot y; z))$ and $((x; y); z) \cdot h = (x \cdot h; h^{-1} \cdot y; z)$, respectively. An orbit space of the K -action on $(X \times_H Y) \times_{s', K^0, r_Z} Z$ is $(X \times_H Y) \times_K Z$ by construction. Therefore, we have a natural isomorphism $X \times_H (Y \times_K Z) \cong (X \times_H Y) \times_K Z$.

6.4. Bibundle equivalence. In this subsections we define equivalence of groupoids. It is the same as Morita equivalence. Let $G = (G^0, G^1, r_G, s_G, m_G)$ and $H = (H^0, H^1, r_H, s_H, m_H)$ be groupoids in the category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers.

Definition 6.19. A (partial) bibundle equivalence from G to H is a G, H -bibundle $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$, where the right H -bundle $(X; m_{2X}; s_X)$ is (partially) principal over $r_X: X \rightarrow G^0$ and the left G -bundle $(X; m_{1X}; r_X)$ is (partially) principal over $s_X: X \rightarrow G^0$. Then we call the groupoids G and H *equivalent*.

Remark 6.20. A bibundle equivalence from G to H is also a bibundle actor and a bibundle functor. It has all required properties of being such kinds of generalised morphisms.

Lemma 6.21. *Under Assumptions 2.15, 2.18 and 5.66, equivalence of groupoids as defined in Definition 6.19 is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.*

Proof. Equivalence is reflexive because for any groupoid $G = (G^0, G^1, r, s, m)$ the left and right translation actions define a bibundle equivalence $(G^1; m; m; s; r) \equiv \mathbb{G}^1$ from G to G because of the properties (2), (3) and (4) in Definition 3.1.

Equivalence is symmetric, too, because we can use Lemma 5.18 and change the left G -action and right H -action with a suitable right G -action and left H -action, respectively, and they will give a bibundle equivalence from H to G . Thus if $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X) \equiv \mathbb{X}$ is a bibundle equivalence from G to H then $(X; m_{2X} \circ (pr_2; i_H \circ pr_1); m_{1X} \circ (i_G \circ pr_2; pr_1); r_X; s_X) \equiv \mathbb{X}^{-1}$ is a bibundle equivalence from H to G .

If $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X) \equiv \mathbb{X}$ and $(Y; m_{1Y}; m_{2Y}; s_Y; r_Y) \equiv \mathbb{Y}$ are bibundle equivalences from G to H and from H to R , respectively, then their composition, as a bibundle functors, is a bibundle functor from G to R . We are going to show that this composition $(X \times_H Y; m_1; m_2; s; r) \equiv \mathbb{Y} \circ \mathbb{X}$, described in the proof of Proposition 6.15, is a bibundle equivalence from G to R . We need to show that the left G -bundle $(X \times_H Y; m_1; r)$ over $s: X \times_H Y \rightarrow R^0$ is principal. We have an analogous situation as in the case of the right principal R -bundle $(X \times_H Y; m_2; s)$ over $r: X \times_H Y \rightarrow G^0$. The anchor map $s: X \times_H Y \rightarrow R^0$ is a cover because it is induced by the cover $pr_2: X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y \rightarrow Y$, which is a pull-back of $s_X: X \rightarrow H^0$. Also, we need to show that the arrow

$$G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r} (X \times_H Y) \xrightarrow{(m_1; pr_2)} (X \times_H Y) \times_{s, R^0, s} (X \times_H Y)$$

is an isomorphism. That is right because it is induced by the following isomorphism:

$$G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, \bar{r}} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \xrightarrow{(\bar{m}; pr_2)} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y) \times_{pr_2, Y, pr_2} (X \times_{s_X, H^0, r_Y} Y).$$

□

This proof shows that we can compose bibundle equivalences like bibundle actors and bibundle functors.

Lemma 6.22. *Assume Assumptions 2.15, 2.18 and 5.66. The bibundle equivalence $(G^1; m; m; s; r) \equiv \mathbb{G}^1$ from a groupoid G to itself is an identity in the sense of the composition of bibundle actors, and each bibundle equivalence is invertible in the same sense.*

Proof. Let $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X) \equiv \mathbb{X}$ be a bibundle equivalence from G to H , and let $(G \times_G X; m_1; m_2; s; r) \equiv \mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{G}^1$ be a composition of $(G^1; m; m; s; r) \equiv \mathbb{G}^1$ and $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X) \equiv \mathbb{X}$. The object $G^1 \times_G X$ is an orbit space of the G -action on $G^1 \times_{s_G, G^0, r_X} X$ defined elementwise by $(g; x) \cdot g_1 = (g \cdot g_1; g_1^{-1} \cdot x)$. Also, there is the right G -action on $G^1 \times_{r_G, G^0, r_X} X$ defined by pulling back the left G -bundle $(G^1; m_G; s_G)$ over the range map $r_G: G^1 \rightarrow G^0$ along $r_X: X \rightarrow G^0$. This action is defined elementwise

by $(g; x) \cdot g_1 = (g \cdot g_1; x)$. The coordinate projection $\text{pr}_2: \mathbb{G}^1 \times_{r_G, \mathbb{G}^0, r_X} \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is an orbit space projection of this action by Lemma 5.50. The isomorphism

$$(\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}}): \mathbb{G}^1 \times_{s_G, \mathbb{G}^0, r_X} \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^1 \times_{r_G, \mathbb{G}^0, r_X} \mathbb{X}$$

is a \mathbb{G} -map because

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}})((g; x) \cdot g_1) &= (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}})(g \cdot g_1; g_1^{-1} \cdot x) \\ &= (g \cdot g_1; g \cdot g_1 \cdot g_1^{-1} \cdot x) \\ &= (g \cdot g_1; g \cdot x) \\ &= (g; g \cdot x) \cdot g_1 \\ &= (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}})(g; x) \cdot g_1. \end{aligned}$$

Also, it clearly commutes with anchor maps, and therefore, it induces an isomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{G}^1 \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{X}$ such that $\varphi \circ \tilde{\text{p}} = \text{pr}_2 \circ (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}}) = \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}}$. Elementwise $\varphi([g; x]) = g \cdot x$. This isomorphism is a \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H} -map because

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(g_1 \cdot [g; x]) &= \varphi([g_1 \cdot g; x]) \\ &= g_1 \cdot g \cdot x \\ &= g_1 \cdot (\varphi([g; x])), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi([g; x] \cdot h) &= \varphi([g; x \cdot h]) \\ &= g \cdot x \cdot h \\ &= (\varphi([g; x]) \cdot h). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it gives the isomorphism between \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H} -bibundles \mathbb{X} and $\mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{G}^1$. Analogously, we can construct a \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H} -isomorphism between \mathbb{X} and $\mathbb{H}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}$

Consider the composition of the bibundle equivalence $(\mathbb{X}; \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}}; \mathbf{m}_{2\mathbb{X}}; \mathbf{s}_X; r_X)$ from \mathbb{G} to \mathbb{H} and the bibundle equivalence $(\mathbb{X}; \mathbf{m}_{2\mathbb{X}} \circ (\text{pr}_2; i_{\mathbb{H}} \circ \text{pr}_1); \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}} \circ (i_{\mathbb{G}} \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{pr}_1); r_X; \mathbf{s}_X)$ from \mathbb{H} to \mathbb{G} . The object $\mathbb{X} \times_{\mathbb{H}} \mathbb{X}$ is the orbit space of the right \mathbb{H} -action on $\mathbb{X} \times_{s_X, \mathbb{H}^0, s_X} \mathbb{X}$ defined elementwise by $(x; x_1) \cdot h = (x \cdot h; h^{-1} \cdot x_1) = (x \cdot h; x_1 \cdot h)$. Also, there is the right \mathbb{H} -action on $\mathbb{G}^1 \times_{s_G, \mathbb{G}^0, r_X} \mathbb{X}$ defined by pulling back the right \mathbb{H} -bundle $(\mathbb{X}; \mathbf{m}_{2\mathbb{X}}; \mathbf{s}_X)$ over $r_X: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^0$ along the source map $s_G: \mathbb{G}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^0$. This action is defined elementwise by $(g; x) \cdot h = (g; x \cdot h)$. This action is a principal bundle over $\text{pr}_1: \mathbb{G}^1 \times_{s_G, \mathbb{G}^0, r_X} \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^1$ by Lemma 5.50. The isomorphism

$$(\mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}}; \text{pr}_2): \mathbb{G}^1 \times_{s_G, \mathbb{G}^0, r_X} \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X} \times_{s_X, \mathbb{H}^0, s_X} \mathbb{X}$$

is an \mathbb{H} -map because

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}}; \text{pr}_2)((g; x) \cdot h) &= (\mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}}; \text{pr}_2)(g; x \cdot h) \\ &= (g \cdot (x \cdot h); x \cdot h) \\ &= ((g \cdot x) \cdot h; x \cdot h) \\ &= (g \cdot x; x) \cdot h \\ &= (\mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}}; \text{pr}_2)(g; x) \cdot h. \end{aligned}$$

Also, it clearly commutes with anchor maps, and therefore, it induces an isomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{G}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{X} \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{X}$ such that $\psi \circ \text{pr}_1 = \tilde{\text{p}} \circ (\mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}}; \text{pr}_2)$. Elementwise $\psi(g) = [g \cdot x; x]$. This isomorphism is a \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{G} -map in the sense of \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{G} -bibundles $(\mathbb{G}^1; \mathbf{m}; \mathbf{m}; \mathbf{s}; r)$ and the composition of the bibundle equivalence $(\mathbb{X}; \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}}; \mathbf{m}_{2\mathbb{X}}; \mathbf{s}_X; r_X)$ from \mathbb{G} to \mathbb{H} and the bibundle equivalence $(\mathbb{X}; \mathbf{m}_{2\mathbb{X}} \circ (\text{pr}_2; i_{\mathbb{H}} \circ \text{pr}_1); \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}} \circ (i_{\mathbb{G}} \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{pr}_1); r_X; \mathbf{s}_X)$ from \mathbb{H}

to G because

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(g_1 \cdot g) &= [(g_1 \cdot g) \cdot x; x] \\ &= [g_1 \cdot (g \cdot x); x] \\ &= g_1 \cdot [g \cdot x; x] \\ &= g_1 \cdot \psi(g),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(g \cdot g_1) &= [(g \cdot g_1) \cdot (g_1^{-1} \cdot x); (g_1^{-1} \cdot x)] \\ &= [g \cdot x; g_1^{-1} \cdot x] \\ &= [g \cdot x; x] \cdot g_1 = \psi(g) \cdot g_1.\end{aligned}$$

So the composition of the bibundle equivalence $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$ from G to H and the bibundle equivalence $(X; m_{2X} \circ (pr_2; i_H \circ pr_1); m_{1X} \circ (i_G \circ pr_2; pr_1); r_X; s_X)$ from H to G gives a bibundle equivalence isomorphic to $(G^1; m; m; s; r)$. Therefore, the bibundle equivalence $(X; m_{2X} \circ (pr_2; i_H \circ pr_1); m_{1X} \circ (i_G \circ pr_2; pr_1); r_X; s_X)$ is an inverse of the bibundle equivalence $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$. \square

7. GENERALISED GROUPOID ACTIONS

In this section, we discuss generalised groupoid actions. Let G, H, L, K and R be groupoids in the category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers.

Definition 7.1. We say that the groupoid H acts on the groupoid G by a groupoid fibration $F: L \rightrightarrows H$ if the fibre of F is G . We call this a *generalised groupoid action*.

Proposition 7.2. *Under Assumptions 2.14, 2.15, 2.18, 2.19 and 5.63, a generalised groupoid action can be transformed along a bibundle equivalence. That is, if H acts on G by a groupoid fibration $F: L \rightrightarrows H$ and if G and K are equivalent, then we can construct an action of H on K . In other words, we can construct a groupoid fibration $E: R \rightrightarrows H$ with fibre K such that R and L are equivalent.*

Proof. The first step of the proof is to construct the arrows of the groupoid R . Let $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X)$ be a bibundle equivalence from G to K . Since the range map $r_L: L^1 \rightarrow L^0$ is a cover, there is an object $X \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1$. Consider the fibre product $(X \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1; m_1; r_1)$ of the right G -actions $(X; m_{1X} \circ (i_G \circ pr_2; pr_1); r_X)$ and $(L^1, m_L \circ (i_L \circ pr_1 \circ pr_2; pr_1), s_L)$ (see Example 5.25). This action is defined elementwise by

$$(x; l) \cdot g = (g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot l)$$

for all $x \in X, g \in G^1, l \in L^1$ with $r_X(x) = r_L(l) = r_G(g)$. We know that this action is principal, too, by Assumption 5.63. Therefore, there is an orbit space projection

$$p_1: X \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1 \rightarrow X \times_G L^1, \quad (x; l) \mapsto [x; l].$$

The arrow $s_L \circ pr_2: X \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1 \rightarrow L^0$ is G -invariant because

$$\begin{aligned}s_L(pr_2((x; l) \cdot g)) &= s_L(pr_2(g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot l)) \\ &= s_L(g^{-1} \cdot l) \\ &= s_L(l) = s_L(pr_2(x; l)).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can consider the pull-back of the G -bundle $(X \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1; m_1; r_1)$ over $s_L \circ pr_2: X \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1 \rightarrow L^0$ along the cover $r_G: G^1 \rightarrow L^0$. This G -action is a right principal G -bundle over

$$(p_1 \circ pr_1; pr_2): (X \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1) \times_{s_L \circ pr_2, L^0, r_G} G^1 \rightarrow (X \times_G L^1) \times_{s_2, L^0, r_G} G^1$$

by Lemma 5.50, where $s_2: X \times_G L^1 \rightarrow L^0$ is the unique arrow such that $s_2 \circ p_1 = s_L \circ pr_2$. Elementwise $s_2([x; l]) = s_L(l)$. Let us show that m'_2 is G -invariant, where m'_2 is the

action map of the pull-back of the right G -bundle $(L^1; m_L \circ (\text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2); s_L)$ over $r_L: L^1 \rightarrow L^0$ along the cover $r_X: X \rightarrow L^0$. This action map is defined elementwise by $(x; l) \cdot g = (x; l \cdot g)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} ((x; l) \cdot g) \cdot g_1 &= (g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot l) \cdot g_1 \\ &= (g^{-1} \cdot x; (g^{-1} \cdot l) \cdot g_1) \\ &= (g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot (l \cdot g_1)) \\ &= (x; l \cdot g_1) \cdot g \\ &= ((x; l) \cdot g_1) \cdot g \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, $g, g_1 \in G^1$, $l \in L^1$ with $r_X(x) = r_L(l) = r_G(g)$ and $s_L(l) = r_G(g_1)$. This shows that the action map m'_2 is G -invariant. Therefore, it induces a unique arrow $m_2: (X \times_G L^1) \times_{s_2, L^0, r_G} G^1 \rightarrow (X \times_G L^1)$ such that $m_2 \circ (\text{p}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) = \text{p}_1 \circ m'_2$. Elementwise $[x; l] \cdot g = [x; l \cdot g]$. Let us show that $(X \times_G L^1; m_2; s_2)$ is a right G -action. We have

$$\begin{aligned} s_2([x; l] \cdot g) &= s_2([x; l \cdot g]) \\ &= s_L(l \cdot g) \\ &= s_L(g). \end{aligned}$$

So the property (1) holds. Also

$$\begin{aligned} ([x; l] \cdot g) \cdot g_1 &= ([x; l \cdot g]) \cdot g_1 \\ &= [x; (l \cdot g) \cdot g_1] \\ &= [x; l \cdot (g \cdot g_1)] \\ &= [x; l] \cdot (g \cdot g_1). \end{aligned}$$

That gives (2). And $[x; l] \cdot 1_{s_2([x; l])} = [x; l \cdot 1_{s_L(l)}] = [x; l]$. So $(X \times_G L^1; m_2; s_2)$ is a right G -action.

Consider the fibre product $((X \times_G L^1) \times_{s_2, L^0, r_X} X; m_3; r_3)$ of the right G -actions $(X \times_G L^1; m_2; s_2)$ and $(X; m_{1X} \circ (i_G \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{pr}_1); r_X)$. This action is defined elementwise by

$$([x; l]; x_1) \cdot g = ([x; l \cdot g]; g^{-1} \cdot x_1)$$

for all $x, x_1 \in X$, $g \in G^1$, $l \in L^1$ with $r_X(x) = r_L(l)$ and $r_X(x_1) = s_L(l) = r_G(g)$. We know that the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_2: (X \times_G L^1) \times_{s_2, L^0, r_X} X \rightarrow X$ is a G -map. Therefore, $((X \times_G L^1) \times_{s_2, L^0, r_X} X; m_3; r_3)$ is a principal G -bundle over some cover $\text{p}_3: (X \times_G L^1) \times_{s_2, L^0, r_X} X \rightarrow X \times_G L^1 \times_G X$ by Lemma 5.64. We are going to use this object $X \times_G L^1 \times_G X$ as arrows of the groupoid R . So let

$$R^1 = X \times_G L^1 \times_G X.$$

The next step is to define the groupoid structure on R . It is obvious that $R^0 = K^0$ because K must be a fibre of the groupoid fibration $E: R \rightarrow H$. The G -map $\text{pr}_2: (X \times_G L^1) \times_{s_2, L^0, r_X} X \rightarrow X$ induces a unique arrow $s_R: X \times_G L^1 \times_G X \rightarrow K^0$ such that $s_R \circ \text{p}_3 = s_X \circ \text{pr}_2$. Elementwise $s_R([x; l]; x_1) = s_X(x_1)$. The G -map $\text{pr}_1: X \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1 \rightarrow X$ induces the arrow $r'_R: X \times_G L^1 \rightarrow K^0$ such that $r'_R \circ \text{p}_1 = s_X \circ \text{pr}_1$. Elementwise $r'_R([x; l]) = s_X(x)$. The arrow $r'_R \circ \text{pr}_1: (X \times_G L^1) \times_{s_2, L^0, r_X} X \rightarrow K^0$ is G -invariant in the sense of the G -action $((X \times_G L^1) \times_{s_2, L^0, r_X} X; m_3; r_3)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} r'_R(\text{pr}_1([x; l]; x_1) \cdot g) &= r'_R(\text{pr}_1([x; l \cdot g]; g^{-1} \cdot x_1)) \\ &= r'_R([x; l \cdot g]) \\ &= s_X(x) \\ &= r'_R([x; l]) \\ &= r'_R(\text{pr}_1([x; l]; x_1)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1 \in X$, $g \in G^1$, $l \in L^1$ with $r_X(x) = r_L(l)$ and $r_X(x_1) = s_L(l) = r_G(g)$. Therefore, there is a unique arrow $r_R: X \times_G L^1 \times_G X \rightarrow K^0$ such that $r_R \circ p_3 = r'_R \circ pr_1$. Elementwise $r_R([[x; l]; x_1]) = r'_R([x; l])$. For any element

$$((x; l); x_1) \in (X \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1) \times_{s_L \circ pr_2, L^0, r_X} X$$

we can write $r_R([[x; l]; x_1]) = r'_R([x; l]) = s_X(x)$. Here the element

$$[[x; l]; x_1] \in X \times_G L^1 \times_G X$$

is understood as the composition $p_3 \circ (p_1 \circ pr_1; pr_2) \circ ((x; l); x_1)$.

We have two arrows, s_R and r_R . The arrow s_R is a cover because it is induced by the coordinate projection $pr_2: (X \times_G L^1) \times_{s_2, L^0, r_X} X \rightarrow X$, which is a pull-back of $s_2: X \times_G L^1 \rightarrow L^0$, which is a cover under Assumptions 2.14 and 2.19 because $s_2 \circ p_1 = s_L \circ pr_2$. Under these assumptions, the arrow r_R is a cover, too, because $r_R \circ p_3 = r'_R \circ pr_1$, where pr_1 is a cover, as a pull-back of the cover r_X , and r'_R is a cover, as a map induced by the cover $pr_1: X \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1 \rightarrow X$. So s_R and r_R are covers and we use them as the source and range maps of R , respectively.

Consider the fibre product $(L^1 \times_{s_L, L^0, r_L} L^1; m_4; r_4)$ of the basic right G -actions $(L^1, m_L \circ (pr_1; pr_1 \circ pr_2), s_L)$ and $(L^1; m_L \circ (i_L \circ pr_1 \circ pr_2; pr_1); r_L)$. This action is defined elementwise by

$$(l_1; l_2) \cdot g = (l_1 \cdot g; g^{-1} \cdot l_2)$$

for all $g \in G^1$, $l_1, l_2 \in L^1$ with $s_L(l_1) = r_G(g) = r_L(l_2)$. We know that this action is basic by Assumption 5.63. Let the cover $p_4: L^1 \times_{s_L, L^0} L^1 \rightarrow L^1 \times_G L^1$ be the orbit space projection of this action. The multiplication map of the groupoid L is G -invariant because $(l_1 \cdot g) \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot l_2) = l_1 \cdot (g \cdot g^{-1}) \cdot l_2 = l_1 \cdot l_2$. Therefore, there is a unique arrow $m'_R: L^1 \times_G L^1 \rightarrow L^1$ such that $m'_R \circ p_4 = m_L$. Elementwise $m'_R([l_1; l_2]) = l_1 \cdot l_2$. We use this map to define the multiplication map m_R .

We know that the bibundle equivalence $(X; m_{1X}; m_{2X}; s_X; r_X) \equiv \mathbb{X}$ from G to K is a bibundle actor from G to K . Let \mathbb{X}^{-1} be the bibundle actor from K to G , described in Lemma 6.22. Let \mathbb{L}^1 be the bibundle actor from G to itself described in Example 6.8. By construction, the object of the composition of bibundle actors

$$\mathbb{X} \circ (\mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1})$$

is $R^1 = X \times_G L^1 \times_G X$. We know that the composition of bibundle actors is associative. Thus $\mathbb{X} \circ (\mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}) \cong (\mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1) \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}$. So an element $[[x; l]; x_1]$ of $R^1 = X \times_G L^1 \times_G X$ can be understood as $p'_3 \circ (pr_1; p'_1 \circ pr_2) \circ (x; (l; x_1))$, we just write $[x; l; x_1]$.

The object of the composition $\mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{L}^1$ is $L^1 \times_G L^1$, by construction. We have the left and right G -actions on $L^1 \times_G L^1$ defined elementwise by $g \cdot [l_1; l_2] = [g \cdot l_1; l_2]$ and $[l_1; l_2] \cdot g = [l_1; l_2 \cdot g]$, which define the bibundle actor $\mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{L}^1$ from G to itself. In the sense of these actions the arrow $m'_R: L^1 \times_G L^1 \rightarrow L^1$ is G , G -map because

$$\begin{aligned} m'_R(g \cdot [l_1; l_2]) &= m'_R([g \cdot l_1; l_2]) \\ &= (g \cdot l_1) \cdot l_2 \\ &= g \cdot (l_1 \cdot l_2) \\ &= g \cdot m'_R([l_1; l_2]) \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} m'_R([l_1; l_2] \cdot g) &= m'_R([l_1; l_2 \cdot g]) \\ &= l_1 \cdot (l_2 \cdot g) \\ &= (l_1 \cdot l_2) \cdot g \\ &= m'_R([l_1; l_2]) \cdot g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have an induced G -map $m''_R: X \times_G L^1 \times_G L^1 \times_G X \rightarrow X \times_G L^1 \times_G X$ by Remark 6.10. It is defined elementwise by $m''_R([x_1; l_1; l_2; x_2]) = [x_1; l_1 \cdot l_2; x_2]$. Since

the composition of bibundle actors is associative, which is explained in Remark 6.18, we have the following natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}) \circ (\mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}) &\cong \mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ (\mathbb{X}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{X}) \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1} \\ &\cong \mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{G}^1 \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1} \\ &\cong \mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbb{G}^1 is the unit bibundle actor from \mathbb{G} to itself. Hence there is the following arrow

$$\mathbf{m}_R''': (\mathbb{X} \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{L}^1 \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{X}) \times_{\mathbb{K}} (\mathbb{X} \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{L}^1 \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X} \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{L}^1 \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{X},$$

defined elementwise by

$$\mathbf{m}_R'''([x; l; x_1]; [x'; l'; x'_1]) = [x; l \cdot g \cdot l'; x'_1]$$

for all $x, x_1, x', x'_1 \in \mathbb{X}$, $l, l' \in \mathbb{L}^1$ with $r_{\mathbb{X}}(x) = r_{\mathbb{L}}(l)$, $r_{\mathbb{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbb{L}}(l)$, $r_{\mathbb{X}}(x') = r_{\mathbb{L}}(l')$, $r_{\mathbb{X}}(x'_1) = s_{\mathbb{L}}(l')$ and $s_{\mathbb{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbb{X}}(x')$, where $g \in \mathbb{G}^1$ is the element which is given by the following composition $\text{pr}_1 \circ (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}})^{-1} \circ (x_2; x'_1)$. Now, we can define the multiplication map of the groupoid \mathbb{R} . Let $\mathbf{m}_R = \mathbf{m}_R''' \circ \mathbf{p}'''$, where \mathbf{p}''' is an orbit space projection of the right \mathbb{K} -action on $(\mathbb{X} \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{L}^1 \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{X}) \times_{s_R, \mathbb{K}^0, r_R} (\mathbb{X} \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{L}^1 \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{X})$ defined elementwise by

$$([x; l; x_1]; [x'; l'; x'_1]) \cdot k = ([x; l; x_1 \cdot k]; [x' \cdot k; l'; x'_1])$$

for all $x, x_1, x', x'_1 \in \mathbb{X}$, $l, l' \in \mathbb{L}^1$, $k \in \mathbb{K}^1$ with $s_{\mathbb{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbb{X}}(x') = r_{\mathbb{K}}(k)$, $r_{\mathbb{X}}(x) = r_{\mathbb{L}}(l)$, $r_{\mathbb{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbb{L}}(l)$, $r_{\mathbb{X}}(x') = r_{\mathbb{L}}(l')$ and $r_{\mathbb{X}}(x'_1) = s_{\mathbb{L}}(l')$. So the multiplication map of the groupoid \mathbb{R} is defined elementwise by

$$[x; l; x_1] \cdot [x'; l'; x'_1] = [x; l \cdot g \cdot l'; x'_1]$$

for all $x, x_1, x', x'_1 \in \mathbb{X}$, $l, l' \in \mathbb{L}^1$ with $r_{\mathbb{X}}(x) = r_{\mathbb{L}}(l)$, $r_{\mathbb{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbb{L}}(l)$, $r_{\mathbb{X}}(x') = r_{\mathbb{L}}(l')$, $r_{\mathbb{X}}(x'_1) = s_{\mathbb{L}}(l')$ and $s_{\mathbb{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbb{X}}(x')$, where $g \in \mathbb{G}^1$ is the element considered above.

Consider the following composition

$$\mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{((\text{id}_{\mathbb{X}}; \mathbf{u}_{\mathbb{L}} \circ \mathbf{r}_{\mathbb{X}}); \text{id}_{\mathbb{X}})} (\mathbb{X} \times_{r_{\mathbb{X}}, \mathbb{L}^0, r_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathbb{L}^1) \times_{s_{\mathbb{L}} \circ \text{pr}_2, \mathbb{L}^0, r_{\mathbb{X}}} \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)} (\mathbb{X} \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{L}^1) \times_{s_2, \mathbb{L}^0, r_{\mathbb{X}}} \mathbb{X}.$$

It is a \mathbb{G} -map in the sense of the right \mathbb{G} -actions $(\mathbb{X}; \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbb{X}} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbb{G}} \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{pr}_1); r_{\mathbb{X}})$ and $((\mathbb{X} \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{L}^1) \times_{s_2, \mathbb{L}^0, r_{\mathbb{X}}} \mathbb{X}; \mathbf{m}_3; r_3)$ described above because it clearly commutes with anchor maps and

$$\begin{aligned} ([x \cdot g; \mathbf{1}_{r_{\mathbb{X}}(x \cdot g)}]; x \cdot g) &= ([g^{-1} \cdot x; \mathbf{1}_{r_{\mathbb{X}}(g^{-1} \cdot x)}]; g^{-1} \cdot x) \\ &= ([g \cdot g^{-1} \cdot x; g \cdot \mathbf{1}_{r_{\mathbb{X}}(g^{-1} \cdot x)}]; g^{-1} \cdot x) \\ &= ([x; g]; g^{-1} \cdot x) \\ &= ([x; \mathbf{1}_{r_{\mathbb{G}}(g)}] \cdot g; g^{-1} \cdot x) \\ &= ([x; \mathbf{1}_{r_{\mathbb{X}}(x)}] \cdot g; x \cdot g) \\ &= ([x; \mathbf{1}_{r_{\mathbb{X}}(x)}]; x) \cdot g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is a unique arrow $\mathbf{u}_R: \mathbb{K}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{X} \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{L}^1 \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{X}$ such that

$$\mathbf{u}_R \circ s_{\mathbb{X}} = \mathbf{p}_3 \circ (\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) \circ ((\text{id}_{\mathbb{X}}; \mathbf{u}_{\mathbb{L}} \circ r_{\mathbb{X}}); \text{id}_{\mathbb{X}}), \quad \mathbf{u}_R(k_0) = [x; \mathbf{1}_{r_{\mathbb{X}}(x)}; x]$$

for all $k_0 \in \mathbb{K}^0$, $x \in \mathbb{X}$ with $s_{\mathbb{X}}(x) = k_0$.

Consider the following isomorphism

$$i_R': (\mathbb{X} \times_{r_{\mathbb{X}}, \mathbb{L}^0, r_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathbb{L}^1) \times_{s_{\mathbb{L}} \circ \text{pr}_2, \mathbb{L}^0, r_{\mathbb{X}}} \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{(\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; (\text{id}_{\mathbb{L}} \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2))} \mathbb{X} \times_{r_{\mathbb{X}}, \mathbb{L}^0, r_{\mathbb{L}} \circ \text{pr}_1} (\mathbb{L}^1 \times_{s_{\mathbb{L}}, \mathbb{L}^0, r_{\mathbb{X}}} \mathbb{X}).$$

Elementwise $i_R'((x; l); x_1) = (x_1; (l^{-1}; x))$. This isomorphism is a \mathbb{G} -map in the sense of the \mathbb{G} -actions defined elementwise by $((x; l); x_1) \cdot g = ((g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot l); x_1)$ and

$(x; (l; x_1)) \cdot g = (x; (l \cdot g; g^{-1} \cdot x_1))$, respectively, because it clearly commutes with anchor maps and

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\mathbf{R}}'((x; l); x_1) \cdot g &= (x_1; (l^{-1}; x)) \cdot g \\ &= (x_1; (l^{-1} \cdot g; g^{-1} \cdot x)) \\ &= (x_1; ((g^{-1} \cdot l)^{-1}; g^{-1} \cdot x)) \\ &= i_{\mathbf{R}}'((g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot l); x_1) \\ &= i_{\mathbf{R}}'((x; l); x_1) \cdot g \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1 \in \mathbf{X}$, $g \in \mathbf{G}^1$, $l \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l) = r_{\mathbf{G}}(g)$ and $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$. Hence it induces an isomorphism

$$i_{\mathbf{R}}'' : (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1) \times_{s_2, \mathbf{L}^0, r_{\mathbf{X}}} \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times_{r_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{L}^0, r_2} (\mathbf{L}^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X})$$

such that $i_{\mathbf{R}}''([x; l]; x_1) = (x_1; [l^{-1}; x])$. We also have the \mathbf{G} -actions defined elementwise by $([x; l]; x_1) \cdot g = ([x; l \cdot g]; g^{-1} \cdot x_1)$ and $(x; [l; x_1]) \cdot g = (g^{-1} \cdot x; [g^{-1} \cdot l; x_1])$. The isomorphism $i_{\mathbf{R}}''$ is a \mathbf{G} -map in the sense of these actions because it clearly commutes with anchor maps and

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\mathbf{R}}''([x; l]; x_1) \cdot g &= (x_1; [l^{-1}; x]) \cdot g \\ &= (g^{-1} \cdot x_1; [g^{-1} \cdot l^{-1}; x]) \\ &= (g^{-1} \cdot x_1; [(l \cdot g)^{-1}; x]) \\ &= i_{\mathbf{R}}''([x; l \cdot g]; g^{-1} \cdot x_1) \\ &= i_{\mathbf{R}}''((x; [l; x_1]) \cdot g) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1 \in \mathbf{X}$, $g \in \mathbf{G}^1$, $l \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$ and $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbf{L}}(l) = r_{\mathbf{G}}(g)$. Therefore, it induces the following isomorphism

$$i_{\mathbf{R}} : \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X},$$

such that $i_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1]) = [x_1; l^{-1}; x]$ for all $x, x_1 \in \mathbf{X}$, $l \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$ and $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$. We are going to show that the data $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{R}^0, \mathbf{R}^1, r_{\mathbf{R}}, s_{\mathbf{R}}, m_{\mathbf{R}}, u_{\mathbf{R}}, i_{\mathbf{R}})$ defined above is a groupoid in the category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers.

We have shown above that $r_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $s_{\mathbf{R}}$ are covers, which is the property (1). Now let us check the property (2). Let $[x; l; x_1]$ and $[x'; l'; x'_1]$ be composable pairs in \mathbf{R}^1 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1] \cdot [x'; l'; x'_1]) &= r_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l \cdot g \cdot l'; x'_1]) \\ &= s_{\mathbf{X}}(x) \\ &= r_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1]) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1] \cdot [x'; l'; x'_1]) &= s_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l \cdot g \cdot l'; x'_1]) \\ &= s_{\mathbf{X}}(x'_1) \\ &= s_{\mathbf{R}}([x'; l'; x'_1]) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1, x', x'_1 \in \mathbf{X}$, $l, l' \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $s_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbf{X}}(x')$, $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$, $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$, $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x') = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l')$ and $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x'_1) = s_{\mathbf{L}}(l')$. So we have the property (2).

Consider a composable triple of arrows in \mathbf{R}^1 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} ([x; l; x_1] \cdot [x'; l'; x'_1]) \cdot [x''; l''; x''_1] &= [x; l \cdot g \cdot l'; x'_1] \cdot [x''; l''; x''_1] \\ &= [x; (l \cdot g \cdot l') \cdot g_1 \cdot l''; x''_1], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [x; l; x_1] \cdot ([x'; l'; x'_1] \cdot [x''; l''; x''_1]) &= [x; l; x_1] \cdot [x'; l' \cdot g'_1 \cdot l''; x''_1] \\ &= [x; l \cdot g' \cdot (l' \cdot g'_1 \cdot l''); x''_1]. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of the multiplication in \mathbf{R}^1 we know that the elements $g \in \mathbf{G}^1$ and $g' \in \mathbf{G}^1$ are given by the composition $\text{pr}_1 \circ (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \circ (x_1; x')$. So $g = g'$. For the same reason $g_1 = g'_1$. Hence

$$([x; l; x_1] \cdot [x'; l'; x'_1]) \cdot [x''; l''; x''_1] = [x; l; x_1] \cdot ([x'; l'; x'_1] \cdot [x''; l''; x''_1]).$$

Therefore, the multiplication map $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is associative. This is (3).

We are going to check all properties in Proposition 3.8 and deduce that \mathbf{R} is a groupoid by Proposition 3.11. Consider any element k_0 in \mathbf{R}^0 and any element x in \mathbf{X} such that $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = k_0$. We have $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{R}}(k_0)) = \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{R}}([x; \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}(x)}; x]) = \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = k_0$, and $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{R}}(k_0)) = \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{R}}([x; \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}(x)}; x]) = \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = k_0$. Thus the property (1) holds. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1])) \cdot [x; l; x_1] &= \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{X}}(x)) \cdot [x; l; x_1] \\ &= [x; \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}(x)}; x] \cdot [x; l; x_1] \\ &= [x; \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}(x)} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}(x)} \cdot l; x_1] \\ &= [x; l; x_1]. \end{aligned}$$

We have used here that the composition $\text{pr}_1 \circ (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \circ (x; x)$ clearly gives the element $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}(x)}$. Analogously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x; l; x_1] \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1])) &= [x; l; x_1] \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{R}}(x_1)) \\ &= [x; l; x_1] \cdot [x_1; \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1)}; x_1] \\ &= [x; l \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1)} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1)}; x_1] \\ &= [x; l; x_1]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the property (2) holds. The property (3) holds obviously:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1])) &= \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{R}}([x_1; l^{-1}; x]) \\ &= \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1) \\ &= \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1]), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1])) &= \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{R}}([x_1; l^{-1}; x]) \\ &= \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{X}}(x) \\ &= \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1]). \end{aligned}$$

We need to check one more property. That is (4). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1]) \cdot [x; l; x_1] &= [x_1; l^{-1}; x] \cdot [x; l; x_1] \\ &= [x_1; l^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}(x)} \cdot l; x_1] \\ &= [x_1; \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1)}; x_1] \\ &= \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1)) \\ &= \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1])), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [x; l; x_1] \cdot \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1]) &= [x; l; x_1] \cdot [x_1; l^{-1}; x] \\ &= [x; l \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1)} \cdot l^{-1}; x] \\ &= [x; \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}(x)}; x] \\ &= \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{X}}(x)) \\ &= \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1])). \end{aligned}$$

So we have all required properties in Proposition 3.11. Therefore, we can deduce that $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{R}^0, \mathbf{R}^1, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{R}})$ is a groupoid by Proposition 3.11.

The next step is to construct the groupoid fibration from \mathbf{R} to \mathbf{H} . Consider the following composition

$$(\mathbf{X} \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1) \times_{s_L \circ pr_2, L^0, r_X} \mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{pr_2 \circ pr_1} L^1 \xrightarrow{F^1} H^1.$$

It is \mathbf{G} -invariant in the sense of the \mathbf{G} -action on $(\mathbf{X} \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1) \times_{s_L \circ pr_2, L^0, r_X} \mathbf{X}$ defined elementwise by $((x; l); x_1) \cdot g = ((g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot l); x_1)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} F^1(pr_2(pr_1(((x; l); x_1) \cdot g))) &= F^1(pr_2(pr_1((g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot l); x_1))) \\ &= F^1(g^{-1} \cdot l) \\ &= F^1(l) \\ &= F^1(pr_2(pr_1((x; l); x_1))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is a unique arrow $\tilde{E}^1: (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} L^1) \times_{s_2, L^0, r_X} \mathbf{X} \rightarrow H^1$ such that $\tilde{E}^1 \circ (p_1 \circ pr_1; pr_2) = F^1 \circ pr_2 \circ pr_1$. Elementwise $\tilde{E}^1([x; l]; x_1) = F^1(l)$. The arrow \tilde{E}^1 is \mathbf{G} -invariant in the sense of \mathbf{G} -action on $(\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} L^1) \times_{s_2, L^0, r_X} \mathbf{X}$ defined elementwise by $[x; l]; x_1) \cdot g = ([x; l \cdot g]; g^{-1} \cdot x_1)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}^1([x; l]; x_1) \cdot g &= \tilde{E}^1([x; l \cdot g]; g^{-1} \cdot x_1) \\ &= F^1(l \cdot g) \\ &= F^1(l) \\ &= \tilde{E}^1([x; l]; x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is a unique arrow $E^1: \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} L^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X} \rightarrow H^1$ such that $E^1 \circ p_3 = \tilde{E}^1$. Elementwise $E^1([x; l; x_1]) = \tilde{E}^1([x; l]; x_1)$. For any element

$$((x; l); x_1) \in (\mathbf{X} \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1) \times_{s_L \circ pr_2, L^0, r_X} \mathbf{X}$$

we can write $E^1([x; l; x_1]) = \tilde{E}^1([x; l]; x_1) = F^1(l)$. Here the element

$$[x; l; x_1] \in \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} L^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X}$$

is understood as the following composition $p_3 \circ (p_1 \circ pr_1; pr_2) \circ ((x; l); x_1)$. So we have the arrow $E^1: \mathbf{R}^1 \rightarrow H^1$. Let us show that the composition $E^0 \circ r_X: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow H^0$ is \mathbf{G} -invariant in the sense of the \mathbf{G} -action $(\mathbf{X}; m_{1X}; r_X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} F^0(r_X(g \cdot x)) &= F^0(r_G(g)) \\ &= r_H(F^1(g)) \\ &= r_H(F^1(1_{s_G(g)})) \\ &= r_H(F^1(1_{r_X(x)})) \\ &= F^0(r_X(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is a unique arrow $E^0: K^0 \rightarrow H^0$ such that $E^0 \circ s_X = F^0 \circ r_X$. Elementwise $E^0(k_0) = F^0(r_X(x))$ for all $k_0 \in K^0$, $x \in \mathbf{X}$ with $s_X(x) = k_0$. We are going to show that the pair $\tilde{E} = (E^1; E^0)$ defines a groupoid fibration from \mathbf{R} to \mathbf{H} . They intertwine the source maps because

$$\begin{aligned} s_H(E^1([x; l; x_1])) &= s_H(F^1(l)) \\ &= F^0(s_L(l)) \\ &= F^0(r_X(x_1)) \\ &= E^0(s_X(x_1)) \\ &= E^0(s_R([x; l; x_1])), \end{aligned}$$

and analogously, they intertwine the range maps because

$$\begin{aligned}
r_H(E^1([x; l; x_1])) &= r_H(F^1(l)) \\
&= F^0(r_L(l)) \\
&= F^0(r_X(x)) \\
&= E^0(s_X(x)) \\
&= E^0(r_R([x; l; x_1]))
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1 \in X$, $l \in L^1$ with $r_L(l) = r_X(x)$ and $s_L(l) = r_X(x_1)$. They intertwine the multiplication maps because

$$\begin{aligned}
E^1([x; l; x_1] \cdot [x'; l'; x'_1]) &= E^1([x; l \cdot g \cdot l'; x'_1]) \\
&= F^1(l \cdot g \cdot l') \\
&= F^1(l) \cdot F^1(l') \\
&= E^1([x; l; x_1]) \cdot E^1([x'; l'; x'_1])
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1, x', x'_1 \in X$, $l, l' \in L^1$ with $r_X(x) = r_L(l)$, $r_X(x_1) = s_L(l)$, $r_X(x') = r_L(l')$, $r_X(x'_1) = s_L(l')$ and $s_X(x_1) = s_X(x')$. So the pair $E = (E^1; E^0)$ intertwines the source, range and multiplication maps and therefore, $E: R \rightarrow H$ is a functor. We have to show that the arrow

$$X \times_G L^1 \times_G X \xrightarrow{(E^1; s_R)} H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, E^0} K^0$$

is a cover. Consider the following diagram of pull-back squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0 \circ r_X} X & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & X \\
\downarrow (\text{pr}_1; s_X \circ \text{pr}_2) & & \downarrow s_X \\
H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, E^0} K^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & K^0 \\
\downarrow \text{pr}_1 & & \downarrow E^0 \\
H^1 & \xrightarrow{s_X} & H^0
\end{array}
\quad \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ F^0 \circ r_X \\ \\ \end{array}$$

Since $F^0 \circ r_X = E^0 \circ s_X$, the arrow $(\text{pr}_1; s_X \circ \text{pr}_2): H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0 \circ r_X} X \rightarrow H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, E^0} K^0$ is well-defined, and it is a cover because it is pull-back of the anchor map $s_X: X \rightarrow K^0$. Consider one more diagram of pull-back squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
L^1 \times_{s_L, L^0, r_X} X & \xrightarrow{(F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)} & H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0 \circ r_X} X & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & X \\
\downarrow \text{pr}_1 & & \downarrow (\text{pr}_1; r_X \circ \text{pr}_2) & & \downarrow r_X \\
L^1 & \xrightarrow{(F^1; s_L)} & H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & L^0 \\
& & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 & & \downarrow F^0 \\
& & H^1 & \xrightarrow{s_H} & H^0
\end{array}$$

The diagram shows that the arrow $(F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2): L^1 \times_{s_L, L^0, r_X} X \rightarrow H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0 \circ r_X} X$ is a pull-back of $(F^1; s_L): L^1 \rightarrow H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0} L^0$, and therefore, it is a cover. Also, the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_2: X \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L \circ \text{pr}_1} (L^1 \times_{s_L, L^0, r_X} X) \rightarrow L^1 \times_{s_L, L^0, r_X} X$ is a cover because it is a pull-back of the anchor map $r_X: X \rightarrow L^0$. So we have three covers and therefore, their composition

$$(\text{pr}_1; s_X \circ \text{pr}_2) \circ (F^1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2) \circ \text{pr}_2 \equiv \phi: X \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L \circ \text{pr}_1} (L^1 \times_{s_L, L^0, r_X} X) \rightarrow H^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, E^0} K^0$$

is a cover by Assumption 2.14. This composition is defined elementwise by $\phi(x; (l; x_1)) = (F^1(l); \mathbf{s}_X(x_1))$. Let us check that this composition is \mathbf{G} -invariant in the sense of the \mathbf{G} -action on $\mathbf{X} \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L \circ \text{pr}_1} (L^1 \times_{s_L, L^0, r_X} \mathbf{X})$ defined elementwise by $(x; (l; x_1)) \cdot g = (x; (l \cdot g; g^{-1} \cdot x_1))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((x; (l; x_1)) \cdot g) &= \phi(x; (l \cdot g; g^{-1} \cdot x_1)) \\ &= (F^1(l \cdot g); \mathbf{s}_X(g^{-1} \cdot x_1)) \\ &= (F^1(l); \mathbf{s}_X(x_1)) \\ &= \phi(x; (l; x_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is a unique arrow $\phi': \mathbf{X} \times_{r_X, L^0, r_2} (L^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, E^0} \mathbf{K}^0$ such that $\phi' \circ (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{p}'_1 \circ \text{pr}_2) = \phi$. Elementwise $\phi'(x; [l; x_1]) = (F^1(l); \mathbf{s}_X(x_1))$. Since the arrows $(\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{p}'_1 \circ \text{pr}_2)$ and ϕ are covers, the arrow ϕ' is a cover by Assumption 2.19. The cover ϕ' is \mathbf{G} -invariant in the sense of the \mathbf{G} -action on $\mathbf{X} \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L \circ \text{pr}_1} (L^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X})$ defined elementwise by $(x; [l; x_1]) \cdot g = (g^{-1} \cdot x; [g^{-1} \cdot l; x_1])$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'((x; [l; x_1]) \cdot g) &= \phi'(g^{-1} \cdot x; [g^{-1} \cdot l; x_1]) \\ &= (F^1(g^{-1} \cdot l); \mathbf{s}_X(x_1)) \\ &= (F^1(l); \mathbf{s}_X(x_1)) \\ &= \phi'(x; [l; x_1]). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is a unique arrow $\phi'': \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} L^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, E^0} \mathbf{K}^0$ such that $\phi'' \circ \mathbf{p}'_3 = \phi'$. Elementwise $\phi''([x; l; x_1]) = \phi'(x; [l; x_1])$. Since the arrows \mathbf{p}'_3 and ϕ' are covers, the arrow ϕ'' is a cover by Assumption 2.19. For any element

$$(x; (l; x_1)) \in \mathbf{X} \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L \circ \text{pr}_1} (L^1 \times_{s_L, L^0, r_X} \mathbf{X})$$

we have $\phi''([x; l; x_1]) = \phi'(x; [l; x_1]) = (F^1(l); \mathbf{s}_X(x_1)) = (E^1([x; l; x_1]); \mathbf{s}_R([x; l; x_1]))$. Since the arrow $\mathbf{p}'_3 \circ (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{p}'_1 \circ \text{pr}_2)$ is a cover and therefore, it is epic, we have that $\phi'' = (E^1; \mathbf{s}_R)$. Hence the arrow $(E^1; \mathbf{s}_R)$ is a cover and therefore, the functor $\mathbf{E}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ is a groupoid fibration.

The next step is to prove that the fibre of the groupoid fibration $\mathbf{E}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ is isomorphic to the groupoid \mathbf{K} . Consider the following commuting square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbf{X} \times_{r_X, G^0, r_G} G^1) \times_{s_G \circ \text{pr}_2, G^0, r_X} \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & \mathbf{X} \\ \downarrow ((\text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1); \text{pr}_2) & & \downarrow (F^1 \circ \text{ou}_L \circ r_X; \text{id}_X) \\ (\mathbf{X} \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1) \times_{s_L \circ \text{pr}_2, L^0, r_X} \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{(F^1 \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)} & \mathbf{H}^1 \times_{s_H, H^0, F^0 \circ r_X} \mathbf{X}. \end{array}$$

Our goal is to prove that this square is a pull-back square. Consider any arrows $((x; l); x_1): \mathbf{A} \rightarrow (\mathbf{X} \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1) \times_{s_L \circ \text{pr}_2, L^0, r_X} \mathbf{X}$ and $x'_1: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ such that

$$(F^1(l); x_1) = (1_{F^0(r_X(x'_1))}; x'_1).$$

This gives that $x'_1 = x_1$ and $F^1(l) = 1_{F^0(r_X(x_1))}$. Therefore, the element $l: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow L^1$ uniquely gives the element in $(l; s_L(l)): \mathbf{A} \rightarrow G^1$ by Definition 4.13. So we have a unique element $((x; (l; s_L(l))); x_1): \mathbf{A} \rightarrow (\mathbf{X} \times_{r_X, G^0, r_G} G^1) \times_{s_G \circ \text{pr}_2, G^0, r_X} \mathbf{X}$ with needed requirements. Therefore, the diagram above is a pull-back square.

The arrow $(F^1 \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)$ from the diagram is \mathbf{G} -invariant in the sense of the \mathbf{G} -action on $(\mathbf{X} \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1) \times_{s_L \circ \text{pr}_2, L^0, r_X} \mathbf{X}$ considered above because

$$\begin{aligned} (F^1 \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)((x; l); x_1) \cdot g &= (F^1 \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)((g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot l); x_1) \\ &= (F^1(g^{-1} \cdot l); x_1) \\ &= (F^1(l); x_1) \\ &= (F^1 \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1; \text{pr}_2)((x; l); x_1). \end{aligned}$$

We have a \mathbf{G} -action on $(\mathbf{X} \times_{r_X, \mathbf{G}^0, r_G} \mathbf{G}^1) \times_{s_{\mathbf{G} \circ \text{pr}_2, \mathbf{G}^0, r_X}} \mathbf{X}$ defined elementwise by

$$((x; g_1); x_1) \cdot g = ((g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot g_1); x_1),$$

and it is clear that the right side arrow on the diagram is a \mathbf{G} -map. Therefore, there is a pull-back square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{G}^1) \times_{s'_2, \mathbf{G}^0, r_X} \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & \mathbf{X} \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow (\mathbf{F}^1 \circ u_{\mathbf{L}} \circ r_X; \text{id}_X) \\ (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1) \times_{s_2, \mathbf{L}^0, r_X} \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathbf{H}^1 \times_{s_{\mathbf{H}}, \mathbf{H}^0, \mathbf{F}^0 \circ r_X} \mathbf{X} \end{array}$$

by Proposition 5.44 and Lemma 5.50, where the arrows α and β are induced arrows defined elementwise by $\alpha([x; g]; x_1) = ([x; g]; x_1)$ and $\beta([x; l]; x_1) = (\mathbf{F}^1(l); x_1)$. Now we have a diagram of pull-back squares with suitable \mathbf{G} -actions on all the objects such that each arrow is a \mathbf{G} -map. Therefore, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{G}^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\text{pr}}_2} & \mathbf{K}^0 \\ \tilde{\alpha} \downarrow & & \downarrow (\mathbf{E}^1 \circ u_{\mathbf{R}}; \text{id}_X) \\ \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{E}^1; s_{\mathbf{R}})} & \mathbf{H}^1 \times_{s_{\mathbf{H}}, \mathbf{H}^0, \mathbf{E}^0} \mathbf{K}^0 \end{array}$$

is a pull-back square by Lemma 5.65. This pull-back square gives the fibre of the groupoid fibration $\mathbf{E}: \mathbf{R} \rightrightarrows \mathbf{H}$. The object $\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{G}^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X}$ is the object of the composition of bibundle actors $\mathbb{X} \circ \mathbf{G}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}$. We know that

$$\mathbb{X} \circ \mathbf{G}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1} \cong \mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1} \cong \mathbb{K}^1.$$

Therefore, we have a natural isomorphism $\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{G}^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{K}^1$, which gives the isomorphism between the groupoid \mathbf{K} and the fibre of $\mathbf{E}: \mathbf{R} \rightrightarrows \mathbf{H}$.

The last step is to show that the groupoids \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{R} are equivalent. Consider the object $\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1$ and define the left \mathbf{R} -action and right \mathbf{L} -action on it. We know that the multiplication map $m_{\mathbf{L}}$ induces the \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{G} -map between bibundle actors $\mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}$ and $\mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}$. That is $m'_{1*}: \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1$, defined elementwise by $m'_{1*}([l_1; l]; x) = [l_1 \cdot l; x]$. This map, with the suitable orbit space projection gives an action map of the groupoid \mathbf{L} on the object $\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1$. That is $m_{1*}: (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1) \times_{s_2, \mathbf{L}^0, r_{\mathbf{L}}} \mathbf{L}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1$, defined elementwise by

$$[x; l] \cdot l_1 = [x; l \cdot l_1]$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $l, l_1 \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $r_X(x) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$ and $s_{\mathbf{L}}(l) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l_1)$. We have to show that $(\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1; m_{1*}; s_2)$ is a right \mathbf{L} -action. We have

$$\begin{aligned} s_2([x; l] \cdot l_1) &= s_2([x; l \cdot l_1]) \\ &= s_{\mathbf{L}}(l \cdot l_1) \\ &= s_{\mathbf{L}}(l_1), \end{aligned}$$

also,

$$\begin{aligned} [x; l] \cdot (l_1 \cdot l_2) &= [x; l \cdot (l_1 \cdot l_2)] \\ &= [x; (l \cdot l_1) \cdot l_2] \\ &= [x; l \cdot l_1] \cdot l_2 \\ &= ([x; l] \cdot l_1) \cdot l_2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [x; l] \cdot 1_{s_{\mathbf{L}}(l)} &= [x; l \cdot 1_{s_{\mathbf{L}}(l)}] \\ &= [x; l] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $l, l_1, l_2 \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$, $s_{\mathbf{L}}(l) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l_1)$ and $s_{\mathbf{L}}(l_1) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l_2)$. Therefore, $(\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1; \mathbf{m}_{1*}; \mathbf{s}_2)$ is a right \mathbf{L} -action by Lemma 5.7.

We have the following natural isomorphisms of bibundle actors:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1} &\cong \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{G}^1 \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1} \\ &\cong \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

These isomorphisms and \mathbf{m}'_{1*} give a \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{K} -map from $\mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}$ to $\mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}$. That is $\mathbf{m}_{2*}: (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X}) \times_{\mathbf{K}} (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1) \rightarrow \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1$ defined elementwise by $\mathbf{m}'_{2*}([x; l; x_1]; [x'_1; l']) = [x; l \cdot g \cdot l']$. This map with the suitable orbit space projection gives an action map of the groupoid \mathbf{R} on the object $\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1$. That is $\mathbf{m}'_{2*}: (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X}) \times_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{K}^0, \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}}} \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1$ defined elementwise by

$$[x; l; x_1] \cdot [x'_1; l'] = [x; l \cdot g \cdot l']$$

for all $x, x_1, x'_1 \in \mathbf{X}$, $l, l' \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$, $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$, $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x'_1) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l')$ and $s_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbf{X}}(x'_1)$, where $g \in \mathbf{G}^1$ is the element which is given by the following composition $\text{pr}_1 \circ (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \circ (x_1; x'_1)$. We have to show that $(\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1; \mathbf{m}_{2*}; \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}})$ is a left \mathbf{R} -action. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1] \cdot [x'_1; l']) &= \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l \cdot g \cdot l']) \\ &= s_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{R}}([x; l; x_1]), \end{aligned}$$

also,

$$\begin{aligned} ([\hat{x}; \hat{l}; \hat{x}_1] \cdot [x; l; x_1]) \cdot [x'_1; l'] &= [\hat{x}; \hat{l} \cdot g' \cdot l; x_1] \cdot [x'_1; l'] \\ &= [\hat{x}; \hat{l} \cdot g' \cdot l \cdot g \cdot l'] \\ &= [\hat{x}; \hat{l}; \hat{x}_1] \cdot [x; l \cdot g \cdot l'] \\ &= [\hat{x}; \hat{l}; \hat{x}_1] \cdot ([x; l; x_1] \cdot [x'_1; l']), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{s_{\mathbf{X}}(x'_1)} \cdot [x'_1; l'] &= [x'_1; \mathbf{1}_{r_{\mathbf{X}}(x'_1)}; x'_1] \cdot [x'_1; l'] \\ &= [x'_1; \mathbf{1}_{r_{\mathbf{X}}(x'_1)} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{r_{\mathbf{X}}(x'_1)} \cdot l'] \\ &= [x'_1; l'] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1, x'_1, \hat{x}, \hat{x}_1 \in \mathbf{X}$, $l, l', \hat{l} \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$, $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$, $r_{\mathbf{X}}(\hat{x}) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(\hat{l})$, $r_{\mathbf{X}}(\hat{x}_1) = s_{\mathbf{L}}(\hat{l})$, $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x'_1) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l')$, $s_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbf{X}}(x'_1)$ and $s_{\mathbf{X}}(\hat{x}_1) = s_{\mathbf{X}}(x)$, where g and g' are elements in \mathbf{G}^1 which are given by the pairs $(x_1; x'_1)$ and $(\hat{x}_1; x)$, respectively. Therefore, $(\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1; \mathbf{m}_{2*}; \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}})$ is a left \mathbf{R} -action by Remark 5.11. We are going to show that $(\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1; \mathbf{m}_{1*}; \mathbf{m}_{2*}; \mathbf{s}_2; \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}})$ defines an equivalence from \mathbf{R} to \mathbf{L} . Firstly, we have to check that it is an \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{L} -bibundle. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_2([x; l; x_1] \cdot [x'_1; l']) &= \mathbf{s}_2([x; l \cdot g \cdot l']) \\ &= s_{\mathbf{L}}(l \cdot g \cdot l') \\ &= s_{\mathbf{L}}(l') \\ &= \mathbf{s}_2([x'_1; l']), \end{aligned}$$

also,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}}([x'_1; l'] \cdot l'') &= \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}}([x'_1; l' \cdot l'']) \\ &= s_{\mathbf{X}}(x'_1) \\ &= \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}}([x'_1; l']), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
([x; l; x_1] \cdot [x'_1; l']) \cdot l'' &= [x; l \cdot g \cdot l'] \cdot l'' \\
&= [x; l \cdot g \cdot l' \cdot l''] \\
&= [x; l; x_1] \cdot [x'_1; l' \cdot l''] \\
&= [x; l; x_1] \cdot ([x'_1; l'] \cdot l'')
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1, x'_1 \in \mathbf{X}$, $l, l', l'' \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$, $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$, $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x'_1) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l')$, $s_{\mathbf{L}}(l') = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l')$ and $s_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbf{X}}(x'_1)$, where $g \in \mathbf{G}^1$ is the element considered above. Therefore, $(\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1; \mathbf{m}_{1*}; \mathbf{m}_{2*}; \mathbf{s}_2; \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}})$ is an \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{L} -bibundle. We have checked above that the anchor maps \mathbf{s}_2 and $\mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}}$ are covers. After this, we have to show that the following arrows

$$(\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1) \times_{\mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{L}}} \mathbf{L}^1 \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{2*})} (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1) \times_{\mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{K}^0, \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}}} (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1)$$

and

$$(\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1 \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{X}) \times_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{K}^0, \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}}} (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1) \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{m}_{1*}; \mathbf{pr}_2)} (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1) \times_{\mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{s}_2} (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1)$$

are invertible. We know that the fibre product of the \mathbf{G} -map $\mathbf{pr}_1: \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{L}}} \mathbf{L}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ on itself is a principal \mathbf{G} -bundle over

$$(\mathbf{p}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{p}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_2): (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{L}}} \mathbf{L}^1) \times_{\mathbf{pr}_1, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{pr}_1} (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{L}}} \mathbf{L}^1) \rightarrow (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1) \times_{\mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathbf{K}^0, \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}}} (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1)$$

by Lemma 5.65. Also, the pull-back of the \mathbf{G} -bundle $(\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{L}}} \mathbf{L}^1; \mathbf{m}_1; \mathbf{r}_1)$ over $\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{L}} \circ \mathbf{pr}_2$ along the cover $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{L}}: \mathbf{L}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^0$ is a principal \mathbf{G} -bundle over

$$(\mathbf{p}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{pr}_2): (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{L}}} \mathbf{L}^1) \times_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{L}}, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{L}}} \mathbf{L}^1 \rightarrow (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1) \times_{\mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{L}}} \mathbf{L}^1$$

by Lemma 5.50. Let γ be the arrow

$$\gamma: (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{L}}} \mathbf{L}^1) \times_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{L}}, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{L}}} \mathbf{L}^1 \rightarrow (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{L}}} \mathbf{L}^1) \times_{\mathbf{pr}_1, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{pr}_1} (\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{L}^0, \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{L}}} \mathbf{L}^1)$$

defined elementwise by $\gamma((x; l); l_1) = ((x; l); (x; l \cdot l_1))$. It is a \mathbf{G} -map because

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma(((x; l); l_1) \cdot g) &= \gamma((x; l) \cdot g; l_1) \\
&= \gamma((g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot l); l_1) \\
&= ((g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot l); (g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot l \cdot l_1)) \\
&= ((x; l) \cdot g; (x; l \cdot l_1) \cdot g) \\
&= ((x; l); (x; l \cdot l_1)) \cdot g \\
&= \gamma((x; l); l_1) \cdot g
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $l, l_1 \in \mathbf{L}^1$, $g \in \mathbf{G}^1$ with $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$ and $s_{\mathbf{L}}(l) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l_1) = r_{\mathbf{G}}(g)$. Also, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{2*})((\mathbf{p}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{pr}_2)((x; l); l_1)) &= (\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{2*})([x; l]; l_1) \\
&= ([x; l]; [x; l] \cdot l_1) \\
&= ([x; l]; [x; l \cdot l_1]) \\
&= (\mathbf{p}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{p} \circ \mathbf{pr}_2)((x; l); (x; l \cdot l_1)) \\
&= (\mathbf{p}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{p} \circ \mathbf{pr}_2)(\gamma((x; l); l_1))
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $l, l_1 \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l)$ and $s_{\mathbf{L}}(l) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l_1)$. Hence we have that $(\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{2*}) \circ (\mathbf{p}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{pr}_2) = (\mathbf{p}_1 \circ \mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{p} \circ \mathbf{pr}_2) \circ \gamma$ and therefore, the arrow $(\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{2*})$ is induced by γ . It is clear that γ is invertible with γ^{-1} defined elementwise by $\gamma^{-1}((x; l); (x; l_1)) = ((x; l); l^{-1} \cdot l_1)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $l, l_1 \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l_1)$. The composition $l^{-1} \cdot l_1$ is well-defined because $r_{\mathbf{L}}(l) = r_{\mathbf{L}}(l_1)$. Therefore, the arrow $(\mathbf{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{2*})$ is invertible by Corollary 5.54. So we have proved that the \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{L} -bibundle $(\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1; \mathbf{m}_{1*}; \mathbf{m}_{2*}; \mathbf{s}_2; \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{R}})$ is a bibundle functor from \mathbf{R} to \mathbf{L} .

We also have to show that the arrow $(m_{1*}; pr_2)$ is invertible. We can do this by the following logical argumentation. Let us review and analyse our construction at this moment. We have had the groupoid fibration $F: L \rightarrow H$ with fibre G . We have used the groupoid equivalence \mathbb{X} from G to K and have constructed the groupoid R with the object of the composition of bibundle actors $\mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}$ as arrows. Also, we have constructed the bibundle functor from R to L which is defined on the object of the composition of bibundle actors $\mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}$. Now, the idea is the following. We have the groupoid fibration $E: R \rightarrow H$ with fibre K . We can use the groupoid equivalence \mathbb{X}^{-1} from K to G and construct the groupoid L' with the object of the composition of bibundle actors $\mathbb{X}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{R}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}$ as arrows. We have the following natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{R}^1 \circ \mathbb{X} &\cong \mathbb{X}^{-1} \circ (\mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}) \circ \mathbb{X} \\ &\cong (\mathbb{X}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{X}) \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ (\mathbb{X}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{X}) \\ &\cong G^1 \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ G^1 \\ &\cong \mathbb{L}^1. \end{aligned}$$

These isomorphisms show that the groupoid L' is naturally isomorphic to the groupoid L . So we can go on to do the same steps and construct a bibundle functor from L to R . This bibundle functor is defined on the object $X \times_K R^1$ which is given by the composition of bibundle actors $\mathbb{R}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}$. We have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^1 \circ \mathbb{X} &\cong (\mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}) \circ \mathbb{X} \\ &\cong \mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ (\mathbb{X}^{-1} \circ \mathbb{X}) \\ &\cong \mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1 \circ G^1 \\ &\cong \mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1. \end{aligned}$$

The bibundle actor $\mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1$ from G to K is defined on the object which is an orbit space of the G -action on $L^1 \times_{s_L, L^0, r_X} X$ defined elementwise by $(l; x) \cdot g = (l \cdot g; g^{-1} \cdot x)$. The bibundle actor $\mathbb{L}^1 \circ \mathbb{X}^{-1}$ from K to G is defined on the object which is an orbit space of the G -action on $X \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1$ defined elementwise by $(x; l) \cdot g = (g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot l)$. The isomorphism $(pr_2; i_L \circ pr_1): L^1 \times_{s_L, L^0, r_X} X \rightarrow X \times_{r_X, L^0, r_L} L^1$ is a G -map in for the G -actions considered above because

$$\begin{aligned} (pr_2; i_L \circ pr_1)((l; x) \cdot g) &= (pr_2; i_L \circ pr_1)(l \cdot g; g^{-1} \cdot x) \\ &= (g^{-1} \cdot x; (l \cdot g)^{-1}) \\ &= (g^{-1} \cdot x; g^{-1} \cdot l^{-1}) \\ &= (x; l^{-1}) \cdot g \\ &= (pr_2; i_L \circ pr_1)(l; x) \cdot g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it induces an isomorphism $\tilde{i}_L: L^1 \times_G X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_G L^1$, defined elementwise by $\tilde{i}_L([l; x]) = [x; l^{-1}]$. The construction shows that the isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^1 \circ \mathbb{X} \cong \mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{L}^1$ equips the object $L^1 \times_G X$ with the left L -action $(L^1 \times_G X; m'_{2*}; s'_2)$ and right R -action $(L^1 \times_G X; m'_{1*}; r'_R)$ defined elementwise by $l_1 \cdot [l; x] = [l_1 \cdot l; x]$ and by $[l; x] \cdot [x'; l', x_1] = [l \cdot g \cdot l'; x_1]$, respectively. This gives a bibundle functor from L to R . So the arrow

$$(L^1 \times_G X) \times_{r'_R, K^0, r_R} (X \times_G L^1 \times_G X) \xrightarrow{(pr_1; m'_{1*})} (L^1 \times_G X) \times_{s'_2, L^0, s'_2} (L^1 \times_G X)$$

is invertible. Also, there are isomorphisms

$$(X \times_G L^1 \times_G X) \times_{s_R, K^0, r'_R} (X \times_G L^1) \xrightarrow{(\tilde{i}_L^{-1} \circ pr_2; i_R \circ pr_1)} (L^1 \times_G X) \times_{r'_R, K^0, r_R} (X \times_G L^1 \times_G X)$$

and

$$(L^1 \times_G X) \times_{s'_2, L^0, s'_2} (L^1 \times_G X) \xrightarrow{(\tilde{i}_L \circ pr_2; \tilde{i}_L \circ pr_1)} (X \times_G L^1) \times_{s_2, L^0, s_2} (X \times_G L^1).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((\tilde{i}_L \circ \text{pr}_2; \tilde{i}_L \circ \text{pr}_1) \circ (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}'_{1*}) \circ (\tilde{i}_L^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{i}_R \circ \text{pr}_1))([x; l; x_1]; [x_2; l_1]) \\
&= ((\tilde{i}_L \circ \text{pr}_2; \tilde{i}_L \circ \text{pr}_1) \circ (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}'_{1*}))([l_1^{-1}; x_2]; [x_1; l^{-1}; x]) \\
&= (\tilde{i}_L \circ \text{pr}_2; \tilde{i}_L \circ \text{pr}_1)([l_1^{-1}; x_2]; [l_1^{-1} \cdot g^{-1} \cdot l^{-1}; x]) \\
&= ([x; l \cdot g \cdot l_1]; [x_2; l_1]) \\
&= ([x; l; x_1] \cdot [x_2; l_1]; [x_2; l_1]) \\
&= (\mathbf{m}_{1*}; \text{pr}_2)([x; l; x_1]; [x_2; l_1])
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{X}$, $l, l_1 \in \mathbf{L}^1$ with $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x) = r_L(l)$, $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1) = s_L(l)$, $r_{\mathbf{X}}(x_2) = r_L(l_1)$ and $s_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1) = s_{\mathbf{X}}(x_2)$, where the element $g \in \mathbf{G}^1$ is given by the composition $\text{pr}_1 \circ (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \circ (x_1; x_2)$. It is clear that the composition $\text{pr}_1 \circ (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}_{1\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \circ (x_2; x_1)$ gives the element $g^{-1} \in \mathbf{G}^1$. Therefore, we have

$$(\mathbf{m}_{1*}; \text{pr}_2) = (\tilde{i}_L \circ \text{pr}_2; \tilde{i}_L \circ \text{pr}_1) \circ (\text{pr}_1; \mathbf{m}'_{1*}) \circ (\tilde{i}_L^{-1} \circ \text{pr}_2; \text{i}_R \circ \text{pr}_1).$$

Hence $(\mathbf{m}_{1*}; \text{pr}_2)$ is an isomorphism and therefore, $(\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{L}^1; \mathbf{m}_{1*}; \mathbf{m}_{2*}; \mathbf{s}_2; \mathbf{r}'_R)$ is a groupoid equivalence from \mathbf{R} to \mathbf{L} and the proof is done. \square

8. EXAMPLES OF CATEGORIES WITH PARTIAL COVERS

In this section, we discuss stronger pretopologies on different categories and check whether they satisfy our extra assumptions. For each case we check the conditions (1), (2) and (3) in Definition 2.1, and then we describe covers. We begin with a trivial examples on an arbitrary category with all fibre products.

Example 8.1. Let \mathcal{C} be any category with all fibre products and let \mathcal{F}_p be the class of all arrows in \mathcal{C} and let \mathcal{F} be the class of all coequalisers in \mathcal{C} . All conditions in Definition 2.1 are clearly satisfied.

In this general case we have not any additional information about the extra assumptions.

Example 8.2. Let \mathcal{C} be any category with all fibre products and let \mathcal{F}_p be the class of all monics in \mathcal{C} . Then $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ is a category with partial covers. Since any isomorphism is monic, the condition (1) is satisfied. Since the composition of monics is monic, the condition (2) is satisfied. Consider situation as in (3). Assume $\text{pr}_2 \circ \alpha = \text{pr}_2 \circ \beta$ for some parallel arrows $\alpha, \beta: \mathbf{B} \rightrightarrows \mathbf{A} \times_{f, B, x} \mathbf{X}$. Then $f \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ \alpha = x \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ \alpha = x \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ \beta = f \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ \beta$. Since f is monic, $\text{pr}_1 \circ \alpha = \text{pr}_1 \circ \beta$. By the universality of a fibre product $\alpha = \beta$. Therefore, $\text{pr}_2: \mathbf{A} \times_{f, B, x} \mathbf{X} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is monic. So the condition (3) holds. The covers are the isomorphisms by Lemma 2.11.

In the case of Example 8.2 the Assumption 2.14 is the following: The composition of isomorphisms is an isomorphism. This is clearly satisfied. Assumption 2.15 is satisfied too because the pull-back of any isomorphism is an isomorphism in any category. If $f \circ g$ and g are isomorphisms, then f is an isomorphism with the inverse $g \circ (f \circ g)^{-1}$. So Assumptions 2.19 and 2.18 are satisfied. Generally, Assumption 2.20 does not hold.

Any groupoid in $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers as in Example 8.2 is a 0-groupoid because the source and range maps are isomorphisms. Any action of a 0-groupoid is a principal bundle by Example 5.40. Therefore, Assumptions 5.63 and 5.66 hold.

Example 8.3. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ be a category with a subcanonical pretopology as in [47, Definition 2.1]. If $\mathcal{F}_p = \mathcal{F}$ then we have a category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers.

In this case, Assumptions 2.14 and 2.15 hold by Definition in [47, Definition 2.1]. Generally, we have no additional information about the other extra assumptions.

8.1. Category of sets. Let \mathbf{Sets} be the category of sets.

Example 8.4. Let \mathcal{F}_p be the collection of all maps. Then $(\mathbf{Sets}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ is a category with partial covers. The conditions (1), (2) and (3) are clearly satisfied. Also, it is clear that a map in \mathbf{Sets} is a coequaliser if and only if it is a surjection. Therefore, the covers are the surjections.

Since the composition of surjections is a surjection, Assumption 2.14 holds. Consider a surjection $f: A \rightarrow B$, a map $g: C \rightarrow B$ and an element c in C . Since $f: A \rightarrow B$ is surjective, there is $a \in A$ such that $f(a) = g(c)$. Thus $(a; c) \in A \times_{f, B, g} C$. Since $\text{pr}_2(a; c) = c$, the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f, B, g} C \rightarrow C$ is a surjection. Therefore, Assumption 2.15 holds. Assumptions 2.19 and 2.18 are clearly satisfied. \mathbf{Sets} does have a final object, but Assumption 2.20 does not hold. If we consider the subcategory of \mathbf{Sets} without the empty set then any set with a single element is a final object in this subcategory, and any map to it is surjective, hence a cover. Since a fibre-product of non-empty sets along a surjective map is never empty, (\mathcal{F}_p) still forms a stronger pretopology on the subcategory of non-empty sets, and Assumption 2.20 is satisfied in this category.

Since \mathbf{Sets} has arbitrary colimits, for any G -action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ there is a coequaliser $p: X \rightarrow Z$ of the pair of maps $\text{pr}_1, m_X: X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightrightarrows X$. A G -action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is basic if and only if the map

$$(\text{pr}_1; m_X): X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_{p, Z, p} X, \quad (x; g) \mapsto (x; x \cdot g)$$

is invertible. This map is surjective by construction of $p: X \rightarrow Z$. It is injective if and only if the following condition holds: if for $x \in X$ and $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g) = r(g_1)$ we have $x \cdot g = x \cdot g_1$, then $g = g_1$ (free action). In the case of the canonical action of G on its objects, the groupoid G is basic if and only if for any $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s(g) = s(g_1)$ and $r(g) = r(g_1)$ we have $g = g_1$. Consider any basic groupoid G and any G -action $(X; m_X; s_X)$. Let $x \cdot g = x \cdot g_1$ for some $x \in X$ and $g, g_1 \in G^1$ with $s_X(x) = r(g) = r(g_1)$. Then we have $s(g) = s_X(x \cdot g) = s_X(x \cdot g_1) = s(g_1)$. Since G is basic and $r(g) = r(g_1)$ and $s(g) = s(g_1)$, we have $g = g_1$. Therefore, any G -action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is basic. Hence any action of a basic groupoid is basic. So Assumptions 5.63 and 5.66 are satisfied.

8.2. Categories of topological spaces. Let \mathbf{Top} be the category of topological spaces and continuous maps. This category is complete and cocomplete. In particular, all fibre products and all coequalisers exist.

We begin this section with a lemma which helps us to check whether Assumption 5.63 holds.

Lemma 8.5. *Let G be a basic groupoid in the category of topological spaces $(\mathbf{Top}; \mathcal{F}_p)$ with partial covers. A G -action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is basic if and only if the coequaliser of the pair of continuous maps $\text{pr}_1, m_X: X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightrightarrows X$ is a cover.*

Proof. If a G -action $(X; m_X; s_X)$ is basic it is a part of a principal bundle by Definition 5.55. So we have an orbit space projection $p: X \rightarrow Z$ which is a cover by Definition 5.33. This cover is a coequaliser of the pair of continuous maps $\text{pr}_1, m_X: X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightrightarrows X$ by Lemma 5.34.

Conversely, suppose that a coequaliser $q: X \rightarrow X/G$ of the pair of continuous maps $\text{pr}_1, m_X: X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightrightarrows X$ is a cover. Since the groupoid G is basic, the map $(r; s): G^1 \rightarrow G^0 \times_{p, Z, p} G^0$ is a homeomorphism by Lemma 5.59, where $p: G^0 \rightarrow Z$ is the orbit space projection of the canonical G -action on its objects. We have to prove that the map

$$(\text{pr}_1; m_X): X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_{q, X/G, q} X, \quad (x; g) \mapsto (x; x \cdot g),$$

is a homeomorphism. It is injective because if $x \cdot g = x \cdot g_1$, then $s_X(x) = r(g) = r(g_1)$ and $s(g) = s_X(x \cdot g) = s_X(x \cdot g_1) = s(g_1)$. Hence $(r; s)(g) = (r; s)(g_1)$. Thus $g = g_1$. So for any $x, x_1 \in X$ in the same G -orbit we have a unique $g \in G^1$ with $x \cdot g = x_1$. This element g depends continuously on x and x_1 because the inverse of $(r; s): G^1 \rightarrow G^0 \times_{p, Z, p} G^0$ is continuous. So the continuous map $(pr_1; m_X)$ has a continuous inverse and therefore, it is a homeomorphism. \square

We have different kinds of stronger pretopologies in the category of topological spaces. We begin with biquotient maps as covers. First of all, consider the main working lemma for this subsection, which is proved in [51]. We need the following definition:

Definition 8.6. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *limit lifting* if every convergent net in Y lifts to a convergent net in X . More precisely, let (I, \leq) be a directed set and let $(y_i)_{i \in I}$ be a net in Y converging to some $y \in Y$. A *lifting* of this convergent net is a directed set (J, \leq) with a surjective order-preserving map $\varphi: J \rightarrow I$ and a net $(x_j)_{j \in J}$ in X with $f(x_j) = y_{\varphi(j)}$ for all $j \in J$, converging to some $x \in X$ with $f(x) = y$.

Definition 8.7. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous surjection. It is a *biquotient* map if for every $y \in Y$ and every open covering \mathcal{U} of $f^{-1}(y)$ in X , there are finitely many $U \in \mathcal{U}$ for which the subsets $f(U)$ cover some neighbourhood of y in Y .

Lemma 8.8. *Biquotient maps are the same as limit lifting maps.*

Example 8.9. Let \mathcal{F}_p be the collection of all maps in \mathbf{Top} which are biquotient on its image with the subspace topology. Then $(\mathbf{Top}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ is a category with partial covers. It is clear that isomorphisms are limit lifting. Let $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ and $g: B \dashrightarrow C$ be composable maps. If f and g are limit lifting on their image, then so is $g \circ f$ because $\text{Im}(g \circ f) \subseteq \text{Im}(g)$ and since g is limit lifting, any convergent net in $\text{Im}(g \circ f)$ lifts to a convergent net in $\text{Im}(f)$ and since f is limit lifting, this convergent net lifts to a convergent net in A . Let $pr_2: A \times_{f, B, g} C \rightarrow C$ be a pull-back of a limit lifting map $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ on its image along any continuous map $g: C \rightarrow B$. It is clear that $c \in \text{Im}(pr_2)$ if and only if $g(c) \in \text{Im}(f)$. Let $(c_i)_{i \in I}$ be a convergent net in C . Since g is continuous, $g(c_i)_{i \in I}$ is a convergent net in $\text{Im}(f)$. This net lifts to a convergent net $(a_j)_{j \in J}$ in A with $f(a_j) = g(c_{\varphi(j)})$ for all $j \in J$ by Definition 8.6. Therefore, we have a convergent net $(a_j; c_{\varphi(j)})$ in $A \times_{f, B, g} C$. Therefore, the coordinate projection $pr_2: A \times_{f, B, g} C \dashrightarrow C$ is a limit lifting map. So the property (3) holds. We know that limit lifting maps are quotient maps and quotient maps are coequalisers, so the biquotient maps on the image are coequalisers if and only if they are surjective biquotient maps. So covers are surjective biquotient maps.

In this category with such stronger pretopology, Assumptions 2.14 and 2.15 hold because the compositions of surjections is a surjection and a pull-back of a surjection is a surjection (compose in Example 8.4).

If the composition $g \circ f$ of the continuous maps $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ is limit lifting, then the map g is so because any convergent net in C lifts to a convergent net in A and then since $f: A \rightarrow B$ is continuous, it gives a convergent net in B , which shows that g is limit lifting. That is more than Assumption 2.19.

Also, such stronger pretopology satisfies Assumption 2.20 if we remove the empty space from the category. It is clear that a space with a single element is a final object in \mathbf{Top} , and any map from a non-empty space to it is limit lifting.

Assumption 5.63 is not satisfied. A counterexample is given in [47, Example 9.10]. We do not know whether Assumption 5.66 holds.

The following three examples are given by continuous sections. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a continuous map in \mathbf{Top} . We call a continuous map $\sigma_b: U_b \rightarrow A$ a *local continuous section* for f at $b \in B$ if U_b is a neighbourhood of b and $f \circ \sigma_b = \text{id}_{U_b}$.

Definition 8.10. We call $f: A \rightarrow B$ *locally split* if local continuous sections $\sigma_b: U_b \rightarrow A$ exist at all $b \in B$.

Example 8.11. Let \mathcal{F}_p be the collection of all maps which are locally split onto their image. Then $(\mathbf{Top}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ is a category with partial covers. The condition (1) is clearly satisfied. Let $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ and $g: B \dashrightarrow C$ be composable maps. If f and g are locally split onto their image, then for any $c \in \text{Im}(g \circ f) \subseteq \text{Im}(g)$ we have local continuous sections $\sigma_c: U_c \rightarrow B$ for $g: B \dashrightarrow C$ and $\tau_{\sigma_c(c)}: U_{\sigma_c(c)} \rightarrow A$ for $f: A \dashrightarrow B$. The composition

$$\tau_{\sigma_c(c)} \circ \sigma_c: g(U_{\sigma_c(c)} \cap \sigma_c(U_c)) \rightarrow A$$

is a local section for $g \circ f$. Hence the condition (2) holds. Let $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f, B, g} C \rightarrow C$ be a pull-back of a map $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ that is locally split onto its image along any continuous map $g: C \rightarrow B$. For any $c \in \text{Im}(\text{pr}_2)$ we have a local continuous section $\sigma_{g(c)}: U_{g(c)} \rightarrow A$ for f . Since $U_{g(c)} \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$, we have $g^{-1}(U_{g(c)}) \subseteq \text{Im}(\text{pr}_2)$. So we have a local section $\sigma_{g(c)} \circ g: g^{-1}(U_{g(c)}) \rightarrow A \times_{f, B, g} C$ for $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f, B, g} C \dashrightarrow C$. Therefore, the condition (3) holds. Any locally split map is a biquotient map because any convergent net can be lifted by a local continuous section. A map biquotient on its image is a coequaliser if and only if it is surjective. Therefore, a locally split map onto its image is a coequaliser if and only if it is surjective. So the covers are the locally split surjections.

As in the previous case, Assumptions 2.14 and 2.15 hold for the same reason: The composition of surjections is a surjection and a pull-back of a surjection is a surjection.

If the composition $g \circ f$ of the continuous maps $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ is a locally split map, then the map g is so because for any $c \in C$ we have a local continuous section $\sigma_c: U_c \rightarrow A$ for $g \circ f$ and this gives a local continuous section $f \circ \sigma_c: U_c \rightarrow B$ for $g: B \rightarrow C$. That is more than Assumption 2.19. Therefore, Assumption 2.18 holds, too.

It is also clear that the constant map $f: A \rightarrow \{*\}$ from any non-empty space A to the one-point space $\{*\}$ has a continuous section $\sigma_*: \{*\} \rightarrow A$, which gives Assumption 2.20 if we exclude the empty space.

Lemma 8.12. *Let \mathbf{G} be any basic groupoid in $(\mathbf{Top}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ defined in Example 8.11, and let $(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{m}_\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X})$ be any \mathbf{G} -action. A coequaliser $\mathbf{q}: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}/\mathbf{G}$ of the pair of continuous maps $\text{pr}_1, \mathbf{m}_\mathbf{X}: \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{G}^0, r} \mathbf{G}^1 \rightrightarrows \mathbf{X}$ is locally split.*

Proof. We have that \mathbf{G} is basic. That is, there is a locally split continuous map $\mathbf{p}: \mathbf{G}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ such that $(r; s): \mathbf{G}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^0 \times_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{p}} \mathbf{G}^0$ is a well-defined homeomorphism. Let $(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{m}_\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X})$ be any \mathbf{G} -action. We are going to show that a coequaliser $\mathbf{q}: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}/\mathbf{G}$ of the pair of continuous maps $\text{pr}_1, \mathbf{m}_\mathbf{X}: \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{G}^0, r} \mathbf{G}^1 \rightrightarrows \mathbf{X}$ is locally split. Since $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x \cdot g)) = \mathbf{p}(s(g)) = \mathbf{p}(r(g)) = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x))$, for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $g \in \mathbf{G}^1$ with $\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x) = r(g)$, there is a well-defined continuous map $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_\mathbf{X}: \mathbf{X}/\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ with $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_\mathbf{X}([x]) = [\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x)]$, for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. For any $[x_0] \in \mathbf{X}/\mathbf{G}$ we have a neighbourhood $U_{[\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x_0)]}$ of $[\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x_0)] \in \mathbf{Z}$ and a local continuous section $\sigma_{[\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x_0)]}: U_{[\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x_0)]} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^0$ for $\mathbf{p}: \mathbf{G}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$. We can construct a local continuous section for $\mathbf{q}: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}/\mathbf{G}$ defined on $(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_\mathbf{X})^{-1}(U_{[\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x_0)]}) \subseteq \mathbf{X}/\mathbf{G}$. Let $[x] \in (\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_\mathbf{X})^{-1}(U_{[\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x_0)]})$. We have an element $(\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x); \sigma_{[\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x_0)]}([\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x)])$ in $\mathbf{G}^0 \times_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{p}} \mathbf{G}^0$. Hence it gives a unique element $g_x \in \mathbf{G}^1$ with $\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x) = r(g_x)$ and $\sigma_{[\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x_0)]}([\mathbf{s}_\mathbf{X}(x)]) = s(g_x)$. Let $g_{x_1} \in \mathbf{G}^1$ be constructed in the same way for another x_1 in the \mathbf{G} -orbit of

x . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_X(x \cdot g_x) &= s(g_x) \\
&= \sigma_{[s_X(x_0)]}([s_X(x)]) \\
&= \sigma_{[s_X(x_0)]}([s_X(x_1)]) \\
&= s(g_{x_1}) \\
&= s_X(x_1 \cdot g_{x_1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since x and x_1 are in the same G -orbit, there is $g \in G^1$ with $x \cdot g = x_1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
s(g_x) &= s_X(x \cdot g_x) \\
&= s_X(x_1 \cdot g_{x_1}) \\
&= s_X(x \cdot g \cdot g_{x_1}) \\
&= s(g \cdot g_{x_1}).
\end{aligned}$$

We also have $r(g_x) = s_X(x) = r(g) = r(g \cdot g_{x_1})$. Thus $g_x = g \cdot g_{x_1}$ because G is basic. Hence $x \cdot g_x = x \cdot g \cdot g_{x_1} = x_1 \cdot g_{x_1}$. Therefore, we have a well-defined continuous map defined on $(\bar{s}_X)^{-1}(U_{[s_X(x_0)]}) \subseteq X/G$ by $[x] \mapsto x \cdot g_x$. This clearly gives a local continuous section for \mathfrak{q} . \square

Assumptions 5.63 and 5.66 hold by Lemma 8.12 and Lemma 8.5.

As in the previous case, the next example is defined using continuous sections. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a continuous map.

Definition 8.13. $f: A \rightarrow B$ has many local continuous sections if for all $a \in A$ there is an open neighbourhood $U_a \subseteq B$ of $f(a)$ and a continuous map $\sigma_a: U_a \rightarrow A$ with $\sigma_a(f(a)) = a$ and $f \circ \sigma_a = \text{id}_{U_a}$.

Example 8.14. Let \mathcal{F}_p be the collection of all continuous maps with many local continuous sections. Then $(\text{Top}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ is a category with partial covers. The condition (1) is clearly satisfied. Let $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ and $g: B \dashrightarrow C$ be composable maps with many local continuous sections. For any $a \in A$ we have the neighbourhoods $U_a \subseteq B$ of $f(a) \in B$ and $V_{f(a)} \subseteq C$ of $g(f(a)) \in C$ and the local continuous sections $\sigma_a: U_a \rightarrow A$ for $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ with $\sigma_a(f(a)) = a$ and $\tau_{f(a)}: V_{f(a)} \rightarrow B$ for $g: B \dashrightarrow C$ with $\tau_{f(a)}(g(f(a))) = f(a)$. The composition

$$\sigma_a \circ \tau_{f(a)}: g(U_a \cap \tau_{f(a)}(V_{f(a)})) \rightarrow A$$

is a local section for $g \circ f$ with $(\sigma_a \circ \tau_{f(a)})(g(f(a))) = a$. Hence the condition (2) holds. Let $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f, B, g} C \rightarrow C$ be a pull-back of a continuous map $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ with many local continuous sections along any continuous map $g: C \rightarrow B$. For any $(a; c) \in A \times_{f, B, g} C$ we have a neighbourhood $U_a \subseteq B$ of $f(a) = g(c) \in B$ and a local continuous section $\sigma_a: U_a \rightarrow A$ for f with $\sigma_a(f(a)) = a$. There is a neighbourhood $g^{-1}(U_a) \subseteq C$ of c and a local continuous section $(\sigma_a \circ g; \text{id}_C): g^{-1}(U_a) \rightarrow A \times_{f, B, g} C$ for $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f, B, g} C \rightarrow C$ with $(\sigma_a \circ g; \text{id}_C)(c) = (a; c)$. Therefore, the condition (3) holds. Any continuous map with many local continuous sections is a biquotient map on its image because any convergent net in the image can be lifted by a local continuous section. A biquotient map on its image is a coequaliser if and only if it is surjective. Therefore, a continuous map with many local continuous sections is a coequaliser if and only if it is surjective. So the covers are the surjections with many local continuous sections

As in the case of the biquotient maps, Assumptions 2.14 and 2.15 hold. The composition of surjections is a surjection and a pull-back of a surjection is a surjection.

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ be composable continuous maps. Assume that the composition $g \circ f$ and f are surjections and that they have many local continuous

sections. For any $b \in \mathbf{B}$ we have an element $a \in \mathbf{A}$ with $f(a) = b$. We also have a neighbourhood $U_a \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ of $g(b)$ and a local continuous section $\sigma_a: U_a \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ for $g \circ f$ with $\sigma_a(g(b)) = a$. There is a local continuous section $f \circ \sigma_a: U_a \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ for $g: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with $(f \circ \sigma_a)(g(b)) = b$. Therefore, Assumptions 2.19 and 2.18 hold.

It is also clear that the constant map $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \{*\}$ from any non-empty space \mathbf{A} to the one-point space $\{*\}$ has a continuous section for any point in \mathbf{A} , which gives Assumption 2.20 if we exclude the empty space from the category.

Suppose we have all data from Lemma 8.12. For any $x \in \mathbf{X}$ we have a neighbourhood $U_{s_X(x)} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$ of $[s_X(x)]$ and a local continuous section $\sigma_{s_X(x)}: U_{s_X(x)} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^0$ for $\mathbf{p}: \mathbf{G}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ with $\sigma_{s_X(x)}([s_X(x)]) = s_X(x)$. Then we construct a local continuous section for $\mathbf{q}: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}/\mathbf{G}$ defined on $(\tilde{s}_X)^{-1}(U_{[s_X(x)]}) \subseteq \mathbf{X}/\mathbf{G}$ by $[x] \mapsto x \cdot g_x$. In this case $g_x = 1_{s_X(x)}$. Therefore, the map $\mathbf{q}: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}/\mathbf{G}$ has many local continuous sections. So Assumptions 5.63 and 5.66 hold by Lemma 8.5.

The next example is defined by global continuous sections. Let $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be a continuous map.

Definition 8.15. $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is a *splitting* map if there is a continuous section $\sigma_f: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ with $f \circ \sigma_f = \text{id}_{\mathbf{B}}$.

Example 8.16. Let \mathcal{F}_p be the collection of all continuous maps in \mathbf{Top} which split on the image. Then $(\mathbf{Top}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ is a category with partial covers. The conditions (1) is clearly satisfied. Let $f: \mathbf{A} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and $g: \mathbf{B} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be composable splitting maps on the image. Since $\text{Im}(g \circ f) \subseteq \text{Im}(g)$, we have a split $\sigma_f \circ \sigma_g|_{\text{Im}(g \circ f)}: \text{Im}(g \circ f) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ for $g \circ f$, where $\sigma_g|_{\text{Im}(g \circ f)}$ is a restriction of σ_g on $\text{Im}(g \circ f)$. So the condition (2) holds. Let $\text{pr}_2: \mathbf{A} \times_{f, \mathbf{B}, g} \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a pull-back of a splitting map $f: \mathbf{A} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{B}$ on its image along any continuous map $g: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$. For any $c \in \text{Im}(\text{pr}_2)$ we have that $g(c) \in \text{Im}(f)$. Therefore, we have a split $(\sigma_f \circ g; \text{id}_{\mathbf{C}}): \text{Im}(\text{pr}_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \times_{f, \mathbf{B}, g} \mathbf{C}$ for $\text{pr}_2: \mathbf{A} \times_{f, \mathbf{B}, g} \mathbf{C} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined on the image of pr_2 . So the condition (3) holds. Any splitting map is a biquotient map because any convergent net can be lifted by a continuous section. A biquotient map on its image is a coequaliser if and only if it is surjective. Therefore, a splitting map on its image is a coequaliser if and only if it is surjective. So the covers are the splitting surjections.

Assumptions 2.14 and 2.15 hold because the composition of surjections is a surjection and a pull-back of a surjection is a surjection.

If the composition $g \circ f$ of the continuous maps $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and $g: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a splitting map, then the map g is so because we have a continuous section $f \circ \sigma_{f \circ g}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ for $g: \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. That is more than Assumption 2.19. Therefore, Assumption 2.18 holds, too.

The constant map $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \{*\}$ from any non-empty space \mathbf{A} to the one-point space $\{*\}$ has a continuous section $\sigma: \{*\} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$, where $\sigma(*)$ is any point in \mathbf{A} . This gives Assumption 2.20 if we exclude the empty space.

Suppose we have all data from Lemma 8.12. In this case $U_{[s_X(x_0)]} = \mathbf{Z}$. Also $(\tilde{s}_X)^{-1}(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{X}/\mathbf{G}$. Therefore, the constructed local section is global in this case. So Assumptions 5.63 and 5.66 hold by Lemma 8.5.

The next example is given by using proper maps. Let $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be a continuous map. f is closed if it maps closed subsets to closed subsets. It is *proper* if and only if it is closed and $f^{-1}(b)$ is quasi-compact for all $b \in \mathbf{B}$. ([4, I.10.2]).

Lemma 8.17 ([4, I.10.1]). *A continuous map $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is proper if and only if the map $f \times \text{id}_X: \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{X}$ is closed for any topological space \mathbf{X} .*

Example 8.18. Let \mathcal{F}_p be the collection of all proper maps in \mathbf{Top} . Then $(\mathbf{Top}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ is a category with partial covers. The condition (1) is clearly satisfied. Let $f: \mathbf{A} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and $g: \mathbf{B} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be composable proper maps. For any topological space \mathbf{X} , the maps

$f \times \text{id}_X: A \times X \rightarrow B \times X$ and $g \times \text{id}_X: B \times X \rightarrow C \times X$ are closed. It is clear that the composition of closed maps is closed. Hence $(g \circ f) \times \text{id}_X: A \times X \rightarrow C \times X$ is closed for any topological space X . Thus $g \circ f$ is proper. So the condition (2) is satisfied. Let $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f,B,g} C \rightarrow C$ be the pull-back of a proper map $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ along any continuous map $g: C \rightarrow B$. Since $f: A \rightarrow \text{Im}(f) \subseteq B$ is proper, the map $f \times \text{id}_C \times \text{id}_X: A \times g^{-1}(\text{Im}(f)) \times X \rightarrow \text{Im}(f) \times g^{-1}(\text{Im}(f)) \times X$ is closed for any topological space X by Lemma 8.17. Consider

$$g^{-1}(\text{Im}(f)) \times X \cong \{(g(c); c; x) \mid \forall c \in g^{-1}(\text{Im}(f)), \forall x \in X\},$$

a subset of $\text{Im}(f) \times g^{-1}(\text{Im}(f)) \times X$. It is clear that the restriction of the map $f \times \text{id}_C \times \text{id}_X$ on the subset $(f \times \text{id}_C \times \text{id}_X)^{-1}(g^{-1}(\text{Im}(f)) \times X)$ is closed, too. This gives that the map $\text{pr}_2 \times \text{id}_X: (A \times_{f,B,g} C) \times X \rightarrow \text{Im}(\text{pr}_2) \times X$ is closed for any topological space X because $\text{Im}(\text{pr}_2) = g^{-1}(\text{Im}(f))$. Therefore, pr_2 is proper. So the condition (3) is satisfied. Proper maps are biquotient maps on the image by [32, Proposition 3.2]. Hence the proper maps are coequalisers if and only if they are proper surjections. Therefore, the covers are the surjective proper maps.

Assumptions 2.14 and 2.15 hold because the composition of surjections is a surjection and a pull-back of a surjection is a surjection.

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ be composable continuous maps. If $g \circ f$ and f are surjective proper maps, then the map g is so. For any topological space X the maps $(g \circ f) \times \text{id}_X: A \times X \rightarrow C \times X$ and $f \times \text{id}_X: A \times X \rightarrow B \times X$ are closed. Let U be any closed subset in $B \times X$. Since $f \times \text{id}_X$ is a continuous, the subset $(f \times \text{id}_X)^{-1}(U)$ is closed in $A \times X$. Since $g \circ f$ is proper, $((g \circ f) \times \text{id}_X)((f \times \text{id}_X)^{-1}(U))$ is closed in $C \times X$. This closed subset equals $(g \times \text{id}_X)(U)$ because $f \times \text{id}_X$ is surjective. Therefore, Assumptions 2.19 and 2.18 are satisfied by Lemma 8.17.

The map from a space A to the one-point space is proper if and only if A is quasi-compact. Hence Assumption 2.20 fails even if we exclude the empty space.

We have no information about Assumptions 5.63 and 5.66.

The next example is defined using open maps. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a continuous map.

Definition 8.19. f is *open* if the image of all open subsets of A is open in B .

Lemma 8.20 ([51, Proposition 1.15]). *A continuous surjection $f: A \rightarrow B$ between topological spaces is open if and only if, for any $a \in A$, a convergent net $(b_i)_{i \in I}$ in B with $\lim_{i \in I} b_i = f(a)$ lifts to a net in A converging to a .*

Example 8.21. Let \mathcal{F}_p be the collection of all open maps in \mathbf{Top} . Then $(\mathbf{Top}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ is a category with partial covers. The condition (1) is clearly satisfied. Let $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ and $g: B \dashrightarrow C$ be composable open maps and let U be open in A . Since $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ is open, $f(U)$ is open in B . Since $g: B \dashrightarrow C$ is open, $g(f(U))$ is open in C . Therefore, $g \circ f$ is open. So the condition (2) is satisfied. Let $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f,B,g} C \rightarrow C$ be a pull-back of an open map $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ along a continuous map $g: C \rightarrow B$. Consider any element $(a; c)$ in $A \times_{f,B,g} C$ and any convergent net $(c_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\text{Im}(\text{pr}_2) = g^{-1}(f(A))$ such that $\lim_{i \in I} c_i = c$. Since $g: B \rightarrow C$ is continuous, the net $g(c_i)_{i \in I}$ converges to $g(c)$. Since $f(a) = g(c)$ and $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ is open, there is a net $(a_j)_{j \in J}$ in A with $f(a_j) = g(c_{\varphi(j)})$ for all $j \in J$, converging to a . Hence we have a net $(a_j; c_{\varphi(j)})_{j \in J}$ in $A \times_{f,B,g} C$ converging to $(a; c)$ with $\text{pr}_2(a_j; c_{\varphi(j)}) = c_{\varphi(j)}$ for all j in J . Therefore, the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f,B,g} C \dashrightarrow C$ is open. So the property (3) holds. An open map is a limit lifting map on the image by Lemma 8.20. Hence the open surjections are biquotient maps, and therefore, an open map is a coequaliser if and only if it is surjective. So the covers are the open surjections.

Assumptions 2.14 and 2.15 hold because the composition of surjections is a surjection and a pull-back of a surjection is a surjection.

If the composition $g \circ f$ of an open surjection $f: A \rightarrow B$ and a continuous map $g: B \rightarrow C$ is an open surjection, then the map g is an open surjection because for any open subset U of B we have an open subset $f^{-1}(U)$ in A and since $g \circ f$ is open and f is surjective, the subset $g(f(f^{-1}(U))) = g(U)$ is open in C . It is clear that g is surjective. So Assumptions 2.19 and 2.18 hold.

It is clear that any map from a non-empty space to a space with a single element is an open surjection. So Assumption 2.20 is satisfied if we remove the empty space from the category.

Lemma 8.22. *Let G be a groupoid and let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ be a G -action. A coequaliser $q: X \rightarrow X/G$ of the pair of continuous maps $\text{pr}_1, m_X: X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightrightarrows X$ is open.*

Proof. Let G be any groupoid, not necessary basic, and let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ be a G -action. We are going to prove that a coequaliser $q: X \rightarrow X/G$ of the pair of continuous maps $\text{pr}_1, m_X: X \times_{s_X, G^0, r} G^1 \rightrightarrows X$ is open. Let $U \subseteq X$ be open. Then $q^{-1}(q(U)) = \{x \cdot g | x \in U, g \in G^1; s_X(x) = r(g)\}$. This is $m((U \times G^1) \cap (X \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1))$, which is open because $(U \times G^1) \cap (X \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1)$ is open in $(X \times_{s, G^0, r} G^1)$ and m is an open surjection by Lemma 5.6. Thus $q(U)$ is open in X/G , and q is open. \square

Any action of a basic groupoid is basic by Lemmas 8.22 and 8.5. So Assumptions 5.63 and 5.66 hold.

The next example is defined by using the étale maps. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a continuous map.

Definition 8.23. $f: A \rightarrow B$ is *étale* if for all $a \in A$ there is an open neighbourhood U_a such that $f(U_a)$ is open and $f|_{U_a}: U_a \xrightarrow{\sim} f(U_a)$ is a homeomorphism for the subspace topologies on U_a and $f(U_a)$ from A and B , respectively.

Example 8.24. Let \mathcal{F}_p be the collection of all étale maps in \mathbf{Top} . Then $(\mathbf{Top}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ is a category with partial covers. The condition (1) is clearly satisfied. Let $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ and $g: B \dashrightarrow C$ be composable étale maps and let a be any element in A . Since $f: A \rightarrow B$ is étale, we have an open neighbourhood U_a of a and a homeomorphism $f|_{U_a}: U_a \xrightarrow{\sim} f(U_a)$. Since $g: A \rightarrow B$ is étale, we have an open neighbourhood $V_{f(a)}$ of $f(a)$ and a homeomorphism $g|_{V_{f(a)}}: V_{f(a)} \xrightarrow{\sim} g(V_{f(a)})$. The subset $f(U_a) \cap V_{f(a)}$ is open in $f(U_a)$ and $f(a) \in f(U_a) \cap V_{f(a)}$. So $f^{-1}(f(U_a) \cap V_{f(a)})$ is an open neighbourhood of a . The map

$$(g \circ f)|_{f^{-1}(f(U_a) \cap V_{f(a)})}: f^{-1}(f(U_a) \cap V_{f(a)}) \rightarrow g(f(U_a) \cap V_{f(a)})$$

is a homeomorphism as a composition of homeomorphisms. Therefore, $g \circ f$ is étale. So the condition (2) is satisfied. Let $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f, B, g} C \rightarrow C$ be a pull-back of an open map $f: A \dashrightarrow B$ along a continuous map $g: C \rightarrow B$. Consider any element $(a; c)$ in $A \times_{f, B, g} C$. Since $f: A \rightarrow B$ is étale, we have an open neighbourhood U_a of a and a homeomorphism $f|_{U_a}: U_a \xrightarrow{\sim} f(U_a)$. Since $g: A \rightarrow B$ is continuous, the subset $g^{-1}(f(U_a))$ is open in C . The element $(a; c)$ belongs to the subset

$$U_a \times_{f|_{U_a}, f(U_a), g|_{g^{-1}(f(U_a))}} g^{-1}(f(U_a))$$

of $A \times_{f, B, g} C$, which is open, and the restriction of $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f, B, g} C \rightarrow C$ to it is a homeomorphism because the pull-back of the homeomorphism $f|_{U_a}: U_a \xrightarrow{\sim} f(U_a)$ along $g|_{g^{-1}(f(U_a))}$ is a homeomorphism. Therefore, $\text{pr}_2: A \times_{f, B, g} C \dashrightarrow C$ is étale. So the condition (3) holds. It is clear that étale surjections are limit lifting, and therefore, they are biquotient maps. Hence étale maps are coequalisers if and only if they are étale surjections. Therefore, the covers are the étale surjections.

Assumptions 2.14 and 2.15 hold because the composition of surjections is a surjection and a pull-back of a surjection is a surjection.

Let the composition $g \circ f$ of an étale surjection $f: A \rightarrow B$ and a continuous map $g: B \rightarrow C$ be an étale surjection. For any element b in B we have an element a in A and an open neighbourhood U_a of a such that $f(a) = b$ and the restriction $f|_{U_a}: U_a \xrightarrow{\sim} f(U_a)$ is a homeomorphism. Since $g \circ f$ is étale, we have an open neighbourhood V_a of a such that the restriction $(g \circ f)|_{V_a}: V_a \xrightarrow{\sim} g(f(U_a))$ is a homeomorphism. The map

$$(g \circ f)|_{U_a \cap V_a} \circ (f|_{U_a})^{-1}|_{f(U_a) \cap f(V_a)}: f(U_a) \cap f(V_a) \rightarrow g(f(U_a) \cap f(V_a))$$

is a restriction of g and it is a homeomorphism because it is a composition of homeomorphisms. Therefore, $g: B \rightarrow C$ is étale. It clearly is a surjection. So Assumption 2.19 is satisfied.

Unless A is discrete, the constant map from A to a point is not étale, so Assumption 2.20 fails even if we exclude the empty space.

Let G be a basic groupoid and let $(X; m_X; s_X)$ be a G -action. We know from the proof of Lemma 8.5 that the following map

$$(\text{pr}_1; m_X): X \times_{s_X, G_0, r} G^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_{q, X/G, q} X, \quad (x; g) \mapsto (x; x \cdot g),$$

is a homeomorphism. Since the range and source maps are étale, the set of units $u(G^0)$ is open in G^1 . Therefore, the subset $(\text{pr}_1; m_X)(X \times_{s_X, G_0, r} u(G^0))$ is open in $X \times_{q, X/G, q} X$. This subset is the diagonal $\{(x, x_1) \in X \times X | x = x_1\}$. Therefore, every element $(x; x)$ of the diagonal has a neighbourhood $(V; V')$ such that $(V; V') \subset \{(x, x_1) \in X \times X | x = x_1\}$. So any element $x \in X$ has a neighbourhood $U = V \cap V'$ such that $(U \times U) \cap (X \times_{q, X/G, q} X)$ is the diagonal in U . This means that for $x, x_1 \in U$, $q(x) = q(x_1)$ only if $x = x_1$. Thus q is injective on the open subset $U \subseteq X$. Since G is an étale groupoid, its range and source maps are open. Hence q is open by Proposition 8.22. Its restriction to U is injective, open and continuous, hence a homeomorphism onto an open subset of X/G . Therefore, it is étale. So Assumptions 5.63 and 5.66 are satisfied by Lemma 8.5.

8.3. Categories of manifolds. The examples of a stronger pretopology considered in this subsection are defined in the categories of finite-dimensional manifolds (Mfd_{fin}); Hilbert manifolds (Mfd_{Hil}); Banach manifolds (Mfd_{Ban}); Fréchet manifolds ($\text{Mfd}_{\text{Fré}}$) and locally convex manifolds (Mfd_{lcs}). Such manifolds are Hausdorff topological spaces that are locally homeomorphic to finite-dimensional vector spaces, Hilbert spaces, Banach spaces, Fréchet spaces, or locally convex topological vector spaces, respectively. The morphisms between all these types of manifolds are smooth maps. In each case, a stronger pretopology is defined by submersions.

Definition 8.25 ([23, Definition 4.4.8], [40, Appendix A]). Let X and Y be locally convex manifolds. A smooth map is a *submersion* if for each $x \in X$, there is an open neighbourhood V of x in X such that $U = f(V)$ is open in Y , and there are a smooth manifold W and a diffeomorphism $V \cong U \times W$ that intertwines f and the coordinate projection $\text{pr}_1: U \times W \rightarrow U$.

Example 8.26. Let \mathcal{C} be one of the categories Mfd_{fin} , Mfd_{Hil} , Mfd_{Ban} , $\text{Mfd}_{\text{Fré}}$, Mfd_{lcs} considered above. Let \mathcal{F}_p be the collection of all submersions in \mathcal{C} . Then $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}_p)$ is a category with partial covers. Isomorphisms are submersions, hence (1) holds. A composition of submersions is a submersion, thus (2) is satisfied. Let $\text{pr}_2: X \times_{f, Y, g} Z \rightarrow Z$ be a pull-back of a submersion $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$ along any smooth map $g: Z \rightarrow Y$. A submersion $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$ is open because it is locally open. Thus $\text{pr}_2: X \times_{f, Y, g} Z \rightarrow Z$ is open because open maps form a stronger pretopology by Example 8.21. So $\text{Im}(f)$ and $\text{Im}(\text{pr}_2)$ are open subspaces. It is clear that $X \times_{f, Y, g} Z \cong X \times_{f, \text{Im}(f), g|_{\text{Im}(\text{pr}_2)}} \text{Im}(\text{pr}_2)$ and therefore, $\text{pr}_2: X \times_{f, Y, g} Z \rightarrow \text{Im}(\text{pr}_2)$ is a pull-back of the surjective submersion $f: X \dashrightarrow \text{Im}(f)$ along $g: Z \rightarrow \text{Im}(f)$. Therefore, $\text{pr}_2: X \times_{f, Y, g} Z \dashrightarrow$

$\text{Im}(\text{pr}_2)$ is a surjective submersion because surjective submersions form a pretopology ([47, Proposition 9.40]). So $\text{pr}_2: X \times_{f, \gamma, g} Z \dashrightarrow Z$ is a submersion. Hence the condition (3) holds. In the proof of Proposition 9.40 ([47]) it is shown that the pretopology defined by surjective submersions is subcanonical. That is, all surjective submersions are coequalisers. It is clear that a coequaliser is surjective. Therefore, a submersion is surjective if and only if it is a coequaliser. So covers are surjective submersions.

In all categories described in Example 8.26, Assumptions 2.14 and 2.15 hold by Proposition 9.40 ([47]). In the categories Mfd_{fin} , Mfd_{Hil} and Mfd_{Ban} with such stronger pretopology, Assumptions 2.18 and 5.66 hold by Proposition 9.42 ([47]). In the category of Banach manifolds with the same stronger pretopology Assumption 5.63 is satisfied by Proposition 9.44 ([47]). We have no information about these assumptions in other categories.

REFERENCES

- [1] Michael Artin, Alexandre Grothendieck, and Jean-Louis Verdier, *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 1: Théorie des topos*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 269, Springer, Berlin, 1972. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4). MR **0354652**
- [2] John C. Baez, *An introduction to n-categories*, Category theory and computer science (Santa Margherita Ligure, 1997), Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 1290, Springer, Berlin, 1997, pp. 1–33, doi: 10.1007/BFb0026978. MR **1640335**
- [3] J. Michael Boardman and Rainer M. Vogt, *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures on topological spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 347, Springer, Berlin, 1973. MR **0420609**
- [4] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Topologie générale. Chapitres 1 à 4*, Éléments de mathématique, Hermann, Paris, 1971. MR **0358652**
- [5] ———, *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXVII. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre II: Algèbres de Lie libres. Chapitre III: Groupes de Lie*, Hermann, Paris, 1972. MR **0573068**
- [6] Michel Hilsum and Georges Skandalis, *Morphismes K -orientés d'espaces de feuilles et fonctorialité en théorie de Kasparov (d'après une conjecture d'A. Connes)*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **20** (1987), no. 3, 325–390, available at http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASENS_1987_4_20_3_325_0. MR925720
- [7] Javier Bracho, *Haefliger structures and linear homotopy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **282** (1984), no. 2, 529–538, doi: 10.2307/1999250. MR **732104**
- [8] Mădălina Roxana Buneci, *Morphisms of discrete dynamical systems*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **29** (2011), no. 1, 91–107, doi: 10.3934/dcds.2011.29.91. MR **2725283**
- [9] Mădălina Roxana Buneci and Piotr Stachura, *Morphisms of locally compact groupoids endowed with Haar systems* (2005), eprint. arXiv: 0511613.
- [10] Alcides Buss and Ralf Meyer, *Iterated crossed products for groupoid fibrations* (2016), eprint. arXiv: 1604.02015.
- [11] Alcides Buss, Ruy Exel, and Ralf Meyer, *Inverse semigroup actions as groupoid actions*, Semigroup Forum **85** (2012), no. 2, 227–243, doi: 10.1007/s00233-012-9418-y. MR **2969047**
- [12] David Carchedi, *Categorical properties of topological and differentiable stacks*, Ph.D. Thesis, Universiteit Utrecht, 2011, http://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/carchedi/Thesis_David_Carchedi.pdf.
- [13] Henri Cartan (ed.), *Ecole Normale Supérieure, Séminaire Henri Cartan, 2e année 1949/1950, Espaces fibrés et homotopie*, 2nd ed., Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1956.
- [14] Zhuo Chen and Zhang-Ju Liu, *On (co-)morphisms of Lie pseudoalgebras and groupoids*, J. Algebra **316** (2007), no. 1, 1–31, doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2007.07.001. MR **2354851**
- [15] Lisa Orloff Clark, *Classifying the types of principal groupoid C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **57** (2007), no. 2, 251–266, available at <http://www.theta.ro/jot/archive/2007-057-002/2007-057-002-003.html>. MR **2328998**
- [16] Maria Manuel Clementino and Dirk Hofmann, *On limit stability of special classes of continuous maps*, Topology Appl. **125** (2002), no. 3, 471–488, doi: 10.1016/S0166-8641(01)00293-0. MR **1935164**
- [17] Albrecht Dold, *Partitions of unity in the theory of fibrations*, Ann. of Math. (2) **78** (1963), 223–255, doi: 10.2307/1970341. MR **0155330**
- [18] Ieke Moerdijk and Janez Mrčun, *Introduction to foliations and Lie groupoids*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 91, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. MR2012261

- [19] Geoff Goehle, *Groupoid crossed products*, Ph.D. Thesis, Dartmouth College, 2009, <http://search.proquest.com/docview/230889835>. MR **2941279**
- [20] André Haefliger, *Feuilletages sur les variétés ouvertes*, *Topology* **9** (1970), 183–194, doi: 10.1016/0040-9383(70)90040-6. MR **0263104**
- [21] Otomar Hájek, *Notes on quotient maps*, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **7** (1966), 319–323, available at <http://dml.cz/handle/10338.dmlcz/105065>. MR **0202118**
- [22] ———, *Correction to: “Notes on quotient maps”*, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **8** (1967), 171, available at <http://dml.cz/handle/10338.dmlcz/105100>. MR **0210089**
- [23] Richard S. Hamilton, *The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **7** (1982), no. 1, 65–222, doi: 10.1090/S0273-0979-1982-15004-2. MR **656198**
- [24] André Henriques, *Integrating L_∞ -algebras*, *Compos. Math.* **144** (2008), no. 4, 1017–1045, doi: 10.1112/S0010437X07003405. MR **2441255**
- [25] Astrid an Huef, *The transformation groups whose C^* -algebras are Fell algebras*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **33** (2001), no. 1, 73–76, doi: 10.1112/blms/33.1.73. MR **1798578**
- [26] Madeleine Jotz and Karl-Hermann Neeb, *Closedness of the tangent spaces to the orbits of proper actions*, *J. Lie Theory* **18** (2008), no. 3, 517–521, available at <http://www.heldermann.de/JLT/JLT18/JLT183/jlt18031.htm>. MR **2493051**
- [27] André Joyal, *Quasi-categories and Kan complexes*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **175** (2002), no. 1-3, 207–222, doi: 10.1016/S0022-4049(02)00135-4. MR **1935979**
- [28] Anders Kock, *Synthetic differential geometry*, 2nd ed., London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 333, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. MR **2244115**
- [29] Serge Lang, *Fundamentals of differential geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 191, Springer, New York, 1999. MR **1666820**
- [30] Tom Leinster, *Basic Bicategories* (1998), eprint. arXiv: math/9810017.
- [31] Kirill C. H. Mackenzie, *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 213, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005. MR **2157566**
- [32] Ernest A. Michael, *Bi-quotient maps and Cartesian products of quotient maps*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **18** (1968), no. fasc. 2, 287–302 vii (1969), doi: 10.5802/aif.30MR **0244964**
- [33] ———, *A quintuple quotient quest*, *General Topology and Appl.* **2** (1972), 91–138, doi: 10.1016/0016-660X(72)90040-2. MR **0309045**
- [34] ———, *Complete spaces and tri-quotient maps*, *Illinois J. Math.* **21** (1977), no. 3, 716–733, available at <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ijm/1256049022>. MR **0467688**
- [35] Suliman Albandik, *A colimit construction for groupoids*, Ph.D. Thesis, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, 2015, <http://hdl.handle.net/11858/00-1735-0000-0028-87E8-C>.
- [36] Ieke Moerdijk and Janez Mrčun, *Lie groupoids, sheaves and cohomology*, Poisson geometry, deformation quantisation and group representations, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 323, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2005, pp. 145–272, doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511734878. MR **2166453**
- [37] Ieke Moerdijk and Gonzalo E. Reyes, *Models for smooth infinitesimal analysis*, Springer, New York, 1991. MR **1083355**
- [38] Janez Mrčun, *Stability and invariants of Hilsum–Skandalis maps*, Ph.D. Thesis, Utrecht University, 1996, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0506484>.
- [39] Paul S. Muhly, Jean N. Renault, and Dana P. Williams, *Equivalence and isomorphism for groupoid C^* -algebras*, *J. Operator Theory* **17** (1987), no. 1, 3–22, available at <http://www.theta.ro/jot/archive/1987-017-001/1987-017-001-001.html>. MR **873460**
- [40] Thomas Nikolaus, Christoph Sachse, and Christoph Wockel, *A smooth model for the string group*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **16** (2013), 3678–3721, doi: 10.1093/imrn/rns154. MR **3090706**
- [41] Behrang Noohi, *Foundations of Topological Stacks I* (2005), eprint. arXiv: math.AG/0503247.
- [42] ———, *Notes on 2-groupoids, 2-groups and crossed modules*, *Homology, Homotopy Appl.* **9** (2007), no. 1, 75–106, available at <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.hha/1175791088>. MR **2280287**
- [43] Richard S. Palais, *On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **73** (1961), 295–323, doi: 10.2307/1970335. MR **0126506**
- [44] Jean Pradines, *Morphisms between spaces of leaves viewed as fractions*, *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég.* **30** (1989), no. 3, 229–246 (English, with French summary). MR **1029626**
- [45] Dorette A. Pronk, *Etendues and stacks as bicategories of fractions*, *Compositio Math.* **102** (1996), no. 3, 243–303, available at http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1996__102_3_243_0. MR **1401424**
- [46] Marc A. Rieffel, *Applications of strong Morita equivalence to transformation group C^* -algebras*, Operator algebras and applications, Part I (Kingston, Ont., 1980), Proc.

- Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1982, pp. 299–310, doi: 10.1090/pspum/038.1/679709. MR **679709**
- [47] Ralf Meyer and Chenchang Zhu, *Groupoids in categories with pretopology*, Theory Appl. Categ. **30** (2015), 1906–1998, available at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/volumes/30/55/30-55abs.html>.
- [48] David Michael Roberts, *Internal categories, anafunctors and localisations*, Theory Appl. Categ. **26** (2012), 788–829, available at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/volumes/26/29/26-29abs.html>. MR **3065944**
- [49] Ruy Exel, *Partial dynamical systems, Fell bundles and applications*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 224, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017. MR3699795
- [50] Graeme Segal, *Classifying spaces and spectral sequences*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **34** (1968), 105–112, available at http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1968__34__105_0. MR **0232393**
- [51] Dana P. Williams, *Crossed products of C^* -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 134, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007. MR **2288954**
- [52] Christoph Wockel and Chenchang Zhu, *Integrating central extensions of Lie algebras via Lie 2-groups* (2012), eprint. arXiv: 1204.5583.
- [53] Jesse Wolfson, *Descent for n -bundles* (2013), eprint. arXiv: 1308.1113.
- [54] Du Li, *Higher groupoid actions, bibundles, and differentiation*, Ph.D. Thesis, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, 2014, <http://hdl.handle.net/11858/00-1735-0000-0022-5F4F-A>.
- [55] Marco Zambon and Chenchang Zhu, *Contact reduction and groupoid actions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 3, 1365–1401, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9947-05-03832-8. MR **2187657**