## **Summary**

Summary Table of Contents	VII IX
Foreword	
Orient Express Law	1
MAURO BUSSANI	
Issues at Stake	
The State of the Art of European Tort Law	15
NILS JANSEN	
Towards a European Tort Law	47
Ewoud Hondius	
The Torts Provisions of the Study Group on a European Civil Code	55
JOHN BLACKIE	
The Swiss Tort Reform: a Possible Model for Europe? Selected Remarks, Including a Short Assessment of the Principles of European Tort Law	81
Franz Werro	
The Economics of Legal Harmonization in European Tort Law	103
Francesco Parisi	
The Western Approach to Taxation of Damages: The Substitution Myth	121
Enrico Marello	
Insurance in a European Tort Law Perspective	133
BILL W. DUFWA	



VII

Personal Injury. Features of the Italian Legal System	153
Pure Economic Loss: The Case for a Cross-fertilization	
Extra-Contractual Recovery of Pure Economic Loss in Europe	225
JANE STAPLETON	
Pure Economic Loss in Croatian Law	249
IGOR GLIHA, MARKO BARETIĆ, SAŠA NIKŠIĆ	
Recoverability of Pure Economic Loss in Austria	295
WILLIBALD POSCH	
Pure Economic Loss Compensation from the Czech Perspective	313
Luboš Tichý	
Pure Economic Loss in Hungarian Tort Law	323
Attila Menyhárd	
The Eastern Face of Pure Economic Loss	339
VERNON VALENTINE PALMER	
European Tort Law in a Context of Cultural Difference	
A Common Framework of Reference and Teaching	345
WALTER VAN GERVEN	
European Tort Law - A Way Forward?	365
Mauro Bussani	

## **Table of Contents**

Mana			•
MAURO			
		sons for the Journey	3
	e Engi e Rou	ne-driver	6
In	e Kou	ė	c
The Sta	te of t	he Art of European Tort Law	15
Present	Probl	ems and Proposed Principles	
NILS JAN			
I.	Pre	sent Problems	18
	1.	Rights, Risks and Luck: Responsibility for One's Own Decisions	
		and the Question of Pure Economic Loss	19
	2.	Wrongful Behaviour, Strict Liability, and the Idea of Individual	
		Responsibility	21
		a. Misconduct	22
		b. Strict Liability	22
		c. Economic Taliation and Fair Compensation	25
		d. The Fragmentation of Responsibility	28
Π.	Pro	posed Principles	32
	1.	"Flexible" Systems	32
	2.	Protected Interests	36
		a. Pure Economic Loss	38
		b. Ranking Interests?	40
	3.	Describing Responsibility	41
Ш	. Co	nclusion	45
Toward	ls a Eu	ropean Tort Law	47
Ewoud !			
1.	Intr	roduction	47
2.	The	Need for Harmonisation	48
3.	Tec	hnical Problems	49
4.	Pol	itical Problems	50
5.	Is 7	There a Solution?	51
6.	Cor	nclusions	51
Bil	bliogra		52
The Tor	rts Pro	ovisions of the Study Group on a European Civil Code	55
JOHN BL			-
I.		oduction	55
		Background	55

	2.	Whether it is appropriate to codify tort(s)/delict for a supranational	~7
	_	legal order such as the EU?	57
	3.	The major matters to which supranational codification of tort(s)/ delict has to respond	61
II.	The	basic constants in the provisions of the Study Group	62
11.	. 111e 1.	"Damage"	62
	2.	"Legally Relevant Damage"	64
	2.	a. Starting point for formulation	64
		b. Some prominent examples and their dominant features	68
		c. Other situations – the expansion joint	70
	3.	Accountability	74
	٠.	a. Accountability (1) – Intention and negligence	74
		b. Accountability (2) – Accountability without intention	•
		or negligence	75
	4.	Causation	78
	5.	Defences	79
	6.	Remedies	79
The Su	vice To	rt Reform: a Possible Model for Europe? Selected Remarks,	
		hort Assessment of the Principles of European Tort Law	81
Franz	WERRO		
I.	Son	ne Important Features of the Swiss Project	82
	1.	Codification of Case Law	82
		a. Unearthing Existing Law and Adding Rules with the Risk	
		of Stifling New Developments	83
		b. Keeping Fundamental Choices of Present and Past Law	84
		c. Reinforcing New Trends with Respect to Enterprise Liability	86
	2.	Some Innovations	87
		a. The Choice of Subjective Fault: A Regrettable One	87
		b. Some Steps in the Right Direction	88
	3.	An Appraisal	91
II		e Swiss Project and the European Principles in the Light	
		Contemporary Needs and EC Law	92
	1.	Some Features of the Principles of European Tort Law	93
		<ul> <li>Compensable Loss and the Hierarchy of Protected Interests</li> </ul>	93
		b. Fault	95
		c. Strict Liability	96
	_	d. Enterprise Liability	97
	2.	The Swiss Project, the Spier/Koziol Principles and European Law	98
		a. Favouring 19th Century Choices?	99
_	, , .	b. Ignoring EC Law	100
C	Conclusi	on	101
		cs of Legal Harmonization in European Tort Law	103
	ESCO PA		
1.	. The	e Optimal Territorial Scope of Tort Law	104

		1.1	The Costs and Benefits of Legal Harmonization	104
		1.2	Parties' Choice of Law and Externality-Based Limitations	107
		1.3	The Market for Tort Law	108
	2.	The	Optimal Design of European Tort Law	109
		2.1	Codifications and Legal Obsolescence	112
		2.2	The Evolving Structure of European Tort Law	114
		2.3	Principles of Legal Harmonization in European Tort Law	117
]	Bibli	ogra	phy	119
The V	West	ern A	Approach to Taxation of Damages: The Substitution Myth	121
ENRIC				
	1.		oduction	121
	2.		treatment of damages: a brief theoretical analysis	122
	3.		restern leitmotiv: the "substitution principle"	125
	4.		sonal injuries: an injury to the substitution rule	129
:	5.	Con	clusion	132
Insur	ance	in a	European Tort Law Perspective	133
BILL V	W. D	UFWA	A	
	A.		oduction	133
]	В.	The	Split between Tort Law and Insurance	134
(	C.	An "	"Insurance-based" Law of Tort	137
		1.	General	137
			a. Usual Influences of Insurance	137
			b. Insurance-based Foundation	137
			c. Insurance-based Application of Law	139
			d. America	139
			e. Scandinavia	140
		2.	Multiple tortfeasors	140
]	D.		Iore Open Picture of Compensation?	142
		1.	General	142
		2.	Multiple Wrongdoers	145
	E.		pility Insurance or Victim Insurance?	146
		1.	General	146
	_	2.	Multiple Tortfeasors	149
]	F.	Con	clusion	150
			a. The traditional approach and its opposite	150
			b. Multiple tortfeasors	151
			c. The future	152
			ry. Features of the Italian Legal System	153
GUIDO				153
	1.		historical profile	153
		(i)	Melchiorre Gioja	153
		(ii)	Old rules, old methods	155 157
		11111	Dribwirkung and Dersonal Muity	157

2.	The macroeconomic perspective	160		
3.	The legal meaning of "damage" in present times	161		
4.	"Personal injuries"	166		
5.	Areas and types of personal injury	169		
6.	Danno biologico. Legal definition	170		
7.	Danno biologico as a project of policy	174		
8.	The first judgements of the Constitutional Court	178		
9.	The first trends of the Court of Cassation	181		
10.	Further interventions of the Constitutional Court	182		
	a. Judgement No. 184 of 1986	182		
	b. Judgement No. 372 of 1994	183		
11.		185		
12.	Methods of calculation of danno biologico	189		
13.	Some features	196		
14.	Economic damage	197		
	(i) Specific working incapacity	198		
	(ii) Loss of opportunities	201		
15.	Future pecuniary loss	202		
	a. Employees	202		
	b. Self-employment and entrepreneurial activities	203		
	c. Method for the calculation of compensation	203		
	d. Housewives	204		
	e. Pensioners	204		
	f. Unemployed	204		
	g. Minors	205		
16.	t e	205		
17.		205		
18.	•	209		
19.	<u> -</u>	212		
20.	•	215		
21.	, ,	216		
	a. Pure psychological damage	219		
	b. Existential damage	221		
	c. Psychological damage additional to existential			
	and non-pecuniary damage	221		
Extra-C	ontractual Recovery of Pure Economic Loss in Europe	225		
JANE STA	APLETON			
The	e United States	225		
No	n-US Common Law Systems: Australia; New Zealand; Canada;			
_	United Kingdom	229 232		
	Guidelines for Future Research			
	Results so Far	236		
For	m of Reporting: the example of Restatement in the Common Law World	238		
	m of Reporting: A Code; Principles; a Restatement	240		
For	m of Reporting: A Statement of Legal Concerns	242		

Pur	e Eco	nomic Loss in Croatian Law	249
IGOF	R GLII	ha, Marko Baretić, Saša Nikšić	
	1.	Introduction	249
	2.	Statutory Framework	250
	3.	General Liability Regime – COA	251
		3.1 Extra-Contractual Liability	252
		3.1.1 General Conditions of Liability	253
		3.1.2 Limiting Factors for Recoverability of Pure Economic Loss	256
		3.1.3 Specific Types of Pure Economic Loss	271
		3.2 Contractual Liability	281
		3.2.1 General Rules	282
		3.2.2 Impact of the Party Autonomy Principle on the Scope of	
		Compensation	284
		3.2.3 Specific Types of Pure Economic Loss	285
		3.3 Pre-Contractual Liability	286
	4.	Special Liability Regimes	288
Doo	o vo ra	ability of Pure Economic Loss in Austria	295
		D POSCH	293
	1.	The Legal Context	295
	2.	Recovery of "Pure Economic Loss" is Significantly Limited under	
		the ABGB	299
	3.	The Role of Legislation in Certain Fields of Civil Liability	309
	4.	Summary	310
_	·		
	e Eco oš Tie	nomic Loss Compensation from the Czech Perspective	313
LUB	US 110 I.	Introduction	313
	т. П.	Fundamental Problems	313
	11.	1. The Term	313
		<ol> <li>Pure Economic Loss as a Problem not Restricted to the Law of Tort</li> </ol>	315
		3. Justification of Pure Economic Loss Compensation	
		General Interests	316 316
	III.		317
	IV.		320
	14.	The Resolution – Attributability	320
Pure	e Eco	nomic Loss in Hungarian Tort Law	323
ATTI	LA M	ENYHÁRD	
	1.	Introductory Remarks	323
	2.	The Basis of Liability in Hungarian Tort Law	324
	3.	The Types of Damage to be Compensated	326
	4.	The Limitation Measures in the Hungarian Court Practice	330
	5.	The Standard Cases of Pure Economic Loss in Hungarian Tort Law	331
		5.1. The Cases of Relational (Ricochet) Economic Loss	331
		5.2. Transferred Loss	333

## Table of contents

	5.3. Closures of Public Markets, Transportation Corridors and Public		
	Infrastructures	334	
	5.4. Reliance upon Flawed Data, Advice or Professional Services	334	
6.	The Problem of Indirect Damage	335	
7.	The Principles and Proposals for a New Hungarian Civil Code	336	
The East	tern Face of Pure Economic Loss	339	
VERNON	VALENTINE PALMER		
A Comn	non Framework of Reference and Teaching	345	
WALTER	VAN GERVEN		
Of	Differences in Legal Styles, Legislative Enactments and Teaching Methods	348	
The	The Bottom-up Approach as Represented by Case- and (other) Source Books		
	ck to the Commission's a Common Frame of Reference and Teaching	357	
Cor	ncluding Remarks	363	
Europea	n Tort Law – A Way Forward?	365	
MAUROI	RUSSANI		