

Christine Vallaster

**Strategic Decision Making
by Multicultural Groups**

Verlag Dr. Kovač

**Hamburg
2005**

TABLE OF CONTENT

| | |
|--|----|
| FOREWORD | 1 |
| I. POSITIONING THE RESEARCH PROJECT | 5 |
| 1. RESEARCH OBJECTIVE | 11 |
| 2. RESEARCH QUESTIONS | 11 |
| 3. STRUCTURE OF DISSERTATION | 12 |
| II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND | 15 |
| 1. DECISION MAKING PROCESSES AS THE UNDERLYING NOTION OF STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION..... | 15 |
| 1.1. ABSTRACT | 15 |
| 1. 2. THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES ON STRATEGIC DECISION MAKING: SHIFTING FROM THE RATIONAL INDIVIDUAL TO THE SUBJECTIVE EVALUATOR..... | 16 |
| 1.3. STRATEGIC DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES - A COGNITIVE PERSPECTIVE ... | 22 |
| 1.3.1. Conceptualizing the Individual Decision Maker | 23 |
| 1.3.2. Cognitive Biases and Their Influence on Strategic Decision-making Processes | 26 |
| 1.3.3. Determinants of Individual Cognitions and Their Effects on the Process of Strategic Decision-making | 36 |
| 1.3.4. Level of Analysis in Cognition Research | 43 |
| 1.4. CONCLUSION | 56 |
| 1.5. SOCIAL REPRESENTATION (SR) THEORY | 60 |
| 1.5.1. Locating Social Representation Theory in Modern Cognitive Thought | 61 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 1.5.2. Applicability of SR-Theory as a Methodological Framework for the Analysis of Strategic Decision Making | 67 |
| 1.6. AN ATTEMPT TO DEVELOP A REFINED CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK – THE SHARED VISION AS THE CORE ELEMENT..... | 69 |
| 2. COMMUNICATION AS THE VEHICLE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A SHARED VISION | 71 |
| 2.1. ABSTRACT..... | 71 |
| 2.2. UNDERSTANDING THE PROCESS OF COMMUNICATION..... | 72 |
| 2.3. UNDERSTANDING THE NOTION OF ‘CULTURE’ - GENERAL CONCEPTS AND THEIR CONTRIBUTION TO A STRUCTURED DISCUSSION..... | 74 |
| 2.4 CONCEPTUALIZING ‘CULTURE’ WITHIN THE PRESENT FRAMEWORK..... | 80 |
| 2.5. INFLUENCE OF CULTURE ON THE PROCESS OF COMMUNICATION..... | 83 |
| 2.6. CONCLUSION | 88 |
| 3. INTEGRATING LITERATURE FROM CROSS-CULTURAL GROUP RESEARCH..... | 91 |
| 3.1. ABSTRACT..... | 91 |
| 3.2. IMPACT OF CULTURAL DIVERSITY ON GROUP PERFORMANCE..... | 92 |
| 3.3. REVIEW OF CROSS-CULTURAL GROUP RESEARCH | 95 |
| 3.3.1. Cross Cultural Research - Cognition | 98 |
| 3.3.2. Cross Cultural Research - Affect..... | 101 |
| 3.3.3. Cross Cultural Research - Communication..... | 104 |
| 3.4. CONCLUSION | 111 |
| 4. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK TO THE STUDY OF STRATEGIC DECISION MAKING BY MULTICULTURAL GROUPS | 115 |
| III. EMPIRICAL PART | 121 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 1. RESEARCH DESIGN | 121 |
| 1.1. ABSTRACT | 121 |
| 1.2. INTRODUCTION | 122 |
| 1.3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 123 |
| 1.3.1. Qualitative Research..... | 123 |
| 1.3.2. Introduction to Research Procedure: Field Research | 126 |
| 1.3.3. Research Strategy: The Case Study | 128 |
| 1.3.4. Defining Membership Role During Field Research | 129 |
| 1.3.5. Dilemma of the Chosen Research Methodology | 132 |
| 1.4. DATA GATHERING AND ANALYSIS..... | 133 |
| 1.4.1. Sampling and Nature of Task | 134 |
| 1.4.2. Within-case Analysis..... | 141 |
| 1.4.3. Employed Data Collection Methods/Techniques and Procedure of Data Analysis | 148 |
| 1.5. CROSS-CASE ANALYSIS..... | 168 |
| 1.6. REACHING CLOSURE..... | 169 |
| 2. RESEARCH RESULTS..... | 171 |
| 2.1. CASE STUDY 1:..... | 174 |
| DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT STRATEGY (SCM) AMIDST ECONOMIC TURMOIL IN ASIA..... | 174 |
| 2.1.1. The Company | 174 |
| 2.1.2. Observation Group | 177 |
| 2.1.4. Summary of Findings – Factors that Allowed/Inhibited Shared Vision Development and Impact on Decision-making Process | 206 |
| 2.1.5. Remarks on Methodological Issues | 208 |
| 2.2. CASE STUDY 2:..... | 210 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A MARKETING STRATEGY TO PROMOTE CHEMICAL PRODUCTS IN SOUTH CHINA (CP-STRATEGY) | 210 |
| 2.2.1. The Company | 210 |
| 2.2.2. Observation Group | 211 |
| 2.2.3. The Development Phases of a Shared Vision by a Work Group Consisting of Central European and Chinese People..... | 213 |
| 2.2.4. Summary of Findings – Factors that Allowed/Inhibited Shared Vision Development and Impact on the Decision-making Process | 241 |
| 2.3. CASE STUDY 3: | 244 |
| STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION TO ENSURE A MORE CUSTOMER FOCUSED (MCF) SERVICE IN THE FINANCIAL DIVISION..... | 244 |
| 2.3.1. The Company | 244 |
| 2.3.2. Observation Group | 248 |
| 2.3.3. Changes in Research Methodology | 249 |
| 2.3.4. The Development Phases of a Shared Vision by a Work Group Consisting of Chinese, Japanese, Singaporean and Austrian employees . | 250 |
| 2.3.5 Summary of Findings – Factors that Allowed/Inhibited Shared Vision Development and Impact on the Decision-making Process | 277 |
| IV. DISCUSSION – IMPLICATIONS FOR THEORY AND PRACTICE... | 281 |
| 1. DISCUSSION | 281 |
| 1.1. CONTEXT AND TASK DESCRIPTION | 282 |
| 1.2. SHAPE OF OBSERVED STRATEGIC DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES | 283 |
| 1.3. PROCESS LOSSES DUE TO COGNITIVE DIFFERENCES | 287 |
| 1.4. PROCESS LOSSES DUE TO COMMUNICATION ISSUES..... | 291 |
| 1.5. PROCESS LOSSES DUE TO AFFECTIVE ISSUES | 294 |
| 1.6. PROCESS LOSSES DUE TO LACK OF LEADERSHIP | 296 |

1.7. THE SHARED VISION AS THE LYNCH PIN BETWEEN PEOPLE FROM DIFFERENT CULTURES299

2. IMPLICATIONS FOR THEORY301

3. MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS307

4. STUDY CONTRIBUTION, LIMITATIONS AND AGENDA FOR FUTURE RESEARCH315

V. APPENDIX325

1. OPERATIONALIZATION OF VARIABLES.....325

2. REFERENCES326