

# Table of Contents

<b>Foreword</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>VII</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>XVIII</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>XIX</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	<b>XXI</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation and Goals of the Thesis . . . . .	1
1.2 Research Questions . . . . .	4
1.3 Structure of the Thesis . . . . .	4
<b>2 Conceptual Framework: Remote Services in Context of Technology-Mediated Services</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Emerging Technology-Mediated Service Types . . . . .	7
2.1.1 E-Services . . . . .	7
2.1.2 Self-Services . . . . .	9
2.1.3 Mobile Services . . . . .	12
2.1.4 Industry Specific Technology-Mediated Services . . . . .	13
2.1.4.1 Teleservices in Engineering and Manufacturing Industries . .	13
2.1.4.2 Telematics in the Automotive Industry . . . . .	15
2.1.4.3 Telemedicine in Health Care . . . . .	16
2.1.4.4 Services in the IT-Sector . . . . .	18
2.2 Classification of Remote Services . . . . .	19
2.2.1 Definition of Remote Services . . . . .	19
2.2.2 Characteristics of Remote Services . . . . .	20
2.2.3 Benefits of Remote Services . . . . .	23
2.3 Classification of Interactive Remote Services . . . . .	24
2.3.1 Definition of Interactive Remote Services . . . . .	24

2.3.2	Characterization and Demarcation of Interactive Remote Services . . . .	25
2.3.3	Positioning of Interactive Remote Services . . . . .	26
2.4	Conclusions and Implications . . . . .	29
<b>3</b>	<b>Theoretical Framework for Remote Service Adoption and Continued Usage</b>	<b>31</b>
3.1	Theoretical Foundations of Technology Adoption . . . . .	31
3.1.1	Behavioral Theories from Social Psychology and Sociology . . . . .	32
3.1.1.1	Innovation Diffusion Theory and Variants . . . . .	32
3.1.1.2	The Theory of Reasoned Action and Variants . . . . .	34
3.1.1.3	The Theory of Planned Behavior and Variants . . . . .	36
3.1.1.4	The Decomposed Theory of Planned Behavior . . . . .	37
3.1.2	Models in IT-Adoption Based on Behavioral Theories . . . . .	39
3.1.2.1	The Technology Acceptance Model and Variants . . . . .	39
3.1.2.2	The Motivational Model and Variants . . . . .	41
3.1.2.3	The Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology . . . . .	42
3.1.2.4	Compeau and Higgins' Model based on Social Cognitive Theory . . . . .	44
3.1.3	Theoretical Foundations of Continued Use of Technology . . . . .	45
3.1.3.1	Importance of Prior Experience . . . . .	45
3.1.3.2	Studies On Continued Usage . . . . .	46
3.1.3.3	Comparison of Adoption and Continuance Drivers . . . . .	48
3.1.4	Summary and Overview of Models in Technology Adoption . . . . .	50
3.2	Theoretical Foundations of Interaction in the Service Encounter . . . . .	58
3.2.1	Perceptions of Service Providers' Employee Behavior . . . . .	58
3.2.1.1	Importance of Employee Behavior in the Service Encounter . . . . .	58
3.2.1.2	Customer Orientation of Employees . . . . .	60
3.2.1.3	Role of Employee Behavior in Service Quality Assessments . . . . .	60
3.2.1.4	Employee Behavior in Technology-Mediated Service Encounters . . . . .	62
3.2.2	Customer Integration in the Service Process . . . . .	65
3.2.2.1	Research on Customer Co-Production . . . . .	65
3.2.2.2	Drivers of Customer Co-Production . . . . .	66
3.2.3	Customer Beliefs Regarding the Interaction with Service Technology . . . . .	69
3.2.3.1	Consumer Readiness as Driver of Technology-Mediated Co-Production . . . . .	69
3.2.3.2	Technology Readiness as a Driver of Technology Usage in Services . . . . .	69
3.3	Transcending Concepts of Trust and Control across Disciplines . . . . .	71
3.3.1	Importance of Trust and Trustworthiness . . . . .	71
3.3.2	Importance of Control Beliefs . . . . .	73

3.3.3	The Trust-Control Nexus . . . . .	75
3.4	Technology-Intensive Service Adoption in B2B contexts . . . . .	77
3.4.1	Business Service Relationships . . . . .	77
3.4.2	Decision Making and the Adoption Process in Organizations . . . . .	78
3.4.3	Organizational Adoption Drivers . . . . .	81
3.5	Summary of the Theoretical Foundations of Remote Services . . . . .	82
<b>4</b>	<b>Methodological Superstructure and Empirical Setting</b>	<b>85</b>
4.1	Methodological Superstructure . . . . .	85
4.2	Empirical Setting of the Employed Studies . . . . .	87
4.2.1	Selection of the Printing Industry . . . . .	87
4.2.2	Printing Machine Manufacturing . . . . .	88
4.2.3	The Printing Industry . . . . .	89
<b>5</b>	<b>Qualitative Exploratory Interview Study</b>	<b>93</b>
5.1	Motivation and Goals . . . . .	93
5.2	Qualitative Research Methodology . . . . .	94
5.2.1	Semi-Structured Interviews as Means of Data Collection . . . . .	94
5.2.2	Qualitative Content Analysis as Means of Data Analysis . . . . .	94
5.2.3	Validity and Reliability . . . . .	95
5.3	Field Phase . . . . .	97
5.3.1	Sample Selection . . . . .	97
5.3.2	Interview Situation and Questionnaire Design . . . . .	102
5.3.3	Category Development and Coding . . . . .	105
5.4	Results of the Qualitative Interview Study . . . . .	105
5.4.1	Assessment of Intercooder Reliability . . . . .	105
5.4.2	Structure of Results Presentation . . . . .	106
5.4.3	Technology Beliefs . . . . .	107
5.4.4	Relational Beliefs . . . . .	110
5.4.4.1	Trust in the Remote Service Technician . . . . .	110
5.4.4.2	Trust in the Remote Service Provider Company . . . . .	113
5.4.5	Process Control Beliefs . . . . .	114
5.4.6	Economic Values . . . . .	117
5.4.7	Participation Beliefs . . . . .	120
5.4.8	Cultural Differences in the Customer's Willingness to Collaborate . . . . .	122
5.4.9	Prior Experiences . . . . .	123
5.4.10	Organizational Factors . . . . .	124
5.4.11	Contextual Factors . . . . .	126
5.4.12	Discussion of the Results . . . . .	127

<b>6</b>	<b>Hypotheses Development</b>	<b>131</b>
6.1	Development of the ITSUM	131
6.1.1	Counterpart Beliefs	132
6.1.1.1	Controllability of the Counterpart's Actions	132
6.1.1.2	Trustworthiness of the Counterpart	134
6.1.2	Technology Beliefs	136
6.1.2.1	Trust in Technology	136
6.1.2.2	Ease of Use	136
6.1.3	Perceived Usefulness	137
6.1.4	Participation Beliefs	139
6.1.4.1	Role Clarity	139
6.1.4.2	Role Ability	140
6.1.4.3	Intrinsic Motivation	140
6.1.5	Organizational Characteristics	141
6.1.5.1	Subjective Norms	141
6.1.5.2	Company Size and Respondent's Function	142
6.2	Link Between Usage Intention and Actual Usage Behavior	143
6.3	Hypotheses Development for Group Comparisons	143
<b>7</b>	<b>Quantitative Studies</b>	<b>149</b>
7.1	Motivation and Goals	149
7.2	Methods and Techniques Employed	150
7.2.1	Survey Research	150
7.2.2	Structural Equation Modeling	151
7.2.2.1	Methodology	151
7.2.2.2	Assessment of Reliability and Validity	153
7.2.2.3	Assessment of Model Fit and Data Quality	154
7.2.2.4	Dependent Categorical Variables	156
7.2.2.5	Multi-Group Comparison	156
7.3	Study Design	159
7.4	General Outline of the Questionnaires	160
7.5	Operationalization of the Constructs	161
7.6	Quality of the Questionnaire and Pre-Test	166
7.7	t <sub>1</sub> -Study: Results of ITSUM Validation	167
7.7.1	Sample Structure and Description	167
7.7.2	Data Quality	170
7.7.3	Measurement Validity	171
7.7.4	Assessing Common Method Variance	174
7.7.5	Validation of the ITSUM (n=717)	175
7.8	Multi-Group Comparison: Adoption vs. Continued Usage	178

7.8.1	Description of the Groups . . . . .	178
7.8.2	Assessing Measurement Invariance . . . . .	179
7.8.3	Results for Organizations in the Pre-Adoption Phase . . . . .	184
7.8.4	Results for Organizations in the Continued Usage Phase . . . . .	186
7.8.5	Comparison of Group Parameters . . . . .	189
7.9	t <sub>2</sub> -Study: Intention - Behavior Link . . . . .	192
7.9.1	Sample Description . . . . .	192
7.9.2	Logistic Regression Results . . . . .	194
7.10	Discussion of the Results . . . . .	196
<b>8</b>	<b>Summary and Conclusions</b>	<b>201</b>
8.1	Summary of the Central Results . . . . .	201
8.2	Managerial Implications . . . . .	203
8.3	Implications for Future Research . . . . .	206
	<b>References</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Additional Tables and Figures</b>	<b>255</b>
A.1	Interview Guideline of the Exploratory Qualitative Study . . . . .	255
A.2	First Pages of the Online Survey t <sub>1</sub> and t <sub>2</sub> -study . . . . .	257
A.3	Exploratory Factor Analysis Results . . . . .	259
A.4	Correlations . . . . .	260
A.5	Calculation of Moderating Effects . . . . .	261