

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Tables and Figures | 15 |
| Acronyms and Abbreviations | 17 |
| Concepts and Definitions | 21 |
| 1. Introduction | 23 |
| 1.1 Empirical Puzzle, Aims and Questions | 23 |
| 1.2 The Argument | 25 |
| 1.3 Reasons to Study Stalled Negotiations | 26 |
| 1.4 Delimitation of the Study | 28 |
| 1.5 Conceptual Clarifications | 29 |
| 1.6 Case Studies | 30 |
| 1.6.1 The Negotiation between Chile and Panama | 31 |
| 1.6.2 The Negotiation between Panama and Costa Rica | 31 |
| 1.6.3 Justification of the Case Studies Selection | 32 |
| 1.7 Methodological Considerations | 34 |
| 1.8 Material: The Constraints of Qualitative Sources | 36 |
| 1.9 Organisation of the Study | 38 |
| Part I: The State of the Art and Theoretical Framework | 39 |
| 2. The State of the Art | 41 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 41 |
| 2.2 The Field of Economics | 41 |
| 2.2.1 New Trade Theory | 41 |
| 2.3 Theories of International Relations International Political Economy | 43 |
| 2.3.1 Liberalism | 43 |
| 2.3.2 Intergovernmentalism | 44 |
| 2.3.3 Constructivism in IR IPE and in Theory of Negotiation | 46 |
| 2.3.3.1 The Logic of Arguing in the Field of Negotiation | 46 |
| 2.4 Theory of Negotiation | 48 |

| | | |
|-----------------|---|-----------|
| 2.5 | The Literature on the Case Studies | 56 |
| 2.5.1 | Chile | 56 |
| 2.5.2 | Costa Rica | 59 |
| 2.5.3 | Panama | 61 |
| 2.6 | Concluding Remarks | 63 |
| 3. | Theoretical Framework | 65 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 65 |
| 3.2 | The Process: Internal and External Dimensions | 66 |
| 3.2.1 | Formation of Endogenous Policy Preferences in FTA Negotiations | 66 |
| 3.2.2 | Domestic Actors' and Government's Policy Preferences | 72 |
| 3.2.3 | Intergovernmental Negotiation | 74 |
| 3.3 | Hypotheses | 78 |
| 3.3.1 | Hypothesis I: On Domestic Actors and State Responsiveness | 78 |
| 3.3.2 | Hypothesis II: On Intergovernmental Bargaining | 79 |
| 3.4 | Conditions to Stall a Negotiation | 79 |
| 3.4.1 | Domestic Factors | 80 |
| 3.4.2 | Intergovernmental Factors | 83 |
| 3.5 | Operationalisation | 86 |
| 3.6 | Concluding Remarks | 92 |
| Part II: | Empirical Cases: Chile-Panama & Costa Rica-Panama | 93 |
| 4. | The Road to the FTA Policies of Chile, Costa Rica and Panama | 95 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 95 |
| 4.2 | Chile | 95 |
| 4.2.1 | Chile's Economic Model | 95 |
| 4.2.2 | Chile's Trade Accords | 97 |
| 4.2.3 | Chile's Domestic and Governmental Actors | 100 |
| 4.3 | Costa Rica | 102 |
| 4.3.1 | Costa Rica's Economic Model | 102 |
| 4.3.2 | Costa Rica's Trade Accords | 105 |
| 4.3.3 | Costa Rica's Domestic and Governmental Actors | 107 |
| 4.4 | Panama | 109 |
| 4.4.1 | Panama's Trade Policy | 109 |
| 4.4.2 | Panama's Trade Accords | 112 |
| 4.4.3 | Panama's Domestic and Governmental Actors | 116 |
| 4.5 | Concluding Remarks | 118 |

| | |
|---|----------------|
| 5. The FTA Negotiation between Chile and Panama: Domestic Dimension | 119 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 119 |
| 5.2 The Negotiation Process | 119 |
| 5.3 Domestic Factors | 122 |
| 5.3.1 Divergent Interests | 122 |
| 5.3.2 Job Insecurity | 130 |
| 5.3.3 Politicisation | 132 |
| 5.3.3.1 Elections | 137 |
| 5.3.4 Pro-FTA groups' Free-riding Option | 141 |
| 5.4 Concluding Remarks | 145 |
| 6. Intergovernmental Negotiation between Chile and Panama | 147 |
| 6.1 Introduction | 147 |
| 6.2 Intergovernmental Factors | 147 |
| 6.2.1 Non-negotiability | 147 |
| 6.2.2 Lack of Leadership Skills | 153 |
| 6.2.3 Predominance of Value-claiming Strategies | 158 |
| 6.2.4 The Weight of Other FTA Processes | 164 |
| 6.3 Concluding Remarks | 168 |
| 7. The FTA Negotiation between Costa Rica and Panama: Domestic Dimension | 171 |
| 7.1 Introduction | 171 |
| 7.2 An Overview of the Negotiation Process | 171 |
| 7.2.1 The Normative Frame between Central America and Panama | 174 |
| 7.3 Domestic Factors | 177 |
| 7.3.1 Divergent Interests | 177 |
| 7.3.2 Job Insecurity | 185 |
| 7.3.3 Politicisation | 189 |
| 7.3.3.1 Elections | 196 |
| 7.3.4 Pro-FTA Groups' Free-riding Option | 198 |
| 7.4 Concluding Remarks | 202 |

| | |
|---|----------------|
| 8. Intergovernmental Negotiation between Costa Rica and Panama | 203 |
| 8.1 Introduction | 203 |
| 8.2 Intergovernmental Factors | 203 |
| 8.2.1 Non-negotiability | 203 |
| 8.2.2 Lack of Leadership Skills | 210 |
| 8.2.3 Predominance of Value-claiming Strategies | 214 |
| 8.2.4 The Weight of Other FTA Processes | 220 |
| 8.3 Concluding Remarks | 226 |
| 9. Comparing the Two Failed FTA Negotiations | 227 |
| 9.1 Introduction | 227 |
| 9.2 Differences of the Two FTA Negotiations | 227 |
| 9.3 Common Aspects of the Two Failed FTA Negotiations | 231 |
| 9.3.1 Domestic Dimension | 231 |
| 9.3.2 International Dimension | 234 |
| 9.4 The Different Force of the Conditions to Explain Failure | 237 |
| 9.5 The Two Failed FTA Negotiations vis-à-vis Other Cases | 240 |
| 9.5.1 New Zealand and Hong-Kong | 241 |
| 9.5.2 South Korea and Japan | 242 |
| 9.5.3 Other Cases of Failed FTA Negotiations | 244 |
| 9.5.3.1 South Korea-Mexico | 244 |
| 9.5.3.2 Canada-Central America | 245 |
| 9.6 Concluding Remarks | 245 |
| 10. Conclusion | 247 |
| 10.1 The Key Questions Answered | 247 |
| 10.2 Answering the questions through the process | 248 |
| 10.3 Theoretical Implications | 251 |
| 10.4 For Future Research | 253 |

| | |
|--|---------|
| 11. Epilogue: Re-launching Negotiations | 255 |
| 11.1 An overview | 255 |
| 11.1.1 Chile-Panama | 255 |
| 11.1.2 Costa Rica-Panama | 257 |
| 11.2 Concluding Remarks | 259 |
| 12. References | 261 |
| 12.1 Internet Pages | 287 |
| 12.2 Interviews | 287 |
| Chile | 287 |
| Costa Rica | 290 |
| Panama | 292 |