

Contents

§ 1 Preliminaries	1
A. Introduction into the Subject Matter: The Problem	1
B. Methodology	6
C. Aim of the Investigation	8
D. Course of the Investigation	9
E. Definitions	11
I. Pollution Reduction	12
II. Pollutant Release	12
III. Environment	12
IV. Environmental Information	12
V. Categories of Environmental Information	13
1. Disclosed by E-PRTR and TRI	13
2. Not Disclosed by E-PRTR and TRI	13
3. Ancillary Information	13
§ 2 Development of Pollution Reduction Instruments	15
A. Point of Departure	15
I. Market Failure Due to Externality: The Problem	15
1. Types of Externalities	16
2. Technological Externalities and Allocation	17
3. Externalities, Excludability, and Property Rights	18
a) Free Rider Behaviour (Positive Externalities)	19
b) Tragedy of the Commons (Negative Externalities)	20
4. Summary	20
II. Selected Economic Approaches to Market Failure	21
1. The Four Consumer's Surpluses (Hicks)	21
2. The Economics of Welfare (Pigou)	22
3. The Problem of Social Cost (Coase)	23
4. The Economic Dynamics of Environmental Law (Driesen)	24

III. Environmental Innovation, Policy Mix and Capability	
Assessment	25
1. Characteristics of Environmental Innovations: Double	
Externality and Regulatory Push/Pull	25
2. Intelligent Regulation by Use of a Policy Mix	26
3. Assessment of Instrument Capability	27
IV. Interim Result	28
B. Command and Control Instruments	29
I. Introduction	30
II. Direct Regulations for Production Processes and Products	
Under EU Laws	31
1. Performance Standards	31
2. Best Available Techniques	32
III. Direct Regulations for Production Processes and Products	
Under US Laws	33
1. Performance Standards	33
2. Best Available Techniques	35
IV. Interim Result	36
C. Market-Based Instruments	37
I. Introduction	38
II. Market Regulations Under EU Laws	39
1. Environmental Taxes	39
2. The Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading Scheme	40
3. Environmental Liability	46
III. Market Regulations Under US Laws	48
1. Environmental Taxes	48
2. Emissions Trading	49
a) The Acid Rain Programme	49
b) Prospects for Federal Legislation on Greenhouse Gas	
Emissions Trading	50
3. Environmental Liability	51
IV. Interim Result	53
D. Conclusion of the Chapter	55
 § 3 E-PRTR Under EU Environmental Information Laws:	
The Collection	57
A. Structure of the E-PRTR	58
I. Legislative History	58
1. Decision 2005/370/EC	58
2. Legislative Foundation of EPER: Article 15 (3) of	
Directive 96/61/EC	58
3. Decision 2000/479/EC	59
a) The Legal Framework of EPER	59
aa) Establishment and Reporting Requirements	59

bb) Reporting Cycle	60
cc) Implementation	60
dd) Data Dissemination	60
b) Essentials from EPER Reviews	61
aa) First EPER Review Report for the Year 2001	61
bb) Second EPER Review Report for the Year 2004 ...	61
c) EPER as Distinguished from E-PRTR	62
4. Sixth Environmental Action Programme	62
a) Decision 1600/2002/EC	62
b) Mid-Term Review 2007	63
5. Decision 2006/61/EC and the Relation to Other Pollutant Release Inventories	64
II. Current Legal Framework of the E-PRTR	65
1. Establishment, Definitions, and Covered Environmental Media	67
a) Establishment and Access to Information	67
b) Definitions for Particular Terms	68
aa) 'The Public' and 'Competent Authority'	68
bb) 'Installation', 'Facility', 'Site' and 'Operator'	69
cc) 'Substance' and 'Pollutant'	69
dd) 'Release' and 'Diffuse Sources'	69
ee) 'Off-Site Transfer' of 'Waste', 'Hazardous Waste' and 'Waste Water'	71
ff) 'Disposal' and 'Recovery'	73
gg) 'Reporting Year'	73
c) Content of the E-PRTR	73
2. Informational Structure	74
a) The Standard Setting	74
b) Ease of Public Access and Website Links	74
3. Acquisition of Information Through Reporting Requirements	75
a) Reporting by the Operator	75
b) Reporting by the Member States	78
c) Releases from Diffuse Sources	78
4. Assurance and Assessment of Information Quality	79
a) Quality Objectives According to Recitals	79
b) Legal Duties of Operators, Competent Authorities and Commission	79
c) Current State on Improvement of Information Quality ..	81
aa) Legal Deficits in Quality Assessment by the Commission	81
bb) Information Quality Improvement Through Eco-Management and Audit Scheme?	81

5. Further Provisions	83
a) Amendment Procedures	83
b) Guidance Document	83
c) Commission Review	83
d) Additional Information to be Reported by the Member States	84
e) Raising Awareness and Public Participation for Enhancement of E-PRTR	84
f) Committee Procedure, Amendments to Other Directives and Entry into Force	85
III. Delimitation of REACH and E-PRTR	86
1. General Aim and Scope	87
2. Acquisition of Information Through the ‘No Data, No Market’ Principle	87
3. Access to Information	89
a) Tasks of the European Chemicals Agency	89
b) Electronic Public Access: The REACH Inventory	89
c) Access to Information Held by the European Chemicals Agency	90
IV. Interim Result	91
B. Confidentiality and Mandatory Environmental Information Disclosure	92
I. Confidentiality Under Article 11 of Regulation (EC) 166/2006	93
II. Differences of Directive 2003/4/EC as Regards Environmental Information Disclosure	95
1. Scope of ‘Environmental Information’	95
2. Disclosure Addressees: ‘Public Authorities’	96
3. Publication on Request	96
4. Interim Result	97
III. Member States’ Refusal of a Request: Article 4 (1) of Directive 2003/4/EC	98
IV. Member States ‘May Provide’ Grounds for Refusal: Article 4 (2) of Directive 2003/4/EC	99
V. Commercial and Industrial Information and Other Intellectual Property: Article 4 (2), Sentence 1, (d) and (e)	100
1. Legal Contours of Commercial and Industrial Information	100
a) Link with the Member States’ Concept of Confidentiality	101
b) Differences Between Commercial and Industrial Information	103
c) Prerequisites for the Presence of the Matter of Fact	103
aa) Related to a Business Activity	104

bb) Familiar Only to a Limited Group of People	104
cc) Kept Secret According to the Will of the Business Proprietor	105
dd) Legitimate Economic Interest for Confidentiality ..	105
ee) 'Area Specific' Modification of the Confidentiality Concept for Pollutant Releases?	106
d) Decision Competence of the Public Authority	107
e) Absolute and Relative Protection of Confidentiality ...	107
f) Interim Result	107
2. Ownership of Commercial and Industrial Information and Other Intellectual Property	108
a) Article 6 (1) TEU in Conjunction with Article 17 of the Charter	108
b) Article 6 (2) TEU	110
aa) State of the Law Under the Treaty of Nice	110
bb) State of the Law Under the Treaty of Lisbon	112
cc) The European Convention on Human Rights	112
(1) Case-Law of the European Court of Human Rights	113
(2) Literature	114
(3) Interim Result	114
c) Article 6 (3) TEU	115
aa) Case-Law of the ECJ	116
bb) Case-Law of the BVerfG and Literary Reactions ..	116
cc) Interim Result	118
d) Delimitation of Article 345 TFEU	118
e) The Derivation of the EU Fundamental Right to Property	119
aa) Incorporation of Fundamental Rights into EU Law: <i>Stauder v Ulm</i>	119
bb) Constitutional Traditions: <i>Internationale</i> <i>Handelsgesellschaft mbH</i>	121
cc) The 'Integral Part' Formula: <i>Nold v Commission</i> ..	123
dd) Fundamental Protection of Property: <i>Hauer v Land</i> <i>Rheinland-Pfalz</i>	124
ee) Interim Result	126
f) The Scope of Protection of the EU Fundamental Right to Property in Detail	127
aa) Selected Property Positions	127
(1) Capital as Such ie a Levy: <i>Schröder v</i> <i>Hauptzollamt Gronau</i>	127
(2) Established and Running Enterprise: <i>Biovilac v EEC</i>	130
(3) Market Share: <i>Germany v Council</i>	131
(4) Interim Result	132

bb) Protection of Intellectual Property Rights	133
(1) Confidential Commercial and Industrial Information as Property and Fundamentally Protected?	133
(2) Registered Rights: <i>Grammophon GmbH v Metro GmbH and Co KG</i>	136
3. Right to Pursue a Freely Chosen Occupation: Article 15, 16 of the Charter and the ECJ	137
4. Interim Result	138
VI. Other Member States' Grounds for Refusal to Disclose Under Directive 2003/4/EC	138
1. Personal Data: Article 4 (2), Sentence 1, (f)	139
2. Information Requested Provided on a Voluntary Basis: Article 4 (2), Sentence 1, (g)	140
3. Confidentiality of Proceedings of Public Authorities: Article 4 (2), Sentence 1, (a)	141
4. International Relations, Public Security or National Defence: Article 4 (2), Sentence 1, (b)	141
5. Course of Justice: Article 4 (2), Sentence 1, (c)	141
6. Information Relating to the Location of Rare Species: Article 4 (2), Sentence 1, (h)	142
VII. Restrictions on the Member States' Refusal to Disclose: Article 4 (2), Sentences 2 to 4	142
1. The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU: Article 37, 21, or 2?	143
2. Union Policy on the Environment: Article 191 TFEU	144
a) Introduction	144
b) High Level of Environmental Protection: Article 191 (2) TFEU	145
aa) Ranking and Principle	145
bb) Positioning and Comment	147
c) Pursuit of Environmental Objectives: Article 191 (1) TFEU	147
aa) Preserving, Protecting and Improving the Quality of the Environment (Indent 1)	148
bb) Protecting Human Health (Indent 2)	148
d) Duties to Take Account of: Article 191 (3) TFEU (Indents 1 and 3)	149
e) Legal Obligation of Article 191 (1) to (3) TFEU	150
3. Integration Principle: Article 11 TFEU	151
a) Content	152
aa) Substantive Requirements	152
bb) Procedural Requirements	154

b) Legal Effect	154
aa) Legal Obligation	154
bb) Judicial Control	155
4. Limitations of Other Fundamental Rights: Cases <i>Standley</i> and <i>Safety High Tech</i>	155
5. Judgments of the ECJ Under Directives 90/313/EEC and 2003/4/EC	157
a) Early Case-Law Under Directive 90/313/EEC	157
aa) Scope of Environmental Information I: <i>Mecklenburg v Kreis Pinneberg</i>	157
bb) Application of a Charge for an Informational Request: <i>Commission v Germany</i>	157
cc) Scope of Environmental Information II: <i>Glawischnig</i>	158
dd) Failure to Transpose Certain Obligations Under the Directive: <i>Commission v France</i>	158
ee) Unlawfulness of Implied Refusal: <i>Housieaux</i>	159
ff) Public Participation and Payment of Fees: <i>Commission v Ireland (I)</i>	160
b) Recent Case-Law Under Directive 2003/4/EC	162
aa) Failure to Transpose the Entire Directive: <i>Commission v Ireland (II)</i>	162
bb) Interpretation of Exceptions: <i>Commune de</i> <i>Sausheim v Azelvandre</i>	162
(1) Facts of the Case	162
(2) Observations of Third Parties before the Court ..	164
(3) Opinion of Advocate General Sharpston	165
(4) Judgment of the ECJ	167
(5) Appraisal of the Judgment	169
(6) Article 4 (2), Sentences 2 to 4 of Directive 2003/4/EC Revisited	171
a) Restrictive Interpretation Under Sentence 2	171
b) Public Interest v Interest Served by Refusal Under Sentence 3	172
c) Restrictions on Refusal for Information on Emissions/Releases Under Sentence 4	173
aa) Restrictions on Confidential Commercial and Industrial Information	174
(1) Impairment	174
(2) Justification	175
bb) Other Restricted Grounds for Refusal	180
(1) Personal Data	180
(2) Information Requested Provided on a Voluntary Basis	181

(3) Confidentiality of Proceedings of Public Authorities	181
(4) Information Relating to the Location of Rare Species	181
cc) No Restrictions for Refusal on Residual Grounds?	182
(1) Intellectual Property Rights	182
(2) International Relations, Public Security or National Defence	184
(3) Course of Justice	184
VIII. Article 4 (3) to (5) of Directive 2003/4/EC	184
1. Publicly Accessible List of Criteria on How to Handle Requests	185
2. Availability of Environmental Information in Part	185
3. Refusal Notification to the Applicant	185
IX. Interim Result	186
C. Role of the European Environment Agency and Enforcement Mechanisms	187
I. European Environment Agency in a Nutshell	187
1. Establishment	188
2. Tasks and Organisation	189
3. Legal Capacity and Assignment of Sovereign Regulatory Competence	191
4. In Need of Sovereign Regulatory Competence?	193
II. Member States' Penalty Provisions	197
III. Access to Justice	198
1. At EU Level Under Regulation (EC) 1049/2001	198
a) Application for Documents: Article 6	199
b) Processing of Initial Applications: Article 7	199
c) Processing of Confirmatory Applications: Article 8	199
d) Article 263, Sentence 4 TFEU: <i>Locus Standi</i> and the Problem with 'Individual Concern'	200
e) Adding a Legal Reference to Articles 10 to 12 of Regulation (EC) 1367/2006?	203
aa) Scope of Administrative Acts and Omissions	203
bb) Request for Internal Review of Administrative Acts	204
cc) Criteria for Entitlement at Union Level	205
dd) Interpretation of 'Written Reply' and Access to the Court of Justice	205
2. At Member State Level Under Article 6 of Directive 2003/4/EC	206
IV. Interim Result	207
D. Excursus: Requested Access to Information About Other Pollutants and Ancillary Information	207
I. The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU: Article 42 ...	208
II. The Treaty: Article 15 (3) TFEU	209

III. Secondary EU Law	210
1. Regulation (EC) 1049/2001	210
2. Regulation (EC) 1367/2006	212
IV. Judgments of the EU Courts on the Right of Access to Information	213
1. Origins	213
a) Fundamental Principle of Transparency: <i>Netherlands v Council</i>	213
b) Existence of a Principle of the Right to Information at EU Level: <i>Council v Hautala</i>	214
c) Legal Effect of the Rules of Procedure: <i>Carvel</i> and <i>Guardian Newspaper Ltd v Council</i>	214
2. Contours of Access to Information of EU Institutions	214
a) Scope of Agency Discretion to Disclose Information: <i>Municipality of Hillegom v Hillenius</i>	214
b) Mandatory and Discretionary Exceptions: <i>WWF UK v Commission</i>	215
c) Administrative Burden: <i>Verein für</i> <i>Konsumenteninformation v Commission</i>	218
d) Concrete Assessment and Statement of Reason: <i>Interporc GmbH v Commission</i>	219
e) Documents Drawn Up by an Institution: <i>ClientEarth</i> <i>v Commission</i>	219
3. Leadoff Contours of Exceptions for Information Disclosure	220
a) Sensitive Documents: <i>Sison v Council</i>	220
b) Release of Documents Containing Trade Secrets: <i>Akzo v Commission</i>	221
c) Court Proceedings and Legal Advice: <i>Sweden</i> and <i>Turco v Council</i>	222
d) Original 'Authorship Rule': <i>Petrie and</i> <i>Others v Commission</i>	223
e) 'Authorship Rule' Revisited: <i>Sweden and</i> <i>IFAW v Commission</i>	225
f) Partial Access: <i>Mattila v Council and Commission</i>	227
4. Liability for Breach of Confidentiality: <i>Adams v Commission</i>	228
V. Interim Result	229
E. Conclusion of the Chapter	230
 § 4 TRI Under US Environmental Information Laws:	
The Collection	233
A. Structure of the TRI	233
I. Legislative History	234
1. TRI as Congress' Response to the Chemical Catastrophe in Bhopal	235

2. Initial Legislative Action in 1986	237
3. Significant Changes in TRI Throughout the 1990s	238
a) Pollution Prevention Act of 1990	238
b) Inclusion of Federal Government Activities in 1993 ...	239
c) Expansion of Industrial Activities in 1997	239
d) Adding Chemicals and Lowering Thresholds in 1999 .	241
4. Significant Changes in TRI Throughout the 2000s	242
a) Burden Reduction Under the Bush Administration in 2006	242
b) Burden Reduction Reversal Under the Obama Administration in 2009	243
5. TRI and Its Relationship to Other Inventories/Programmes	243
6. Summary	244
II. Current Legal Framework of the TRI	245
1. Establishment, Definitions, and Covered Environmental Media	245
a) Establishment and Access to Information	245
b) Definitions for Particular Terms	246
aa) 'Person' and 'Administrator'	246
bb) 'Establishment', 'Facility' (Both Comprising 'Site') and 'Operator'	247
cc) 'Toxic Chemical'	248
dd) 'Release' and (Absence of) 'Diffuse Sources'	248
ee) 'Off-Site Transfer' of 'Waste' (Comprising 'Hazardous Waste' and 'Waste Water')	248
ff) 'Disposal' and 'Recovery'	249
gg) 'Reporting Year'	249
hh) Exclusive EPCRA/PPA Definitions: 'Environment', 'State' and 'Source Reduction'	250
c) Content of the TRI	251
d) Interim Result	251
2. Informational Structure	252
a) The Standard Setting	252
b) Ease of Public Access and Website Links	253
3. Acquisition of Information Through Reporting Requirements	254
a) Reporting by the Owner/Operator	254
b) Reporting to the Sister States	257
c) Interim Result	258
4. Assurance and Assessment of Information Quality	258
a) Legal Deficits as Regards Information Quality Objectives	258
b) Information Quality Improvement Through Environmental Self-Auditing?	259

c) Interim Result	262
5. Further Provisions	263
a) Amendment Procedures	263
b) Federal Regulations and Guidance Documents	265
c) Report of the Government Accountability Office	265
d) Information Collection from Sister States	266
e) Raising Awareness and Public Participation for Enhancement of TRI	267
f) Amendments to Other Federal Laws, Fiscal Budget and Entry into Force	268
6. Interim Result	268
III. Delimitation of TSCA and TRI	269
1. General Aim and Scope	269
2. Acquisition of Information	271
3. Access to Information	272
a) Tasks of the EPA	272
b) Electronic Public Access: The TSCA Inventory	272
c) Access to Information Held by the EPA	273
4. Interim Result	273
IV. Interim Result	274
B. Trade Secrets/Exemptions and Mandatory Environmental Information Disclosure	275
I. Trade Secret Under 42 USC § 11042	276
1. Polluter's Authority to Withhold Information	276
2. Trade Secret Factors and Regulations	277
3. Providing Information to the EPA and Availability to the Public	277
4. Information on Adverse Effects, to Sister States, and to Congress	278
II. Differences to FOIA as Regards Environmental Information Disclosure	279
1. EPCRA's Relationship to Freedom of Information Act of 1966	279
2. Scope of 'Environmental Information'	280
3. Disclosure Addressees: 'Federal Institutions'	281
4. Publication on Request	281
III. Sister States' Refusal of a Request: No Provision Under EPCRA	281
IV. No Sister States' Law Pre-Emption Under EPCRA: 42 USC § 11041 (a)	282
V. Trade Secrets and Other Intellectual Property: 40 Code of Federal Regulations § 350	282
1. Legal Contours of Trade Secrets	283

a) Link with the Sister States' Concept of Confidentiality	286
b) Differences Between Non-Technological and Technological Trade Secrets	286
c) Prerequisites for the Presence of the Matter of Fact	287
aa) Use of Secret in a Trade or Business	287
bb) Extent to Which the Secret is Known	288
cc) Intra-Enterprise Maintenance of Secrecy	289
dd) Effect on the Relative Competitive Position	290
ee) Modification of the Trade Secrecy Concept for Toxics Releases	292
d) Decision Competence of the EPA	292
e) Absolute and Relative Protection of Confidentiality	293
f) Interim Result	294
2. Ownership of Trade Secrets and Other Intellectual Property	294
a) The Fifth Amendment of the US Constitution: The Takings Clause	294
aa) Introduction	295
bb) Is There a 'Taking'?	296
(1) Possessory Taking: <i>Loretto</i>	296
(2) Total Regulatory Taking: <i>Lucas</i>	297
(3) Investment-Backed Expectations Taking: <i>Penn Central</i>	297
(4) Land-Use Restriction Standards: <i>Nollan and Dolan</i>	298
cc) Is There 'Property'?	299
dd) Is the 'Taking' for 'Public Use'?	300
ee) Is 'Just Compensation' Paid?	300
b) Are Trade Secrets Property or Not?	300
aa) The History of Proprietary Interests in Information	301
(1) The Property Right Bundle	301
(2) Intangibility and the Positive Externality Problem of Information	301
(3) Information as Property in the Context of Intellectual Property	302
bb) The Governmental 'Taking' of Environmental Data in <i>Ruckelshaus v Monsanto Co</i>	303
(1) The Facts of the Case	304
(2) Background of the Challenged Statute: The Three Phases of FIFRA	305
(3) The Supreme Court's Assessment of the Property Interest	306
c) Different Approaches Towards Trade Secrets: Selected Literary Reactions	309

aa) Samuelson 1989: Information as Property Mischaracterises the State of the Law	309
bb) Bone 1998: Trade Secrets Are Property; No Trade Secret Law is Needed	311
cc) Chiappetta 1999: Property Status of Trade Secrets Is Far from Settled	311
dd) Epstein 2004: Analogy to the Physical Taking Under the Takings Clause	312
ee) Risch 2007: An Alternate Categorisation into Collateral and Substantive Property	313
ff) Lemley 2008: Trade Secrets Are Intellectual Property	314
d) Protection of Patents and Copyrights	315
3. Positioning, Comment, and Interim Result	317
VI. Other Sister States' Grounds for Refusal to Disclose under EPCRA	319
1. Is There Whistle-Blower Protection Available Under EPCRA?	319
a) Introduction	319
b) Procedure for Complaint	320
c) Proof of Retaliation	321
d) Whistle-Blowers' Identity Protection	321
2. Other Grounds to Refuse Reporting of Environmental Information	322
3. Interim Result	322
VII. Pre-Emption of the Sister States' Refusal to Disclose Due to Environmental/Health Protection	323
1. Implausibility of Recognition of Federal Constitutional Protection of the Environment	323
2. Possibility of Protecting the Environment Through Fundamental Law	324
a) Introduction	325
b) International and Other National Constitutional Protection of the Environment	325
aa) Stockholm Declaration in 1972	325
bb) Rio Declaration in 1992	326
cc) Comparative Fundamental Law Approach for Protection of the Environment?	326
c) Sister States' Constitutional Protection of the Environment	328
aa) General Comments	328
bb) Pennsylvania's Experience with Constitutional Environmental Protection	328
(1) Limits: Government versus Private Party	328

(2) Potentials: Private Party versus Government ...	329
(3) Practical Concordance: Environmental Protection versus Takings Clause	330
3. US Policy on the Environment: 42 USC §§ 4321, 4331, 4332	331
a) Introduction	332
b) Maintaining Environmental Quality: 42 USC §§ 4321, 4331 (a)	333
aa) Preamble and Principle	333
bb) Positioning and Comment	334
c) Pursuit of Environmental Objectives: 42 USC § 4331 (b) and (c)	334
aa) Preserving, Protecting and Improving the Quality of the Environment	334
bb) Protecting Human Health	335
d) Duties to Take Account of: 42 USC § 4332 (1) and (2) (G), (A) and (B)	335
e) Legal Obligation and Integrating Aspects of 42 USC §§ 4331, 4332	336
4. Case Law Rejecting a Federal Constitutional Right to a Healthy Environment	339
a) <i>Environmental Defense Fund Inc v Corps of Engineers</i>	339
b) <i>Tanner v Armco Steel Corp</i>	340
c) <i>Stop H-3 Association v Dole</i>	341
5. Interpreting the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments as ‘Right to a Healthy Environment’?	342
a) The Doctrine of Substantive Due Process	343
aa) <i>Lochner v New York</i>	343
bb) <i>Roe v Wade</i> and <i>Cruzan v Missouri Department of Health</i>	344
cc) <i>Washington v Glucksberg</i>	345
b) Substantive Due Process in the Context of ‘Right to a Healthy Environment’	345
aa) First Part of the Test: ‘History, Legal Traditions, and Practices’ of the Nation	346
bb) Second Part of the Test: ‘Careful’ Description of the Federal Constitutional Right	346
6. Pre-Emption of Trade Secrets	348
a) Different Outcome in <i>Ruckelshaus</i> Due to Constitutional Environmental Protection?	349
b) Pre-Emption of Information on Discharges into Air and Water	351
c) Pre-Emption of Information Provided to Health Professionals	351

VIII. Interim Result	352
C. Role of the US Environmental Protection Agency and Enforcement Mechanisms	354
I. Environmental Protection Agency in a Nutshell	354
1. Establishment	355
2. Tasks and Organisation	356
3. Legal Capacity and Assignment of Sovereign Regulatory Competence	357
II. TRI Violations and Penalty Enforcement	358
1. Civil and Administrative Penalties for Violation of 42 USC § 11023	358
2. Review and Penalties with Respect to 42 USC § 11042 ...	359
a) Petition for Review of Trade Secret Claims	359
b) Civil, Administrative and Criminal Penalties for Trade Secret Violation	361
3. Procedural Aspects of Penalty Enforcement	362
4. EPA's Enforcement Policy and Trends	363
III. TRI Violations and Compliance Enforcement	364
1. Citizen Suits	365
a) Appraisal of <i>Locus Standi</i> in Citizen Suits for Environmental Matters	366
aa) Description of the Problem: <i>Lujan</i> and the Treatment of Citizen Suits	366
bb) Appraisal of the Supreme Court's Conclusions in <i>Lujan</i>	367
cc) Impact of <i>Lujan</i>	369
dd) Interim Result	370
b) Contextual Interpretation of Civil Actions Under 42 USC § 11046 (a) (1)	370
aa) TRI Violations Enabling Citizen Suits	370
bb) Wholly Past EPCRA Violations in Federal District Courts	371
cc) Federal Appellate Courts Contradictions on Wholly Past EPCRA Violations	372
dd) Supreme Court Judgment in <i>Steel</i> and Its Contextual Appraisal	375
ee) Positioning, Comment, and Interim Result	376
2. Compliance Enforcement for Health Professionals and State Suits	380
3. Procedural Aspects of Compliance Enforcement	380
IV. Interim Result	381
D. Excursus: Requested Access to Information About Other Pollutants and Ancillary Information	382
I. The First Amendment as 'Public's Right-to-Know'?	383
II. Freedom of Information Act of 1966	384

1. Influence of Administration and Judicial Interpretation ...	385
2. The Latest Amendments of 2007	386
III. Judgments of the US Federal Courts on the Rights of Access to Information	388
1. Contours of Access to Information of Federal Agencies ..	388
a) Scope of Agency Discretion to Disclose Information: <i>Chrysler Corp v Brown</i>	388
b) Judicial Control <i>in Camera</i> and the Vaughn Index: <i>Vaughn v Rosen</i>	390
2. Contours of Exemptions for Information Disclosure	391
a) National Security and Internal Government Deliberations: <i>EPA v Mink</i>	391
b) Law Enforcement Records: <i>Frankel v Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	393
c) Trade Secret and Confidentiality: <i>Public Citizen Health Research Group v FDA</i>	395
d) Personal Privacy: The Balancing Approach in <i>Department of the Air Force v Rose</i>	397
e) Well Data: <i>Black Hills Alliance v United States Forest Service</i>	399
f) Separable Portion of a Record: <i>EPA v Mink</i>	400
3. Remaining Deficits in Substantive and Procedural Law: Two Case Law Samples	400
a) Substantive Law: <i>New York Public Interest Research Group v EPA</i>	400
b) Procedural Law: <i>Natural Resources Defense Council v US Department of Defense</i>	402
4. Liability for Breach of Confidentiality: <i>Chrysler Corp v Brown</i>	403
IV. Interim Result	404
E. Conclusion of the Chapter	405
 § 5 Dissemination and Utilisation of Environmental Information	409
A. Systemic Reshape Towards Mandatory Environmental Information Strategies	409
I. Information Economics of Mandatory Environmental Information Strategies	410
1. Tackling Market Failure Due to Information Deficits: Enabling Intelligent Choices	411
2. Reducing Transaction Costs for Information Collection and Dissemination	413
II. Overcoming the Environmental Information Capacity Shortage	414

B. Drivers for Pollutant Release Reduction	415
I. Regulatory Monitoring and (Threat of) Legislative Adjustments	416
1. At Above-State Level	416
2. Floor at Federal/EU-Level Allows for Local Regulatory Adjustments	417
II. Voluntary Change in the Behaviour of the Public Exclusive of Its Capacity as Polluter	417
1. Community Actions as ‘Informal Regulation’	418
2. Economic Markets	419
a) Capital Markets	419
b) Labour Markets	420
c) Product Markets	421
d) Reputation Expenses and Brand Value	422
3. Judicial System	424
a) Tort Law Actions and Oversight Enforcement Actions against Competent Authorities	424
b) Direct and Indirect Citizen Enforcement Actions Against Polluter	424
III. Voluntary Change in the Behaviour of the Polluter Exclusive of Its Capacity as Public	426
IV. Amendments or Reinterpretations of Constitutional Principles	428
C. Limitations of Mandatory Environmental Information Strategies and Instrument Assessment	428
I. Two General Statements	429
1. The Narrow Scope and Potentially Misleading Volumes of E-PRTR and TRI	429
2. The Default of Unequal Results	430
II. Concrete Instrument Assessment of E-PRTR and TRI	431
1. Effectiveness	431
2. Legal Conformity	432
3. Enforcement Feasibility	433
4. Cost-Effectiveness	434
5. Political Enforceability	435
6. Innovation Potential	436
7. Further Environmental-Specific Strategic Requirements ..	437
D. Conclusion of the Chapter	438
 § 6 Summary	 441
A. Preliminaries	441
B. Development of Pollution Reduction Instruments	442
C. Pollutant Release Inventories: E-PRTR and TRI	443
I. Categorising Environmental Information Disclosure in the EU	444

II. Categorising Environmental Information Disclosure
in the US 445

III. Common Deficits of E-PRTR and TRI 446

IV. Role Model Solutions for the Opposite Programme 448

V. Requester-Driven Access to Environmental Information 448

D. Dissemination and Utilisation of Environmental Information 449

E. Outlook 449

Bibliography 451

Table of Cases 471

Legislation & Documents 477