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<td>AFM</td>
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<td>Current-In-Plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPP</td>
<td>Current-Perpendicular-to-Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMR</td>
<td>Ferromagnet Resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIXRD</td>
<td>Grazing Incident X-Ray Diffraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMR</td>
<td>Giant Magneto Resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRXRD</td>
<td>High Resolution X-Ray Diffraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBE</td>
<td>Molecular Beam Epitaxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOKE</td>
<td>Magneto-Optical Kerr Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRAM</td>
<td>Magnetoresistive Random Access Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRFM</td>
<td>Magnetic Resonance Force Microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PID</td>
<td>Proportional-Integral-Derivative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHEED</td>
<td>Reflection High Energy Electron Diffraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSM</td>
<td>Reciprocal Space Map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Scanning Electron Microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STO</td>
<td>Spin Torque Oscillator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STT</td>
<td>Spin Transfer Torque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQUID</td>
<td>Superconducting QUantum Interference Device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMR</td>
<td>Tunneling Magneto Resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHV</td>
<td>Ultrahigh Vacuum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRD</td>
<td>X-Ray Diffraction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Introduction

In recent years, many new technologies have become available for people all around the world. The amount of information increases every day. Faster computers and larger storage systems have been engineered since J. Bardeen, W.H. Brattain and W.B. Shockley developed the transistor in 1947 \cite{Bar48}. Their technology was and still is based on the charge of electrons. At the end of the 50s of the last century, another pioneering technology was established in electric engineering.

Jack Kilby demonstrated the first IC\footnote{Integrated Circuit} consisting of two bipolar transistors on a Ge substrate \cite{Kil76}. That was the starting point for processors and computers as we know them today. In 2000, he received the Nobel prize in physics for his work.

With the development of faster and more ICs per area, Gordon E. Moore predicted in the year 1965 in an article for the journal \textit{Electronics} that every year the amount of IC on a computer chip would be doubled \cite{Moo65}. 10 years later, he corrected his declaration, in present days known as \textit{Moore's Law}, concerning space of time up to two years (Fig.1.2). On the Intel development forum (IDF) in 2007, Moore proclaimed the termination of his law in the following 10-15 years. 6 months later Pat Gelsinger repealed Moores proposition and announced the termination of Moores law by the year 2029. The end is determined by the boundaries of physical laws. For that reason, a lot of scientists all around the globe tried to figure out new prospects for new technologies. One approach is the exploitation of the spin of the electrons in addition to their charge for transport and storage of information. Techniques exploiting the spin are summarized under the topic spintronic. The two states 0 and 1 are now not presented by charged or uncharged but spin-up and spin-down. But a spin injector with ideally 100% spin-polarized electrons is needed. Thus drove the initial interest in NiMnSb. It is a half-metallic ferromagnet with theoretical 100% spin-polarization at the Fermi edge combined with a high Curie temperature far above room temperature. These two features make NiMnSb a perfect spin injector for spintronic devices at room temperature. Starting in the 1950s till now NiMnSb is under heavy investigation not only for spin injection experiments but also because of its low magnetic damping.

The topic of this work is the epitaxial growth and characterization of NiMnSb layers for
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Figure 1.2: Moores law and transistor counts between the years 1965 and 2010. From \[\text{Nex11}\].

applications in novel spintronic devices.

Chapter 2 starts with general description of the structural and physical properties of NiMnSb.

Chapter 3 explains two spintronic effects, namely the \textit{Giant Magneto Resistance} (GMR) and the \textit{Spin Torque Oscillator} (STO).

The following chapter 4 describes the used experimental setups and their physical principles. It includes growth and characterization methods.

The growth of NiMnSb on (001) oriented substrates is the main subject of chapter 5. Here the growth process of NiMnSb is shown as well as additional preparations before the actual growth process of the half-Heulser alloy. It contains the processing of the InP substrate, the growth process of the (In,Ga)As buffer layer and all important parameters for the successful growth of NiMnSb(001).

In chapter 6 the growth process of NiMnSb on (111) oriented substrates is discussed. The difference between the two possible substrate orientations and the resulting growth process is illustrated. In this subsection, the growth of undoped and n-doped (In,Ga)As buffer layers and of NiMnSb is explained.

Chapter 7 gives an overview of the structural and magnetic properties of the grown layers. Used techniques are e.g. \textit{X-Ray Diffraction} (XRD) and \textit{Ferromagnet Resonance}(FMR).
1. Introduction

In chapter 8 the possible 80K anomaly in NiMnSb is investigated. Measurements and results of our layers are presented. Chapter 9 shows the results from NiMnSb based applications done by different groups with layers grown in this work. The produced devices are:

- a Giant Magneto Resistance device
- a Spin Torque Oscillator
- a Frequency Controlled Magnetic Vortex Memory

A look at future work and development is taken in the following section. The conclusion is a summary of the complete work with all main results. Generally all chemical elements and compounds used in this work are named with their short cut as used in the periodic table. Crystal directions are written with [ ] and crystal planes with ( ). Negative directions are marked with an overbar e.g. \([\bar{1}11]\) is the opposite direction to [111].
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2.1. Preface and crystal structure

On June 18th 1901, Dr. Fritz Heusler announced in the archive of the German Physical Society that he discovered some special behaviour with Mn and its alloys \[\text{Heu03}\]. The alloys showed magnetic behaviour even though, at this time, the single elements where known to be non-magnetic and Heusler could not explain this at that time. Only with the scientific development of quantum mechanics in the 1920s it was possible to understand this behaviour. This class of materials is now known as ”Heusler alloys”. Depending on their crystal structure they can be divided into two classes: The full-Heusler alloys which crystallize in the \(L_{21}\) structure and the half-Heusler alloys with the \(C_{1b}\) structure (Fig. 2.1). The space group of these crystal structures is \(F\bar{4}3m\). Both crystals consist of four interpenetrating fcc\(^4\) lattices shifted by a fourth at the body diagonal. The difference between the two Heusler alloy crystal structures is the unoccupied position \((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\) in the \(C_{1b}\) structure. As can be seen from Fig. 2.1 both structures are similar to the zinc blende structure from widely used semiconductors, e.g. InP (Fig. 2.2). NiMnSb crystallizes in the \(C_{1b}\) structure with a lattice constant \(a_{\text{NiMnSb}} = 5.903\text{Å}\) [Cas55].

The stacking sequence for NiMnSb consists of trilayers of Mn-Ni-Sb-□-Mn-Ni-Sb-□ along the [111] direction. InP consists of bilayers with a stacking sequence In-P-□-□-In-P-□-□ along the same direction.

\(^{1}\) face-centered cubic
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![Zincblende crystal structure from the III-V semiconductor InP.](image)

## 2.2. Physical properties

When comparing the two crystal structures of the Heusler alloys, especially the point symmetry of the Mn sites, we can see that the inversion symmetry is broken. It changes from $O_h^2$ in the $L_2_1$ type to $T_d^3$ in the $C1_b$ type (Fig. 2.3). That circumstance has important consequences for the band structure of NiMnSb. In Fig. 2.4 the band structure is presented calculated with the augmented-spherical-wave method of Williams, Kübler and Gelatt (WKB) done by de Groot et al. [Gro83]. For the majority-spin direction the band structure is similar to a metal with no band gap. On the other hand the band structure for the minority-spin direction behaves like a semiconductor with a band gap of 0.5 eV. The special feature from NiMnSb is that both band structures are in the same material at the same time!

As a consequence, NiMnSb shows 100% spin-polarization at the Fermi edge which makes it a perfect spin injector.

In half-metallic ferromagnets, three elements play an important role:

- the crystal structure

---

\[^2\text{octahedron horizontal}\]
\[^3\text{tetrahedron diagonal}\]
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Figure 2.4.: Band structure of NiMnSb for: a) the majority-spin direction and (b) the minority-spin direction (b). A band at low energies ($< 0.2$ Ry) of mainly Sb-s character has been omitted. From [Gro83].

- the valence-electron count and covalent bonding
- the large exchange splitting of the Mn 3d-electron band states

The consequence of the broken symmetry from $O_h$ to $T_d$ is not only breaking the time-reversal symmetry, but also the conjugation symmetry which causes that the distinction between the $t_{2g}$ $d$ and $p$ character of the electrons is lost and the bindings, e.g. between the Sb $p$ electrons and the Mn $t_{2g}$ electrons, are now different compared to the full-Heusler alloys. As a result, NiMnSb has the band structure shown in Fig. 2.4. Castelliz [Cas55] and later Kabani, Roshko and Moodera [Kab90] determined the magnetization per unit cell as 4.0 $\mu_B$ for NiMnSb. Castelliz also investigated the Curie temperature. His result was a surprising value for $T_C$ of $\approx 730$K, which is well above room temperature.
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2.3. 80K anomaly

In 1988 M.J. Otto et al. also investigated the structure and magnetic properties of NiMnSb and related inter-metallic compounds [Ott01]. Additionally they investigated the transport properties of NiMnSb [Ott02]. In their measurements they presented the electrical resistivity and the Hall effect of NiMnSb and discussed the results in terms of a simple phenomenological theory. The observed resistivity $\rho$ as a function of the temperature shows the behaviour characteristic for magnetic metals [Fig.2.5]. The resistivity of ferromagnetic metals at low temperature usually has a term proportional to $T^2$, ascribed to one-magnon scattering of conduction electrons (spin-flip scattering). In their resistivity data for NiMnSb Otto et al. have seen little or no evidence for such a $T^2$ contribution and concluded that in half-metallic ferromagnet at low temperature all states at the Fermi level are spin-polarised and spin-flip scattering is not possible and thus for half-metallic ferromagnet one expects the absence of a $T^2$ term in the resistivity.

The ordinary Hall effect in semiconductors and metals is caused by the Lorentz force on the charge carriers and is proportional to the applied magnetic field. In a ferromagnet there is an additional contribution in the Hall effect, the so-called anomalous Hall effect (AHE). The AHE is proportional to the magnetisation (Hurd 1972). For NiMnSb we can write the total Hall resistivity as:

$$\rho_{xy} = \frac{E_y}{j_x} = R_0 B_z + R_s \mu_0 M_z \quad (2.1)$$

with $j_x$ being the longitudinal current and $E_y$ being the transverse electric field appearing for $j_x$. $R_0$ is the ordinary Hall coefficient, $R_s$ is the anomalous Hall coefficient, $\mu_0$ is the magnetic field constant and $M_z$ the spontaneous magnetization. In Fig.2.6 and Fig.2.7 the ordinary Hall coefficient and the anomalous Hall coefficient is shown. The observation of a positive ordinary Hall effect in NiMnSb is in agreement with band-structure calculations and show that electrical conduction is due to holes in a partly occupied Sb 5p band.

Otto et al. explained the behaviour of the anomalous Hall coefficient with two main

\[ ^4\mu_0 = 1.2566 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3 \text{ A}^{-1} \text{ s} \] from [Kit01]
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contributions. They found that side-jump contribution dominates over the contribution of skew scattering. With the assumption of a proportionality between the spin-polarization of the charge carriers and the total magnetisation, they were able to separate the two contributions. As a result of their assumption and phenomenological theory they concluded that this proportionality is an important fact like the electronic structure of NiMnSb changes with increasing temperature.

J.S. Moodera and D.M. Mootoo also did transport studies on NiMnSb [Moo94]. For NiMnSb the resistivity decreased with temperature, as Otto et al. already have seen in their measurement data. But in a more detailed way Moodera et al. saw a more rapid decrease of $\rho$ below about 100K (Fig. 2.8) and a linear dependence of $\rho$ vs $T$. As mentioned before, if there are no spin-down states at $E_F$, then spin-flip scattering for spin-up charge carriers due to magnons is forbidden. From that assumption follows an absence of $T^2$ dependence of $\rho$ and an increase in the mobility of the charge carriers. Due to the high Curie temperature from NiMnSb (730K), no one expects many magnons at low temperature, meaning that the absence of $T^2$ dependence of $\rho$ does not necessarily prove the absence of spin-down states at $E_F$. The ordinary Hall coefficient rises in the temperature range from 0K-80K. This behaviour points to significant changes in the carrier concentration and mobility. The mobility has to increase considerably to explain the visible drop in the measured resistivity in this temperature range. They concluded that their interpretation appeared to be consistent with a gap for minority spin carriers at $E_F$.

Another group focused again on the resistivity and the magnetization of NiMnSb [Hor96]. They observed a crossover in the temperature dependence of magnetization and resistivity near 70K. The magnetization varies as $T^{3/2}$ under and as $T^2$ over 70K [Fig. 2.9]. This means that they have two different magnets, a Heisenberg ferromagnet...
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Figure 2.8.: Temperature dependence of the resistivity for NiMnSb and PtMnSb compound thin films. For NiMnSb a rapid drop in resistivity below 100K is visible. Measurements from [Moo94].

where the classical spin wave law is valid \((T^3)\) and an itinerant magnet above 70K \((T^2)\).

In resistivity, the behaviour follows \(T^2\) under 50K and increases rapidly around 70K and flattens above. A suggestion is that some type of excitations become possible near that temperature. Above 100K the resistivity follows the power law with \(n \approx 1.35\). The increase of resistivity and change in magnetization and maybe also the increase in the Hall coefficient could be explained by the opening of a new scattering channel near 80K. This opening is responsible for the rapid decrease of the expected spin-down population below 80K. No spin-flip scattering will occur for spin-up electrons, which should increase their mean free path and mobility and also the amplitude of the ordinary Hall coefficient.

C. Hordequin et al. [Hor00] re-examined NiMnSb in a more detailed way. Their results are shown in Fig.2.10. Near 80K a change in the resistivity behaviour was observed. Contrary to Moodera and Mootoo (Fig.2.8), Hordequin et al. see a nearly quadratic behaviour of \(\rho\) below 50K. Below 50K \(\rho\) follows:

\[
\rho = \rho_0 + BT^\alpha \text{ for } 1.7 < \alpha < 2.2
\]

(2.2)

and above:

\[
\rho = A_2 + B_2T^{\alpha_2} \text{ for } 1.3 < \alpha_2 < 1.5
\]

(2.3)

The development of the ordinary Hall coefficient \(R_H (= R_0)\) varies precisely as in the measurements done by Otto et al.. The positive sign of \(R_H\) indicates a dominant hole character. The anomalous Hall term increases in the temperature range between 80K-300K, also in line with the observations of Otto et al.. But they recognized an additional
upturn in the range between 0K-80K. Hordequin et al. say that the behaviour in $R_H$ and $\mu_0R_HM_S$ between 80K and 0K should be attributed to a change in the electronic structure and/or to an increase in the mobility of carriers. With all their observations and measurements, they concluded that at 80K a cross-over from a half-metal to a normal ferromagnet in NiMnSb occurs. The proof or disproof of this statement is the topic of chapter 8, describing the process of the necessary sample structure and the cryostat measurements with our NiMnSb sample.
3. Spintronic effects

3.1. Giant Magneto Resistance (GMR)

The GMR effect was discovered in 1986 by Peter Grünberg\textsuperscript{1}\cite{Gru86} and simultaneously by Albert Fert\textsuperscript{2}\cite{Fer88}. In their experiments they investigated multilayers of ferromagnet/non-magnet (Fe/Cr). It has been shown that at Cr layer thickness of 1nm the magnetization of the Fe layers are aligned antiparallel.

When applying a magnetic field to such a stack, it is possible to change the direction of the magnetic layers parallel or antiparallel. This behaviour can be influenced by different thicknesses of the non-magnetic layers. When measuring the electrical resistance of such a Fr/Cr superlattice stack while sweeping an external magnetic field, the graph in Fig.3.1 is detected\cite{Fer88}. In the parallel case the resistance is low and high for the antiparallel case of the magnetic layers. We can discern three geometries of the GMR effect, namely the configurations current in plane (CIP), current perpendicular to plane (CPP) and the so-called spin valve. The orientation of the layers and the direction of the applied current is diagrammed in Fig.3.3. Fig.3.1 is a typical measurement of GMR in cpp configuration. An important parameter for the efficiency of a GMR device is spin-polarization. The spin-polarization $P_N$ is defined as:

$$P_N = \frac{D \uparrow (E_F) - D \downarrow (E_F)}{D \uparrow (E_F) - D \downarrow (E_F)} \quad (3.1)$$

with $D \uparrow$ density of states of the spin up electrons at the Fermi level and $D \downarrow$ density of states of the spin-down electrons at the Fermi level. The reason for a GMR effect is the spin-dependent scattering at the ferromagnet/non-magnet interface. As a result, we have different resistance values for both spin directions. The magnitude of the GMR effect is defined as:

$$GMR = \frac{R_{AP} - R_P}{R_P} \quad (3.2)$$

with $R_{AP}$ being the resistance of the stack with the magnetizations oriented antiparallel and $R_P$ being the resistance for the parallel orientation of the magnetizations.

\textsuperscript{1} 18. May 1939, Pilsen, Nobel prize 2007
\textsuperscript{2} March 7th 1939, Carcarsonne, Nobel prize 2007
the properties of NiMnSb described in chapter 2, the half-Heusler alloy NiMnSb should be a good choice for a GMR device due to its theoretical 100% spin-polarization and its high Curie temperature. When using Magneto-Optical Kerr-Effect (MOKE) as the measuring technique, data like in Fig. 3.2 is measured (from [Zin90]). The measured stack consists of Co/Au/Co layers with different coercive fields in the two Co films. The different coercive fields are achieved by different thicknesses of the Co films. The measurements occur at 10K and at room temperature. Starting with no external magnetic field up to 300mT, the first magnetic layer switches (symbolized by red arrow) and the resistance is high due to the antiparallel configuration of the two magnetic layers. At a certain point the magnetic force is too strong and the second magnetic layer also switches (symbolized by blue arrow). Sweeping backwards from 300mT to 0mT to -300mT, the resistance remains small till a small negative magnetic field and the first magnetic layer (red arrow) switches again and the antiparallel configuration is restored, resulting in a high resistance. At higher negative fields the second layer also switches again and the parallel case of the magnetizations is present again. With the behaviour of magnetic/non-magnetic layer stacks in an external field it is possible to use the GMR effect for read heads of computer hard drives or as a memory device. The different resistance states can be used as a binary system of 0 (low resistance) and 1 (high resistance). In chapter 9.1 we present the results on our built GMR device. One disadvantage of GMR devices is the necessary external field. A new approach for novel spintronic devices uses the Spin Transfer Torque (STT) mechanism [Ral02]. An useful device is the STO. The STO functionality and the necessary physical principles are the topic of the following section.

![Figure 3.2: Resistance of a Co/Au/Co stack measured at 10K and at 294K. From [Bar48].](image-url)

![Figure 3.3: Different current directions (red arrow) through a magnet/non-magnet/magnet stack. a) cip, b) cpp and c) spin-valve.](image-url)
3. Spin Torque Oscillator (STO)

A spin-polarized current bears a torque which can interact with the magnetization of a magnetic layer and generates a precession of the magnetization [Slo96], [Ber96]. As a consequence the resistance of such a device oscillates. This can be detected either through GMR or Tunneling Magneto Resistance (TMR) effect. The so-called spin transfer torque can activate a GHz oscillation in the magnetization direction of the magnetic film. A device using that function is called a STO. To understand this behaviour we use a spin-polarized current injected into a magnetic material. As already explained in connection with the GMR effect, the electrical resistance depends on the direction of the magnetization of the magnetic films. If the direction of both is antiparallel, the spin-polarized current bears a torque on the magnetic layer. Let us assume that the spin-polarization points right and the magnetization of the magnetic material points up. Then at the interface between the non-magnetic layer NM (spin injector) and the magnetic material FM, the magnetization is rotated a little bit clockwise till at a certain point the magnetization points back up (Fig.3.4). This absorption of the current causes a torque on the magnetic film and tries to rotate it clockwise. If the current is highly spin-polarized and strong enough, it can switches the magnetization direction completely and then we can use such a device as a magnetic memory with two states represented through the two magnetization directions, known in a binary system as 0 and 1. The torque on the magnetization caused by the current can be described as:

\[
\Gamma_{ST} = -\gamma a M \times (M_P \times M) - \gamma b (M \times M_P) \quad (3.3)
\]

The first term is the spin torque term and the second one the effective field term. The spin torque term can behave like a damping or anti-damping term. This depends on the current direction. This means that a spin torque can generate e.g. a steadily magnetic precession. This is possible because the magnetization steadily pumps energy into the polarized current to compensate the energy loss caused by Gilbert damping [Gil55], [Tse02]. The resulting frequency in this situation is in the GHz range and depends on the applied current density and degree of polarization. In our device the spin torque

![Figure 3.4: Behaviour of a spin-polarized current on a magnetic film. This functional principle is called the spin transfer torque. Graphic in imitation of [Tun05].](image-url)
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drives a continuing precession of the magnetization resulting in GHz frequencies. This device now is called the STO. The advantage of a STO compared to a conventional LC circuit is the size of only a few hundred \( nm^2 \), the higher Q-factor and the tunability. When building a STO it is desirable to use a material with a low magnetic damping where the magnetization can be engineered with less energy. To show that NiMnSb is a good candidate for a STO device, FMR measurements are shown in chapter 7.2.1. The measurements and results of our STO are the topic of chapter 9.2.
4. Experimental setups and their physical principles

With the technique of Molecular Beam Epitaxy (MBE) you have a tool for a controlled transfer of atoms from effusion sources to a substrate. This means that it is possible to grow layers in atomic dimensions and thus to produce smooth surfaces. An important advantage of MBE against other vacuum deposition techniques is the very precise control of the beam fluxes and growth conditions. This assumes that an ultrahigh vacuum is present in a MBE system and this gives MBE another additional unique advantage over other thin film deposition techniques. This advantage is the possibility to use the in-situ surface sensitive control method Reflection High Energy Electron Diffraction (RHEED) (see section 4.3.3).

The principle of MBE and different analysis techniques for epitaxial thin films are the topic of the following chapter.

4.1. Molecular beam epitaxy

In this work the technique of MBE is used for the preparation of the investigated layers. This chapter gives an overview of the technique and the physical principle behind epitaxial growth. First of all the growth process in MBE occurs under ultrahigh vacuum conditions. In general, if pressures are under a value of \( p \leq 1.33 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{Torr} \), then the vacuum is called ultrahigh vacuum (UHV). To reach such small pressures a system of different pumps and pumping mechanism is needed.

A MBE system consists of different essential elements. Fig. 4.2 gives an overview of such a system. It is divided into three zones, namely:

- I) molecular beam generation zone
- II) vapor elements mixing zone
- III) substrate crystallization zone

In zone I the molecular beams are generated in Knudsen effusion cells under UHV condition. This means that we are allowed to treat such an effusion cell as a point source because the diameter of the orifice of a Knudsen cell is small compared to the distance between effusion cell and substrate. In Fig. 4.1 on the left side a sketch of a Knudsen cell is shown. The crucible with the element is wrapped by a heat foil and a foil tube. To control the temperature of the effusion cell a thermocouple is connected
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to it. Additional water cooling is installed for safety reasons. On the right side our
used Mn-effusion cell from the NiMnSb chamber is shown. The effusion cells are only
controlled by thermocouples and proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controllers. The
generated beams can be turned on or off by opening or closing the effusion cell shutters
(see Fig. 4.2). The beam from one effusion cell starts to mix with all beams from the
other effusion cells at a certain distance from the substrate. The exact point depends
both on geometry parameters of the growth chamber and the amount of effusion cells
with open shutters at the same time. This place is zone II before the growth process
occurs in zone III. In the growth process a series of important surface processes are
involved:

- adsorption of the constituent atoms or molecules impinging on the substrate surface
- surface migration and dissociation of the absorbed molecules
- incorporation of the constituent atoms into the crystal lattice of the substrate or
  in the already grown epilayer
- thermal desorption of the species not incorporated into the crystal lattice

In Fig. 4.3 the different surface processes are illustrated. These processes depend on the
properties of the crystal where the impinging molecules or atoms interact. The adsorp-
tion process is divided into physical adsorption and chemisorption. The two adsorption
mechanisms vary in the way they bind. In physical adsorption there is no electron trans-
fer and the forces are van der Waals type. Chemisorption on the other hand uses an
electron transfer e.g. chemical reaction and the forces are then of the type occurring in
the appropriate chemical bond. Chemisorption has higher adsorption energies than physical adsorption.
In general, the growth process is an accumulation of many no-equilibrium process. The theoretical description is difficult and exceeds the complexity of this work. A detailed description of all process can be found in [Her89]. We just want to retain two main parameters of crystal growth, the substrate temperature and the single temperature of the effusion cells.

Three crystal growth modes are accepted in MBE (Fig. 4.4). In the Volmer-Weber or island mode Fig. 4.4 a) small islands are generated at the surface caused by the directly nucleated clusters at the surfaces. This is the result if the bonding between the incoming atoms or molecules is stronger than to the substrate. The clusters then act as centres for the impinging atoms and an island is generated.

The Frank-van der Merve or layer-by-layer mode (Fig. 4.4 b)) shows flat surfaces. The crystal grows monolayer by monolayer because the atoms are bonded more to the substrate/surface than to each other.

Also there is a mixing of both already mentioned growth modes. It is called the Stranski-Krastanov or layer plus island mode Fig. 4.4 c). First layer-by-layer is formed, but after a few monolayers this growth mode is unfavourable and islands are formed on the last layer. This growth mode can happen if the monotonic decrease in binding energy characteristics by the Frank-van der Merve mode is disturbed.

To meet our demands for the layers, the Frank-van der Merve mode is the preferred growth mode for our heterostructures.

Due to the different materials needed for our samples, we have access to a cluster of different MBE chambers. An overview is given in Fig. 4.5. With this setup it is possible to grow a buffer layer in chamber GaAs I and then transfer the sample without breaking the UHV into the Heusler chamber. In addition, the different layers don’t have to necessarily be from the same group of the periodic table. That means that we are able to grow a II-IV semiconductor on a III-V semiconductor and vice versa. In this work the
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Figure 4.3.: Surface processes occurring during film growth. From [Her89].

![Diagram of surface processes](image.png)

Figure 4.4.: Illustration of main growth processes a) Frank-van der Merve, b) Volmer-Weber, c) Stranski-Krastanov.

![Illustration of growth processes](image.png)

chamber GaAs I chamber and Heusler chamber are used plus the in-situ metallisation. In Fig.4.6 both MBE chambers’ geometries are illustrated. The main difference between the chambers is the configuration of the effusion cells. In chamber Fig.4.6 a) the fluxes hit the substrate horizontally. Different in Fig.4.6 b), where the fluxes hit the substrate vertically. The GaAs I chamber is build like Fig.4.6 a) with 8 effusion cells respectively 4 cells on a circle around the substrate. Fig.4.6 b) is the setup configuration of the NiMnSb chamber where all cells are in one circle. That concludes that the fluxes hit the substrate at different angles in both chambers which changes the size of the vapor elements mixing zone (see Fig.4.2 II). Another point is the pump system used in every chamber. The NiMnSb chamber is only pumped by a cryo pump and a liquid $H_2O$ shroud. In the GaAs I chamber a cryo pump, ion getter pump and a liquid $N_2$ shroud.
4. Experimental setups and their physical principles

Figure 4.5.: MBE Cluster at the chair of physics Experimentelle Physik 3 at university of Würzburg.

Figure 4.6.: Plane view of a) Riber 2300 chamber and b) 2” UHV chamber from mbe-komponenten GmbH.

is used for pumping to reach UHV conditions.
As mentioned earlier a lot of different material systems can be grown and combined in such a cluster system. Typically all wafers brought into the UHV systems will be degased in one of the two heating stations and afterwards transferred to the predetermined chamber.
The detailed description of the growth processes for the buffer layer and the half-metallic ferromagnet layer are explained in chapter 5 and chapter 6. Next the principle of different techniques for characterizing thin films will be discussed.
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4.2. Reciprocal space

For many problems in solid state physics the real crystal lattice is not the best choice when trying to find a solution. A better choice is the so-called reciprocal lattice. The conversion from real space with the basis vectors $\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \vec{b}_1$ to reciprocal space with the basis vectors $\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \vec{b}_3$ is defined as:

$$\vec{b}_1 = \frac{2\pi}{V} (\vec{a}_2 \times \vec{a}_3) \quad \vec{b}_2 = \frac{2\pi}{V} (\vec{a}_3 \times \vec{a}_1) \quad \vec{b}_3 = \frac{2\pi}{V} (\vec{a}_1 \times \vec{a}_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

Every reciprocal basis vector is perpendicular on two basic vectors from real space. This means that

$$\vec{b}_i \cdot \vec{a}_j = 2\pi \delta_{ij} \quad \text{with} \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

$\delta_{ij}=1$ if $i=j$ and $\delta_{ij}=0$ if $i \neq j$. Every point in the reciprocal space can be described through vectors:

$$\vec{G} = v_1 \vec{b}_1 + v_2 \vec{b}_2 + v_3 \vec{b}_3 \quad \text{with} \quad v_1, v_2, v_3 \quad \text{are integers}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)

Such a vector $\vec{G}$ is a reciprocal lattice vector. The electron density $n(\vec{r})$ in three dimensions is given by

$$n(\vec{r}) = \sum \bar{n}_G e^{(i\vec{G} \cdot \vec{r})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

The derivation from Eq.(4.4) can be found in many solid state physics books e.g. [Kit01]. The vectors $\vec{G}$ in this Fourier row are accurately the reciprocal lattice vectors from Eq.(4.3). With them the Fourier development of the electron density fulfill the invariance to the crystal translation $\vec{T} = u_1 a_1 + u_2 a_2 + u_3 a_3$. With this assumptions Eq.(4.4) becomes

$$n(\vec{r} + \vec{T}) = \sum \bar{n}_G e^{(i\vec{G} \cdot \vec{r})} e^{(i\vec{G} \cdot \vec{T})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

In this equation $e^{(i\vec{G} \cdot \vec{T})} = 1$ because

$$e^{(i\vec{G} \cdot \vec{T})} = e^{[i(v_1 \vec{b}_1 + v_2 \vec{b}_2 + v_3 \vec{b}_3) \cdot (u_1 a_1 + u_2 a_2 + u_3 a_3)]} = e^{[i2\pi (v_1 u_1 + v_2 u_2 + v_3 u_3)]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

These results shows us that Eq.(4.4) is only valid for vectors $\vec{G}$ (Eq.(4.3)).

4.3. Structural analysis

All grown samples were investigated by different analysis methods to determine the quality of the layers. The following section concentrates on two techniques to check the quality: in-situ with RHEED and ex-situ with High Resolution X-Ray Diffraction (HRXRD).
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4.3.1. High resolution x-ray diffraction

As mentioned in the section before, RHEED is an in-situ technique to observe crystal growth and the quality of the grown layers, but only with RHEED you don’t have access to important parameters like the lattice constant, lattice-match or mismatch to the substrate or the interface quality of the layers. The rays discovered by Conrad Wilhelm Röntgen and the technique of HRXRD are a very good tool for determining these parameters. Fig. 4.8 represents the basic situation if a monochromatic x-ray beam hits a perfect crystal structure.

The incident angle $\Theta$ is the so-called Bragg angle $\Theta_B$. The distance between two parallel planes of the crystal is marked as $d$. $d$ is smaller than the lattice constant $a$. That is obvious when looking at the shown InP crystal. Keep in mind that a plane does not reflex the whole incident beam. If that would be the case only the first plane could be examined. Just $10^{-8}$ of the intensity is reflected. To receive constructive interference the path lengths of two or many beams are only allowed to differ by either zero or a whole number of wavelength $\lambda$. In most cases the Cu $K_{\alpha 1}$ wavelength is used. The value is 1.54092Å. The difference in path lengths between ray 1 and 2 is the red line in Fig. 4.8. It can be calculated with basic geometry inside the rectangular triangle by

$$\sin \Theta = \frac{\delta}{d} \Rightarrow \delta = d \cdot \sin \Theta \quad (4.7)$$

This resulting value has to be doubled to get the complete path length difference. To get constructive interference, the difference has to be either zero or a whole of $\lambda$. This

---

* March 27, 1845 †February 10, 1923. W.C. Röntgen discovered on November 8, 1895 the x-rays at the university of Würzburg
leads to

$$2d \cdot \sin \Theta = n \cdot \lambda \quad (4.8)$$

with

$$d = \frac{a}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}} \quad (4.9)$$

where \(n\) is an integer and \(h, k, l\) are the Miller indices. Eq. (4.8) is known as Bragg’s law.

This derivation satisfies the x-ray diffraction under ideal conditions, namely a perfect and infinite crystal and a perfect parallel monochromatic x-ray beam. If measuring a sample consisting of different material systems with different lattice constants we would expect to receive three sharp lines when plotting intensity over angle. One for every material system. Under non-ideal conditions these assumptions are not true any more, especially the grown crystal is not perfect and finite. This leads to a broadening of the expected peaks. If we assume that the path difference between the scattered rays of the first two planes differs slightly from an integer number of used wavelength \(\lambda\), then the plane for destructive interference of the scattered ray from the first plane lies deep in the crystal. In a finite, thin layer this plane might not exist and accordingly a complete cancellation of all scattered rays will not result. To explain this behaviour we modify the graphic from Fig. 4.8. We consider that the rays A and B in Fig. 4.9 deviate by a small angle \(\varepsilon\) from the Bragg angle \(\Theta_B\). We see that ray A has an incident angle \((\Theta_B + \varepsilon)\) with the result that ray \(C'\) from the \(m\)th plane, below the scattering plane from ray A, is \((m+1)\) wavelength out of phase with A’. Accordingly a plane in the middle between the plane from ray A and the plane from ray D scatters with a phase shift of \((m+\frac{1}{2})\) wavelengths. This means that all rays scattered by the first half of the crystal planes annull those scattered by the second half of the crystal planes and the intensity of the diffracted beam at \((2\Theta_B + \varepsilon)\) is zero. The same applies to the rays B’ and D’ with the angle \((\Theta_B - \varepsilon)\). But between the angle range \((\Theta_B + \varepsilon)\) to \((\Theta_B - \varepsilon)\), the diffracted beam intensity is unequal to zero with a maximum at \(2\Theta_B\). The measured intensity distribution is shown in Fig. 4.10. It can be described with a relation between line width
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and crystal size and is known as the Scherrer formula \([\text{Pal69]}\):

\[
\beta_{1/2} = \frac{0.9\lambda}{D \cdot \cos \Theta_B}
\]  

(4.10)

The next important point is the possibility to have access to the material composition of grown layers. For ternary semiconductors, in our case \((\text{In, Ga})\text{As}\), the material composition can be calculated from the relaxed binary alloys lattice constants \(a_1, a_2\) with Vergard’s law \([\text{Veg21]}\):

\[
x = \frac{a_x - a_1}{a_2 - a_1}
\]  

(4.11)

This is helpful for our wanted, on the substrate InP, lattice matched \((\text{In, Ga})\text{As}\) layer.

Another feature of an one dimensional scan with a symmetrical reflex is the determination of the layer thickness. Good quality layers show thickness fringes beside the
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main peak of a layer. These thickness fringes are not only usable for calculation of the
thickness, they also give a hint of the quality of the grown layer. In good approximation
we can calculate the thickness out of the distance between the thickness fringes as:

\[ S = \frac{\lambda \cdot \sin\epsilon}{t \cdot \sin2\Theta} \]  

(4.12)

with S being the spacing between fringes, t the thickness, \( \lambda \) the wavelength, \( \epsilon \) the angle
between sample surface and the diffracted beam. Another method is the measurement
of a reciprocal space map (RSM). To understand this scan method the principle of the
reciprocal space and the Ewald construction will help us.

Starting with Fig. 4.7, we see a two-dimensional diffraction plane of the scattering process
with the wave vectors of the incident beam \( \vec{k}_i \) and the diffracted beam \( \vec{k}_d \). The incident
angle \( \omega_i \) is equal to the diffraction angle \( \omega_d \), meaning that we have elastic scattering and
hence both wave vectors have the same length \( \frac{1}{\lambda} \). The scattering vector (yellow arrow)
is defined as the difference between \( k_i \) and \( k_d \). By knowing the wavelength \( \lambda \), we can
deduct that all possible scattering vectors are on a circle around the origin of \( \vec{k}_i \). These
are the Ewald circles. In three dimensions the circles becoming spheres. We also have
to take into account the size of the sample. Taking a look at the orange semi-circles, we
see that they meet in the middle of the sample and that the diameter of one semi-circle
is the radius \( \vec{l} \) of the green semi-circle. That means we can write \( \vec{l} \) as

\[ \vec{l} = \vec{k}_i + \vec{k}_d = 2 \cdot \vec{k}_i \]

(4.13)

Furthermore \( 2 \cdot \vec{l} \) gives us the maximum possible range because inside that semi-circle the
maximum momentum change at backscattering is reached. This is clear when we take a
look at the angle 2\( \Theta \). It becomes 180° when maximum momentum change is measured.
In our XRD measurements we are using the so-called Bragg geometry meaning that the
angle of incident \( \omega_i \) and diffraction \( \omega_d \) is greater than zero. With that geometry the
areas inside the orange Ewald circles are not reachable.

For the Ewald construction of diffraction explained above, the reciprocal space of a crystal
is needed. The conversion from real space with the basis vectors \( \vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \vec{a}_3 \) to
reciprocal space with the basis vectors \( \vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \vec{b}_3 \) follows section 4.2 as:

\[ \vec{b}_1 = \frac{2\pi}{V} (\vec{a}_2 \times \vec{a}_3) \quad \vec{b}_2 = \frac{2\pi}{V} (\vec{a}_3 \times \vec{a}_1) \quad \vec{b}_3 = \frac{2\pi}{V} (\vec{a}_1 \times \vec{a}_2) \]  

(4.14)

Max von Laue proclaimed for his theory that the scattering vector is a linear combi-
nation of integer of the reciprocal basis vectors \( \vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \vec{b}_3 \). The derivation of the Laue
condition can be found e.g. here [Kit01]. The Laue condition declares that constructive
interference only occurs if the change of the wave vector \( \Delta \vec{k} \) (= scattering vector) is a
reciprocal lattice vector:

\[ \vec{k}_i - \vec{k}_d = \vec{G} = \Delta \vec{k} \]  

(4.15)

\[ \text{lattice is present in 2 dimension and becomes a crystal in 3 dimensions} \]

\[ \text{* October 9, 1879 †April 24, 1960} \]
4.3.2. Reciprocal space maps

When measuring a heterostructure using a symmetrical reflex e.g. (002) or (004), with the measured data you can only obtain a good value for the vertical lattice constant $a_{\perp}$. To get information about how different layers are grown on a substrate, for example if you want to know if the layers are grown relaxed or strained or the lateral lattice constant, a reciprocal space map has to be measured. Here we give an explanation what a reciprocal space map is and how we can measure it.

For an easier understanding we use a simple cubic lattice with the lattice constant $a_S$. The reciprocal lattice is also a cubic lattice with the lattice constant $\frac{2\pi}{a_S}$. In Fig. 4.11 a two-dimensional cut through the reciprocal lattice of a heterostructure inside the diffraction plane is diagrammed. In a heterostructure the lattice constant of the substrate and the grown layers is different. Depending on how big this lattice mismatch is, the layer first starts to grow with the lateral lattice constant of the substrate $a_{S\parallel} = a_{L\parallel}$ and so the layer is grown pseudomorphical. For cubic crystals two cases have to be taken into account:

1. $a_S < a_L$ then $a_{L\perp} > a_{L\parallel}$
2. $a_S > a_L$ then $a_{L\perp} < a_{L\parallel}$

Figure 4.11.: Reciprocal lattice of cubic heterostructure. Position of the atoms if the layer is grown pseudomorphical (turquoise) on the substrate (yellow) or relaxed (blue).

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad a_S < a_L \quad \text{then} \quad a_{L\perp} > a_{L\parallel} \\
(2) & \quad a_S > a_L \quad \text{then} \quad a_{L\perp} < a_{L\parallel}
\end{align*}
\]
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In case (1) there is a compressive strain in $a_{L\parallel}$ and elongation in $a_{L\perp}$ and vice versa in case (2). At a certain point this energy reaches a level where dislocations can be created and the grown crystal starts to grow in its own lattice constant. From this point the grown layer is relaxed. With a two-dimensional scan we can determine the growth characteristics of different layers like the lattice mismatch or strain. With a measurement as shown in Fig.4.11 we can determine the lattice mismatch from layer to substrate. We have to discern two lattice mismatch parameters. First there is a lattice mismatch in growth direction. This parameter is defined as:

$$\Delta a_{\perp} = \frac{a_{\perp} - a_S}{a_S}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.17)

The nomenclature of the lattice constants in Eq. (4.16) is in conformance with Fig.4.11. For determination of this value a symmetrical reflex is sufficient. For the lattice mismatch of the lateral lattice constant of the substrate and the layer, an asymmetrical reflex has to be used and a reciprocal space map must be measured. It can be expressed like Eq. (4.17):

$$\Delta a_{\parallel} = \frac{a_{\parallel} - a_S}{a_S}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.18)

In the case of a pseudomorphicaly grown layer Eq. (4.18) becomes 0 and $>0$ for a relaxed layer. But not only pseudomorphical or completely relaxed layers can occur, but also stages in between, meaning partly relaxed layers. Enlarging the light blue highlighted rectangle from Fig.4.11, we can determine the degree of relaxed, partly relaxed or pseudomorphical layers. For a pseudomorphical layer the substrate point and the layer point in reciprocal space are on the surface normal. On the other hand the points of a completely relaxed layer and the substrate are lying on the perpendicular line through the origin. The distortion parameter $\gamma = 1$ for a pseudomorphical layer and $=0$ for a complete relaxed. $\gamma$ is defined as:

$$\gamma = 1 - \frac{a_{\parallel} - a_S}{a_S}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.19)
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For our work it would desirable to have $\gamma = 1$ for the (In,Ga)As and NiMnSb layers. Measurements of reciprocal space maps are presented in chapter 7.
4.3.3. Reflection high energy electron diffraction

With RHEED we are able to investigate the surface of grown layers. The electron beam hits the surface at an angle smaller than 3°. We are talking about a grazing incident electron beam.

In a very simple way the situation at the surface is diagrammed in Fig. 4.13. In a)

![Diagram](image)

Figure 4.13.: At electron diffraction at a surface reflection a) and transmission b) can occur.

the growth of the layers occurs under the Frank van der Merve growth mode and the incident electron beam is reflected from the flat surface. But if the surface is not flat like in b) the electron is not only reflected but also transmitted through some parts of the upper layers. When the diffracted electron beam now hits the fluoresce display we see stripes for the reflected and spots for the transmitted beam. These observations give us an idea of the quality of the grown layers: are they flat or rough?

To understand the principle of RHEED and what we see on the RHEED display we assume elastic scattering for the incoming and scattered electrons. Again using the construction of the Ewald sphere in reciprocal space following chapter 4.3.1, the determination of constructive interference condition is possible. It can be seen in Fig. 4.13 that the incident electron beam only interacts with a few monolayers at the surface. If a rod of the reciprocal space intersects the Ewald sphere the diffraction condition is fulfilled.

This circumstance leads to an extreme broadening of the reflected beam perpendicular to the sample surface and the electron beam "sees" a two-dimensional lattice instead of a three-dimensional lattice. As a result, the reflected beam appears as a vertical line and the reciprocal space of the sample surface can be treated as a series of infinite rods extending perpendicularly to the sample surface. Now we can describe the diffraction conditions as follows:

![Diagram](image)
In our MBE system the RHEED technique is used with voltages between 15kV and 20kV. This means that our generated electrons have a high energy and a short wavelength. From chapter 4.3.1 we know that the radius of the Ewald sphere is $\frac{1}{\lambda}$. From this we can deduct that the Ewald sphere is much larger than the spacing between the reciprocal lattice rods. Where the rods are intersecting the Ewald sphere there is merely a weak bending of the sphere more that the rods now intersect a plane. The $0^{th}$ order line with the clearest spot is the specular spot. The lines from $1^{st}$ order or higher beside the $0^{th}$ order line are caused by the broadening of the Ewald sphere and the rods. This broadening is caused by defects during growth, thermal vibrations, the energy distribution of the electrons and the divergence of the electron beam. Fig. 4.14 shows the principle construction of a RHEED with the Ewald sphere, Laue circle, sample surface and electron beam.

For the reason that this work is focused on the technique of growing epitaxial layers by molecular beam epitaxy and the necessary structural characterization methods to determine mainly the crystal quality of the grown layers, all magnetic and electrical characterization methods will be defined in a short version directly where the associated measurements are shown.
5. Growth on InP(001)

5.1. Pre-Advisements and sample structure

Wim van Roy and his group have already grown NiMnSb with molecular beam epitaxy [Roy00], [Roy01]. They used GaAs as the substrate.

One problem with GaAs as the substrate is the difference in the lattice constants of GaAs and NiMnSb. The lattice mismatch is pretty high with a value of 4.4%. As a consequence NiMnSb starts to grow lattice-matched and after 2-3nm with its own lattice constant. This behaviour in general was already explained in section 4.3.2. The existence of a change from lattice-matched to relaxed growth leads to the creation of misfit dislocations. These misfit dislocations relieve the homogeneous strain energy till the energy is too weak and the layer starts to relax. This means that these created defects harm the electrical as well as the magnetic properties of NiMnSb. To obviate such possible problems it is desirable to grow NiMnSb on a substrate with nearly the same lattice constant.

Starting with the lattice constant from NiMnSb, we try to find a reasonable substrate with a similar lattice constant. Looking at the band gap vs. lattice constant graph in Fig.5.2, a good choice is InP. InP has a lattice constant $a_{\text{InP}} = 5.868\text{Å}$. Compared to the lattice constant from NiMnSb $a_{\text{NiMnSb}} = 5.903\text{Å}$, the lattice mismatch to NiMnSb is only 0.6%. As a buffer layer, which we need to get a flat surface for the growth start of NiMnSb, we are using the ternary semiconductor (In,Ga)As. This semiconductor can be grown lattice matched to InP with a mixing ratio of $\text{In}_{53}\text{Ga}_{47}\text{As}$. The complete heterostructure is diagrammed in Fig.5.1. All (001) oriented heterostructures are grown on InP(001) substrates from Impact. For samples with conducting substrate, a doping of S is used and for isolating a doping of Fe is used. The specification are listed in detail in Tab.1. All temperatures in the following chapters are read out by thermocouples. The resulting values could be up to 20°C less than the real present temperatures on the sample. One useful tool for obtaining the real present temperature is a pyrometer but unfortunately no pyrometer was available.

---

1www.inpactsemicon.com
Figure 5.2.: Band gap versus lattice constant of different III-V and II-VI semiconductors. NiMnSb is marked red at a lattice constant 5.903 Å and band gap energy of 0.5 eV.

Table 5.1.: Properties from different doped InP(001) substrates manufactured by Impact (www.inpactsemicon.com).
5.2. Sample preparation

Before we can use our substrate in the MBE-Cluster at Experimentelle Physik 3, we have to glue it to a molybdenum holder which fits into all necessary equipment. Under atmospheric pressure the molybdenum holder is put on a hotplate at 200°C. As glue we use In formed in pellets. With a forceps one In pellet is taken and pressed on the molybdenum block. After a few seconds the In pellet starts to melt and it can be dispersed on the surface of the molybdenum holder. Only the area of the size of the substrate should be covered by the In glue and also the layer thickness of the glue should be thin.

If a too large area is covered with In or too much In glue is on the holder different problems can occur during the growth process. On the one hand if there is too much In it can diffuse in the substrate and change the electrical and structural properties. On the other hand if the glue layer is too thick the desorption point is changed and can lead to higher degas temperatures and accordingly desorption of P of the InP substrate is possible and a rough surface is created.

In the next step the substrate can be placed on the molybdenum holder and moved slightly in all directions to get a sufficient sticking. Now the sample can be brought into the MBE-Cluster.

With a system of carts on chains the samples can be moved into the UHV system. The first process step is the removal of any humidity from the sample with the heating station, see Fig.4.5. The temperature is set to 250°C and kept there for 10min. After cooling down to 200°C the sample can be transferred into the chamber GaAs I for the growth process of the buffer layer (In,Ga)As. The details of this process are described in the following section.

5.3. Growth process buffer layer (In,Ga)As on InP(001)

After the substrate is degased at the heating station, it is transferred to the GaAs I chamber. The first step is the desorption of the protective oxide layer. When the substrate holder temperature of the GaAs I chamber is 200°C or less, the substrate is inserted into the chamber. While rotating all the time with a temperature gradient of 20K/min, the substrate is heated up. At 300°C an As$_4$ overpressure is established to obviate the desorption of phosphor when the oxide layer starts to desorb. At $\approx$ 475°C a (2x4) reconstruction is visible and becomes broader at 490°C with a clear specular spot. It is important to observe this reconstruction because we need it for the determination of our growth temperature.

In Fig.5.3 the RHEED pattern for the observed reconstruction is shown. When the oxide layers desorbs, the reconstruction changes to (4x2). This normally happens in the temperature range between 510°C - 530°C. The growth temperature can now be determined as follows: take actual temperature when the surface reconstruction changes from (2x4) to (4x2) and round up to the next full decade. This value is our desorption temperature $T_{Des}$. That point plus 10°C is our heating temperature $T_{Heat}$, minus 10°C...
from the desorption point is our growth temperature $T_{\text{Growth}}$. Fair values are $522^\circ\text{C}$ → desorption temperature $T_{\text{Des}} = 530^\circ\text{C}$ → heating temperature $T_{\text{Heat}} = 540^\circ\text{C}$ → growth temperature $T_{\text{Growth}} = 520^\circ\text{C}$. The substrate is left for 1s at the heating temperature $T_{\text{Heat}}$ before cooling down to growth temperature. To get a constant temperature over the complete sample, we wait 60s before opening the In und Ga shutter when growth temperature is reached. Directly after opening the shutters from the group III elements the reconstruction switches in a short period of time to (2x4). This should happen in a time range of 10s. Longer times are a sign of a too high growth temperature and a pronounced 3D RHEED pattern directly after growth start is a sign of a too low growth temperature. It is possible to see some roughness at the growth start. After 120s the RHEED pattern should only show flat parts. To get a 180nm thick (In,Ga)As layer we have to leave all shutters open for 1476s. This means that we have a growth rate of $1.22\dfrac{\text{Å}}{s}$. After closing the shutters from In and Ga, the sample is cooled down with 20K/min. The $\text{As}_4$ overpressure is kept alive up to a substrate temperature of $350^\circ\text{C}$ to prevent the desorption of As from the buffer layer. Now the valve of the As cracker cell is closing. During the cooldown the RHEED pattern switches again from (2x4) to (4x3) around $480^\circ\text{C}$. When the growth of (In,Ga)As is done, we have a flat surface and the sample is ready for the growth of NiMnSb. After cooling down to $200^\circ\text{C}$ the sample is transferred to the NiMnSb chamber. At this temperature a (4x3) reconstruction, flat with a clear and sharp specular spot, is still visible (Fig.5.4).
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Figure 5.4.: RHEED pattern (In,Ga)As on InP(001) at 200°C. Sample is already transferred into the NiMnSb MBE chamber. Along [110] direction the $d_4$ streaks are visible (right). Along [1T0] direction the $d_3$ are visible.

5.4. Growth process NiMnSb on (In,Ga)As/InP(001)

In the early stages, NiMnSb was grown on GaAs(001) ([Roy00],[Roy01]) and also on InP(001) by MBE ([Bac05],[Bac03]) and sputtered ([Eic07],[Ris00]). ([Roy00],[Roy01]) and ([Bac05],[Bac03]) used the following flux ratios:

- Sb:Ni = 10:1
- Mn:Ni = 3:1

We now use these flux ratios:

- Sb:Ni = 14.5:1
- Mn:Ni = 4.2:1

Not only the flux ratios are different: a main change in our growth process is that the Ni cell now works under the melting point of Ni$^2$. This has large-scale consequences for the growth. First of all the flux density from all cells is lowered by one magnitude. That also means that the growth rate is changed to a lower value. The growth conditions are considerably different to the growth parameters from [Bac05].

At a substrate holder temperature of 200°C, the sample from GaAs I chamber is put into the NiMnSb chamber and heated with a ramp of 10K/min to the growth temperature of 250°C. From [Bac05] it has been shown that this temperature provides a good growth of NiMnSb on (In,Ga)As. When the sample is heated to growth temperature the substrate rotation is already turned on. Following Fig.4.6 first the cell shutters of Ni, Mn and Sb are opened for 600s while the main shutter is closed. We do this to get more stable fluxes than before where the time was 180s [Bac05]. While the main shutter is closed we can see a clear (4x3) reconstruction from (In,Ga)As layer with a clear specular

$^2$the melting point from Nickel is 1455°C
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Figure 5.5.: RHEED pattern NiMnSb on (In,Ga)As/InP(001) while growing at 250°C substrate temperature. Along [110] direction the 4\(d\) streaks are clearly visible (right). Along [1\(\bar{1}\)0] direction the 1 reconstruction is seen.

spot (Fig.5.4), like already observed in the GaAs I chamber. The growth starts with the opening of the main shutter. After 20s the buffer reconstruction changes to (2x1) from NiMnSb with an absolutely clear visible specular spot (Fig.5.5). There are no signs of roughness in the RHEED pattern. After 2-3nm a slight roughness can occur and is not visible any more after 1nm again. When the wanted thickness of the layer is reached all shutters are closed and the sample is cooled down without any temperature gradient. When the substrate temperature of 200°C or less is reached, the sample can be transferred out of the chamber.

One part of our produced stacks are taken out directly to atmospheric pressure, the other parts are transferred to the in-situ metallisation station and a Ti/Au capping layer is deposited.

Fig. 5.6 shows a flow-chart of the main steps for growing NiMnSb layers on InP(001). Keep in mind that this flow-chart only includes in-situ characterisation tools and observations during growth. It is indispensable to do x-ray diffraction measurements on the grown sample.
Figure 5.6.: Flow-chart of the main steps for growing NiMnSb layers on InP(001) substrate with a lattice matched (In,Ga)As buffer layer.
6. Growth on InP(111)

Remembering the properties of NiMnSb described in chapter 2 and its special feature with two different band-structures, one for the majority and one for the minority charge carriers, NiMnSb would be a perfect spin injector at room temperature. But to take advantage of this property, we can not use (001) oriented NiMnSb. Theoretically it has been shown that only (111) interfaces are half-metallic [Wij01]. For realising a so-called spin injection experiment, a n-doped semiconductor is needed. In our case we are able to grow n-doped (In,Ga)As. As a substrate we have to use an (111) oriented starting material. The complete grown heterostructure is shown in Fig.6.1. First of all we take a look at the (111) surface. There are two possible configurations, A and B. In an InP crystal A represents Indium and B Phosphor. S.S. Bose, W.I. Wang et al. [Bos88] have shown that Si can act as an acceptor or donor in GaAs(111). [Bos88] has demonstrated that Si is a n-dopant for (001), (211), (311), (511), (711) and (911). For A-sides only up to (311) planes Si is a p-dopant. For all higher index planes Si always behaves as a n-type dopant. When using B-side (111) Si is a donor and the GaAs layer is n-doped, otherwise using A-side (111) substrate, the GaAs layers is p-doped. This termination type dependence was investigated with grown samples on different oriented substrates. In the case of [Bos88] they used GaAs(001), GaAs(311)A and GaAs(311)B substrates and did Hall measurements, Photothermal Ionization spectra and Photoluminescence spectra. In Fig.6.2 a summary of all measurements is shown. There it is clearly visible that Si acts as a n-dopant for (001) and (311)B oriented layers and as a p-dopant in (311)A oriented layers. The results of Bose et al. combined with those of Wang et al. lead to the assumption that it is very likely that (In,Ga)As grown in InP(111)B substrates will result in a n-doped (In,Ga)As layer when using Si as a doping material.

6.1. The (111) surface

The difference in the A and B configuration of an (111) oriented InP crystal can be seen in Fig.6.3. Topside is the (111)A-side from the zinc blende InP crystal terminated with In atoms. The bottom side represents the (111)- side terminated with P atoms. For
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Figure 6.2.: Concentrations of the total donor and acceptor impurities and the individual impurities for three orientations. The solid lines indicate acceptor concentrations and the dashed lines indicate donor concentration. From [Bos88].

clearly the crystal direction [110], [1\bar{1}0] and [111] are marked in the crystal structure. There it can be seen that the In atoms are located on a line in [110] direction and the Phosphor atoms are located on a line in [1\bar{1}0] direction. Contrary to InP(001) the (111) oriented InP crystal has three instead of two cleaving edges, namely [1\bar{1}0], [10\bar{1}] and [01\bar{1}]. The three cleaving edges are symmetrical identical and enclose an angle of 60°. This rotational symmetry behaviour leads to an additional problem in epitaxial growth. It is possible that the impinging atoms crystallize in the wurtzite structure. This is clear when looking at the symmetrical properties of a zinc blende and wurtzite crystal. Both can be transferred into each other by rotation of 60° along [111] (zinc blende) respectively [11\bar{1}1] (wurtzite).

Another issue is the almost inert behaviour of a (111) surface concerning in particular the low sticking coefficient of impinging atoms on such a surface. This leads to the case that an atom floats over the surface and attaches itself to a little existing step on the surface. These little steps are distributed randomly over the surface. But this also means that over time more and more atoms nucleate at these steps and bear a bigger size which leads to a rough surface and creates other planes than (111) oriented. But this would destroy our chance of achieving 100% spin-polarization in our NiMnSb layer. If these kinds of defects occur we are talking about pyramid-like defects. To avoid this problem a (111) substrate with a little miscut of about 1°-2° in [11\bar{2}] is used. This means that the crystal is cut along [11\bar{2}] direction. This direction points into the surface. The resulting steps are acting as nucleation centres. The surface of the step terraces are still (111) oriented. This growth is known as the "step flow" growth mode [Rat93]. Not
all defects mentioned above can be avoided with this "trick", but they can be reduced significantly. Fig.6.4 shows an (111) oriented substrate with a miscut along [112].

6.2. Growth process

The growth process on InP(111) is different to the growth on InP(001). First we have to decide whether we take A or B side substrates. In early stages of growing NiMnSb at Experimentelle Physik 3 the difference in growth on both orientation sides have been investigated [Bac05]. Out of these results we have chosen InP(111)B for our growth project. The InP substrates are glued with In on molybdenum blocks and degased for
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Parameters InP(111) substrates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dopant</th>
<th>Resistivity [Ω·cm]</th>
<th>Mobility [cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹]</th>
<th>Carrier Conc. [cm⁻³]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>&gt;= 1000</td>
<td>Semi-insulating</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.1.: Properties from doped InP(111) substrates manufactured by Wafer Technology Ltd. (www.wafertech.co.uk).

10min at 250°C at the heating station. Afterwards the samples are transferred to the III-V-chamber GaAsI to grow the (In,Ga)As buffer layer. Different parameters while growing (In,Ga)As on InP(111)B have to be taken into account:

- degas time
- ratio III:V
- waiting time at growth temperature before shutters are opened

In comparison to the growth on InP(001) it is much more difficult to determine the desorption point of the oxide layer. Because of this problem we have taken the average value of the determined desorption temperature from InP(001) plus 10°C. This leads to a value of 540°C. The influence of the waiting time at that temperature can be seen in Fig.6.5. It’s clear that 1s is better in our case because the surface roughness is lower.

![Figure 6.5.: Influence of waiting time at heating temperature T_{Heat} between 1s (left) and 60s (right).](image)

To have a constant temperature gradient the waiting time at the growth temperature is varied. We change this time from 60s to 120s and detect that 120s is the better choice as can be seen in the Nomarski pictures in Fig.6.6.

6.2.1. Buffer layer (In,Ga)As on InP(111)B

The growth of the (In,Ga)As layer on InP(111)B has some additional problems than growing on InP(001). First, as a result of the different surface configuration of InP(111)B, the desorption temperature can not be determined in the easy way like on InP(001). We
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Figure 6.6.: Influence of waiting time at growth temperature $T_{\text{Growth}}$ before growth starts between 60s (left) and 120s (right).

We do not see the characteristic change in the RHEED pattern from (2x4) to (4x2). The only hint when the desorption of the oxide starts is a change in the specular beam spot. But mostly the exact point is hard to identify. For our buffer layers we decide to take as the degas temperature $T_{\text{Hea}} = 540^\circ \text{C}$, keep it for 1s and cool down to $T_{\text{Growth}}=530^\circ \text{C}$ and wait for 120s before the In and Ga shutters are opened. Starting with degasing the sample in the heating station for 10min at 250$^\circ \text{C}$, it is transferred into the III-V chamber. Starting at a substrate temperature of 200$^\circ \text{C}$, the sample is heated with 30K/min up to 300$^\circ \text{C}$. Now the As overpressure is established. Its value is $1.2e^{-5}$ Torr. At 300$^\circ \text{C}$ the heating speed is reduced to 20K/min and the sample is heated up to $T_{\text{Hea}}$ and then lowered to $T_{\text{Growth}}$ (see above for the values of $T_{\text{Hea}}$ and $T_{\text{Growth}}$). Before the shutters of In and Ga are opened, the pressure from As is lowered to $3.3e^{-6}$ Torr. The following ratios are presented during the growth process:

- As : In,Ga = 10.4
- In : Ga = 2.3

The growth time is 1476s and results in a layer thickness of about 180nm. Fig.6.7 shows the RHEED pattern from a 100nm thick (In,Ga)As at 530$^\circ \text{C}$ along [110] and [112]. In no direction a reconstruction can be seen. After the desired growth time, the In and Ga shutters are closed and the As pressure is raised again to the value $1.2e^{-5}$Torr. At 350$^\circ \text{C}$ and falling, the As valve and shutter is closed and at 200$^\circ \text{C}$ the sample get out of the III-V chamber and transferred under UHV conditions into the NiMnSb chamber. This growth process is described in subsection 6.2.3.

6.2.2. n-doped (In,Ga)As on (In,Ga)As/InP(111)B

To get a n-doped (In,Ga)As layer, Si is used as a donor (see section 6 and [Bos88]). The cell temperature from Si is set to 980$^\circ \text{C}$. No flux measurement is possible because the flux from Si is too low to get a good value in combination with the background pressure inside the chamber. The growth process follows the description in 6.2.1. Additional to
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Figure 6.7.: Reconstruction of a 100nm thick (In,Ga)As layer on InP(111)B at 530°C. In no direction a reconstruction can be seen, [1T0] left and [112] right.

the 180nm undoped (In,Ga)As layer, a 20nm thick n-doped (In,Ga)As layer is grown by opening the Si shutter in addition to the other open shutters. No change in RHEED pattern is observed. This is clear because in a perfect n-doping process the Si atoms occupy lattice spots from the group-III elements.
All growth parameters are the same as for the undoped layer. The measurements of the doping concentration are topic of chapter 7.2.2.

6.2.3. NiMnSb on (In,Ga)As/InP(111)B

For the growth of NiMnSb on InP(111)B the same parameters are used as for growth on InP(001). After the InP(111)B substrate with doped or undoped buffer layer is transferred, the sample is heated to 250°C with 10K/min and the sample holder is rotating. The flux ratios are set to:

- Sb:Ni = 14.5:1
- Mn:Ni = 4.2:1

Again the main shutter is 600s closed longer than the shutters of the three elements Ni, Mn and Sb to obtain stable fluxes from the effusion cells. Directly after opening the main shutters the growth starts with a unreconstructed RHEED pattern. After 20s the RHEED pattern changes to an (2x2) along [110] and (6x6) along [112] reconstruction. Fig.6.8 shows both visible RHEED pattern. In both directions a clear specular spot is present with long main streaks and no spots. In both directions the second order streaks are clearly visible, all streaks next to the main streaks are not visible. In addition the d/2 streaks along [112] direction are very weak. The d/3 streaks on the other hand are quite strong in this direction. These observations are a hint for a low surface roughness. After
≈ 10nm the specular spot gets diffuse and the transmission effect of the electron beam appears, which means that the layer-by-layer growth is interrupted and thus leads to a rough surface (see section 4.3.3). Compared with the growth of NiMnSb on InP(001),

![Figure 6.8: Reconstruction of a 5nm thick NiMnSb layer on InP(111)B at 250°C. Left: (2x2) reconstruction along [1T0]. Right: (6x6) reconstruction along [112].](image)

the roughness of the grown layer at ≈ 10nm appears very early. A possible explanation for this behaviour is the fact that the used vicinal surface can help to prevent the creation of twin and pyramid-like defects but not a 100%. This means that at a certain point it is energetically favourable for the crystal to grow in a different geometry than at growth start. As a consequence only layers up to a thickness of a maximum of 10nm can be used for spin injection samples.
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In the early stages of the growth process from NiMnSb, different flux ratios and temperatures were used to produce NiMnSb layers on (In,Ga)As/InP respectively on GaAs/GaAs layers. The growth process of that time follows the description in [Bac05] chapter 4 (NiMnSb (001)) and chapter 8 (NiMnSb (111)) for NiMnSb on (In,Ga)As/InP and [Roy00], [Roy02] for NiMnSb on GaAs/GaAs(001). The obvious difference between growing NiMnSb on GaAs substrates and InP substrates is the lattice mismatch to NiMnSb. When comparing the lattice constants

- \(a_{\text{NiMnSb}} = 5.903\ \text{Å}\)
- \(a_{\text{InP}} = 5.868\ \text{Å}\)
- \(a_{\text{GaAs}} = 5.653\ \text{Å}\)

we get a lattice mismatch of 4.4% compared to GaAs and 0.6% compared to InP, leading to a much earlier relaxing of the grown NiMnSb layer on GaAs. This chapter gives an overview of the achieved quality and properties reached with our new growth process and also gives a comparison of differences to NiMnSb layers grown on InP with the old process and on GaAs. For (111) oriented InP substrates undoped (In,Ga)As and n-doped (In,Ga)As on InP(111)B and NiMnSb on undoped (In,Ga)As/InP(111)B are considered.

7.1. Layers on (001) oriented substrates

7.1.1. Structural properties

Buffer layer (In,Ga)As

As mentioned before the aim of the buffer layer is to provide a flat surface for the growth start of NiMnSb. With HRXRD technique we are able to determine the structural quality of our produced layers ex-situ. For our measurements we use the high-resolution x-ray diffractometer from Philips with the wavelength \(\text{CuK}_{\alpha_1} = 1.54059\ \text{Å}\). As already explained in chapter 4, with Bragg’s law an evidence about the quality of the layer can be made. With a symmetrical reflex, like (004), the intensity of the peaks shows how many parallel planes are in the grown crystal and accordingly a more or less well-ordered crystal can be expected. When we are looking at the (004) reflex measurement of a (In,Ga)As on InP(001) sample(Fig.7.1), we see clear thickness fringes in the complete measurement range from 30.15° up to 31.9°. The amount and clearness of the thickness...
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fringes give us proof of very flat interfaces in the grown layer. At 31.05° the peak from InP is visible. The slightly asymmetrical form of the peak is caused by the not absolutely lattice-matched buffer layer (In,Ga)As. But the buffer layer is still in the range of good lattice match to the substrate. We are able to determine the thickness by using the position of the thickness fringes. With the kinematic step model introduced by Segmüller and Blakeslee [Seg73] it is possible to determine the thickness of the (In,Ga)As layer. In the measurement shown in Fig. 7.1 we get a thickness of 185nm. By knowing the growth time of the buffer layer we are able to determine the growth rate from (In,Ga)As on InP(001) as

\[
growthrate = \frac{\text{layer} - \text{thickness}}{\text{growth} - \text{time}} = \frac{185\text{nm}}{1517\text{s}} = 1.22\frac{\text{Å}}{\text{s}}
\]  

(7.1)

Figure 7.1.: HRXRD measurement from (In,Ga)As on InP(001). The (004) reflex is used for measurement.

Half-metallic ferromagnet NiMnSb

To calculate the growth rate of NiMnSb we determined the thickness of NiMnSb with a symmetrical HRXRD-scan and divided the received thickness by the time the shutters of all three effusion cells were opened. With a thickness of 400Å and a growth time of 6240s we get with (7.1) a result for the growth rate of NiMnSb \(growthrate_{NiMnSb} = 0.0641\frac{\text{Å}}{\text{s}}\). Vice versa that means e.g. that we need a growth time of 3120s for a 20nm thick layer. With HRXRD we examined the (002) reflex. This reflex has a higher intensity for the half-Heusler layer. Fig. 7.2 shows the measured data. The substrate peak is visible. It includes the perfect lattice-matched (In,Ga)As layer. Due to the fact that the lattice constant from NiMnSb is slightly larger than InP (0.6%), the resulting peak is on the left side of the substrate peak. From the peak position from NiMnSb we can calculate the vertical lattice constant with Bragg’s law and Eq. (4.9) to \(a_{vertical-NiMnSb} = 5.960\text{Å}\). If
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we implicate the lattice constant between substrate and half-metallic ferromagnet plus the measuring inaccuracy into the calculation we get the following:

\[ a_{\text{NiMnSb-Lat}} = 5.903 \text{Å} \Rightarrow 0.6\% = 0.035 \text{Å} \] \tag{7.2}

The measurement inaccuracy in respect to the measured lattice constant

\[ a_{\text{NiMnSb-measured}} \Rightarrow \pm 0.5\% = 0.0298 \text{Å} \] \tag{7.3}

With that values we can calculate:

\[ a_{\perp-\text{NiMnSb}} - 0.035 \text{Å} = 5.925 \text{Å} \] \tag{7.4}

This value is in good agreement inside the error bars with the value found in literature \cite{Cas55}. With the measurements of the (002) reflex we can give statements concerning the crystal quality of the grown NiMnSb layer. Between 14.65° and 14.8° the peak from the NiMnSb layer is visible with a maximum intensity of more than 1000 counts/s. Additional thickness fringes of the NiMnSb layer and the buffer layer are present. The NiMnSb thickness fringes are visible again over a wide range from 14.1° to 15.5°. As a comment, the peak from (In,Ga)As is completely covered by the InP peak. Compared with XRD measured from samples grown with a higher growth rate and different flux ratios by \cite{Bac05} show one big difference. When calculating the vertical lattice constant in our sample compared to samples from Bach, we have achieved a closer value to the substrate lattice constant and to the wanted lattice constant of NiMnSb found in literature in combination with a good structural quality. This means that we have

Figure 7.2.: HRXRD measurement from NiMnSb/(In,Ga)As on InP(001). (002) reflex is used for measurement.
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significantly improved the growth process of NiMnSb on (In,Ga)As/InP(001).
Fig.7.3 shows two HRXRD scans from almost equally thick NiMnSb layers (41nm vs. 42nm) grown on undoped (In,Ga)As/InP(001). The red dyed data (41nm) is from a NiMnSb layer grown with the best flux ratios from [Bac05]:

- Sb:Ni = 10:1
- Mn:Ni = 3:1

and the black dyed data (42nm) is grown with the new flux ratios (see section 5.4) used in this work. It is clear that with the new flux ratios a better lattice match to the substrate is achieved in addition to a higher intensity of the NiMnSb peak, 800 counts versus 1150 counts, with the result that the crystal quality is higher than before. Wim van Roy also did XRD measurements with our samples and compared the measurements with his NiMnSb/GaAs(001) samples. The measurements are shown in 7.6. The aim of the measurements was to analyze the sample on any conclusions inside the NiMnSb layer. All binary compounds from Ni, Mn and Sb can form possible inclusions, namely NiSb, MnSb and NiMn. As a comment NiMn is very unlikely and the focus was only on NiSb and MnSb. All measurements were done using the (111) reflex. The green line in Fig.7.6 is the data from our sample. No inclusions of any form could be detected. In the Sb-rich sample (red line), many inclusion of MnSb and NiSb are detected. This result seems to be illogical because we have also grown our NiMnSb layer with an excess of Sb.
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InP
(In,Ga)As
NiMnSb

Figure 7.4.: Reciprocal space map of a 40nm thick NiMnSb layer on (In,Ga)As/InP(001) measured with the Philips X’Pert HRXRD. The marked peaks from InP, (In,Ga)As and NiMnSb are all on a perpendicular line indicating a pseudomorphical growth of the buffer and half-metallic ferromagnet layer on the substrate.

This leads to the assumption that the big lattice mismatch between substrate and buffer to NiMnSb causes an excess of Sb to nucleate at the crystal defects in the grown layer before they are build in the crystal and Ni and Mn start to create the binary compounds NiSb and MnSb. The Sb-poor sample shows the same behaviour like our sample. One problem which is still under discussion are the peaks at 29.5°, 59.55°, 92.6° and 95.5°. This peaks can be caused by a MnAs layer created at the interface between (In,Ga)As terminated with As and the Mn atoms when growing NiMnSb.

With a symmetrical reflex only the vertical lattice constant can be measured and no evidence about the lateral lattice constant can be made. We have access to this parameter. A reciprocal space map with an asymmetrical reflex has to be measured. Fig. 7.4 shows such a reciprocal space map. On the left side the data of the (115) reflex scan is shown with special units on both axes. We see that all three peaks corresponding to InP, (In,Ga)As and NiMnSb are on one vertical line indicating a pseudomorphic growth of the (In,Ga)As and NiMnSb layer (see chapter 4.3.2). The axes of the reciprocal space map shown in Fig. 7.4 are in reciprocal lattice units. These units are dimensionless and are representing the Miller indices (hkl). In our reciprocal space map scan, using the (115) reflex, the Miller indices \( h \) and \( k \) are equal. The y-axis is in direction to [00l] and the x-axis in [hh0] direction. With this precondition we can convert the reciprocal lattice constants \( q_{\parallel} \) and \( q_{\perp} \) into the real space lattice constants \( a_{\parallel} \) and \( a_{\perp} \) with the following
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Figure 7.5.: (115) reflex used for reciprocal space map of a 40nm thick NiMnSb layer on (In,Ga)As/InP(001) measured with the Philips X’Pert HRXRD with [hh0] along the x-axis and [00l] along the y-axis. The red, perpendicular line is at [hh0] = 1. The peaks are all on that line indicating a pseudomorphical growth.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
q_\parallel \\
q_\perp
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
h \\
k
\end{pmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{pmatrix}
a_{sub} \\
a_{\parallel}
\end{pmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7.5)

From Fig.7.4 we know that the InP, (In,Ga)As and NiMnSb peaks are on one straight, perpendicular line. This means that all peaks have the same [hh0] value. With the result from Eq.7.5 and \(a_{sub}\) is \(a_{InP}\), we can calculate the [00l] value from the NiMnSb peak to 4.9519. For the InP peak the [hh0] value is 1, because \(a_{sub} = a_{InP} = a_{\parallel}\). This implicates that the [hh0] value from the NiMnSb peak is also 1 and \(a_{\parallel} - NiMnSb\) has the same value as InP, namely \(a_{\parallel} - NiMnSb = 5.868 \text{Å}\). The measured data in Fig.7.4 can now be plotted in \(q_\parallel\) and \(q_\perp\) along [hh0] and [00l] as shown in Fig.7.5. The distortion parameter \(\gamma\) can now be calculated with Eq. (4.19) as:

\[
\gamma = 1 - \frac{a_{\parallel} - a_S}{a_S - a_L} = 1 - \frac{5.868 \text{Å} - 5.868 \text{Å}}{5.925 \text{Å} - 5.868 \text{Å}} = 1 - 0 = 1
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7.6)

This result means that we have a complete pseudomorphical grown NiMnSb layer on InP(001).

The relaxation behaviour of NiMnSb and how it can be influenced will be discussed in section 7.1.3. But not only the crystal quality is improved, but also the magnetic quality. The results are the topic of the next section.
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Figure 7.6.: XRD measurements from NiMnSb layers with different Sb content on GaAs(001) compared to NiMnSb layer on InP(001) using the (1T0) reflex. With NiMnSb layer grown on InP(001) no inclusions can be detected.

7.1.2. Magnetic properties

It is interesting and necessary to investigate the magnetic properties of NiMnSb, which is a half-metallic ferromagnet. In this work, two different techniques are used to determine these properties. These are FMR and SQUID.

Ferromagnet resonance

With FMR we can measure the magnetic properties like anisotropies and magnetic damping. In principle we detect the precessional motion of the magnetization in our NiMnSb samples.

In our setup, we apply a transverse rf field with microwaves of 8-14 GHz and sweep the magnetic field from 0 to 300mT. When applying a magnetic field, the total magnetic moment of the sample starts to precess around the local field $\vec{H}_{eff}$ of the NiMnSb sample. This precessional motion of the magnetization is described by the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation:

$$\frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial t} = -\gamma (\vec{M} \times \vec{H}_{eff}) + \frac{G}{\gamma M_S} [\vec{M} \times \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial t}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.7)  

1 radio frequency
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Figure 7.7.: Used principle by FMR measurements. An applied magnetic field $\vec{H}_0$ excites a Zeeman splitting of the energy levels, $m_S = +1/2, -1/2$. A microwave excites a magnetic dipole transition between these Zeeman split levels.

G is the Gilbert damping constant and $\gamma = \frac{g \mu_B}{\hbar}$ the gyromagnetic ratio. To understand the measurement we start with Fig. 7.7. The applied magnetic field $\vec{H}_0$ excites a Zeeman splitting of the energy levels, $m_S = +1/2, -1/2$. At the same time the microwave excites magnetic dipole transition between these Zeemann split levels. If a magnetic field is applied to a particle with a spin then this spin starts to precess around the applied $\vec{B}$ field with the Larmor precession $\omega_{\text{Larmor}}$. The Larmor precession depends on $\vec{B}$ and the gyromagnetic ratio as follows:

$$f_{\text{Larmor}} = \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} |B| \rightarrow \omega = \gamma \cdot |B|$$

(7.8)

Secondly the Zeeman splitting depends linear on $\vec{B}$

$$E_{\text{pot}} = m \mu_B B$$

(7.9)

If $B$ is swept the spins start to precess around the applied magnetic field with $\omega$ and at a certain point $\omega/\omega_{\text{Larmor}}$ is equal to the frequency of the rf microwave and an absorption occurs. In our FMR measurements we measure the absorption derivative from Eq. (7.8) and the direct signal. The direct signal shows a Lorentzian line shape with a line width $\Delta H$. The line width is directly connected to the relaxation process. The Gilbert damping describes this relaxation process and accordingly gives us information about the magnetic quality of the investigated material.

To determine the damping value of a NiMnSb layer, we usually use the frequencies 8GHz, 12.5GHz and 14GHz for the rf microwave. When plotting the line width $\Delta H_{\text{8GHz}}$, $\Delta H_{\text{12.5GHz}}$, $\Delta H_{\text{14GHz}}$ over the swept B-field and using a linear fit, the slope represents our damping value.

---

2named after Joseph Larmor, * July 11, 1857 † May 19, 1942
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Figure 7.8.: FMR setup measures the absorption derivation and beside the direct signal (insert). The direct signal shows a Lorentzian line shape with a line width $\Delta H$.

In the measurement procedure described above, the angle of the magnetic field and edge of the sample are not changed, here $\vec{B} \parallel [110]$. To get access to the anisotropy behaviour or to see if there is actually any anisotropy, an angle-dependent scan is done. That means that we start with an angle of $0^\circ$ ($\vec{B} \parallel [110]$) and measure every $5^\circ$ over $90^\circ$ ($\vec{B} \parallel [\overline{1}0\overline{1}]$) up to $180^\circ$ ($\vec{B} \parallel [\overline{1}0\overline{1}]$) at a constant frequency. In our case the frequency is set to 12.5GHz. From that data we can determine the anisotropy field $H_{ani}$ and the line width $\Delta H$.

All measurements are performed at room temperature and the external field is applied in-plane. First, a set of measurements is done along the [110] direction at three different

Figure 7.9.: FMR measurements of a 40nm thick NiMnSb layer at frequencies 8GHz, 12.5GHz and 14GHz for determination of $\alpha$.

frequencies of 8GHz, 12.5GHz and 14GHz. The resulting line widths are shown in (Fig.7.9). From these values we obtain a damping value for the dimensionless parameter

52
7. Quality and properties

\( \alpha \) of 1.78\( e^{-3} \). We have to correct this result with an offset of 0.00141 caused by the FMR setup. As a final result we achieve a damping parameter \( \alpha = 3.19e^{-3} \).

In order to investigate the crystalline anisotropy, angle-dependent FMR measurements are done at a frequency of 12.5 GHz. For these measurements the field is always kept in the plane and the angle is varied in steps of 5°. The resonance field indicates a fourfold anisotropy with an anisotropy field of 50Oe (Fig.7.10)(black circles). The line width also reflects the fourfold anisotropy (Fig.7.10)(red circles). The minimum line width \( \Delta H = 8 \) Oe. This line width \( \Delta H \) is more than 4.88 times smaller than measured before by B. Heinrich et al.. Fig.7.11 shows the line width of NiMnSb layers with thickness 5nm (■), 42nm (►) and 85nm (⋆) measured by B. Heinrich et al.[Hei04] at a frequency of 24GHz. All three samples were grown with the parameters described in [Bac03].

The main difference in the growth process compared to our sample are flux ratios, growth temperature and effusion cells temperatures. Because of the linear dependency from \( \Delta H \) and the frequency as described above, we can divide the value of \( \Delta H \) in Fig.7.11 by 1.92 to compare it with our measured line width at 12.5GHz. As a result we have achieved a line width \( \Delta H \) 4.88 times smaller than before. The circumstance that the line width in Fig.7.10 is not always low as 8 Oe and has a fourfold anisotropy leads to the assumption that the line width is direction dependent. To investigate this assumption, a NiMnSb layer is measured first in [100] direction and then in [1\( \bar{1} \)0] direction with FMR. The result is shown in Fig.7.12. For [100] direction we receive \( \alpha = 4.74 \cdot 10^{-3} \) and for [1\( \bar{1} \)0] direction \( \alpha = 3.33 \cdot 10^{-3} \). This means that the damping is changed of about 42\% by changing direction. This result should be kept in mind when using NiMnSb as the material of choice for novel devices. As an additional result we have shown that we are able to produce high magnetic NiMnSb layers. The damping value \( \alpha \) is lower than from Permalloy\(^3\) \( \alpha_{Py} = 6 - 7 \cdot 10^{-3} \) [Kob02], [Kal06] and in the same range like MBE grown Fe layers \( \alpha_{Fe} = 4.33 \cdot 10^{-3} \) [Urb01].

\(^3\)Permalloy = \( N_{iso}F_{20} \)
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Figure 7.11.: FMR line width over the angle $\varphi_m$. $\varphi_m$ is the angle between the external applied magnetic field and the in-plane [100]. ■, ▶ and ⋆ corresponds to the thickness 5nm, 42nm and 85nm, respectively. For our measurements we compared the 42nm thick layer (▶). From [Hei04].

Figure 7.12.: Direction dependence of damping parameter $\alpha$ in NiMnSb layers.

Superconducting quantum interference device

With a SQUID fractional changes in magnetic fields and the saturation magnetization of magnetic layers can be detected. A SQUID is based on a system of superconducting detection coils with Josephson junctions. These junctions are a superconductor-non-superconductor-superconductor contact. One coil is the SQUID input coil and the others are the detection coils. The coils are connected with superconducting wires and all parts together build a superconducting loop. By moving the sample through the detection
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coops, a current is induced in the coils. This leads to a small change in the magnetic flux in the detection coil and produces a change in the persistent current in the detection circuit. The SQUID converts this current change into voltage. This voltage is proportional to the magnetic moment of the sample. At Experimentelle Physik 3 a MPMS Controller Model 1822 is accessible. An external magnetic field is applied in-plane. All SQUID measurements were done by Dr. Charles Gould⁴. Before measuring a sample, it has to be cut into a quad or rectangle of edge length 1.5mm up to 3mm. The edge length has to be measured with a calliper and the value should be noted. Also the thickness of the magnetic layer has to be known. It can be determined by different techniques like e.g. HRXRD or Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). As a result of the sample area, layer thickness and the SQUID data you can calculate the saturation magnetization $M_s$ in units of Bohr magneton $\mu_B$⁵. The value of $\mu_B$ is $9.27400915 \cdot 10^{-23} \text{J T}^{-1}$. Fig. 7.13 shows a typical data produced by a SQUID measurement. From that graph we can determine graphically the magnetic moment in set-up-own-units [emu]. Now we are able to calculate the saturation magnetization $M_s$ of the measured sample. For our best investigated layer we receive a value of $M_s = 3.985 \mu_B$. This value is almost identical to the value found in literature [Cas55], [Kab90]. This accordance to the value form literature emphasize the good quality of our magnetic layers.

It should be clear that this calculation has room for errors. First the inaccuracy when measuring with a calliper, second the graphical determination of the magnetic moment, third the determined thickness of the layer is afflicted with errors and last but not least the measuring inaccuracy of the SQUID set-up by itself with about $\approx 1\%$. As a conclusion, $M_s$ values $\pm 5\%$ are in good agreement with the value found in literature [Cas55], [Kab90].

---

⁴gould@physik.uni-wuerzburg.de, tel.: +49 931 3185899
⁵Niels Bohr, * October 7, 1885 †November 18, 1962

Figure 7.13.: Typical SQUID measurement of a NiMnSb layers.
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7.1.3. Relaxation behaviour

In this subsection the relaxation behaviour of NiMnSb layers is discussed. For that experiments all layers were grown with the flux ratios

- Sb:Ni = 10:1
- Mn:Ni = 3:1

The influence of surface oxidation of the entire NiMnSb film is investigated by a comparison of Ti/Au capped and uncapped samples. The results have been published by Kumpf et al. [Kum07]. The work was done in a corporation between Experimentelle Physik 2 and Experimentelle Physik 3 from Würzburg university. The complete capping layer has a thickness of 20nm (10nm Ti + 10nm Au). All measurements are done at the synchrotron beamline BW2 of HASYLAB. To have the same properties of the capped and uncapped layers, the grown layers are transferred under UHV conditions in an in-situ metallisation. The capping layers are grown with shadow-mask technique, where one part is covered with a mask for preventing any Ti/Au on this side of the sample. By means of that process we have two identical samples with the only difference that one part is capped and the other uncapped. A six-circle-diffractometer is used for the Grazing Incident X-Ray Diffraction (GIXRD) measurements. The used wavelength is $\lambda = 1.29\text{Å}$. The following presented RSM measurements are performed in vertical z-axis geometry [Vli97],[Fei89]. The grazing incident angle of the beam is between 0.27° and 0.35°. The scans were done in a plane spanned by the $l$-direction and radial in-

![Figure 7.14.: Reciprocal space maps from capped and uncapped samples measured with GIXRD configuration at the synchrotron beamline BW2 at HASYLAB. Measurements from [Kum07].](image)

plane-direction ($hk0$). $l$-direction is perpendicular to the surface and ($hk0$) direction is
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parallel to the surface. With this geometry we have access to the vertical and horizontal relaxation behaviour in a RSM. The used reflex is (533).

Fig. 7.14 presents five (533) reciprocal space maps. Sample notations (a), (b) and (c) are the uncapped ones and (c') and (d') are the Ti/Au capped ones. The measurements show a cut through reciprocal space in a plane defined by the direction normal to the surface and the (530) in-plane vector. The high intensity peaks at \( q_{\text{perp.}} = 3.00 \) and \( q_{\text{par.}} = 3.00 \) are from the InP and lattice-matched (In,Ga)As buffer layer. Only in (d') the lattice match is not perfect, indicated as the second visible peak at \( q_{\text{perp.}} = 2.99 \) and \( q_{\text{par.}} = 3.00 \). The RSM shown in (c') and (d') have a clearly visible broad peak which is not seen in the RSMs (a), (b) and (c). In the uncapped samples this peak is nevertheless still present, just covered by the strong InP/(In,Ga)As peak and still causing an asymmetric broadening of that peak. The range of the presented RSMs contains the scattered intensity of materials which have the same lateral lattice spacing as the substrate meaning they all have the same \( (hk) \) position but a different vertical lattice spacing (smaller \( l \)-values). This means in our case, with InP as the substrate, (In,Ga)As lattice-matched buffer layer and NiMnSb, that by a pseudomorphic NiMnSb layer, its \( l \)-value is smaller. In (a), (c') and (d') this behaviour is only visible and accordingly all layers are grown pseudomorphically strained. In (b) and (c) we see some additional intensity in the region around \( (h,k) \approx (2.98, 2.98) \). This intensity is from the relaxed parts of the NiMnSb layers. There are still pseudomorphic parts of NiMnSb as well. Comparing the thickness of the five samples we can conclude that the Ti/Au capping layer strongly influences the critical thickness of pseudomorphic growth from NiMnSb. Without a capping layer the NiMnSb layer starts to relax between 21.6nm and 42nm like seen in (a) and (b). It has been demonstrated earlier that the NiMnSb layer starts to relax around 40nm [Bac05]. With a capping layer a thickness of 81.3nm is reached without any relaxed parts from the NiMnSb layer (d'). While the capping layer was deposited directly after growth without breaking the UHV, the relaxation obviously occurs after growth when the sample leaves the UHV and enters air atmosphere.

7.2. Layers on (111) oriented substrates

As mentioned in the chapter about the growth process on InP(111)B substrates, it is more difficult to produce layers of good quality because of the difficulty to determine the accurate desorption point of the protective oxide layer and the higher sensitivity to the flux ratios. The structural examination starts again with HRXRD measurements. All here investigated layers are grown on InP(111)B substrates.

7.2.1. Structural properties

undoped and n-doped (In,Ga)As buffer layer

The sample consists of InP(111)B:Fe substrate and a \( \approx 180 \)nm undoped (In,Ga)As layer. The measurement uses the (444) reflex for the symmetrical \( \omega - 2\Theta \) scan (Fig. 7.15). Only one sharp peak can be identified at \( \omega = 67.05^\circ \). The absence of a second peak, which
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Figure 7.15.: HRXRD measurement of the (444) reflex of ≈ 180nm thick undoped (In,Ga)As layer on InP(111)B. The buffer is lattice-matched to the substrate.

would be assigned to the (In,Ga)As layer, leads to the result that the buffer layer is successfully grown strained on the substrate. By looking more accurate at the scan one

Figure 7.16.: Side view of a sample consisting of 170nm undoped (In,Ga)As/ 100nm n-doped (In,Ga)As on InP(111)B imaged with SEM.

can identify also some weak thickness fringes to the left and right of the main peak. This is a hint that the interfaces are not only rough but also flat parts are present.

When doping the buffer layer with Si, no change in the XRD scan is expected. Fig 7.16 shows a SEM side view photo of a sample consisting of InP(111)B:S substrate, 170nm undoped (In,Ga)As and 100nm n-doped (In,Ga)As layers. It can be clearly seen where the substrates ends and the buffer layer starts.

With SEM photos we are able to determine the growth rate of our (In,Ga)As layers on
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(111) oriented layers, because with the weak thickness fringes from the XRD data no determination is possible. Indeed this approach is not absolutely accurate but the received values are good evidence of the growth rate magnitude. An additional information can be extracted from Fig. 7.16. The three marked thickness values 270.5nm, 270.2nm and 270.1nm show the homogeneity thickness distribution of the grown layer. Of course only in the error bars of the SEM and the used software.

**NiMnSb**

NiMnSb is grown on InP(111)B substrates with an (In,Ga)As buffer layer. Fig. 7.17 shows the HRXRD scan from the (222) reflex of a 5nm NiMnSb layer on ≈ 180nm undoped (In,Ga)As/InP(111)B substrate. At \( \omega = 26.05^\circ \) the InP peak is visible with a lattice matched (In,Ga)As layer. Right and left from the substrate peak thickness fringes from the buffer layer are visible at e.g. \( \omega = 26.09^\circ, 26.11^\circ, 25.97^\circ, 25.94^\circ \). Also a clear peak from NiMnSb can be identified at \( \omega = 26.01^\circ \). The intensity of only 37 counts is owed to the small thickness of the half-Heusler layer. Again with Bragg’s law and Eq. (4.9) we can calculate the vertical lattice constant analog to section 7.1.1. to \( a_{\text{vertical}} = 6.017 \text{Å} \). Caused by the low intensity count of the NiMnSb peak, it is hardly to determine the exact angle and accordingly the calculated value is not exact as well. Inside the error bars the determined value is still in good agreement with the value found in literature [Cas55].

An interesting aspect becomes visible if a (111)B sample is investigated with an Atomic Force Microscope (AFM). Fig. 7.18 shows grown NiMnSb layers on undoped (In,Ga)As / InP(111)B with thickness of 10nm, 20nm and 40nm. In every AFM picture a clear equilateral triangle can be seen. All triangles a tilted towards the surface exposing a (111) plane. Fig. 7.19 shows the depth of the triangle Z over the length X. With Z and X the angle of slope to the surface can be calculated. The highest angle of slope with a

![HRXRD measurement of the (222) reflex of 5nm thick NiMnSb layer on ≈ 180nm thick undoped (In,Ga)As layer on InP(111)B. The buffer is lattice-matched to the substrate.](image)
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Figure 7.18.: AFM measurements of NiMnSb on InP(111)B substrate with (In,Ga)As buffer layer. a) corresponds to 10nm, b) to 20nm and c) to 40nm NiMnSb layer thickness. For all pictures the AFM was used in non-contact mode. The line in all three pictures is from the calculation software for determining the depth of the triangles (see Fig. 7.19).

value of 1.5° is measured in Fig. 7.19 a) corresponding to a NiMnSb thickness of 10nm. b) has a tilt of 1.37° and c) a value of 0.74°. These results mean that the angle of slope decreases with increasing thickness of the NiMnSb layer. Also they show that these defects are deeper than the NiMnSb layer and therefore originate from the buffer layer. Another conclusion we can draw is that the growth rates on the various surfaces are like

Figure 7.19.: Depth profiles measured with AFM of the three samples. a) 10nm, b) 20nm and c) 40nm NiMnSb on (In,Ga)As on InP(111)B.

the size of the observed defects. The depth of the defects decreases during the growth of NiMnSb and they will be completely closed when a layer thickness of approximately 60nm is reached. Fig. 7.20 shows a SEM picture of the sample with 20nm NiMnSb. The triangle defects are distributed randomly over the complete sample. But they are all aligned in the same direction. These defects play an important role for the magnetic properties as explained in section 7.2.3.

7.2.2. Electrical properties

The electrical characterization of the doped and undoped layers are done with ETCH-CV. While for the measurements in a cryostat Hall-Bars have to be processed by chemical
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Figure 7.20.: SEM picture from the sample with 20nm NiMnSb. The triangle defects are distributed randomly over the sample but all aligned in the same direction.

wet etching, this is not necessary for measurements with a ETCH-CV. ETCH-CV measures the capacity of the depletion layer from (In,Ga)As in dependence from a voltage. From this measured data the carrier concentration can be calculated. This technique uses the difference in electron affinity when the semiconductor is in contact with a different material. As a contact material we use an electrolyte solution which creates a Schottky contact between the electrolyte and the (In,Ga)As. When applying a current to this solution it starts to etch the semiconductor. The measurement are done with a Biorad PN4300 Semiconductor Profiler. As the electrolyte solution \( Na_2OH + Na_2SO_3 \) is used. This method is destructive. The Biorad PN4300 etches a hole in the sample with a diameter of about 1mm. In Fig. 7.21 (left) a Nomarski photo of the etched hole is presented and in Fig. 7.21 (right) the depth profile measurement of this hole. The depth profile was measured with an Alpha Step from Tencor Instruments. The ground
of the hole is the substrate, outside is the surface of the doped (In,Ga)As. The etch depth is about 400nm and the diameter of the hole 1.1mm as seen in the depth profile (Fig. 7.21). Fig. 7.22 shows the carrier concentration over etch depth obtained by the ETCH-CV measurement. The three different layers are marked. From 0 to \( \approx 100\text{nm} \) the (In,Ga)As:Si layer is etched with a maximum carrier concentration \( n = 6 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) followed by an undoped (In,Ga)As layer from 100nm to \( \approx 270\text{nm} \) with a minimum carrier concentration \( n = 1 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). In depths higher than 270nm the InP(111)B:S substrate is present with a carrier concentration \( n = 7 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2} \).

![Etch-CV measurement of a 100nm thick (In,Ga)As layer doped with Si followed by a 180nm thick undoped (In,Ga)As layer on InP(111)B substrate.](image)

**7.2.3. Magnetic properties**

For investigating the magnetic properties of NiMnSb layers on (111) oriented substrates FMR measurements are performed again. During all measurements an external field is swept from 700 Oe to 2000 Oe and applied in-plane. A modulation field with 49 kHz modulation frequency is applied additionally.

The sample is mounted on a 360° rotating holder. At 0° the external field is along \([\overline{2}11]\) direction, at 60° along \([1\overline{1}2]\), at 120° along \([\overline{1}21]\) and at 180° parallel \([\overline{1}1]\).

![Crystal directions and orientation of the triangle defect on a InP(111) wafer.](image)
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in Fig.7.23 shows the crystal directions and the orientation of the triangle defect on a InP(111) wafer. The orientation of the minor flat (red) and the major flat (green) can be found in appendix A.1. The high-frequency field has a frequency of 10.386GHz while rotating the magnetic field. For all three layers we receive a different anisotropy

Figure 7.24.: Angle dependency of the resonance field for a) 10nm, b) 20nm and c) 40nm thick NiMnSb grown on InP(111)B. All layers show a pronounced uniaxial anisotropy behaviour. With increasing NiMnSb layer thickness the anisotropy field decreases.

strength. The anisotropy field decreases while the layer thickness increases (Fig.7.25). In every measurement the easy axis has a lower resonance field than the hard axis. The easy axis is at an angle of 60° while the hard axis is at 150°. The observed FMR spectrum is surprising because theory predicts a threefold symmetry in plane instead of the observed uniaxial anisotropy. One possible explanation is the presence of another symmetry breaking. This is provided by the miscut of the substrate resulting in a regular pattern of steps terraces as already explained in chapter 6.1 and its importance for a good quality of the grown layers. From the FMR measurements we also see that the anisotropy axis is aligned in the direction of the miscut. This observation suggests that the miscut is the origin of the uniaxial anisotropy. The defects discussed in the previous subsection are strongly anisotropic and the fact that their size decreases with increasing layer thickness suggests that triangle defects are causing the magnetic anisotropy we have measured. As a conclusion, with a defined miscut we are able to have control of

Figure 7.25.: Decreasing anisotropy field during increasing NiMnSb layer thickness on InP(111)B/(In,Ga)As.
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the anisotropy in a NiMnSb layer. This might be interesting for future NiMnSb based spintronic devices.
8. Investigation of the 80K anomaly

In chapter 2 we discussed a possible present phase transition from a half-metallic ferromagnet to a normal ferromagnet in NiMnSb at 80K. Based on the results from our structural and magnetic characterization measurements, we investigate a 40nm thick NiMnSb layer. For our experiment we use a cryostat which can be adjusted in a temperature range between 4.2K and 293K in 1K steps.

The sample is grown with our best growth parameters shown in chapter 5. In Fig. 8.1 the grown heterostructure is diagrammed. To investigate the behaviour at 80K you have to measure the normal Hall coefficient, the anomalous Hall coefficient and the Hall resistivity. If the Hall coefficient and the anomalous Hall term increase in temperatures under 80K, it is a hint for a phase transition [Hor00]. In the Hall resistivity measurement from [Hor00], see Fig. 2.10 (left), the Hall resistivity follows the $T^2$ law between 4.2K and 80K and $T^{1.35}$ in higher temperatures up to room temperature.

The authors say that $\rho_{xy}$ follows the $T^2$ law if a half metal is measured and $T^{1.35}$ for a normal ferromagnet. To check the behaviour around 80K in our NiMnSb film, we need a cryostat to get all wanted temperatures and we have to process a structure with a Hall geometry on the layer. The process of structuring a so-called Hall-Bar on NiMnSb is the topic of the following chapter. It is described in detail how the process looks like and which steps and chemicals are needed for successfully creating a Hall-Bar on NiMnSb. The cryostat measurements with the processed sample are shown in chapter 8.2. There is also a short overview about the measurement geometry and the set-up.

8.1. Hall-Bar processing

The processing of a Hall-Bar on NiMnSb is based on two major points of lithography. The used techniques are optical lithography and chemical wet etching. The used wavelength by optical lithography is in the range $\lambda = 200 - 400 \text{nm}$. The transfer from the mask to the layer/resist occurs in contact printing meaning that there is a physical contact between the mask and the resist (Fig. 8.2). With that transfer technique the critical dimension $CD$ that can be printed is roughly

$$CD = \sqrt{\lambda g}$$ (8.1)
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with $\lambda$ being the wavelength of the radiation source and $g$ being the gap between mask and the layer including the thickness of the resist. For our process we are using the photo resist ARU-4040. To get the resist on top of the sample we spin coat it for 40s at 5000 rounds per minute, resulting in a thickness of approximately 1300nm. With a mercury lamp as a source of radiation we have a spectral line wavelength $\lambda_{Hg} = 436\text{nm}$.

With 8.1 we get a value of 753nm for the critical dimension. In the next step the sample is baked out for 15min at 90°C on a hot plate under atmospheric pressure before we expose for 40s the mask with the contacts on the resist. After that we can do our first development with the developer $300 - 26 : D_1 = 4 : 1$. We dip the sample in the developer for 40s. Now we deposit a gold layer on top. For this step we use an external metallisation chamber in our clean room, not the metallisation chamber from our MBE cluster (Fig.4.5). To achieve a good sticking of Au on the sample we first deposit a 10nm thick Ti layer before producing the 100nm thick Au layer. Au is needed for our contacts. To get rid of the dispensable Au we make the lift-off for about 5min in acetone. Now the six Au contacts are ready. Next step is the Hall-Bar by itself. We start again with the photo resist ARU-4040, spin coated for 40s at 5000rpm. Then again we bake out the sample for 15min at 90°C under atmospheric pressure on a hot plate and expose the mask/sample for 30s. To reverse the lithography process we bake out again the sample for 10min at 105°C. Afterwards we expose without a mask for 25s. Now the unexposed parts of the resist will be removed when dipping the sample in the developer in 300 - 26 = 1 : 2 for 60s. Etching is done with the dilution $H_3PO_4 : H_2O_2 : H_2O = 3 : 1 : 50$ for 90s. With acetone we remove the remaining resist before we glue the sample in a chip carrier. This is done with the glue Thermal Vanish for 3min at 90°C on a hot-plate. Before we can start to measure with a cryostat we have to connect the contacts from the Hall-Bar with the contacts of the chip carrier. With an UV-Bonder we can connect all contacts we need with a small gold wire. The complete processed sample is shown in Fig.8.3. This sample can now be used in our cryostat.

8.2. Temperature dependent measurements on NiMnSb

The Hall measurements are done in a simple bath cryostat working with $He_4$ to reach a temperature of 4.2K. The measurement principle of a Hall measurement is shown in Fig.8.4. The gold contact marked in pink is contact number 1. This dyed contact is only for illustration to find the correct contact number in the lower diagram of Fig.8.4. The magnetic field is generated by two coils inside the cryostat. A special feature of this cryostat is the possibility to set temperatures between 4.2K and room temperature. The displayed temperature by the cryostat (ITC) is not the real temperature. The conversion between the displayed temperature and the real temperature can be found in appendix C (C.1). In the following graphics the ITC values are used. A theoretical description of
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![Processed Micro-Hall-Bar with six contacts on NiMnSb/(In,Ga)As/InP(001) viewed with a Nomarski microscope.](image)

The Hall effect can be found in many solid state physics books e.g. [Kit01] or [Iba02]. We apply a current $I$ from contact 1 to 6, a magnetic field $\vec{B}$ perpendicular to $I$ and measure voltages

- parallel to the current = $U_{xx}$ (contacts 3 and 5)
- perpendicular to the current = $U_{xy}$ (contacts 4 and 5)

$U_{xy}$ is known as the Hall voltage $U_H$. With the measured voltages we can calculate the two resistors $R_{xx}$ and $R_{xy}$ with Ohms law to:

$$R = \frac{U}{I} \quad (8.2)$$

We arranged measurements in the temperature range between 4.2K and 293K divided into 22 steps. For every temperature step the magnetic field is driven from 0 to 5000mT. The resulting Hall resistor over magnetic field curve is shown in Fig. 8.5. The cause for the negative values of $R_{xy}$ between 0mT and 200mT is a longitudinal pickup. The longitudinal resistance of the measured sample is about 15Ω and the offset is about 0.01Ω. This circumstance means that if the Hall leads are slightly miss-aligned, so that they are not exactly opposite to each other, e.g. one of them is $\frac{1}{1000}$ of the length of the bar shifted compared to the other, than this can happen because this kind of misalignment is usually in the lithographic error. In addition to Hall you also measure a tiny part of the longitudinal resistance $R_{xx}$, which can be negative or positive depending on which direction the leads are offset.

From our data we followed the analysis of [Hor00] and calculated the Hall coefficient $R_H$ and the anomalous Hall term $R_H M_S$ like explained in [McA79] and plotted them over
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Figure 8.4.: Assembly of a bath cryostat. The magnetic field is generated by single superconducting solenoid inside the cryostat. Directions of $I$, $\vec{B}, \vec{F}_L$ are drawn. In the upper part the processed Hall-Bar is diagrammed with all connections for the measurements. The pink dyed contact is only for orientation reason.

Figure 8.5.: From Hall measurements calculated $R_{xy}$ over applied magnetic field for different temperatures.

temperature. Fig.8.6(left) shows the developing of the Hall coefficient with increasing temperature. Compared with Fig.2.10(right / •) we see almost the same behaviour for
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In Fig. 8.6 (right) we also see a different behaviour in the developing of the anomalous Hall term compared to Fig 2.10 (right / ). In our case we do not see an upturn of the anomalous Hall coefficient in the range between 4.2K and 80K.

Fig. 8.7 shows the Hall resistivity \( \rho_{xy} \) plotted over temperature. The red fit line from a 2nd order polynomial fit is also shown in the graphic. With our sample we see that \( \rho_{xy} \) not follows \( T^{1.35} \) in the range between 80K - 300K, indicated by the polynomial fit. With the different behaviour in \( R_H, R_H M_S \) and \( \rho_{xy} \) compared to \([\text{Hor00}]\) it is possible that we have grown NiMnSb with the property of a half-metallic ferromagnet at room temperature.
9. NiMnSb based devices

9.1. GMR effect in a NiMnSb based device

Our first built device uses a quantum-mechanics effect namely the GMR effect\footnote{Nobel prize for Grünberg und Fert 2008}. This effect is already utilized in conventional hard drive discs as the read head \cite{Tan94}. This magnetoresistance effect is caused by spin dependent scattering of the electrons at two magnetic electrodes \cite{Bai88}. This circumstance leads to the assumption that a high spin-polarization in the electrodes will dramatically increase the GMR effect \cite{Val93}. That makes NiMnSb a perfect candidate for such a device due to its properties characterized in chapter 2, especially the theoretical 100\% spin-polarization and the far above room temperature Curie temperature $T_C = 728 K$. In addition as a consequence of the ultra low damping of our NiMnSb layers (see chapter 7.1.2), we should be able to realise a spin valve GMR-device with a weaker magnetic field and lower power consumption because the magnetization of the layer can be switched much more easily. E. Girgis \textit{et al.} already realised a NiMnSb based GMR device with CoFe and NiMnSb as the magnetic electrodes and Cu as the spacer \cite{Gir05}. With their used CIP geometry they received a CIP-GMR of 3.5\% at room temperature. Fig.9.1 shows the sample structure we have processed. The substrate is a conducting InP(001) wafer doped with S. Beside the substrate, the buffer layer (In,Ga)As is conducting as well, followed by the half-metallic ferromagnet NiMnSb. After completing the MBE grown parts the sample is transferred under UHV conditions into the sputter chamber (see Fig.4.5) where Cu, $\text{Py}^2$ and Ru are deposited with DC sputtering. The two electrodes in this GMR sample are Py and NiMnSb. The measurements are done in a 4 point needle probe station setup at room temperature with current $I = 10 mA$ and the magnetic field parallel to the easy axis of the magnetic electrodes. In CPP geometry the magnetic field is swept from -375mT to +375mT and backwards. The voltage drop over the sample is measured and from that value the resistance change is calculated. The received magneto-resistance curve is shown in Fig.9.2. The Magneto-Resistance-Ratio is 3.4\%. When sweeping the magnetic field from -375mT to +375mT (red curve) first the NiMnSb layer switches his in-plane magnetization and a high resistance is present. Afterwards the Py layer switches and the resistance becomes small again. That later

\footnote{Py = Permalloy = 80\% Ni + 20\% Fe}
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Figure 9.2.: GMR effect measured in CPP geometry. The resistance over the applied magnetic field is plotted. The GMR ratio is 3.4%.

switching is a result of the higher coercive field of Py.
A similar technique has been already tried out using the similar magneto-resistance effect TMR [Loc06]. In this device both electrodes consists of NiMnSb and the antiferromagnet NiMn was deposited on the top electrode to get an exchange bias effect on this layer.

When sweeping backwards again (black curve) the NiMnSb layer first switches the magnetization direction and afterwards the Py layer. The measured CPP-GMR ratio up to 3.4% at room temperature shows that the theoretical 100% spin-polarization from NiMnSb is not present in NiMnSb in (001) orientation at room temperature. This result shows the necessity for growing NiMnSb on (111) oriented substrates to achieve higher GMR ratios. But nevertheless NiMnSb is a promising candidate for future GMR devices.
9.2. Spin Torque Oscillator based on NiMnSb

A spin-polarized current and its resulting spin torque has the possibility to either switch the magnetic formation or to generate high frequency signals in the GHz range in a spin valve \[\text{[Slo96], [Ber96]}\]. For the presented measurements the same stack as for the spin valve GMR measurements is used, see Fig.9.1.

From that layer sequence elliptical pillars are defined with dimensions long axis \(a = 200\,\text{nm}\), short axis \(b = 100\,\text{nm}\) and height \(h = 66\,\text{nm}\). That means the pillar ends where the buffer layer (In,Ga)As starts.

Due to the fact that this buffer layer is conducting, an isolator, namely \(\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4\), has to be deposited as the next step. The easy axis of the uniaxial anistropy NiMnSb fixed layer is arranged perpendicular to the easy axis of the free NiFe layer. The magnetic layers are in antiparallel state when the measurements starts. The measurement setup is diagrammed in Fig.9.4. The emitting frequency is measured in the connected spectrum analyser HP8560E. For combination of the dc and rf signals a biasT with a bandwidth of 40GHz is used.

In this measurement the current is sent from the fixed NiMnSb layer through the Cu spacer into the free NiFe layer.

With the created spin transfer torque we are able to switch the magnetization of the free layer at certain current densities. The processed pillar shows an almost symmetrical switching (Fig.9.3). At a current density of \(1.47 \times 10^7\,\text{A/cm}^2\) the free layer switches its magnetization parallel to the fixed NiMnSb layer and at \(-1.84 \times 10^7\,\text{A/cm}^2\) back to the antiparallel state of the device. Our built STO generates different frequencies for different applied currents. Fig.9.5a shows the different output spectra resulting from the applied currents. From all presented spectra in Fig.9.5 a reference spectrum is subtracted. This reference spectrum is the frequency spectrum measured at our sample without any applied current. Fig.9.5b shows the emitted high frequency spectra for different currents. It is clear visible that different currents shift the emitted frequency. Fig.9.6 presents the determined q-factors and emitted frequencies over the applied current of our STO.

\(^3\text{direct current}\)
\(^4\text{radio frequency}\)
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Figure 9.5.: Emitted high frequency spectra for different currents. From [Rie10].

Figure 9.6.: Emitted high frequency and achieved q-factors over applied current. From [Rie10].

A more detailed description of the fabrication process and the measurement setup can be found here [Rie10], [Rie2].

factor is defined as:

\[ Q = \frac{f}{\Delta f} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9.1)

With a current \( I = -2.51 \text{mA} \) and an emitted frequency \( f = 13.976 \text{GHz} \) we receive a q-factor of 4180. This is the highest Q factor reported so far for a STO. Compared to [Dev07] this is a factor of \( \approx 15x \) higher. Again all results are achieved without any external applied field. This makes such a STO a very good candidate for a future application for high frequency devices compared with low power consumption e.g. cell phones.
9.3. Frequency-controlled magnetic vortex memory

The following section describes the work done in corporation between Service de Physique de l’État Condensé and Experimentelle Physik 3 during the EU project DynaMax\footnote{http://dynamax.tnw.utwente.nl/}. The results have been published by B. Pigeau \textit{et al.}\cite{Pig10}.

In modern times technology is looking for different approaches of realising a non-volatile random access memory. The main aims are very low power consumption and small size of these devices, which can be achieved. One approach uses magnetic nano-objects where, with their bistable properties, binary information can be stored. A major problem is to find a performant mechanism to reverse the magnetization inside such an individual cell\cite{Sch03}.

By using a low dissipation material like NiMnSb, a magnetic nano-object can take advantage of the high dynamical susceptibility at his ferromagnetic resonance frequency. Experiments by B. Van Waeyenberge \textit{et al.}\cite{Wae06} and K. Yamada \textit{et al.}\cite{Yam07} have shown that the core polarity in such a so-called vortex-state magnetic nano dot\cite{Gus08} can be reversed in zero magnetic field through the excitation of the gyrotropic rotation of the vortex core about its equilibrium position. B. Pigeau \textit{et al.} used NiMnSb layers grown by Experimentelle Physik 3 at Würzburg university. In this work a frequency-controlled memory is demonstrated with resonance reading and writing schemes realized with NiMnSb nano dots with a magnetic bias field $H$ perpendicular to the dot surface (Fig.\ref{fig:9.7}). The read and write mechanism is realised by an in-plane linearly polarized microwave magnetic field $h$. The local addressing is achieved by means of magnetic

![Figure 9.7.](image-url)
resonance force microscope. The static magnetic field $H$ introduces a controlled splitting of the frequency of the gyrotropic mode depending on the core polarity $\text{[Lou09]}$. Now it is possible to selectively address the polarity state of individual magnetic dots by controlling the frequency of a linear polarized microwave pulse excitation. In Fig. 9.8 the consequence of the static magnetic field $H$ for the frequencies of the two different polarities $p=+/-1$ is shown. This resulting frequency splitting is direct proportional to $H$:

$$f_+(H) - f_-(H) = 2f_0(H/H_S) \quad (9.2)$$

with $H_S$ being the required magnetic field to saturate the NiMnSb dot along its normal and $f_0$ is the frequency of the gyrotropic mode at $H=0$. NiMnSb is a very good choice for the magnetic nano dots. First of all, the static magnetic field $H$ has to be chosen that Eq. (9.3) exceed the line width $\Delta f$ of the gyrotropic mode, which can be expressed as:

$$\Delta f = \alpha_v f_0 \alpha_v = \alpha [1 + \ln(R/R_C)] \quad (9.3)$$

with $\alpha_v$ being the damping parameter for the gyrotropic mode $\text{[Gus06]}$ and $\alpha$ being the dimensionless Gilbert damping constant of NiMnSb of the nano dot. The minimum for a perpendicular bias field is given by the following equation:

$$H_{\min} = (\alpha_v/2)H_S \quad (9.4)$$

To minimize $H$, the nano dots material should have a low damping. A low damping increases the aspect ratio $\beta$ which leads to a decrease in the saturation field $H_S$. As a result a lower power consumption can be assumed for such a Magnetoresistive Random Access Memory (MRAM) device. The parameters for the presented device are:

- $\alpha = 0.002$

---

$^6\beta = t/R$, with $t =$ thickness and $R =$ radius of the dot
9. NiMnSb based devices

Figure 9.9.: Read process of a magnetic vortex memory. From [Fig10].

- $\mu_0 M_0 = 690\text{mT}$
- $T_C = 730\text{K}$
- $\beta = 0.1$ (t = 44nm, R = 500nm)
- separation between single dots = 10 $\mu\text{m}$
- saturation field $\mu_0 H_S = 800\text{mT}$

The dots are placed on a gold microwave strip-line which provides h. h couples to the gyrotropic rotation of the vortex core for both polarities due that h contains right and left circular components. By changing the frequency $f$ of the microwave field h, it is possible to resonantly excite gyrotropic rotation of the vortex core in the magnetic dots. When applying a weak microwave field h, the amplitude of the gyrotropic rotation is small but enough to read the polarity when using the technique of Magnetic Resonance Force Microscope (MRFM). A detailed description of a MRFM can be found here [Kle08].

On the other hand, if the microwave field h is large and the frequency $f$ is the gyrotropic resonance frequency for a given core polarity, the velocity of the vortex core rotation reaches a point where the core polarity is reversed. This can be treated as a writing process. For the shown measurements a total static magnetic field $\mu_0 H = 65mT \approx 5\mu_0 H_{\text{min}}$ is used. With that value the magnetic dot has the gyrotropic frequencies $f_+ = 254\text{MHz}$, $f_- = 217\text{MHz}$ and $f_0 = 236\text{MHz}$. In addition the frequency line width $\Delta f$ of the gyrotropic rotation in the dot is known. It is in the order of $\Delta f \approx 8\text{MHz}$. In Fig.9.9 is shown a measurement of the reading process of this frequency-controlled magnetic vortex memory by using a MRFM. While the weak reading microwave signal is varied in the interval containing $f_+$ and $f_-$, the amplitude of the MRFM cantilever oscillation is measured.

The writing process is shown in Fig.9.10. In 9.10a the writing frequency $f_w$ of the strong writing pulses with $\tau_w=50\text{ns}$ and power $P_w \approx 100\mu\text{W}$ is illustrated. The weak reading signal frequency $f_r$ of power $P_r \approx 10\mu\text{W}$ is shown in 9.10b. $f_r$ is applied throughout the whole writing process but interrupted every second in order to apply a strong writing pulse. Again by measuring the amplitude of the MRFM cantilever oscillation, it is
possible to read out the core polarity of the magnetic dots. From Figure 9.10 it is clear that with these parameters for writing the core polarity reversal is deterministic. In this experiment with this configuration, the reversal efficiency has been determined with a success rate above 99%. For developing a frequency-controlled magnetic memory the setup in Figure 9.8 can be extended like diagrammed in Figure 9.11. To improve the functionality of this extended frequency-controlled magnetic memory, the following parameters should be adjusted. First of all β should be increased to 1 to reduce the dot saturation field $H_s$ and accordingly the minimum perpendicular bias magnetic field to $\mu_0H_{min} \approx 5\text{mT}$. As a result a static magnetic field of only 20mT would be sufficient. This can be realised by a small permanent magnet, meaning that there is no need of extra power for the static magnetic field. An optimal control of the core polarity in the magnetic nano dot realised with an optimization of the microwave-pulse duration for switching the core polarity is described here Figure 9.11.
10. Outlook

We have shown that NiMnSb can be grown epitaxial with high magnetic and crystalline quality. With these layers some more promising experiments are possible. First of all it would be useful to take advantage of the special feature of 100% spin-polarization in NiMnSb (chapter 2). All MBE pre-work has already been done when growing a n-doped (In,Ga)As layer on top of undoped (In,Ga)As/InP(111)B followed by NiMnSb. Also an e-beam process with dry-etching has been tried but without success. A new approach would be a wet-etch process based on the optical-lithography process used for our Hall-Bar in chapter 8. The sample structure of a future experiment is diagrammed in Fig. 10.1. The procedure of this experiment can follow the setup used by Xiaohua Lou et al. [Lou07]. In their experiment they investigated a lateral ferromagnet-semiconductor device consisting of doped and undoped GaAa and Fe.

Another challenging topic would be to use the NiMnSb layers grown on InP(111)B for the basis of GMR devices. The high spin-polarization should raise the MR-ratio clearly. Our preliminary work has shown that there is the possibility of no phase transition in NiMnSb around 80K and we are able to grow NiMnSb on (111) oriented substrates. Thirdly the relaxation behaviour should be investigated when using the new flux ratios. It should be possible to reach higher thickness for capped layers due to the higher crystalline quality of our layers grown with the new flux ratios. Different capping layers should be investigated as well and it should be checked if this would influence the relaxation behaviour.

Another device with NiMnSb would be a TMR stack on InP(111)B. TMR stacks have been already investigated at Experimentelle Physik 3, but these where also grown on InP(001) substrates. The advantage is that the necessary pinning layer could be grown in

Figure 10.1.: Possible spin injection experiments with NiMnSb on n-doped (In,Ga)As InP(111)B.
one step with the second electrode of a TMR stack, because NiMn is an anti-ferromagnet and can be produced just by closing the shutter in front of the Sb effusion cell. Also it would be promising to build a logic device based on the different damping behaviour of NiMnSb in different direction. The easy axis can be used as logical 1 and the hard axis as logical 0. Also because of the ultra low damping of our NiMnSb layers it should be possible to build a GMR device with very low external magnetic fields. In addition to our achieved high Q-factor the emitted output power of the STO device should be raised. A possible device can be consist out of many connected STOs.
In this work the epitaxial growth and characterization of the half-metallic ferromagnet NiMnSb was presented. NiMnSb crystallizes in the $C1_b$ structure which is similar to the zinc blende structure from widely used III-V semiconductors. One special property of NiMnSb is the theoretical 100% spin-polarization at the Fermi edge. This makes it a perfect candidate for spintronic experiments and the material of choice for building novel spintronic devices. Another important topic in this work were the magnetic properties of NiMnSb, especially the low magnetic damping of the grown thin films.

All grown layers were fabricated with the technique of Molecular Beam Epitaxy (MBE). The layer stacks for all different experiments and devices were grown on InP substrate in (001) or (111)B orientation. Before the NiMnSb layer a buffer layer of undoped (In,Ga)As was grown to provide a flat surface for the growth start of NiMnSb. Additional for some samples on InP(111)B, a Si doped (In,Ga)As layer was grown on top of the undoped (In,Ga)As layer. The dopant concentration of this n-doped layer was determined by ETCH-CV. The n-doped (In,Ga)As had a doping concentration of $n = 6 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and the undoped (In,Ga)As layer of $n = 1 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. For the now following NiMnSb layer, different flux ratios were used as in [Bac05]. All layers were investigated by structural and the NiMnSb layer additional by magnetic properties.

For the structural investigation the in-situ technique Reflection High Energy Electron Diffraction (RHEED) and ex-situ tool High-Resolution X-Ray Diffraction (HRXRD) were used. RHEED observations showed a good quality of the grown buffer and half-metallic ferromagnet layers on both orientations. These results were strengthened by the HRXRD measurement. The symmetrical reflex (004) showed that it is possible to grow a lattice-matched (In,Ga)As layer on InP(001) and InP(111)B with a good crystalline quality proofed by the visible thickness fringes. For NiMnSb again thickness fringes were visible indicating a good structural quality. Additional the vertical lattice constant could be determined. The received value of $a_{NiMnSb-\text{vertical}} = 5.925\text{Å}$ for NiMnSb on InP(001) is in good agreement to the value $a_{NiMnSb-\text{Lat}} = 5.903\text{Å}$ found in literature [Cas55]. For NiMnSb on InP(111)B a vertical lattice constant of $a_{NiMnSb-\text{vertical}} = 6.017\text{Å}$ could be determined. The deviation from the value found in literature is caused by the small layer thickness and low intensity of the peak. Caused by this just an unexact determination of the diffraction angle is possible. But not only the vertical lattice constant also the horizontal lattice constant and the proof of pseudomorphical growth was investigated. To get access to this parameters a reciprocal space map (RSM) of a (001) oriented sample was measured with HRXRD using the asymmetrical reflex (115). The horizontal lattice constant of the buffer and the half-metallic ferromagnet layer could be determined as the same of the substrate meaning that all three peaks were lying on one perpendicular line. For NiMnSb this conclusion is only valid up to a thickness of $\approx 40\text{nm}$. To increase this
maximum thickness, NiMnSb samples were grown on InP(001) substrates and capped with Ti/Au layers. Afterwards a reciprocal space map of the (533) reflex was drawn with Grazing-Incident X-Ray Diffraction (GIXRD) at the synchrotron beamline BW2 of HASYLAB [Kum07]. It has been shown that the critical thickness is more than doubled by depositing a Ti/Au capping directly after growth of NiMnSb without breaking the ultrahigh vacuum (UHV). Up to now the highest reached thickness is 81.3nm without any relaxed parts in the layer. This result showed that the relaxation of the NiMnSb layer occurs when the sample leaves the UHV.

The magnetic properties were determined with Ferromagnet Resonance (FMR) experiments and Superconducting Quantum Interference Device (SQUID) measurements. The received magnetic damping parameter $\alpha$ from a 40nm thick NiMnSb layer on InP(001) could be determined to $3.19e^{-3}$ along [1T0]. This value is lower than from Permalloy and in the range of MBE grown Fe. The resulting line width of our NiMnSb layers on InP(001) is more than 4.88 times smaller than measured before [Hei04]. Another result is the direction dependence of the damping. It has been measured that the difference of the damping is changed by more than 42% when rotating the applied field by 45° from [1T0] to [100].

With SQUID we measured a saturation magnetization of a 40nm thick NiMnSb layer as $4\mu_B$. This value is in very good agreement with the saturation magnetization found in literature [Cas55],[Kab90]. NiMnSb layers on InP(111)B substrate where also measured with FMR with a surprising result. These layers not only showed a decreasing in the anisotropy field with increasing thickness but also an uniaxial anisotropy. This is surprising because theory predicts a threefold symmetry. This behaviour can be explained with defects on these samples. With an Atomic Force Microscope (AFM) triangle-like defects were measured. These defects originated from the buffer layer and influenced the magnetic properties.

Another part of this work is dedicated to the behaviour of NiMnSb at temperatures around 80K. Different publications already investigated NiMnSb at this temperature with the result that a phase transition takes place at this point from a half-metal ferromagnet to a normal ferromagnet. With our samples, no phase transition can be observed in the data of the Hall, anomalous Hall term and resistivity. This leads to the conclusion that NiMnSb still is a half-metallic ferromagnet at room temperature.

The last part of this work discusses different spintronic devices build with our NiMnSb layers. In a first device the magnetization acts on the current. This Giant Magneto Resistance (GMR) device consisted of InP:S(001) - 180nm undoped (In,Ga)As - 40nm NiMnSb - 10nm Cu - 6nm NiFe - 10nm Ru in current perpendicular to plane (CPP) geometry. GMR effect measurements were performed at room temperature. We received a Magneto-Resistance-Ratio of 3.4%.

In a second device the current acts on the magnetization and makes use of the spin torque phenomena. This so called Spin Torque Oscillator (STO) emitted frequencies in the GHz range (13.94GHz - 14.1GHz) and the Q-factor could be determined as 4180 which is 15 times higher than in [Dev07]. At current densities of $1.47 \cdot 10^7 \frac{A}{cm^2}$ and $-1.84 \cdot 10^7 \frac{A}{cm^2}$ the switching of the electrodes magnetization could be detected.

The last fabricated device is based on the magnetic vortex phenomena. For switching
Summary

the core polarity the gyrotropic frequencies $f_+ = 254 MHz$ $f_- = 217 MHz$ and a total static magnetic field of only $\mu_0 H = 65 mT$ were necessary. The reversal efficiency has been determined as better than 99% [Lou09]. This frequency controlled magnetic vortex memory is the first step towards building a small-sized memory device without the use of a power-supplied static magnetic field. Such a device will be only controlled by a frequency and a small permanent magnet.
Zusammenfassung


Alle gewachsenen Schichten wurden mit der Molekularstrahl-Epitaxie Technik (MBE) hergestellt. Die Schichtstapel für alle unterschiedlichen Experimente und Anwendungen wurden auf InP Substrate in (001) oder (111)B Orientierung abgeschieden. Vor der NiMnSb Schicht wurde eine undotierte (In,Ga)As Pufferschicht gewachsen, um eine glatte Oberfläche für das Wachstum für NiMnSb bereitzustellen. Für einige Proben auf InP(111)B wurde zusätzlich eine Si-dotierte (In,Ga)As-Schicht auf die undotierte (In,Ga)As-Schicht gewachsen. Die Dotierungskonzentration der n-dotierten Schicht wurde per ETCH-CV bestimmt. Die n-dotierte (In,Ga)As-Schicht hatte ein Dotierungskonzentration von \( n = 6 \cdot 10^{17} \frac{1}{cm^2} \) und die undotierte (In,Ga)As-Schicht von \( n = 1 \cdot 10^{17} \frac{1}{cm^2} \). Für die nun folgenden NiMnSb-Schichten wurden abweichende Flussverhältnisse verwendet, wie in [Bac05] beschrieben. Alle Schichten wurden auf strukturelle Eigenschaften und die NiMnSb-Schichten zusätzlich auf magnetische Eigenschaften untersucht.

Für die strukturellen Untersuchungen wurde die in-situ Technik RHEED und das ex-situ Werkzeug der hochauflösenden Röntgenbeugung (HRXRD) verwendet. Auf beiden Orientierungen zeigten die RHEED-Beobachtungen eine gute Qualität der gewachsenen Puffer- und halb-metallischen Ferromagnetschichten. Dieses Ergebnis wurde durch die HRXRD-Messungen bestärkt. Die Röntgenbeugungsmessungen zeigten ebenfalls, dass es möglich ist, eine auf InP gitterangepasste (In,Ga)As-Schicht mit guter Qualität zu wachsen, was durch die sichtbaren Schichtdickenoszillationen bestätigt wurde. Für NiMnSb waren ebenfalls Schichtdickenoszillationen sichtbar, welche eine gute strukturelle Qualität anzeigen. Zusätzlich konnte die vertikale Gitterkonstante bestimmt werden. Der erhaltene Wert von NiMnSb auf InP(001) \( a_{\text{NiMnSb--vertikal}} = 5.925 \text{Å} \) ist in guter Übereinstimmung mit dem Literaturwert \( a_{\text{NiMnSb--Lit}} = 5.903 \text{Å} \) [Cas55]. Für NiMnSb auf InP(111)B wurde eine vertikale Gitterkonstante von \( a_{\text{NiMnSb--vertikal}} = 6.017 \text{Å} \) bestimmt.

1 vom englischen \textit{Molecular Beam Epitaxy}
2 von der englischen Bezeichnung: \textit{Reflection High Energy Electron Diffraction}
Zusammenfassung


Die magnetischen Eigenschaften wurden mit Ferromagnet Resonanz (FMR) Experimenten und einem superleitenden Quantuminterferenz Messsystems (SQUID\[^{[7]}\]) bestimmt. Der gemessene magnetische Dämpfungsparameter $\alpha$ einer 40nm dicken NiMnSb Schicht auf InP(001) wurde zu $3.19 \times 10^{-3}$ entlang $[1\overline{1}0]$ bestimmt. Dieser Wert ist niedriger als von Permalloy und in der Größenordnung von MBE gewachsenen Fe. Die resultierende Linienbreite von unseren Schichten auf InP(001) ist mehr als 4.88 mal kleiner als bei \[Hei04\] gemessen. Ein weiteres Ergebnis ist die Richtungsabhängigkeit der Dämpfung. Es wurde gemessen, dass die Dämpfung sich um mehr als 42% ändert, wenn das angelegte Feld um 45° von $[1\overline{1}0]$ nach $[100]$ gedreht wird.

Mit SQUID messten wir die Sättigungsmagnetisierung von einer 40nm dicken NiMnSb-Schicht zu $4 \mu_B$. Dieser Wert ist in sehr guter Übereinstimmung mit dem Literaturwert der Sättigungsmagnetisierung \[Cas55, Kab90\].


Ein weiterer Teil dieser Arbeit widmete sich dem Verhalten von NiMnSb bei Temperaturen um die 80K. Verschiedene Veröffentlichungen haben bereits NiMnSb bei dieser Temperatur untersucht, mit dem Ergebnis, dass an diesem Punkt ein Phasenübergang

\[^{[7]}\text{Super conducting Quantum Interference Device}\]
\[^{[8]}\text{Atomic Force Microscope}\]
von einem halb-metallischen Ferromagneten zu einem normalen Ferromagneten stattfindet. In unserer Probe konnte solch ein Phasenübergang in den Messdaten des normalen Hall Koeffizienten, anomalen Hall-Term und Leitungswiderstand nicht beobachtet werden. Dies führt zu der Folgerung, dass NiMnSb immer noch ein halb-metallischer Ferromagnet bei Raumtemperatur ist.

Der letzte Teil dieser Arbeit behandelt verschiedene Spintronikanwendungen, welche aus unseren NiMnSb-Schichten gebaut wurden. In einer ersten Anwendung agiert die Magnetisierung auf einen Strom I. Die so genannte GMR\textsuperscript{5} Anwendung besteht aus InP:S(001) - 180nm undotierten (In,Ga)As - 40nm NiMnSb - 10nm Cu - 6nm NiFe - 10nm Ru in CPP Geometrie\textsuperscript{6}. Die GMR-Effekt Messungen wurden bei Raumtemperatur durchgeführt. Wir erhielten ein MR-Verhältnis von 3.4%.

In einer zweiten Anwendung agiert der Strom I auf die Magnetisierung und nutzt dabei das Phänomen des Spin-Drehmomentes aus. Dieser so genannte Spin Torque Oscillator (STO) emittiert Frequenzen im GHz Bereich (13.94GHz - 14.1GHz) und der Q-Faktor wurde zu 4173 bestimmt, welcher mehr als 20mal höher ist als in \cite{Dev07}. Bei Stromdichten von $1.47 \cdot 10^7 \text{ A/cm}^2$ und $−1.84 \cdot 10^7 \text{ A/cm}^2$ konnte ein Umschalten der Magnetisierung der Elektroden detektiert werden.

Die letzte hergestellte Anwendung basiert auf dem magnetischen Wirbelphänomen. Für das Umschalten der Kernpolarität sind die gyrotropischen Frequenzen $f_+ = 254 MHz$ und $f_- = 217MHz$ und ein totales, statisches magnetisches Feld von nur $\mu_0 H = 65mT$ nötig. Die Umkehreffizienz wurde besser als 99% bestimmt. Dieser frequenzkontrollierte magnetische Wirbel-Speicher ist der erste Schritt zur Herstellung von stark verkleinerten Speicheranwendungen, welche ohne ein statisches magnetisches Feld auskommen. Die Ansteuerung erfolgt alleine über die eingekoppelte Frequenz.

\textsuperscript{5} Giant Magneto Resistance
\textsuperscript{6} current perpendicular to plane
A. Materials data and constants

A.1. Wafer map InP(001)

Figure A.1.: Wafer map of our used InP(001) samples. The crystal directions are marked. The minor flat is dyed red and the major flat is dyed green.

A.2. Wafer map InP(111)

Figure A.2.: Wafer map of our used InP(111) samples. The crystal directions are marked. The minor flat is dyed red and the major flat is dyed green.
A.3. Lattice constants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$a_0$ [Å]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$InP$</td>
<td>5.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$GaAs$</td>
<td>5.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$NiMnSb$</td>
<td>5.903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ni_2MnSb$</td>
<td>6.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.1.: Important lattice constants $a_0$ of materials used or discussed in this work.
B. Hall-Bar processing recipes

B.1. Recipe for Hall-Bars on NiMnSb

- Clean sample : Dip in acetone, then in isopropanol and last in distilled water (DI)
- Photoresist ARU-4040 on sample with spin coater (40s@5000rpm)
- Bake-out for 15min@90°C on hot plate
- Exposure for 20s with mask ”Hall-Bar negative”
- Developing 40s in Developer 300-26:DI=1:4
- Evaporating 10nm Ti + 100nm Au
- Lift-off in acetone
- Photoresist ARU-4040 on sample with spin coater (40s@5000rpm)
- Bake-out for 15min@90°C
- Exposure for 30s with mask ”Hall-Bar negative”
- Bake-out 600s@1005°C on hot plate
- Exposure for 25s
- Developing 60s in Developer 300-26:DI=1:2
- Etching NiMnSb : 90s with $H_3PO_4 : H_2O_2 : H_2O = 3 : 1 : 50$
- Remove resist in acetone
- Glue sample in chip carrier with Glue Thermal Vanish for 180s@90°C on hot plate
- Bond the sample
B. Hall-Bar processing recipes

B.2. Recipe for Hall-Bars on (In,Ga)As

- Clean sample: Dip in acetone, then in isopropanol and last in distilled water (DI)
- Photoresist ARU-4040 on sample with spin coater (40s@5000rpm)
- Bake-out for 15min@90°C
- Exposure for 20s with mask "Hall-Bar negative"
- Developing 35s in Developer 300-26:DI=1:4
- Evaporating 10nm Ti + 100nm Au
- Lift-off in acetone
- Photoresist ARU-4040 on sample with spin coater (40s@5000rpm)
- Bake-out for 15min@90°C
- Exposure for 30s with mask "Hall-Bar positive"
- Bake-out 600s@1005°C on hot plate
- Exposure for 25s
- Developing 60s in Developer 300-26:DI=1:2
- Etching: Citron acid: $H_2O_2 = 1 : 1$ etching rate = $1 \frac{nm}{sec}$ at (In,Ga)As
- Remove resist in acetone
- Glue sample in chip carrier with Glue Thermal Vanish for 180s@90°C on hot plate
- Bond the sample
B.3. Hall-Bar mask

Figure B.1.: Used Hall-Bar mask with length and width in µm.
C. Temperature conversion table

In following table the reader can find the conversion between the temperature displayed by the cryostat (ITC) and the real present temperature during cool down.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITC / K</th>
<th>real temperature / K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>292.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>265.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>232.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>210.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>188.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>146.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>130.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>107.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>89.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>84.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>79.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>75.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>70.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>64.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>51.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table C.1.: Conversion table for ITC value from cryostat to real present temperature during cool down.
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