The great political and cultural upheavals of the 19th and 20th centuries had repercussions for the Jews of Eastern and South-Eastern Europe that extended up to the beginning of the Second World War, when the great majority of European Jews were murdered. The Jews of Eastern Europe and the Jews of South-Eastern Europe were faced with different political contexts: the Partitions of Poland in the first case, the collapse of the Ottoman Empire in the latter case. However, the Ashkenazim and Sephardim could take advantage of their rapprochement with the majority culture and their mobilisation which had been growing since the turn of the century. This is evident above all in the migration processes triggered by the shifting borders in Eastern Europe and the Balkans and by the increasing impoverishment of many Jews. Often, the Jewish migrants settled initially in the large European cities before finally leaving their homeland and emigrating overseas.
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The 19th Century

Eastern Europe: Poland, Russia, Hungary

The Russian Empire gained lands from each of the three Partitions of Poland in 1772, 1793 and 1795 (Media Link #ab), significantly increasing the number of non-Russians under its rule.¹ This continuous rise of non-Russian subjects was unprecedented.² It forced the Russian government to adopt a clear political position towards the non-Russians and to create a new legal and institutional framework for dealing with them. In addition, Empress Catherine the Great (1729–1796) (Media Link #ac) used the principle of Enlightened rule³ as the foundation of her integrative political decisions. This created tensions with the traditional social order based upon the Russian Orthodox state church.

Following the First Partition of Poland, the increase in non-Russian subjects remained manageable. As long as this was the case, Catherine the Great could issue effective *ukases* (tsarist edicts) aimed at integrative reforms. However, this legislation on minorities was unchartered water for Catherine and the experimental character of her *ukases* usually became apparent when they were put into practice. Often the regional, non-Jewish interest groups insisted on their privi-
leges and the traditional structures of society, economy and power. As a result, the empress's integrative measures were drowned in a rising flood of particularistic exceptions.

Following the Second and, at the latest, the Third Partition of Poland, the Russian government had to coordinate its approach to the Ukrainians, Lithuanians, German, Poles, Jews and other ethnic groups of the annexed multiethnic and multireligious Poland-Lithuania. Catherine's Enlightened concept for the integration of all her subjects encountered resistance from both pragmatists and conservatives: the former saw the empress's reforms as too idealistic and illusory, the latter as a threat to the existing social order. For example, after the First Partition, Catherine, who regarded the Jewish population as members of the class of tradesmen and merchants, had increasingly sought to resettle the East European Jews in the cities. However, in the 1790s this turned out to be unfeasible due to opposition from the urban Christian population and magistrates. Moreover, the feudal ties (via posrednictw/o faktorstwo) of the Jewish leaseholders to their Polish liege lords of the szlachta (Polish landed nobility) were too well established for the forced resettlement to the cities to succeed.

The government could not come up with a coherent response to the increasing impoverishment of the Jewish population, which harmed the Russian tax revenues. The Tsarina saw the economic ruin facing Jewish communities as a problem inherited from Poland-Lithuania. The latter had employed the qahal (Jewish community) as a tax-raising organ. However, during the 17th and 18th centuries, immense rises in the tax burden had progressively forced the Jewish communities into debt. Under Russian rule, the qahal initially retained its role as a tax-raising organ.

In the last years of her reign, Catherine the Great increasingly neglected these earlier integrative policies, as did her successors. The Jews in the Russian Empire were again treated as a special group. Massive pressure from Russian merchants led to a ban on Jewish immigration to Moscow and the core Russian regions. This chimed with the traditional Russian idea of an ethnically and religiously homogenous heartland. Accordingly, in 1804 Tsar Alexander I (1777–1825) established an area of settlement for the Jews in the conquered Polish areas — a territory in the western part of the Russian Empire beyond whose borders Jews could neither settle nor work. This later became known as the Čerta postojannoj evrejskoj osedlosti (The Pale of Settlement). The Pale existed until the end of the Russian Empire. However, during the 17th and 18th centuries, immense rises in the tax burden had progressively forced the Jewish communities into debt. Under Russian rule, the qahal initially retained its role as a tax-raising organ.

In the 19th century, two political decisions had a particularly negative impact on the Jews. First, in 1812, the Russian government banned Jews from exercising the propinacja (the monopoly on the distillation and sale of alcohol). Together with the forced resettlement to the cities by the Russian tsars, this contributed to the impoverishment of the Jews because many relied on the licenses from the nobility to produce and sell alcohol for their livelihoods. As a result of the ban, a large number of destitute Jewish leaseholders migrated into the cities. In the Polish feudal system, the propinacja had also been the main source of revenue for the szlachta, who had farmed out the alcohol monopoly to the highest — normally Jewish — bidder. It was precisely the leaseholders' intermediary position between the nobles and the non-Jewish peasants that created constant social tension. The church, fearing the moral decay of the enserfed Christian peasants, stoked this discord by adding a moralising edge to it.

Second, in 1844, the abolition of the institution of the qahal as a tax-raising and ritual community ended one of the oldest Jewish forms of organisation in Eastern Europe. However, this also represented the Russian government's first
step to the creation of a modern administrative state.

The complex, multicausal interaction of minority policies and economic development in the Russian Empire meant that the abolition of the qahal led to a striking increase in poverty among the Jewish population. This was exacerbated by the significant trends towards urbanisation among Jews in the 19th century. The urban Jewish population had traditionally made up of a small upper class of merchants and a large number of traders and craftsmen (for example grocers, shoemakers, traders in fabrics etc.). It was now swelled by the stream of former rural residents who moved to the cities in search of a new way of making a living. This process varied from region to region: in the east and south east, the regions with an underdeveloped economy, the shtetl (communities with a high proportion of Jews) continued to form the lifeworld of many Jews; by contrast, in the Polish and Lithuanian areas, Industrialisation (Media Link #af) – and thus the incentive to move to the larger cities – was considerably stronger. As a result, a Jewish proletariat emerged in the cities. It created its own workers’ movement in 1897 by founding the Bund (Algemeyner Yidisher Arbeter Bund in Lite, Poyln un Rusland), which acquired considerable political importance in the 20th century. This trade-union and cultural organisation had a national and secular understanding of Jewishness. In this way, it paralleled the development of the other national movements in Eastern and South-Eastern Europe in the 19th century.

These developments in the 19th century created migratory movements after the Partitions of Poland. For its inhabitants, the end of Poland-Lithuania meant changes in borders between the individual states. Consequently, the Jews found themselves the subjects of new states. Immigration to Russia was largely impossible due to the introduction of the Pale. By contrast, the Jews of Galicia, which had become part of the Habsburg Monarchy, and the new subjects of the Prussian province Posen had the opportunity to settle in Central Europe. Above all, the larger cities and the capitals of Prussia and the Habsburg Monarchy attracted the Jewish migrants. These industrialised regions offered good opportunities to find work. Over the second half of the 19th century, the number of possible destinations for migration increased: in addition to the traditional Pale, the attractive option of settling in Western Europe emerged. Furthermore, the growth of the transatlantic infrastructure facilitated immigration to the USA (Media Link #ag) and the Latin American states, an option chosen mostly by young people. Between 1881 and 1897 alone, roughly half a million Jews, about ten percent of the 5.2 million Jews registered in the Russian census of 1897, left their East European home. Another cause of this mass emigration were the anti-Jewish pogroms in the Russian Empire. These reached their apex in the unstable period between 1903 (the pogrom of Kishinev) and 1905 (about 500 pogroms took place during the First Russian Revolution). The overseas emigration of Jewish Russians was normally preceded by internal migration from the shtetl to the larger cities, where it was easier to find work.

Because of the delayed industrialisation and the resulting poor job market in Eastern Europe, many Jews relocated to the major Central European cities, above all Vienna, in order to remain close to their families. Those who did not stay in Vienna or did not see a future for themselves in the Polish and Galician cities used the opportunity to emigrate to the USA or other American states in order to begin a new life there.

The rapid growth of the Jewish population drastically worsened their economic situation in Eastern Europe. Reports from relatives who had already emigrated, for example to the USA, painted an enticing picture of the economic and individual opportunities provided by this country.

The developments in Hungary were very different to those in Russia. Jews first settled in Hungary in large numbers after the three Partitions of Poland, primarily from Galicia, which was now under Habsburg rule. This wave of immigration reached its peak in the middle of the 19th century. By 1910, around 930,000 Jews lived in Hungary, making up about five percent of the population. Hungary’s unique treatment of its Jewish population in the 19th century was evident in the Magyarisation pursued here which created a large class of Enlightened Hungarian Jews, most notably in Budapest. This development was expressed in the rapid emergence of a liberal Jewish community (the so-called Neology) with a reformed rite; by contrast, the Jews in the peripheries of the South Carpathians and some regions of what is now Romania were predominantly Orthodox or Hasidic.
The political conditions in the Balkans were entirely different to those in Eastern Europe. In the 19th century, the multinational Ottoman Empire was increasingly confronted with ethnic and economic crises. Influenced by nationalism, the individual peoples broke away from the High Porte (the government of the Ottoman Empire) and, one after another, founded their own nation states. The explosive power of the opposition to the rule of the Sultans was a result of the ethno-religious understanding of the nation held by many non-Islamic subjects, who understood their national identity to dovetail with their religious affiliation. Between the 16th and 20th centuries, the millet system regulated the minority status of the non-Muslims and the autonomy of religious communities in the Ottoman Empire. The millets (confessional communities) had a religious rather than an ethnic basis. The distinction between Muslims and dhimmis ("people benefiting from protection", i.e. Christians and Jews, who, according to Islamic law, were subject to special legal provisions as members of one of the religions of the book) had served as a method of structuring the population since the early modern period. However, in the 19th century it provided the impetus for the separatist desires of most of the individual peoples in South-Eastern Europe. The earlier division of ethnic and religious identity did not correspond to the modern understanding of the nation and nation state. The Jews, however, were in a special position in that they could make no territorial claims in the Balkans.

During the Tanzimat period (1839–1876), which began with the imperial edict known as Hatt-i şerif of Gülhane in 1839, the millet system was reformed. Sultan Abdülmecid I (1823–1861) (Media Link #ah) ended the earlier fundamental social distinction between Muslim subjects and dhimmis. He introduced a modified system of various millets that no longer distinguished communities primarily by means of their religious affiliation to Judaism or the different Christian denominations but classified the various ethnic groups based on their national consciousness. These ethnic groups retained their autonomy within the Ottoman Empire. At the same time, a guarantee of access to education and high office was supposed to provide them with more equality within society as a whole. These reforms benefited above all the Greek and Armenian millets, but also helped the Jews: for example, in 1835 the office of the Haham başı in Istanbul was created, to which Abraham Levi Paşa (in office 1835–1839) was appointed. Other cities in the Ottoman Empire also had their own Chief Rabbi, but the Chief Rabbi of Istanbul was the primus inter pares who also acted as the official representative of Judaism in the Ottoman Empire. The Tanzimat period was reconfirmed in 1856 with the İlahat fermanı (The Rescript of Reform). However, in the following years, Sultan Abdüllahmid II (1842–1918) (Media Link #ai), who reigned from 1876 to 1909, imposed conservative policies ending the period of reform. The main aim of the modernisation of the empire was not the integration of the different peoples of the Ottoman Empire but was instead concerned with the military (the power of the Janissaries had been a constant threat to the court), admission to the state bureaucracy and education, and modern infrastructure.

In the end, the new version of the millet system turned out to be contradictory. Inspired by nationalism, the millets in the Balkans hoped to found their own nation states rather than wait for emancipation within the Ottoman Empire. The separatist impetus derived from the ethno-religious differentiation of the individual peoples proved too strong and the High Porte’s reforms came too late to restrict it.

However, the Jews in the Ottoman Empire viewed these separatist tendencies sceptically. The Jewish population in the Balkans and western Asia Minor were primarily Sephardic. They had been expelled from the Iberian peninsula by the Alhambra Decree of 1492 and had settled in the Ottoman Empire. For them, the empire was the guarantor of freedom and safety. In contrast, the newly emerging nation states were seen as potential agents of antisemitism (Media Link #ak). As a result, the Sephardic immigrants were in favour of a continued existence of the Ottoman Empire, which, however, was disintegrating. At the same time, reformist programmes critical of tradition acquired popularity among Jews. In 1840, the anachronistic blood libel (Media Link #al) trial of Damascus led Western governments to support the South-East European Jews and the Haskalah circles (Media Link #am) based there. Moreover, the Frances and Ashkenazim (Media Link #an) in the Ottoman Empire helped found a large number of schools sponsored by the Alliance Universelle Israélite (AUI) (Media Link #ao). These aimed to provide Ottoman Jews with better ca-
reer prospects and a modern approach to their own traditions by giving them a Western education. Orthodox communi-
ties in the Ottoman Empire largely did not accept the AUI. However, its schools were an enormous success. Their
pragmatic educational programme helped counter the impoverishment of the Jewish population that had begun in the
19th century (a product of the economic competition with the Armenians and the general downturn in trade).

The Nation States of Serbia, Greece, Bosnia and Croatia

Serbia was the first country to separate from the Ottoman Empire in the 19th century. In the Second Serbian Uprising
(1815–1817) under Miloš Obrenović (1783–1860) (Media Link #ap), the country achieved autonomy but remained
subject to Ottoman sovereignty. The Obrenović dynasty could finally declare its independence from the High Porte only
in 1867. Serbia had already introduced anti-Jewish laws that hit the rural Jewish population in 1846 and 1861. These
prohibited Serbian Jews from buying land in order to initiate their expulsion from the countryside. Consequently, the ma-
jority of the rural Jewish population emigrated to the capital. The constitution of 1869 did provide Jews with some legal
guarantees. Genuine integration, however, only came in 1888 as a result of increasing foreign political pressure. Serbia
was forced to implement measures protecting its Jewish residents in the Treaty of Berlin (1878) (Media Link #aq)
and in its 1887 agreement with the Ottoman Empire.

Greece became independent in 1830. However, it was only a rump state that did not include the large Jewish commu-
nity of Saloniki. The dispute over this city became virulent during the First World War (see section 2.10).

Austria-Hungary occupied Bosnia in 1878 and annexed it in 1908. The large Jewish community in Sarajevo and the Bos-
nian Muslims now became part of the Habsburg Empire. Some Ashkenazi Jews from Croatia therefore moved to the
Bosnian capital.

In Croatia, one must distinguish between the Dalmatian Jews, who had been influenced by Venetia and Italy, and the
Jews in the north of the country, which had been part of Hungary since 1102. The modern Jewish settlement in the capi-
tal Zagreb only began in the 19th century. In many respects, developments among the Ashkenazim paralleled those in
Hungary: since 1841, the Jewish community had split into the majority of reform-minded Neologists and a small Ortho-
dox group. The latter only achieved recognition as a religious community in 1873, only to lose this recognition again in
1906. Following the Austro-Hungarian occupation of Bosnia in 1878, some Sephardic families settled in Zagreb, too.
Thereafter, the Jewish community was made up of three groups – the Ashkenazi Neologists, who were the majority, the
segregationist Orthodox Ashkenazim, and the Sephardim. In contrast to the situation in Sarajevo, the majority of Jews,
as in Hungary, belonged to the urban middleclasses. They used German as their language of daily communication
rather than Hungarian or Croatian in order to emphasise their identification with the Habsburg Empire, from whose Ger-
man-speaking areas they had originated.

The 20th Century

Introduction

In the developments affecting Jews in interwar Eastern and South-Eastern Europe, one can see common features that
crossed national and regional borders, but there were also differences between individual states. One shared factor
was that the nation states created after the First World War (in so far as they had not already emerged in the 19th cen-
tury) came into being on the vast territories of the Russian, Ottoman and Austro-Hungarian empires. Accordingly, the
newly founded nation states were structured around not only national identities but also (implicitly) the old regional di-
visions.
After the First World War, the Jews who had come to an arrangement with the governments of the large states of Eastern and South-Eastern Europe in the 19th century had to readjust their social and political bearings. The Ashkenazim and Sephardim, who tended to be conservative, initially clung on to the prewar order. This brought them into conflict with the new concepts of the state, not least because the pre-1914 concepts of Jewish integration were now out of the question in most countries.

The Jewish scepticism towards premature assimilation awakened an open or latent antisemitism among the majority populations. To a certain extent, this was coupled with a fear of the Soviet regime in the East, which was perceived as Jewish, or with the rejection of Zionism (Media Link #ar). Certainly, most East and South-East European countries had authoritarian and nationalist governments. However, no group professing an antisemitic ideology as the foundation of the state won power or an electoral majority. The tragic fate of the Ashkenazi Jews in Eastern Europe and the Sephardim in the Balkans, who had had deep roots in these areas for centuries and represented the largest part of the Jewish Diaspora, came about because of external pressures – through the events of the Second World War and the expansion of Nazi Germany, which subordinated the nation states to its direct rule or installed puppet regimes that more or less cooperated with Hitler’s government.

The Second Polish Republic

In 1918, Poland was able to re-establish itself as an independent state for the first time since the three Partitions of Poland at the end of the 18th century. After the Ukrainians, the Jews were the second largest minority in the state. Historical developments had left Polish Jews as an extremely inhomogeneous group. It consisted of four communities: the Galician Jews from the former Austria-Hungarian Empire, the Jews of industrialised Congress Poland, the Jews of the areas annexed from Russia on the Lithuanian border and in Volhynia and the former Prussian Jews, who also demonstrated a high level of acculturation.

As a result of successful lobbying of – mainly – American delegates at the peace conference in Versailles the Jews in Poland received extensive minority rights in 1919. These included for instance the right to their own schools and the freedom to exercise religion in the way they chose, extending to the right to observe their religious holidays. The last laws that discriminated against Jewish citizens were, however, only abolished in 1931.

Compared to the neighbouring states, the Jews of interwar Poland were the most politically active. At the same time, however, they were deeply divided, above all on the question of Zionism. The Jewish parties can be divided into four categories. The most successful organisation of the Orthodox Jews (including the Hasidim) was Agudas Yisroel ("Union of Israel"). It aimed to secure their culturally and socially hermetic lifeworld and cultivate the Yiddish language. The members of the Bund, the secularist Jewish workers’ party, also wanted to support Yiddish, albeit on the basis of their secular understanding of nationhood. They only began cooperating with the Polish socialists of the PPS in the 1930s, which turned out to be too late. In addition, there were the Zionists, who were riven by internal divisions. The most important party was Poale Zion ("Workers of Zion"), which advocated not only Zionism but also Modern Hebrew. Added to this were those willing to assimilate, who, however, did not create their own political parties.

In Poland, it was impossible to found an independent Jewish umbrella organisation that could integrate these different tendencies. Consequently, the Jewish parties were weak and could be easily manipulated.

Domestic politics in the 1920s were relatively peaceful in Poland. However, in the 1930s, even before the beginning of the Second World War, a decisive turn to the right tinged with antisemitism took place. This reached its apex following the death of the leading politician Józef Piłsudski (1867–1935) (Media Link #as) in 1935. In 1936, the Sejm (the lower chamber of the Polish parliament) passed a law on the ritual slaughter of animals that strictly restricted this prac-
tice in regions with a Jewish population of under three percent. Measures to “promote” the emigration of Jews and various boycotts of Jewish shops followed, before Poland once again lost its independence as a result of the invasions by Nazi Germany on September 1 and the Red Army on September 17, 1939.

Lithuania After the First World War

Between the wars, Lithuania was a small agrarian state that had lost its capital Vilnius (Polish: Wilno) to Poland. Instead, Kaunas (Russian: Kovno; Polish: Kowno) became the provisional seat of government. For Lithuanian Jews, this also meant the loss of their spiritual centre, and the proportion of the Jewish population fell to 7.3 percent. On the other hand, the Jews placed great hopes in the new state, which initially was very willing to cooperate and granted them wide-ranging autonomy. Jews received the status of a national minority. The young state, which in contrast to Poland still had a low level of national consciousness, promoted Jewish equality in the first years of the rule of Augustinas Voldemaras (1883–1942), who became president in 1918. Of great symbolic value were the decrees that stipulated that street signs in Kaunas should also be available in Hebrew and that allowed the use of Yiddish in the parliament. The Jewish hope for an “East European Switzerland” proved, however, to be deceptive. The advantageous conditions were a “marriage of convenience only” for the foundation of the state: the Lithuanian representatives agitating in favour of an independent Lithuania had sought to gain the international aid of Zionist interest groups. In the mid-1920s, the rights of the Jewish minority were limited step by step. Nevertheless, Lithuania maintained a comparatively positive attitude towards the Jews for long into the 1930s. Jewish culture flourished, as did the state-subsidised schools, in particular those sponsored by the Zionists, which were common in Lithuania.

The Soviet Union

One can see a similar change in the Soviet Union, albeit taking place in a different direction: after the October Revolution of 1917, the Bolsheviks condemned the antisemitic pogroms of the past. As a result, a young Jewish generation within Russia was able to develop sympathies for the new system. In accordance with the early Leninist line, Jews received access to the highest offices of state and party. Naturally, this was accompanied by a secularisation of the generation affected. The dissolution of the Pale after the February Revolution led to mass migrations within the Soviet Union. By 1939, around 40 percent of its Jewish inhabitants had left the former area of settlement. In 1926, the Jewish population of Moscow made up 6.5 percent of the city’s inhabitants. The programme of Korenizacija in the 1920s served to stabilise the system by admitting loyal non-Russians to key positions in the state. However, it distanced Jews from their religion and history and led to a rise in antisemitism among the non-Jewish population. Zionist ambitions were now persecuted and Hebrew superseded by Russian (and occasionally Yiddish). From about the end of the 1920s, the policy towards Jews changed when Josef Stalin (1879–1953) defeated the Jewish triumvirate of Lev Trotsky (1879–1940), Grigory Zinov'ev (1883–1936) and Lev Kamenev (1883–1936). These developments reached a peak with the Stalinist purges occurring since 1948 and continued up to Stalin's death in 1953. As early as 1939, Jews were systematically removed from their positions of leadership. Stalin's mistrust towards Jews arose primarily from his Cold War suspicion that they were spying for the enemy and from the foundation of the State of Israel, which made him doubt the loyalty of Jews in his own ranks. The discrimination and persecution of Jews in the Soviet Union were officially seen as anti-Zionism rather than antisemitism. As early as the 1920s, the Soviet leadership believed it could deflect charges of antisemitism with the claim that the old Jewish world had been dismantled by Jewish Bolsheviks.

Czechoslovakia

Like the state itself and the peoples that populated it, Jews in Czechoslovakia were not a homogenous group. There was a west-east divide and, in practice, three distinct Jewries existed. In the Czech half, the majority were reform-minded and secularised Jews, whose cultural orientations were towards the defunct Habsburg dynasty and the German language. Many had important places in the German-language cultural life of the first half of the 20th century (for example Franz Kafka (1883–1924) and Victor Ullmann (1898–1944)). In Slovakia, there was a strong Orthodox element from the former Hungarian crownlands centred in Bratislava. Lastly, in Sub-
carpathia there existed a Hasidic tradition which used to be influenced by Galicia. There was also a noticeable statistical divide: in 1921 Jews only made up 1.1 percent of the population in the Czech half of the state, while in the east the figure went up to 14.1 percent. The liberal Czech Jews were an urban minority, while the overwhelming majority of the Jewish population in Slovakia and Subcarpathia lived in villages and small towns. The treatment of the Jewish minority was different in the two halves of the country. The Czechs possessed a long secular tradition. By contrast, the Catholic clergy occupied an important position in Slovakian society. Here, an open, religiously motivated anti-Judaism often reared its head in the interwar period.

The open policy of the first state president Tomáš Masaryk (1850–1937) set the tone in the 1920s. In the following decade, Czechoslovakia, as the richest East European country, did not experience any significant economic tension that could be channelled into antisemitic agitation. The Second World War changed the situation fundamentally. The Munich Agreement of 1938 granted autonomy to Slovakia. A clerico-fascist regime came to power that caused many Jews to emigrate to Hungary. Anti-Jewish legislation was introduced imitating that of Hungary (see section 2.6). During the Second World War, under the rule of President Jozef Tiso (1887–1947), the remaining Jews were deported to the death camps.

Hungary

Following the First World War, Hungary shrank to a fraction of its former size as a result of the Treaty of Trianon in 1920. A nation state emerged that lacked the large national and religious minorities which Hungary had possessed in the past. In 1919, there had been a short socialist intermezzo in the form of the Soviet republic under Béla Kun (1886–1939). 20 out of 26 of the ministers in his government had had Jewish roots. This helped create an antisemitic atmosphere in the state which succeeded it (also in 1919).

Hungarian Jews made up 5.9 percent of the population in 1920. Half of these lived in Budapest, making up a quarter of the city's population. The majority of the Budapest Jews were Neologists. The centre of Orthodoxy was along the Czechoslovakian border in the north. Due to the policy of Magyarisation in the 19th century, Hungarian had become the daily language of the country's Jews. Yiddish was only spoken in the Orthodox peripheries on the Slovakian and Romanian borders. More wealthy Jews were primarily employed in the learned professions (lawyers, doctors) or banking. In addition, mixed marriages were common. One can therefore speak of a patriotic Jewish community which saw its future in Hungary and was very sceptical towards Zionism.

At the same time, Hungary was a state that passed anti-Jewish laws from the very beginning—for example, the introduction of a *numerus clausus* at the universities based on the percentage of Jews in the population. However, there were limits to the discrimination under Miklós Horthy (1868–1957) in the 1920s. During this period, the prewar elite were in power, who preferred a moderate position.

In 1932, however, Horthy named as head of the government the minister of war and later Nazi collaborator Gyula Gömbös (1886–1936). In May 1938, during the crisis that preceded the Second World War, Hungary passed anti-Jewish legislation that became the model for similar laws in other states in the region (for example, Slovakia and the Kingdom of Serbs, Croats and Slovenes). It placed economic sanctions on Jews, including restrictions on practising certain professions. A second law in the following year ratcheted up this policy. After Hungary became a German satellite, the country's Jews fell victim to the Shoah. The temporary exception for Budapest which was based on the differentiation between "Hungarian" and "foreign" Jews (a holdover from the Habsburg period) could not halt the course of events.

Romania
Romania made the greatest territorial gains after the First World War, expanding to the north and west as a result of the Treaty of Trianon (1920). However, this also meant that now only two thirds of the population were Romanian and there were large minorities from neighbouring states. The Romanian Jews were also a colourful mix: apart from the core area (Regat), inhabited by Sephardim, Bessarabia and Bukovina were home to Ashkenazi Jews and the former Hungarian Jews of Banat and Transylvania. Those Jewish groups from the former neighbouring states that were now citizens of Romania continued to look to their “lands of origin”. The government’s discriminatory differentiation between “local” Jews and those who had become part of the country after the First World War acquired ever greater relevance due to the continuing immigration to Bessarabia of Russian Jews fleeing the Soviets. In practice, this discrimination meant, for example, that 270,000 of the Russian Jews who had immigrated into Romania lost their citizenship in 1939.

In Romania, too, the once moderate conservative politics took a turn to the right: first following the putsch by King Carol II (1893–1953) in 1938, then with the Antonescu dictatorship in 1940. After tumultuous boycotts of Jewish shops, a pogrom in Bucharest in January 1941 (organised by the Garda de Fier) signalled the start of the persecution of the Jews. During the Shoah, the division of Jews into two classes was preserved: the Jews in the new areas were deported to chaotic camps in Transnistria; the Jews of Regat were largely spared due to the support of their umbrella organisation UER and could even organise aid convoys to the camps. Romania’s switch to the Allied side in 1944 allowed a relatively large number of Romanian Jews to survive.

The Kingdom of Serbs, Croats and Slovenes (Yugoslavia)

The first Yugoslavia – the Kingdom of Serbs, Croats and Slovenes – united Jews from the territories of Austria-Hungary, independent Serbia and the Ottoman Empire, i.e. both Ashkenazim and Sephardim. Regarding the financial situation of the Jews there was a north-south divide (as was indeed true for the non-Jewish population), also evident in the three Jewish centres of Zagreb, Belgrade and Sarajevo. The Ashkenazim of Zagreb chose, similar to the Hungarian Jews, an integrationist approach to society drawing on the Haskalah. They abandoned German or Hungarian in favour of Croatian and did not live in distinct Jewish quarters. Instead, the majority belonged to the urban middle classes. The Sephardim of Sarajevo, by contrast, were very traditional, lived in a Jewish milieu that had existed since Ottoman times and mostly spoke Ladino as their everyday language. The Jews in Belgrade adopted a midway position. They maintained good relations with their Serbian neighbours – not least because Serbs had claimed parallels between the Serbian and Jewish identities since as early as the Middle Ages. The position towards the Jewish minority in the kingdom was by and large benevolent. Jews received the status of autonomy and in order to exercise this right, the communities of the three cities mentioned above created an umbrella organisation based in Belgrade in 1921. In 1928, a rabbinic seminary was founded to train Sephardic and Ashkenazic rabbis in Sarajevo. In 1929, King Aleksandar I (1888–1934) issued a law on Jews that reconfirmed the self-administration of Jewish communities and granted the Jews a quota of subsidies that was proportionally the highest among those given to religious communities. In addition, this law made allowance for Jewish festivals in certain official contexts and provided lessons on the Jewish religion in state schools. At this time, a generational change took place within the Jewish communities towards a younger, more political generation. While the upper class within this younger generation often sympathised with Communism, the middle class leaned towards Zionism.

During the Second World War, the extermination of the Jews was implemented from two sides. The Croatian puppet state of the Ustaše (the NDH state), which absorbed Bosnia, deported the Jews of Zagreb and Sarajevo to the German concentration and death camps and to its own concentration camp in Jasenovac. Serbia, which in 1941 was directly occupied by Germany, was one of the first states in the region whose Jewish population was almost completely deported to the death camps. The clerico-fascism that reigned in Croatia allowed many converts to acquire “Aryan rights” and thus avoid death.

Bulgaria
Relatively few Jews lived in Bulgaria.\(^8^4\) Governmental policy between the wars was guided by the Bulgarisation of the minorities, and a bilingual generation who spoke both Bulgarian and Ladino emerged in the Jewish schools. Although the country belonged to the Axis during the Second World War, there were no deportations of Jews to Germany. The reasons for this were the ruling style of King Boris III (1894–1943) (\(\Rightarrow\) Media Link #b9), the protest of the Holy Synod of the Bulgarian Orthodox Church and Allied pressure on the country. This was not true of occupied Macedonia (1941–1944), whose Jews were seen as stateless people and deported unless they could escape to Italy.

\(\Rightarrow\) 41

Saloniki

In 1912, Saloniki was annexed by Greece which was ruled by Eleftherios Venizelos (1864–1936) (\(\Rightarrow\) Media Link #ba). As a result, the situation of the city and the Jewish majority in this "Jerusalem of the Balkans" changed fundamentally. The Jewish request not to grant Saloniki to Greece but recognise it as a neutral free city failed.\(^8^5\) In 1913, Saloniki finally became Greek in the Treaty of Bucharest. However, the real change came after the disastrous fire of 1917 which destroyed three quarters of the city. Venizelos used the catastrophe to rebuild the city in Hellenic style. He sold the former Jewish area to Greek merchants, pushing the Jews to the periphery of the city. As a result of the population exchanges of the 1920s (see section 2.11), Greeks from Turkey were settled in Saloniki. By 1926, 80 percent of the population of Saloniki was already Greek.\(^8^6\) The state of the economy also contributed to the impoverishment of Saloniki's Jewish community. After the fire had ruined many families, the Great Depression also had an impact on the city and the tobacco industry collapsed.\(^8^7\) The German invasion on April 9, 1941 was the beginning of the end for the Jews of Saloniki. Two ghettos were created and the Jews brought to the surrounding work camps. The first of 19 transports to the death camp Auschwitz-Birkenau left in March 1943; in total, almost 50,000 Jews were murdered. By August that year, no more Jews lived in Saloniki.\(^8^8\)

\(\Rightarrow\) 42

Turkey

In Turkey, the Jewish communities were primarily concentrated in the cities of Istanbul, Edirne and Izmir; the Jews were not affected by the population exchange with Greece after 1923. The Dönme,\(^8^9\) however, were hit and had to leave their stronghold Saloniki for Turkey on account of their "Muslim" beliefs. The 1923 Treaty of Lausanne promised extensive rights to religious minorities. However, due to the Kemalist "state's exclusivist nationalism",\(^9^0\) these were restricted in the 1930s. Turkey declared its neutrality during the Second World War,\(^9^1\) but the country also passed laws that targeted minorities — above all horrendous tax rises. According to these laws, failure to pay the new taxes would land the offenders in labour camps to work off their debt. This was perhaps the apex of the policy of Turkification. This law was soon rescinded in response to international pressure but left many Jews in Turkey impoverished.

\(\Rightarrow\) 43

Predrag Bukovec, Vienna

Appendix

Bibliography


Benz, Wolfgang: Rumänien und der Holocaust, in: idem et al. (eds.): Holocaust an der Peripherie: Judenpolitik und Ju-


idem: Sephardic Jews in Europa nach 1492, in: Europäische Geschichte Online (EGO), published by the Leibniz Institute of European History (IEG), Mainz. URL: ### URN: ### [forthcoming].


Hödl, Klaus: Als Bettler in die Leopoldstadt: Galizische Juden auf dem Weg nach Wien, Vienna et al. 1994 (Böhlau zeitgeschichtliche Bibliothek 27).

idem: "Vom Shtetl an die Lower East Side": Galizische Juden in New York, Vienna et al. 1991 (Böhlau zeitgeschichtliche Bibliothek 19).


Liszkowski, Uwe: "Politisökonomie des Wodkas": Die jüdische Schenke im polnischen Feudalismus, in: Angelore Engel-


Rest, Matthias: Die russische Judengesetzgebung von der Ersten Polnischen Teilung bis zum "Položenie dlja Evreev" (1804), Wiesbaden 1975 (Veröffentlichungen des Osteuropa-Institutes München 44).


Notes

1. ^ The Jewish percentage of the population of the areas acquired by Russia was about ten percent. In the cities, Jews were often in the majority. Cf. Rest, Die Russische Judengesetzgebung 1975, pp. 11f.

2. ^ ibidem, p. 9.


4. ^ For Catherine, the classification within this estate meant that Jews were part of the urban population. Her attempts to resettle rural Jews in the city had, however, barely any success. On the other hand, her view did have some justification: in the 19th century, the rate of Jewish urbanisation in the Russian Empire rose by between
20 and 900 percent; Rest, Die russische Judengesetzgebung 1975, p. 26.

5. "However, the legislation according the Jews a special place as a separate group also hindered integration.

6. "This refers to the feudal leasing system whereby the nobility awarded the administration of their estates to (normally) Jewish arendators. In return, the latter paid the former a regular lease payment. The Jewish lease-holders acted as intermediaries between the nobles and the Ukrainian peasants in the east of Poland-Lithuania. In this sensitive position, the exploitation of the peasants was blamed upon the Jews because in the peasants' eyes the Jews represented the nobles. This problem was exacerbated by the fact that the nobles also had an monopoly on selling alcohol, (propinacija) which they granted to Jewish leaseholders in return for a regular payment.

7. "Rest, Die russische Judengesetzgebung 1975, p. 23. At the end of the 18th century, the tax debt was about three million złoty. Cf. Dubnow, Weltgeschichte 1971, p. 449. In order to cover this, the qahal introduced a tax on inherited leases, settlement and meat products (the so-called "basket tax").

8. "A lexical example of her Enlightened policy was the replacement of the pejorative word for "Jew" – Žid – with Evrej in official documents.


10. "This refers to the imperial ideology of a holy (inner) Russia; Rest, Die russische Judengesetzgebung 1975, pp. 118f.

11. "Following the February Revolution, the Pale of Settlement was abolished on 22/03/1917.

12. "Rest, Die russische Judengesetzgebung 1975, p. 187: "In dem Aussiedlungspostulat [aus Gebieten außerhalb der Ćerta; PB] ist daher ein vom Staat jederzeit zu handhabendes Mittel zu sehen, in Krisenzeiten auf dem Land dem angestauten Konfliktstoff ein Ventil zu öffnen." ("The principle of expulsion [from regions beyond the Pale; PB] should be seen as a means permanently available to the state to open a valve in times of crisis in order to release the pent-up tensions fuelling the conflict.", transl. by C.G.)


17. "On this, see Bukovec, Ashkenazi Jews 2012.


20. "It seems that in the 19th century, the Jewish population grew twice as fast as the non-Jewish population; cf. Löwe, Osteuropa 1998, p. 16.


22. "They advocated a secular and national identity for the Jewish diaspora known as Doykeit, (Yiddish for "here-ness", i.e. a positive view of the diaspora and the rejection of the return to Israel). They preferred Yiddish (as the language of the broad masses) to Hebrew (as the language of the synagogue and religious scholars).

23. "Because the space is too short here to examine the migration to the USA (above all to New York) in detail, a few references to the literature will have to suffice. On the importance of New York Jews in the clothing industry, see Lestschinsky, Migrations 1960, p. 1569; Hödl, Shtetl 1991, pp. 105–113; on the American policy of integration and the way in which it promoted Jewish identification with this country, see Slezkine, Jahrhundert 2007, p. 212; for more on how steam ships, railroads and a regular – in part criminal – transportation market made emigration easier, see Hödl, Shtetl 1991, pp. 79, 97–102.

24. "Gartner, Migration 1998, p. 126. According to Lestschinsky, Migrations 1960, p. 1546, roughly 25,558,000 Europeans emigrated to the USA between 1870 and 1930. About 54 percent of these came from Eastern and South-Eastern Europe. The table on pp. 1554f, shows that between 1840 and 1940 about three million Jews emigrated to the USA, the largest number of whom emigrated between 1901 and 1914.


26. "Gartner, Migration 1998, p. 124. The day of the assassination of Tsar Alexander II (1818–1881), 01/03/1881, can be taken as the symbolic date for the beginning of both the mass emigration and the numerous pogroms against Jews in the last decades of the Russian Empire, see Hödl, Bettler 1994, p. 13.

Zwischenkriegszeit zu Vorbildern und Leitfiguren." ("... thus the party's history in the Russian Empire remained constitutive of the Bund's self-image. The heroes of those days became role models and paragons in the interwar period", transl. by C.G.). Tsar Nikolaus I (1868–1918) and his government certainly did not instigate the pogroms of 1905, for example in Kiev and Odessa. However, for a long time this was assumed to be true in Europe and the USA. The Bolsheviks, too, made this claim. Cf. Solschenizyn, Zweihundert Jahre 2004, vol. 1, pp. 392–394.

28. Hödl observes that the influx of mainly Galician Jews to Vienna should be understood as a form of internal migration because a final break with home was not intended and return was considered possible. Hödl, Bettler 1994, p. 130: "Bei dem Zug nach Wien handelte es sich somit um keinen von Anfang an durchgeplanten Migrationsprozeß, sondern vielmehr um ein Überschwappen einer über ganz Galizien herangefahrenen Mobilitätswelle." ("The move to Vienna thus was not a process of migration planned out from the beginning; instead, it was a spill over from a wave of mobility that had engulfed all of Galicia.", transl. by C.G.).

29. This "myth of America" promised a self-determined lifestyle free from the social and religious control of the shtetl. One should not underestimate this pull as a soft factor, especially for young Jews, who formed a large proportion of the emigrants, ibidem, p. 118.


31. For an understanding of the interaction of religion and nation in South-Eastern Europe, see Bukovec, Unvermischte 2009.


33. The title can be translated as "wise leader", from the Hebrew הַקָּאמָה and the Turkish başı.

34. There is not enough space here to discuss in more detail the ascension to power of the CUP party (Comité pour union et progrès, Turkish: İttihat ve Terakki Cemiyeti) in 1908. However, it is worth mentioning the Jewish member of the CUP Albert Fua, a Jew from Saloniki, who had lived as an exile in Paris and wrote for the diaspora newspaper Meshveret Supplément Français; in 1908, he broke with the CUP. Apart from Turks, there were only Jews and Dönme in the CUP; i.e., there were neither Greeks nor Armenians among its members.

35. In 1840, a Sardinian Franciscan and his Muslim servant disappeared under mysterious circumstances in Damascus. Public opinion accused the Jews of the city of having murdered them both. At the instigation of the French consul, a local investigation was initiated. Under torture, the Jewish barber Solomon Negrin admitted that the two men had been ritually murdered by the local rabbi and the Jewish notables of the city. This incident caused a worldwide media furore and is seen as the last blood libel trial against Jews.

36. Frankel, Damascus 1997, provides a comprehensive documentation of the events.

37. The Francos were Jews living in the Ottoman Empire who had originally emigrated from Italy. The merchants among them maintained extensive contacts in Central Europe.

38. Benbassa / Rodrigue, Balkans 1995, p. 76 speak here of "Haskalah circles".


40. Freidenreich, Yugoslavia 1979, p. 42, counts about 20 families in the first decade of the 19th century.

41. The justification was that only one Jewish religious community was allowed to exist in each city. This rule also existed in Russia where the Orthodox and Hasidic Jews had to stay in one qahal because the qahal acted as tax authority for the state. Cf. Dubnow, Chassidismus 1931, pp. 170f.

42. Cf. Freidenreich, Yugoslavia 1979, p. 49: "Zagreb Jewry may thus be considered as generally bourgeois."

43. The favourable economic situation in Congress Poland in the 19th century led to the immigration of many Lithuanian Jews, the so-called Litvaks, who acquired an important political and cultural role in interwar Poland.


45. On the early history of the Orthodox movement, which originated in the 19th century in Germany and transmitted its ideas from Central to Eastern Europe (in the opposite direction to its opponent – Zionism), cf. Morgenstern, Von Frankfurt nach Jerusalem 1995 (above all the first part).

46. On the Bund's contribution to the Yiddish language, above all in Vilnius, see Marten-Finnis, Vilina 2004.


48. An important exception was the cooperation between the Bund and the Polska Partia Socjalistyczna (PPS) from the end of the 1920s; cf. Pickhan, Strom 2001, pp. 326–351.

49. Mendelsohn, World Wars 1983. The Aliyah (Jewish wave of immigration to Palestine) reached its high point in 1935 with 30,593 emigrants; cf. ibidem, p. 79.

50. ibidem, p. 224.


52. ibidem, p. 224.


54. Cf. Slezkine, Jahrhundert 2007, p. 243. The Congress of Soviets passed the Decree on Land on 26/10/1917, which condemned the pogroms against the Jews. Directly after the February Revolution, the equality of all reli-

55. Cf. Slezkine, Jahrhundert 2007, p. 223. The reason for the use of Jews in the state bureaucracy is described in Solschenizyn, Zweihundert Jahre 2004, vol. 2, p. 84, as follows: “Es sei daran erinnert, dass die Bolschewiken in den ersten Monaten und Jahren nach dem Oktober mit der größten Bereitschaft Juden in ihrem Staats- und Parteiaapparat einsetzten. Außerdem standen sie der russischen Bevölkerung nicht so nahe. Entsprechend griff man auch gern auf Letten, Ungarn und Chinesen zurück …” (“It should be recalled that in the first months and years after October the Bolsheviks employed Jews in their state and party apparatus with the greatest enthusiasm. In addition, they were not so close to the Russian population. Similarly, one also made use of the Latvians, Hungarians and Chinese …”, transl. by C.G.).

56. Cf. Simon, Sowjetunion 2005, p. 88: “Die Geschichte der Juden in den folgenden Jahrzehnten [seit 1917; PB] ist durch drei Prozesse gekennzeichnet: Säkularisierung, Migration innerhalb der Sowjetunion und sozialer Aufstieg in die Eliten; alle drei Entwicklungen sind eng miteinander verknüpft.” (“The history of the Jews in the following decades [since 1917; PB] is characterised by three processes: secularisation, migration within the Soviet Union and social advancement into the elite; all three developments were closely intertwined with one another”, transl. by C.G.).

57. Cf. ibidem, p. 89; Slezkine, Jahrhundert 2007, p. 220. By 1930, the population of the shtetl had already shrunk to a fifth of what it had been at the time of the Pale; see Solschenizyn, Zweihundert Jahre 2004, vol. 2, S. 246.


60. The Bund in the USSR suffered a different fate. It split into two camps in 1920. The left wing joined the Bolsheviks in 1921; cf. ibidem, p. 114. In 1919, the USSR’s Jewish commissariat decided that Hebrew was counter-revolutionary and must not be taught in school; cf. ibidem, p. 260, p. 264. Instead, Yiddish was preferred in the 1920s, not least by the former Bundists because it expressed both anti-Zionism and hostility to religion (Hebrew as the Jewish liturgical language); in the 1920s, Yiddish was one of the official languages of the Belorussian Soviet Republic; cf. ibidem, p. 264.

61. For the first phase of the Soviet Union cf. Margolina, Ende 1992. Jews who made careers in the Soviet state apparatus mainly came from the young Jewish intelligentsia, which had already been active in revolutionary circles in the late Russian Empire. Many Jews joined anti-tsarist groups, not least due to the numerous pogroms at the beginning of the 20th century (of which that in Kishinev in 1903 is the best known) and the antisemitic conspiracy theories such as the “Protocols of the Elders of Zion”. Following the October Revolution, many Jews found work in the bureaucracy because the imperial administration had collapsed and there was a large personnel shortage. The tragedy of the anti-Jewish activity of the Soviet Jews was that this was largely a generational conflict: as internationalists, the young, upwardly mobile Soviet Jews despised everything traditionally Jewish. They developed their own measures within the state and party apparatus to counter it – for example, banning Hebrew, closing synagogues and holding show trials within the Jewsekcija (the Jewish section of the Communist Party) on questions of Jewish religion. This “logic” is described in Solschenizyn, Zweihundert Jahre 2004, vol. 2, pp. 277f.: “Nicht in der Volkszugehörigkeit lag der wichtigste Schlüssel zur Erklärung, sondern im scharfen, bögen Wind des Internationalismus, der für die ganze frühsowjetische Zeit kennzeichnend war, eine Zeit, die jedem nationalen Geist und nationalen Traditionen so fern wie nur möglich stand.” (“The most important key to an explanation was not ethnicity but the sharp, gusty wind of internationalism, which was characteristic of the entire early Soviet period, a time as far removed from every national spirit and national tradition as possible”, transl. by C.G.).

62. Mendelsohn, World Wars 1983, p. 7, divides East European Jews into a western and an eastern group which differed from one another with regard to birth rates, native language, level of acculturation and their relationship to religion and politics.

63. The government’s moderate position and the good economic situation of the Jews were probably the main reasons for the small number of emigrants to Palestine from Czechoslovakia.

64. Jozef Tiso was a Catholic priest, professor of moral theology and Slovakian nationalist politician. In interwar Czechoslovakia, he advocated Slovakian autonomy. He served as the president of the German-satellite Slovakian state from 1939. In 1947, a Czechoslovakian national court condemned him to death, and he was executed. A debate about his responsibility for the murder of the Jews in his country has raged in the post-Communist Slovakian Republic since 1989.

65. The following territories of Habsburg Hungary were lost: Burgenland (to Austria), Croatia (to the Kingdom of the Serbs, Croats and Slovenes), Fiume (modern-day Rijeka, to Italy), Transylvania (to Romania), Slovakia (to
Czechoslovakia) and other smaller regions, including one in the north to Poland.

67. According to Karady, Ungarn 2002, p. 161, Hungarian was the native language for almost three quarters of the Hungarian Jews in 1910.
68. In 1920, 50.6 percent of lawyers and 59.9 percent of the doctors in Hungary were Jews; cf. ibidem, p. 101.
69. Further discriminatory measures were the 1941 ban on mixed marriages and the sporadic expropriations of property occurring in 1942.
70. According to Mendelsohn, World Wars 1983, pp. 178f., 750,000 Jews lived in Romania in 1930. This was around 4.2 percent of the population. Proportionally, Bukovina had the largest population (about eleven percent), while Bessarabia had the biggest in absolute numbers (over 205,000).
71. The "Iron Guard" was a fascist party founded in 1927. Cf. Ancel, Pogrom 2009, p. 31.
72. Anti-Jewish agitations began in the 1920s among the students of the cities of Iaşi, Cluj, Bucharest and Cernăuţi.
74. Cf. Benz, Rumänien 2009, p. 26; Deletant, Lebensbedingungen 2009, p. 66; the places of deportation are listed in Miţoh, Orte 2009, pp. 72–79. A macabre example is the concentration camp in Wapniarka, where the inmates were fed with the horse feed Lathyrus sativus, a legume that causes paresis (lathyrism) in humans. In December 1942, the inmates went on hunger strike. It was successful and they again received non-poisonous food.
75. The Uniunea Evreilor Români was in favour of acculturation into Romanian culture and the principle of Doykeit. All the other Jewish political tendencies of the period were present in the new territories.
76. Alexandre Safran (1910–2006), the former chief rabbi of Romania, describes the events and persecutions during the Second World War in his memoirs (see bibliography). Safran had served in this office since 1940 under the Antonescu dictatorship. In 1947, he emigrated from Communist Romania to Switzerland, where he was the chief rabbi of Geneva until his death. During the Second World War, he saved the lives of many Jews using his contacts to the royal family and Antonescu’s wife and by organising aid.
77. The international commission of historians in Romania estimates the number of murdered Jews to be between 280,000 and 380,000; cf. Benz, Rumänien 2009, p. 30; Ciuciu, Kinder 2009, p. 187.
78. From its inception, this state was informally known as Yugoslavia. In 1929, it was officially renamed the Kingdom of Yugoslavia.
80. Cf. Bukovec, Uhvermischt 2009, pp. 315–317. In Serbia, the myth of Kosovo Polje and the veneration of the Nemanjić dynasty developed into paradigms of cultural identity. They drew parallels between the history of the Serbian people and the Israel of the Old Testament. As early as the early modern period, but above all in the 19th century, this constructed history of Serbian suffering created an eschatological religious-national movement that became the driving force for liberation from all foreign rule. An example from the period examined here is the statement of the kingdom’s foreign minister Marinčković on 01/07/1929 (quoted in Freidenreich, Yugoslavia 1979, p. 181): "The historical development of our nation was in many respects similar to the development of the Jewish nation. We had to undergo so much suffering and misfortune and so many blood battles in which we bore so many sacrifices for freedom … In this regard, the same endurance, stamina and perseverance ties us together, so that it is natural that Serbs and Jews should understand one another."
81. For the text of the laws from the period, see Freidenreich, Yugoslavia 1979, pp. 232–242.
82. The fascist Nezavisna Država Hrvatska (Independent State of Croatia) existed under the aegis of the Axis powers 1941–1945. At its head was Poglavnik ("headman") Ante Pavelić (1889–1959).
83. Cf. Steindorff, Zagreb 2005, p. 166. While I was in Rijeka in 2002, I met Mr Fišer, a survivor who had been a member of the local synagogue committee. Mr Fišer told me that he was the only member of his family to escape deportation to a concentration camp because the local priest baptised him. Consequently, as a Catholic, he was not subject to the persecution by the local Ustaše.
84. They made up less than one percent of the population; cf. Benbassa / Rodrigue, Balkans 1995, p. 93.
86. Ibidem, p. 86.
87. Many workers in the tobacco industry emigrated to New York in the first years of the 20th century because this line of business had ceased to be profitable long before the beginning of the First World War; cf. Benbassa / Rodrigue, Balkans 1995, pp. 184f.
88. Based on their research, Benbassa / Rodrigue give the number of victims as 48,533, ibidem, p. 169. Only a few hundred could flee to Italy or Spain because they were citizens of those states.
89. On the Dönme, the Sabbateans of Saloniki who had converted to Islam, cf. Bukovec, Sephardische Juden [forthcoming].
91. At the beginning of the Second World War, immigration to Turkey was still possible. However, due to the large influx of immigrants, this was discontinued. Refugees were now only allowed to pass through with transit visas.
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