PART I - SETTING UP THE STUDY

1 INTRODUCTION: BACKGROUND, RATIONALE AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1.1 THE EXTERNAL DIMENSION OF EU MIGRATION AND ASYLUM POLICY

1.1.1 Setting the stage: locating the external dimension

1.1.2 History and context of the external dimension

1.1.3 The 'menu' of the external dimension: an overview of approaches

Legal migration and mobility

Irregular migration and human trafficking

International protection and the external dimension of asylum policy

Migration and development

1.1.4 The instruments under the Global Approach

1.2 THE STUDY'S RATIONALE

1.3 RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1.4 OVERVIEW OF CHAPTERS

2 CONCEPTUAL AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 CONCEPTUALISING THE RULE OF LAW IN THE EU

2.1.1 Origins and controversies in philosophy

2.1.2 Development and focus in the EU

Development of the rule of law in the Court’s case law

The rule of law in EU Treaties and high-level policy making

What notion and understanding of the rule of law in the EU?

2.2 MIGRATION POLICY AND THE ROLE OF NORMS

2.2.1 Securitisation

2.2.2 Normative Power Europe (NPE)

2.2.3 The liberal constraint

2.3 IN SEARCH OF AN ADEQUATE THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.3.1 Organisation theory

The quest for legitimacy: organisations’ inconsistent environments

Action and politics decoupled in the real world:

‘organised hypocrisy’

‘The organisation of hypocrisy’ and organisational culture

Decisions: links between action and talk

The limits of hypocrisy and the need for more of it

2.3.2 Theorising the role of the rule of law in the external dimension

The rule of law amongst a plethora of demands
Organisational culture and adherence to the rule of law 82
The relative case of hypocrisy 83
The 'liberal constraint' revisited in the external dimension 83

2.4 IMPROVEMENT OFFERED BY THE SELECTED FRAMEWORK 84

3 RESEARCH DESIGN 87
3.1 VARIABLES AND HYPOTHESES 89
3.2 CASE SELECTION 93
3.3 METHODOLOGY 95
  3.3.1 Legal analysis 96
  3.3.2 Content Analysis 98
  3.3.3 Interviews 101
3.4 INDICATORS 103
  3.4.1 Indicators of reinterpretation, adherence and non-adherence 103
  3.4.2 Indicators of decoupling 105
  3.4.3 Indicators of organisational culture 106
3.5 METHODOLOGICAL TRUSTWORTHINESS 107

PART II – DRIVERS FOR THE RULE OF LAW’S INFLUENCE 109

4 EXPLORING THE ORGANISATIONS 111
4.1 COMMISSION DG HOME AFFAIRS 112
  4.1.1 History 112
  4.1.2 Culture 113
4.2 EU BORDER AGENCY FRONTEX 116
  4.2.1 History 116
  The birth and early years of a new agency 117
  The Commission impact assessment: the diagnosis and the cure 121
  The Commission proposal and the negotiations 123
  The new Regulation: more powers and more human rights 126
  4.2.2 Culture 130
4.3 THE EUROPEAN EXTERNAL ACTION SERVICE 134
  4.3.1 History 134
  4.3.2 Culture 138
4.4 RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN DG HOME, FRONTEX AND THE EEAS 143

5 A DEMANDING ENVIRONMENT 147
5.1 MEMBER STATES AND THE COUNCIL 147
5.2 THIRD STATES 152
5.3 THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT 155
5.4 COMMISSION DG DEVELOPMENT AND COOPERATION - EUROPEAID 157
5.5 NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANISATIONS AND INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATIONS 158
5.6 COURTS 162
5.7 OVERALL PICTURE: THE ORGANISATIONAL FIELD 165
PART III – THE RULE OF LAW IN ORGANISATIONAL OUTPUTS

6 CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR RULE OF LAW ADHERENCE

6.1 CROSS-CUTTING CHALLENGES TO RULE OF LAW ADHERENCE
6.1.1 Human rights at stake in the external dimension
6.1.2 The extra-territorial reach of human rights
6.1.3 Access to effective legal remedies

6.2 CROSS-CUTTING OPPORTUNITIES FOR RULE OF LAW ADHERENCE

6.3 CONCLUSIONS

6.3.1 DG Home
6.3.2 Frontex
6.3.3 EEAS

7 THE COMPLEXITIES OF ORGANISATIONAL OUTPUTS: DECOUPLING AND REINTERPRETATION

7.1 DG Home
7.1.1 Decoupling in the GAMM
7.1.2 The response to the Arab Spring
7.1.3 The new Home Affairs funding
7.1.4 Linking the rule of law to insecurity in third States

7.2 FRONTEX
7.2.1 Increasing talk on rule of law concerns
7.2.2 Action shielded off
7.2.3 Organisational structures at play
7.2.4 The lack of reinterpretation attempts

7.3 THE EEAS
7.3.1 Legitimacy potential and self-referential outputs
7.3.2 Towards a common rule of law concept in CSDP and AFSJ?

7.4 CONCLUSIONS

PART IV – CONCLUSIONS AND AVENUES FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

8 THE ROLE OF THE RULE OF LAW IN THE EXTERNAL DIMENSION

8.1 MAJOR FINDINGS
8.2 IMPLICATIONS FOR THEORY-BUILDING AND FURTHER RESEARCH

REFERENCES

APPENDIX I – LIST OF INTERVIEWS