

Abbreviations and acronyms	11
Acknowledgements.....	13
Introduction.....	15

Part I. Constraints of the Translation Process

Chapter 1. Legal language and its patterning	21
1.1 System of legal genres.....	21
1.2 Legal Polish	24
1.2.1 Historical development of legal Polish: translation as an agent of degeneration and regeneration	24
1.2.2 Generic features of national legislation.....	27
1.3 Prefabrication of legal language	31
1.3.1 Recent developments in terminology and phraseology	32
1.3.2 Typology of legal phrasemes.....	36
1.3.3 Text-structuring and grammatical patterns	38
1.3.4 Term-forming patterns.....	39
1.3.4.1 Classifications of legal terms.....	40
1.3.4.2 Properties of legal terms	41
1.3.4.3 Legal terms as a source of oddity in translations	44
1.3.5 Term-embedding collocations.....	46
1.3.6 Lexical collocations	50

Chapter 2. The hybridity of EU discourse and its impact on national languages.....	51
2.1 Challenges of legal translation.....	51
2.2 Typologies of legal translation and EU translation	52
2.2.1 Typologies of legal translation.....	52
2.2.2 Classifications of EU translation	56
2.3 EU legislation.....	59
2.4 The translation of EU law.....	61
2.4.1 Multilingualism and the principle of equal authenticity	61
2.4.2 Impact of the drafting process on hybridisation.....	64
2.4.3 Institutional norms of translating.....	71
2.4.4 Reception of EU translation	74
2.5 The impact of EU translation on national legal languages.....	77
2.6 Conclusions: The colonisation of the genre of legislation	85
Chapter 3. Corpus translation studies: Textual fit	89
3.1 Corpus revolution in linguistics.....	89
3.1.1 Corpus linguistics as a methodology.....	89
3.1.2 Corpus studies of legal language and their limitations.....	94
3.2 Corpus translation studies as a paradigm shift.....	97
3.3 CTS: Translation universals (features of translations)	98
3.3.1 S-universals: the equivalence relation	100
3.3.2 T-universals: the relation of textual fit.....	104
3.3.3 Toury's law of interference	108
3.3.4 Criticism of translation universals.....	109
3.4 CTS: Phraseology in translation	111
3.4.1 Phraseology as a translation problem: cross-linguistic variation.....	112
3.4.2 Phraseological distortions in translation	114
3.5 Operationalisation of textual fit	119

Part II. Corpus Study of Translated versus Nontranslated Legislation

Chapter 4. EUROFOG corpus design and methodological considerations	123
4.1 Corpus design method	123
4.2 Software: Wordsmith Tools.....	124
4.3 Structure of corpora.....	124
4.3.1 JRC-Acquis Corpus.....	124
4.3.2 The Polish Law Corpus (PLC).....	127
4.3.3 Pre-accession (1999) and Post-accession (2011) Polish Law Subcorpora.....	132
4.3.4 The National Corpus of Polish (NKJP)	134
4.4 Normalisation of corpus data	134
Chapter 5. Textual fit at the macrostructural level: text-structuring and grammatical patterns.....	137
5.1 Descriptive statistics: global comparison of corpora	138
5.2 Keywords and Wordlist analysis: potential indicators of translationese	141
5.3 Mental models of legal reasoning	144
5.3.1 If-then conditionals and related patterns.....	144
5.3.2 Patterns of purpose	152
5.3.3 Causal relations.....	154
5.4 Deontic modality: communicating rights and obligations.....	157
5.4.1 Obligation.....	158
5.4.2 Permission	166
5.5 Depersonalisation and authorlessness: passive voice and impersonal patterns	169
5.5.1 Auxiliary verbs.....	169
5.5.2 Passive voice.....	170

5.5.3 The <i>-no/-to</i> impersonal pattern	173
5.5.4 The <i>sie</i> impersonal pattern	176
5.6 Logical relations between discourse units and structurisation of legal rules.....	180
5.6.1 Parataxis.....	182
5.6.2 Hypotaxis.....	189
5.7 Qualifications and cohesion: adverbials and participles.....	198
5.7.1 Framing with adverbials.....	198
5.7.2 Framing with adverbial participles	209
5.8 Deixis: pointing devices	214
Chapter 6. Textual fit at the microstructural level: term-embedding, term-forming and lexical collocations.....	223
6.1 Clusters: n-grams	223
6.2 Textual mapping: collocations of editing units	227
6.2.1 Editing units.....	229
6.2.2 Names of instruments.....	232
6.2.3 The direct collocational environment of editing units.....	233
6.2.4 Legal authority	240
6.2.5 References to the Official Journal	248
6.3 Other lexical collocations.....	249
6.4 Term-forming collocations	253
6.4.1 Terminological keywords	254
6.4.2 EU-related terminology	257
6.4.3 Synthetic morphological patterns	261
6.4.4 Borrowings and their embedding	264
6.4.5 Variation of terminological equivalents.....	272
6.5 Term-embedding collocations	277
6.5.1 General and legal term-embedding collocations.....	277
6.5.2 Term-embedding collocations in translated law.....	279
6.6 Conclusions.....	284

Chapter 7. Synthesis and Interpretation of Data	287
7.1 Description model for textual fit.....	287
7.2 The divergent fit of translated EU law (a eurolect).....	289
7.3 The genre of national legislation	298
7.3.1 Legislative Polish against general Polish (PLC v NKJP)	298
7.3.2 Internal variation of the genre of national legislation.....	299
7.4 Impact of translations on post-accession legislative Polish: 1999 versus 2011.....	300
7.5 Other theoretical implications: translation universals (features of translations).....	305
7.6 Practical applications of the study	307
7.7 Corpus methodology: limitations of the study and implications for further research	308
Conclusions: The Role of Translation in the European Union.....	311
Bibliography	313
Index	343