

Contents

Acknowledgments	7
1. Introduction	13
1.1 Reading the Renaissance Text	13
1.2 Historians of philosophy and their methodologies	16
1.3 Melanchthon as philosopher and his conception of philosophy	20
1.4 Method, material and aim of study	27
2. On fathers and grandfathers: Melanchthon's heritage of dialectic	31
2.1 Philipp Melanchthon: A Renaissance Humanist	31
2.1.1 The question of authority	35
2.1.2 The theological presuppositions	43
2.1.3 The question of method: the transformation of philosophy	46
2.2 Melanchthon between Tübingen and Wittenberg: The seeds of Reform	49
2.3 Aristotle's legacy: forms of knowledge and discourse	54
2.3.1 Dialectical argumentation	54
2.3.2 Scientific reasoning	62
2.3.3 Persuasive speech	64
2.3.4 Conclusion: Assessments of Aristotle's logic of argumentation	68
2.4 Cicero: on words and things	73
2.4.1 Oratory and philosophy	73
2.4.2 Topica: Memoria repetita conscripta	75
2.5 Theophrastus, Themistius, Alexander of Aphrodisias: From arguments to principles	83
2.6 Boethius: De topicis differentiis: The logic of producing belief	88
2.6.1 Discovery (inventio) and judgment (iudicatio)	89
2.6.2 Ratio quae rei dubiat faciat fidem	94

2.7	Developments of the Topics in the Middle Ages	98
2.7.1	Omnis bona consequentia tenet per aliquem locum	99
2.7.2	Summulae Logicales	102
2.8	Rudolph Agricola and the all encompassing forms of probable reasoning	107
2.8.1	Melanchthon's encounter with Agricola's dialectic	107
2.8.2	Agricola's De Inventione Dialectica	108
2.8.3	Argumentatio fidem facere conatur	112
2.8.4	Dialectical instruments of <i>proof</i>	114
2.8.5	Concluding remarks	119
2.9	Conclusion	120
3.	Philipp Melanchthon's Dialectic and Rhetoric: his reform of the language arts	125
3.1	Melanchthon as representative of Northern Humanism: His immediate predecessors	125
3.1.1	The "Rhetorical Turn": The Procrustean Bed of Renaissance Philosophy	127
3.2	The Melanchthonian Project: Dialectica et Rethorica copolatae sunt	131
3.2.1	Melanchthon's integrative approach: the leitmotif of his pedagogical work	132
3.2.2	Restoring rhetoric for the sake of dialectic	136
3.3	Melanchthon's De Rhetorica libri tres	142
3.3.1	The genus demonstrativum as a genus metodikon	143
3.3.2	The method of the genus metodikon.	145
3.3.2.1	The first part of method: the questions	145
3.3.2.2	The organa of invention	146
3.3.2.3	The questions of scientific inquiry	147
3.3.2.4	The dialectical perspectives	148
3.3.2.5	The status-theory	148
3.3.2.6	Stoic dialectic	149
3.3.2.7	Melanchthon's hermeneutics: the theory of commonplaces	151
3.3.2.8	The second part of method: the loci	153
3.3.2.9	The actual genus demonstrativum and the loci personarum	156
3.3.2.10	Disposition and Elocution	157
3.3.2.11	Conclusion	158

3.4	Melanchthon's <i>Compendiaria Dialectices</i>	159
3.4.1	The Aristotelian structure and the Ciceronian definition . .	162
3.4.2	The dialectical method of the simple parts	164
3.4.3	The simple speech: <i>propositio</i>	168
3.4.4	The <i>forms</i> of arguments	169
3.4.5	The method of invention	172
3.4.6	Conclusion	174
3.4.7	Stephen Toulmin's assessment of argumentation: A comparison	177
3.5	Melanchthon's <i>Institutiones Rhetoricae</i>	181
3.5.1	Dialectical invention	182
3.5.2	<i>Loci personarum</i> and <i>loci communes</i>	182
3.5.3	Eloquence	184
3.5.4	Conclusion: The early textbooks as a <i>mélange</i> of Aristotelian and rhetorical views	185
3.6	Melanchthon's laudatory speech on eloquence: <i>Necessarias esse ad omne studiorum genus artes dicendi</i>	188
3.6.1	Clear and distinct language	188
3.6.2	The usefulness of literature	191
3.6.3	Erudite theology	193
3.6.4	Conclusion	195
3.7	Melanchthon's <i>Dialectica Libri Quatuor: Ars ac via docendi</i> . . .	195
3.7.1	Is Melanchthon's dialectic a Lutheran dialectic?	196
3.7.2	On simple speech: some epistemological considerations . .	198
3.7.3	On the method of explaining simple themes	202
3.7.4	On propositions	204
3.7.5	On arguments	205
3.7.6	The method of complex questions: dialectical and rhetorical <i>loci</i>	208
3.7.7	On demonstration: Demonstrative and probable <i>loci</i>	211
3.7.8	Conclusion	213
3.8	Melanchthon's <i>Elementorum Rhetorices Libri Duo: Eloquentia facultas est sapienter et ornate dicendi</i>	214
3.8.1	Eloquence as a guarantor of clear speech	215
3.8.2	The Dialectical origin of rhetoric: the <i>genus didaskalion</i> . .	217
3.8.3	Conclusion	221
3.9	Melanchthon's <i>Erotemata Dialectices: Dialectica est ars artium, scientia scientiarum</i>	222
3.9.1	Dialectic and Arithmetic	223
3.9.2	On method	227

3.9.3 On propositions	230
3.9.4 On Argument Forms	232
3.9.5 The seats of arguments: the loci	234
3.9.6 The sources of human certainty	235
3.10 Conclusion	242
4. On method and discourse as the criteria of Melanchthonian humanist philosophy	247
4.1 De Philosophia	249
4.1.1 Method and philosophy	251
4.1.2 The cycle of arts	252
4.1.3 For the sake of the Church and of civil order	255
4.2 Liber de Anima: The book on body and mind	256
4.2.1 The treatise on the soul: an anthropology	258
4.2.2 On the intellectual capacity, or mind	259
4.2.3 What is a notion?	262
4.2.4 The passive and the inventor intellect	264
4.2.5 The human and the divine mind	266
4.3 Initia doctrinae physicae: The book on man and nature	267
4.3.1 The multivarious topics of natural knowledge treated in the Initia	269
4.3.2 What is the purpose of natural philosophy?	271
4.3.3 What is the method of natural philosophy?	272
4.3.4 The language of natural philosophy	274
4.4 Ethicae doctrinae elementa: On natural and divine law	277
4.4.1 Natural and civil law	278
4.4.2 On natural law as divine law	279
4.4.3 Dialectical method in ethics	280
4.4.4 Conclusion	281
5. General Conclusion: Quae ratione philosophia tractanda sit	283
6. Bibliography	287
6.1 Primary Literature	287
6.2 Secondary Literature	289
Index of Persons	299
Index of Subjects	301