

Contents

Foreword	XV
Preface.....	XIX
Acknowledgements	XXI
Introduction.....	1

Part I Ethical Positions Advocating the New Definitions of Death

Chapter 1 The Position of the <i>Pontificia Academia Scientiarum</i>	31
1.1 Summary Statement of the Pontifical Academy of Sciences	32
1.1.1 Medical Assertions in the Academy’s Statement	35
1.1.2 Other Arguments in the Academy’s Statement.....	39
1.1.2.1 Argument on Consensus	39
1.1.2.2 Argument Concerning the Genesis of “Brain Death”.....	45
1.1.2.3 Philosophical Basis of the Academy’s Position.....	51
1.2 Anthropological Premise of the Academy’s Position	53
1.2.1 Death is an Event	57
1.2.2 Biological Definition of Death—Death of the Organism as a Whole	61
1.2.2.1 The Organism as a Whole—Integrative Unity.....	62
1.2.2.2 Death—Unintegrated Parts.....	65
1.2.3 Supremacy of the Brain, the Anthropological Premise for “Whole Brain Death” as <i>the</i> Criterion for Death.....	66
1.3 Speculative Arguments in Defense of the Supremacy of the Brain.....	71
1.3.1 Arguments Based on Thermodynamics and Control System Theory	71

1.3.2 Arguments Using Thought Experiments.....	75
1.4 Medical Arguments Advanced to Defend the Supremacy of the Brain.....	78
1.4.1 Purported Inadequacy of the Traditional Cardiopulmonary Standard.....	78
1.4.2 Arguments Based on the Pathophysiology of Severe Brain Injury	80
1.4.3 From the Argument of Somatic Instabilities to the “Masking Death” Assertion	86
1.4.3.1 Somatic Instabilities.....	86
1.4.3.2 “Masking Death”.....	92
1.4.4 Arguments Based on Neuropathology	98
1.5 Revision of the Biological Definition of Death—Selective Discarding of Functions	101
1.5.1 Purported Insignificant Brain Functions.....	102
1.5.2 Purported Insignificant Spinal Reflexes and Spontaneous Movements	105
1.5.3 Difficulties with Selective Discarding of Functions	108
1.6 On Irreversibility.....	111
1.6.1 Irreversibility in the Context of “Controlled Cardiac/Circulatory Death”.....	115
1.6.1.1 Patient’s Autonomy as the Reason for Irreversibility	121
1.6.1.2 Permanence as a Stand-In for Irreversibility	123
1.6.1.3 Other Arguments in Defense of “Controlled Cardiac/Circulatory Death”	129
1.6.2 Irreversibility in the Context of “Brain Death”.....	135
Chapter 2 The Positions of Specific Catholic Scholars.....	141
2.1 Seeking to Harmonize “Brain Death” with Catholic Anthropology	143
2.2 The Argument of Edward Furton—the Organ of Intellectual Cognition as the Locus of Body-Soul Union	150
2.3 The Position of Germain Grisez’s School	156

2.3.1	The Position of Germain Grisez and Joseph Boyle in the 1970s.....	156
2.3.2	The Current Position of Patrick Lee and Germain Grisez since 2012—Loss of the Radical Capacity for Sentience.....	159
2.3.3	Difficulties with the Rationale of Radical Capacity for Conscious Sentience.....	163
2.3.3.1	From Sentience to Conscious Sentience.....	163
2.3.3.2	On Consciousness and the Radical Capacity for Consciousness	169
2.3.3.3	Anthropological Considerations	174
2.4	A New Defense of “Whole Brain Death”	179
2.4.1	Maureen Condic’s Argument—The Organization in Brain-Dead Bodies is Only a Semblance of True Somatic Integration	180
2.4.2	Difficulties with Maureen Condic’s Argument.....	184
2.4.2.1	Difficulties Regarding the Cell Culture Analogy.....	184
2.4.2.2	Conceptual Difficulties	188
2.4.3	A Problematic Corollary—Anencephalic (“Brain-Absent”) Fetus/Newborn	192
2.5	Implications of “Whole Brain Death” on Questions about the Beginning of Life—the Pro-Life, Pro-“Brain Death” Inconsistency.....	196
2.5.1	“Brain Life” Theory	198
2.5.2	Can Pro-“Brain Death” be Harmonized with Pro-Life?.....	201
2.5.2.1	On Dependency.....	201
2.5.2.2	The Brain, an Organ as any Other Organ, a Part of the Organic Whole.....	206
2.6	The Position of Catholic Scholars on “Controlled Cardiac/Circulatory Death”	210
2.6.1	James DuBois’s Arguments in Defense of “Controlled Cardiac/Circulatory Death”.....	213
2.6.2	Additional Ethical Issues in “Controlled Cardiac/Circulatory Death”.....	225

*Part II Sed Contra to the New Definitions of Death—
Life and Death in Aristotelian-Thomistic Thought and
Contemporary Biophilosophy*

Chapter 3 Metaphysical Conceptions of the Human Person..... 233

3.1 Conception of the Soul and Body According to the
“Teachers” of St. Thomas Aquinas 235

3.1.1 St. Augustine’s Conception of the Soul and Body 235

3.1.2 Aristotle’s Teaching on the Soul in *De Anima*—an
Overview 241

3.2 St. Thomas Aquinas’s Teaching on the Nature of the
Human Person 253

3.2.1 A Global View of Aquinas’s Conception of the
Human Person 254

3.2.2 Aquinas’s Realism-Based Methodology—Its
Implications with Respect to the Paradigm Shifts
in the Determination of Death 257

3.2.3 Unicity of the Soul and Substantial Union of the
Soul and Body 265

3.2.3.1 Unicity of the Soul 268

3.2.3.2 Substantial Union of Body and Soul 273

3.2.3.3 Implications of Body-Soul Substantial Union
in the “Brain Death” Controversy 276

3.2.4 Separability of the Soul and the Issue of Early
Dehominization 283

3.2.4.1 The Soul as Self-Subsisting and the
Unnatural State of the Disembodied Soul 284

3.2.4.2 The Issue of Early Dehominization 292

3.2.5 Aquinas’s Teaching on the Powers of the Soul 301

3.2.6 Evaluation of Melissa Moschella’s Rationale in
Light of Aquinas’s Teaching 311

3.2.6.1 Moschella’s Rationale in Defense of
“Brain Death” 311

3.2.6.2 Difficulties with Moschella’s Argumentation 318

3.2.7 The Issue of the Primary Organ in
Aristotelian-Thomistic Teaching 330

3.2.7.1	The “Primary Organ” Arguments of Benedict Ashley and Jason Eberl.....	330
3.2.7.2	Aristotelian-Thomistic Notion of the Primary Organ	335
3.2.7.3	Is There a Primary Organ?	341
3.3	Metaphysical Anthropology According to Descartes and Locke—an Overview	346
3.3.1	The Legacy of John Locke with Respect to the “Brain Death” Debate	346
3.3.2	The Legacy of Descartes in Biomedical Sciences	348
Chapter 4	Contemporary Biophilosophical Understanding about Life and Death.....	359
4.1	From a Mechanistic to a Holistic Vision of Life and Organism.....	361
4.1.1	Pioneering Efforts toward a Holistic Vision of Life	361
4.1.2	Reduction of Life Phenomena to Cause-Effect Mechanisms and Physico-Chemical Properties	363
4.1.2.1	Claude Bernard—Mechanism, Absolute Determinism, and Vital Force	364
4.1.2.2	Jacques Loeb—a Precursor of Bernat’s Doctrine of “Brain Death”	365
4.1.3	Holistic Vision of Life—Organisms as Anti-Entropic and Hierarchically Organized Living Systems	369
4.1.3.1	Joseph Woodger—Understanding Life in Terms of Organizing Relations	370
4.1.3.2	Life as Biological Anti-Entropy.....	379
4.1.3.3	Some Important Characteristics of Hierarchically Organized Living Systems	386
4.1.4.1	The Concept of Emergence.....	387
4.1.4.2	The Concepts of Homeostasis and Robustness.....	391
4.1.4.3	The Incoherent Notion of a “Decider”	395
4.2	Biophilosophical Arguments of Catholic Scholars	397
4.2.1	Alan Shewmon’s Philosophy of Organismic Integration.....	398
4.2.2	Austriaco’s Understanding of Life and Death from the Systems Perspective	404
4.2.3	A Global Biophilosophical Approach—Autopoiesis.....	409

4.2.3.1	Autopoietic Understanding of the Phenomenon of Life	410
4.2.3.2	Autopoietic Understanding of Death and the Question of Artificial Life.....	416
4.3	Connecting Contemporary Biophilosophy (Theoretical Biology) with Aristotelian-Thomistic Anthropology—a Brief Comment.....	420

Part III The New Definitions of Death in the Context of Christian Ethics

Chapter 5	Human Dignity, Sacredness of Life, and the Papal Pronouncements on Life, Death, and Organ Donation	431
5.1	Human Dignity, Sacredness of Life, and the New Paradigms of Death	431
5.1.1	Human Dignity and Sacredness of Life in the Judeo-Christian Theistic Worldview	434
5.1.2	Human Dignity and Human Life in a Worldview without the Transcendence.....	441
5.1.3	The Catholic Response to Death and Dying.....	449
5.2	John Paul II’s Teaching on Life—The Incarnation and the Value of Human Life.....	452
5.3	John Paul II and the Neurological Standard for the Determination of Death.....	457
5.3.1	The Magisterium’s Balanced and Prudential Teaching on Organ Donation	458
5.3.2	Critical Analysis of John Paul II’s Address to the 18 th International Congress of the Transplantation Society.....	463
5.3.2.1	The First Presupposition in John Paul II’s Address to the Transplantation Society	463
5.3.2.2	The Second Presupposition in John Paul II’s Address to the Transplantation Society	466
5.3.2.3	The Third Presupposition in John Paul II’s Address to the Transplantation Society	472
5.3.3	The Teaching of John Paul II on the Determination of Death in 2005	480

5.4 The Teaching of Pius XII and Benedict XVI regarding Deep Coma and Organ Donation	483
5.4.1 Benedict XVI's Admonition on Organ Donation	484
5.4.2 Pius XII's Admonition on the End-of-Life Care of the Irreversibly Comatose	486
Conclusion	495
Bibliography.....	521
Index	585