

Contents

Preface — VII

Acknowledgments — IX

1 Introduction — 1

2 The run-up to the Industrial Revolution — 3

- 2.1 Purpose of this chapter — 3
- 2.2 The importance of the First and Second Industrial Revolutions — 3
- 2.3 Population growth — 4
- 2.4 Living conditions — 6
- 2.5 Food — 7
- 2.6 Clothing — 8
- 2.7 Social structure — 9
- 2.8 Transportation — 11
- 2.9 Transfer of science and technology — 12
- 2.10 Banking — 14
- 2.11 Resume: population growth, social changes and industrialization – a virtuous circle — 15

3 Historical evolution of occupational health and safety in the nineteenth century — 17

- 3.1 Industrialization in the nineteenth century takes a high toll — 17
 - 3.1.1 Main industries: textile, railroads and mining — 17
 - 3.1.2 Statistics on accidents for railroad workers — 18
 - 3.1.3 Statistics on accidents in mining — 19
 - 3.1.4 Statistics on accidents in textile industry — 20
- 3.2 Influence of the liberal thought in the nineteenth century — 22
 - 3.2.1 The century of the revolutions — 22
 - 3.2.2 Employers–workers: a new relationship — 24
 - 3.2.3 Contributory negligence — 24
 - 3.2.4 Fellow servant rule — 25
 - 3.2.5 Volenti non fit injuria or voluntary assumption of risk — 26
 - 3.2.6 Free agent doctrine — 27
- 3.3 Influence of economic thought in the nineteenth century — 29
- 3.4 Utopian socialism — 33
- 3.5 Renewed political insight at the end of the nineteenth century — 33
 - 3.5.1 Trade unions request for better compensation laws — 33

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 3.5.2 | The International Workingmen's Association (IWA) — | 35 |
| 3.5.3 | New compensation laws — | 36 |
| 3.5.4 | A first revolution in thinking about occupational health and safety — | 36 |
| 3.6 | A more scientific approach to safety at work at the turn of the nineteenth century — | 42 |
| 3.6.1 | Leading studies — | 42 |
| 3.6.2 | John Calder study (1899) — | 43 |
| 3.6.3 | The Pittsburg survey (1907–1908) — | 45 |
| 3.6.4 | Pamphlet of the Fidelity and Casualty Company, 1909 — | 51 |
| 3.6.5 | Paradigm shift on prevention of work-related accidents at the start of the twentieth century — | 53 |
| 3.7 | The evolution of occupational health and safety in the twentieth century — | 54 |
| 3.7.1 | Purpose of this chapter — | 54 |
| 3.7.2 | The International Labour Organization — | 55 |
| 3.7.3 | Technical standards — | 56 |
| 3.7.4 | Safety and health standards and rules — | 58 |
| 3.7.5 | Safeguarding of machinery — | 64 |
| 3.7.6 | Safety education, training of people — | 65 |
| 3.7.7 | Short service workers — | 67 |
| 3.7.8 | Safety information (posters, bulletins, etc.) — | 67 |
| 3.7.9 | Job safety analysis — | 69 |
| 3.7.10 | Safety departments, safety committees — | 71 |
| 3.7.11 | Management, leadership and importance of supervision — | 73 |
| 3.7.12 | Inspection and checklists — | 80 |
| 3.7.13 | Human behavior — | 81 |
| 3.7.13.1 | The syndrome of accident proneness — | 81 |
| 3.7.13.2 | Scientific management approach to human performance improvement — | 84 |
| 3.7.13.3 | Industrial, work and organizational psychology — | 88 |
| 3.7.13.4 | Some “old–new” simple approaches — | 96 |
| 3.7.13.5 | Human behavior is not a root cause — | 98 |
| 3.8 | Evidence-based safety — | 103 |
| 3.9 | Tribute to Heinrich and Bird — | 105 |
| 3.9.1 | Influential authors on occupational safety — | 105 |
| 3.9.2 | Herbert William Heinrich — | 106 |
| 3.9.3 | Frank E. Bird Jr. — | 107 |
| 3.9.4 | Discussion on the 1–29–300 and the 1–10–30–600 rule — | 110 |
| 3.9.5 | Is there a correlation between fatal accidents and nonfatal accidents? — | 114 |
| 3.9.6 | Safety management systems — | 116 |

| | |
|----------|---|
| 3.9.7 | Safety culture — 124 |
| 3.10 | Some final thoughts about the evolution of OHS since 1900 — 133 |
| 3.10.1 | Short resume of the OHS approach since 1900 — 133 |
| 3.10.2 | Quantitative decrease in accidents in the twentieth century — 134 |
| 3.10.3 | New victims but same accidents — 136 |
| 3.10.4 | Fundamentals for occupational health and safety — 139 |
| 3.10.5 | Occupational health and safety is a result-oriented activity — 142 |
| 3.10.6 | Occupational health and safety in the twenty-first century: mental health and well-being — 143 |
| 4 | Technological risks — 145 |
| 4.1 | Introduction of new technologies — 145 |
| 4.2 | Discovery of major risks related to new technologies or products — 145 |
| 4.2.1 | What are major risks? — 145 |
| 4.2.2 | Discovery of major risks with steam boilers — 147 |
| 4.2.3 | Discovery of major risks with nuclear energy — 149 |
| 4.2.4 | Discovery of major risks with new products — 153 |
| 4.2.5 | Discovery of major risks in the process industries — 154 |
| 4.2.6 | Discovery of major risks in renewable energy: BESS — 157 |
| 4.3 | Technological accidents are complex and complicated because of uncertainties — 160 |
| 4.4 | Why do we have to deal with risks? — 161 |
| 4.5 | How to deal with risks? — 163 |
| 4.5.1 | Different risk approaches — 163 |
| 4.5.2 | Psychological risk approach — 164 |
| 4.5.3 | Sociological risk approach — 166 |
| 4.5.4 | Cultural risk approach — 168 |
| 4.5.5 | Actuarial approach of risk — 168 |
| 4.5.6 | Toxicological and epidemiological approach of risk — 169 |
| 4.5.7 | Economic approach of risk — 172 |
| 4.5.8 | Engineering approach of risk — 173 |
| 5 | Process safety: an engineering discipline — 175 |
| 5.1 | Engineering — 175 |
| 5.2 | Process installations — 176 |
| 5.3 | The framework to perform risk management of process installations — 177 |
| 5.4 | Application of industry standards and best practices — 177 |
| 5.4.1 | Engineering standards — 177 |
| 5.4.2 | The role of the process safety engineer — 179 |
| 5.5 | Hazard identification — 181 |

| | | |
|---------|--|------------|
| 5.5.1 | Hazard, danger and hazardous event — | 181 |
| 5.5.2 | Hazard identification techniques — | 183 |
| 5.5.3 | Overview of some specific hazard identification techniques — | 185 |
| 5.5.3.1 | Prior to starting to use a specific hazard identification technique — | 185 |
| 5.5.3.2 | Most recommended method — | 185 |
| 5.5.3.3 | How to be sure that all hazardous events have been identified? — | 187 |
| 5.5.3.4 | Preliminary hazard analysis — | 188 |
| 5.5.3.5 | HAZID — | 188 |
| 5.5.3.6 | Hazard and operability study — | 189 |
| 5.5.3.7 | Safety audit and checklist review — | 199 |
| 5.5.3.8 | Structured What If Checklist Technique — | 199 |
| 5.5.3.9 | Failure mode and effect analysis — | 200 |
| 5.5.4 | Selection of an appropriate specific hazard identification technique — | 205 |
| 5.6 | Developing scenarios — | 206 |
| 5.6.1 | Undesired event versus accidental scenario — | 206 |
| 5.6.2 | Fault tree analysis — | 207 |
| 5.6.3 | Event tree analysis — | 210 |
| 5.6.4 | Cause-consequence diagram and bow-tie method — | 210 |
| 5.6.5 | Generic scenario generation — | 210 |
| 5.7 | Probability analysis — | 213 |
| 5.7.1 | Understanding the use of probabilities in risk studies — | 213 |
| 5.7.2 | Types of probabilities used in quantitative risk analysis — | 214 |
| 5.7.3 | Sources of data — | 215 |
| 5.7.4 | Engineering approach for selecting failure data — | 223 |
| 5.7.5 | Frequency, fractional dead time and failure on demand — | 226 |
| 5.8 | Consequence analysis — | 228 |
| 5.8.1 | Qualitative approach — | 228 |
| 5.8.2 | The use of (mathematical) models — | 229 |
| 5.8.3 | Effect analysis — | 232 |
| 5.8.3.1 | Terminology — | 232 |
| 5.8.3.2 | Methods and models for the calculation of the physical effects — | 234 |
| 5.8.3.3 | Current challenges with effect modeling — | 236 |
| 5.8.4 | Impact analysis — | 237 |
| 5.8.4.1 | Methods for impact analysis — | 237 |
| 5.8.4.2 | Single value criteria for impact analysis — | 238 |
| 5.8.4.3 | Statistical approach (use of probits) — | 246 |
| 5.8.4.4 | Mathematical analysis of impact on buildings and structures — | 255 |
| 5.9 | Risk analysis and risk assessment for individual scenarios — | 260 |

- 5.9.1 Risk analysis versus risk assessment — 260
- 5.9.2 Criteria for risk assessment — 261
- 5.9.3 Risk matrix — 264
- 5.9.4 Aggregate risk analysis and assessment — 268
- 5.10 Pitfalls in risk assessments — 270
- 5.11 Risk reduction measures — 271
 - 5.11.1 The basic assumption of risk reduction measures — 271
 - 5.11.2 Defense-in-depth principle: multiple layer approach — 272
 - 5.11.3 The first layer of protection: design and engineering, inherent safe design — 278
 - 5.11.4 The second layer of protection: operations — 284
 - 5.11.5 The third layer of protection: automated active protection and passive protection systems — 294
 - 5.11.5.1 Purpose of the third layer — 294
 - 5.11.5.2 Active versus passive protection systems — 294
 - 5.11.5.3 Automated active protection systems and functional safety systems — 295
 - 5.11.5.4 Passive protection systems — 299
 - 5.11.6 The fourth layer of protection: incident management system — 299
- 6 Process safety risk-based management — 303**
 - 6.1 Major disasters are organizational accidents — 303
 - 6.2 The pillars of a risk-based management strategy — 304
 - 6.3 Can we use the recipes of management of occupational health and safety? — 306
 - 6.4 The senior process safety engineer — 309
 - 6.4.1 The role and positioning of the senior process safety engineer — 309
 - 6.4.2 Relation with the process engineer — 310
 - 6.4.3 Relation with technical inspection — 310
 - 6.4.4 Relation with maintenance — 311
 - 6.4.5 Relation with operations — 311
 - 6.4.6 STEM — 311
 - 6.4.7 Legal requirements — 312
 - 6.4.8 Automatization and instrumentation — 312
 - 6.4.9 Reliability — 312
 - 6.4.10 Metallurgy — 312
 - 6.4.11 Social science — 313
 - 6.4.12 Structural engineering — 313
 - 6.4.13 Specific knowledge — 313
 - 6.4.14 Main tasks of the senior process safety engineer — 314

XVI — Contents

7 Conclusion — 315

7.1 Occupational Health and Safety — **315**

7.2 Process safety — **317**

Appendix I: SWIFT categories and questions — 319

References — 331

Index — 345