INDEX

LIST OF ACRONYMS 01
LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES 01
SUMMARY 02
ZUSAMMENFASSUNG 06
1. INTRODUCCIÓN 11
1.1. What is a culturally diverse science classroom? 11
1.2. Didactic decisions and teaching practices in multicultural contexts 12
1.3. What is epistemological inclusion?, What can characterize an epistemologically inclusive teaching practice? 12
1.4. Teachers recognize diversity, but do not always know how to bring into practice an epistemologically inclusive relationship 13
1.5. State of the Art 14
1.5.1. Contributions at the pedagogical level 14
1.5.2. Contributions to the didactic level of science (cognitive approach) 15
1.5.3. Contributions to the didactic level of science (epistemological approach) 15
1.6. Objectives and research question 19
1.6.1. General aim 19
1.6.2. Specific aims 19
1.6.3. Guiding questions 20
2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK 21
2.1. Intercultural Teaching Practices for Science Education – ITPSE 21
2.2. Epistemological Bridge and the inclusive decision-making 21
2.2.1. Principle of epistemological independence –EI- 24
2.2.2. Principle of epistemological similarity –SE: 26
2.2.3. Explanations from the EB as students' learning product 28
2.3. Expressing the Epistemological Bridge as Teaching Practices 29
3. METHODOLOGY 31
3.1. Design-Based Research (DBR) as a construction process of knowledge 31
3.2. Units of analysis or constructs to study 32
3.2.1. Version of Epistemological Bridge implemented by teachers 32
3.2.2. Teacher's reflection on the practice and feedback on the ITPSE 33
3.3. Observation unit that provides the evidence on the design 34
3.4. Data or information units 34
3.5. Information analysis 35
3.5.1. A priori category system for deductive analysis 35
3.5.2. Identification of emerging trends for inductive analysis 37
3.5.3. Description of the analysis units 38
3.6. Structure of each construction cycle 38
4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS BY CONSTRUCTION CYCLE 39
4.1. Cycle 1 39
4.1.1. Observation unit that provide evidence on the design cycle 1 39
4.1.2. Methodology cycle 1 40
4.1.3. Content analysis guided by a priori categories and emergence of trends. 43
4.1.4. Analysis unit 'version of EB implemented by the teacher' 44
4.1.5. Analysis unit 'teacher reflection on his practice and feedback on the ITPSE' 47
4.1.6. Discussion. Towards a possible re-design 48
4.2. Cycle 2 49
4.2.1. Observation unit that provides the evidence on the design 49

Bibliografische Informationen
http://d-nb.info/1217345949

digitalisiert durch DEUTSCHE NATIONAL BIBLIOTHEK

4.2.2. Methodology Cycle 2 50
4.2.3. Content analysis guided by a priori categories and emergence of trends 53
4.2.4. Analysis unit 'version of the EB enacted by the teacher' 55
4.2.5. Analysis unit 'teacher reflection on her practice and feedback on the ITPSE' 58
4.2.6. Discussion and re-design of the ITPSE 59
4.3. Cycle 3 61
4.3.1. Observation unit that provide evidence on the design 61
4.3.2. Methodology 62
4.3.3. Content analysis guided by a priori categories and emergence of trends 66
4.3.4. Analysis unit 'version of the EB enacted by the teacher' 67
4.3.5. Analysis unit 'teacher reflection on her practice and feedback on the ITPSE' 72
4.3.6. Discussion. Towards a possible re-design of the ITPSE. 73
4.4. Emerging Case Theory 75
4.4.1. Regularity 1 75
4.4.2. Regularity 2 76
5. SYNTHESIS OF THE DESIGN TRAJECTORY 78
6. CONCLUSIONS 80
6.1. Educational theories used for the final design 80
6.2. Final design propositions 80
6.2.1. General design propositions 80
6.2.2. Specific design propositions in science education 81
6.2.3. Specific design propositions from the EB 81
6.2.4. Specific design propositions derived from the emerging theory 81
6.3. Final ITPSE design 82
6.3.1. ITPSE of planning. Building the epistemological bridge: 82
6.3.2. ITPSE of enactment. Teaching to produce explanations from the EB 82
6.4. Objective fulfillment and answer to questions 83
6.4.1. General aim and general question 83
6.4.2. Specific aim 1 and specific question 1 83
6.4.3. Specific aim 2 and specific question 2 83
6.4.4. Specific aim 3 and specific question 3 84
6.4.5. Specific aim 4 and specific question 4 84
6.4.6. Specific aim 5 and specific question 5 85
7. LIMITATIONS, OPPORTUNITIES AND PERSPECTIVES 86
7.1. Consideration of traditional pedagogies and didactics 86
7.2. Criticisms of cultural pluralism (epistemological) 86
7.3. Critics to interculturality 87
7.4. ITPSE to build the core ideas 87
REFERENCES 89
APPENDIX 101
Appendix 1. Planning tool. 101
Appendix 2. Explanations tool 104
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS DERIVED FROM THE DISSERTATION 106
CURRÍCULUM VITAE 107