

Table of Contents

Preface	VII
Abbreviations	XIII
Introduction	1
A Research Questions	1
B Current State of Research	2
C Course of the Inquiry	4
Part I: University Inventions in History	7
<i>Chapter 1: The Rise of the Modern Research University</i>	9
A The Research University's Continental European Origin	9
B A Short History of Higher Education in the US	14
I From Colleges to Universities	14
II State and Land-grant Universities	16
III The Hegemony of the US Research University in the 20th Century	18
C US Research Universities in the 21st Century	20
I Research Universities' Contemporary Challenges and Issues	20
II Research Universities' Regulatory and Financial Framework	23
1 The Roles of the Federal and State Governments in Higher Education	23
2 Public Accountability	25
3 The Uneasy Distinction Between Public and Private Universities	27
III Research Universities as Instrument of National Policy	29
<i>Chapter 2: Patents and Research Universities</i>	32
A History of Inventions and Patent Law in the US: An Outline	32
I The History of Privileges in Continental Europe and England	32
II The History of Patent Law in the US	35
III The Theory of Patents and its Economic Underpinnings	38
B Patenting of University Research	45
I Why Universities Started Patenting: A Brief History before the Bayh-Dole Act	45

II	Objections to the Commercialisation Argument as a Default	
	Justification for the Use of Patents	50
1	Public Good Objection	50
2	The Innovation-is-not-linear Objection	52
3	The Knowledge Flows Objection	54
 Part II: The Ownership of University Inventions: Legal Parameters		57
<i>Chapter 3: General Inventorship and Ownership Issues</i>		59
A	The Inventor, the Owner, and the Applicant	59
I	The Central Role of the Inventor in US Patent Law	59
II	The Difficulty of Determining Inventorship	64
III	Inventorship versus Ownership	68
IV	Post America Invents Act: Enter the Applicant	70
B	Inventorship and Ownership Issues in an Academic Research Context	71
I	Determining Inventorship and Ownership in an Academic Setting	71
II	The Difference between Authorship and Inventorship	73
 <i>Chapter 4: Legal Conditions of the Ownership of University Inventions</i>		76
A	The Law on Employee Inventions	76
B	University Faculty as Employee-Inventors	79
C	Common Law Rules on Ownership regarding Employee-Inventors	82
I	Description of the Common Law Doctrine	82
II	Historical Development of the Common Law Doctrine	84
III	The Application of the Common Law Doctrine to Faculty	87
D	Contract Law	93
I	Pre-Invention Assignment Agreements	93
II	Requirements to Transfer Title Under Pre-Invention Assignment Agreements	96
III	Universities' Approach to Pre-Invention Assignments	100
 <i>Chapter 5: The Special Case of Federally Funded University Inventions</i>		101
A	Federal Statutes and Regulations on Ownership Issues	101
B	The History of the Bayh-Dole Act	104
I	The History of Federal Patent Policy and the Public Interest	104
II	University Research as a Topic of Interest	109
III	The Bayh-Dole Bill	113
C	Key Provisions of the Bayh-Dole Act	116
I	Objective and Operation of the Bayh-Dole Act	116
II	Disposition of Rights	117
III	Government Rights	118
1	Non-exclusive License	118
2	March-In	119

3	Receiving Title	120
4	Enforcing the Bayh-Dole Act	121
D	<i>Stanford v. Roche: Back to Square One?</i>	122
I	Background and Legal Issue	122
II	The Facts	123
III	The Rulings by the Courts	124
E	In Search of the Bayh-Dole Act's Intent	126
 Part III: Towards a New University Research Transfer Model		129
 <i>Chapter 6: Public Policy and Law</i>		131
A	Universities as Instrument of Public Policy: From Splendid Isolation to Academic Capitalism	131
I	The Bayh-Dole Act's Policy Assumptions	131
II	The Bayh-Dole Act's Contribution to Commercialisation and the Economy	135
III	The Impact of the Bayh-Dole Act on the Academic Research Mission	141
1	The Threat to the Scientific Commons: The Emptying of the Research Pipeline	141
2	Patents and Publications	143
3	Blocking Patents and Experimental Use Exemption	145
4	Tragedy of the Anti-commons	150
B	The Missing Piece: The Protection of the Public Interest	152
 <i>Chapter 7: Managing University Research in the Public Interest: Results and Perspectives</i>		157
A	Universities' Patent Policies and Technology Transfer Practices	157
I	Universities' Patent Policies	157
1	A Brief History of Universities' Patent Policies	157
2	Universities' Patent Policies since the Bayh-Dole Act	161
3	The Treatment of Student Inventions	165
II	Universities' Lack of Strategic Commitment to the Protection of the Public Interest	168
1	Picking Favourites: Universities' Implementation of the BDA	168
2	The Institutional Imperative of Patenting	170
3	Maximising Licensing Revenue Instead of the Public Benefit	171
4	Marginalising University Professors and Researchers	177
B	Who Should Own University Inventions?	181
I	Comparing Government, Inventor, and University Ownership	181
1	Government Ownership	182
2	Inventor Ownership	183
3	University Ownership	184
II	The Influential Concept of University Ownership	186

1	Germany	186
2	Switzerland	191
III	Reframing the Question of Ownership of University Inventions	193
C	The Need for a Multi-tiered Approach to the Management of University Research	196
I	Restoring the Balance: Committing to the Protection of the Public Interest	196
II	Amending the Bayh-Dole Act	197
III	The Need for Universities to Become Strategic	200
1	The Formulation of an Intellectual Property Strategy	200
2	To Share or Not to Share	202
3	Implementing the Strategy: One Size Does not Fit All	205
IV	Last but not Least: Regulatory Oversight	206
	Conclusion	211
	Bibliography	215
	Cases	241
	Index	243