

Asymptotic Behavior of The Unique Solution to a Singular Elliptic Problem With Nonlinear Convection Term And Singular Weight

Zhijun Zhang*

*Department of Mathematics and Informational Science,
Yantai University, Yantai, Shandong, P. R. China, 264005
e-mail: zhangzj@ytu.edu.cn*

Received in revised form 8 October 2007

Communicated by Laurent Véron

Abstract

By Karamata regular variation theory, we first derived the exact asymptotic behavior of the local solution to the problem $-\varphi''(s) = g(\varphi(s))$, $\varphi(s) > 0$, $s \in (0, a)$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then, by a perturbation method and constructing comparison functions, we derived the exact asymptotic behavior of the unique classical solution near the boundary to a singular Dirichlet problem $-\Delta u = b(x)g(u) + \lambda|\nabla u|^q$, $u > 0$, $x \in \Omega$, $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, where Ω is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $q \in [0, 2]$; $g \in C^1((0, \infty), (0, \infty))$, is decreasing in $(0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} g(s) = +\infty$; the weight b is positive in Ω and singular on the boundary.

AMS 2000 Subject Classification. 35J65, 35B05; 35O75; 35R05.

Key words. Semilinear elliptic equations, Dirichlet problems, Singularity, Karamata regular variation theory, The unique solution, The exact asymptotic behaviour

1 Introduction and the main results

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the exact asymptotic behavior of the unique classical solution near the boundary to the following model problem

$$-\Delta u = b(x)g(u) + \lambda|\nabla u|^q, \quad u > 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

*This work is supported by NNSFC (10671169).

where Ω is a bounded domain with smooth boundary in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 1$), $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $q \in [0, 2]$; g, b satisfy

- (g_1) g is decreasing in $(0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} g(s) = +\infty$,
- (b_1) $b \in C_{loc}^\alpha(\Omega)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, is positive in Ω .

This problem arises in the study of non-Newtonian fluids, boundary layer phenomena for viscous fluids, chemical heterogeneous catalysts, as well as in the theory of heat conduction in electrical materials (see [3], [6], [9], [17], [21]).

For $\lambda = 0$, i.e., problem (1.1) becomes:

$$-\Delta u = b(x)g(u), \quad u > 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

The problem was discussed in a number of works; see, for instance, [2], [3], [6], [7], [11]-[14], [20]-[24]. For $b \equiv 1$ on Ω : when g satisfies (g_1), Fulks and Maybee [6], Stuart [21], Crandall, Rabinowitz and Tartar [3] showed that problem (1.2) has a unique solution $u \in C^{2+\alpha}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$. Moreover, Crandall, Rabinowitz and Tartar ([3], Theorems 2.2 and 2.5) showed that if $\varphi \in C[0, a] \cap C^2(0, a)$ is the local solution to the problem

$$-\varphi''(s) = g(\varphi(s)), \quad \varphi(s) > 0, \quad 0 < s < a, \quad \varphi(0) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

then there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

- (I) $C_1\varphi(d(x)) \leq u(x) \leq C_2\varphi(d(x))$ near $\partial\Omega$, where $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$.

In particular, when $g(u) = u^{-\gamma}$, $\gamma > 1$, u has the property:

- (I₁) $C_1[d(x)]^{2/(1+\gamma)} \leq u(x) \leq C_2[d(x)]^{2/(1+\gamma)}$ near $\partial\Omega$.

In [13], by constructing global subsolution and supersolution, Lazer and McKenna showed that (I₁) continues to hold on $\bar{\Omega}$. Then $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ if and only if $\gamma < 3$. This is a basic character of problem (1.2).

Now, let $g \in C_{loc}^\alpha(0, \infty)$ be non-negative and satisfy (g_1) and

- (g_2) there exist positive constants C_0, η_0 and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that $g(s) \leq C_0s^{-\gamma}$, $\forall s \in (0, \eta_0)$;

- (g_3) there exist $\theta > 0$ and $t_0 \geq 1$ such that $g(\xi t) \geq \xi^{-\theta}g(t)$ for all $\xi \in (0, 1)$ and $0 < t \leq t_0\xi$;

- (g_4) the mapping $\xi \in (0, \infty) \rightarrow T(\xi) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(\xi t)}{\xi g(t)}$ is a continuous function;

and $b \in C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})$ and satisfy the following assumptions: there exist $\delta_0 > 0$ and a positive non-decreasing function $k \in C(0, \delta_0)$ such that

- (b_2) $\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{b(x)}{k(d(x))} = c_0$;
- (b_3) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} k(t)g(t) = +\infty$.

Ghergu and Rădulescu [7] showed that problem (1.2) has a unique solution $u \in C^{1,1-\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\psi(d(x))} = \xi_0, \tag{1.4}$$

where $T(\xi_0) = c_0^{-1}$, and $\psi \in C^1[0, a] \cap C^2(0, a)$ ($a \in (0, \delta_0)$) is the local solution to the problem

$$-\psi''(s) = k(s)g(\psi(s)), \quad \psi(s) > 0, \quad 0 < s < a, \quad \psi(0) = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

When $\lambda = \pm 1$, $0 < q < 2$, $b(x) \equiv 1$ on Ω and the function $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous and decreasing, Giarrusso and Porru [10] showed that if g satisfies the following conditions:

$$(g_5) \int_0^1 g(s)ds = \infty, \int_1^\infty g(s)ds < \infty;$$

(g₆) Let $G(t) = \int_t^\infty g(s)ds$. There exist positive constants δ and M with $M > 1$ such that $G(t) < MG(2t), \forall t \in (0, \delta)$;

then the unique solution u has the properties:

$$(II_1) |u(x) - \varphi(d(x))| < C_0 d(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega \text{ for } 0 < q \leq 1;$$

$$(II_2) |u(x) - \varphi(d(x))| < C_0 d(x)[G(\varphi(d(x)))]^{(q-1)/2}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \text{ for } 1 < q < 2;$$

where C_0 is a suitable positive constant and $\varphi \in C[0, \infty) \cap C^2(0, \infty)$ is uniquely determined by

$$\int_0^{\varphi(t)} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2G(s)}} = t, \quad \forall t \in (0, \infty). \tag{1.6}$$

These imply that

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\varphi(d(x))} = 1. \tag{1.7}$$

In particular, if $g(u) = u^{-\gamma}, \gamma > 1$, then $\varphi(s) = cs^{2/(1+\gamma)}, c = \left[\frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{2(\gamma-1)}\right]^{1/(1+\gamma)}$, u satisfies

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{[d(x)]^{2/(1+\gamma)}} = \left[\frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{2(\gamma-1)}\right]^{1/(1+\gamma)}. \tag{1.8}$$

For other works, see [4], [5], [8], [9], [25], [26], [28] and the references therein.

In this paper, by Karamata regular variation theory and constructing comparison functions, we show the exact asymptotic behaviour of the unique solution near the boundary to problem (1.1) for the more general weight b which is singular on the boundary.

First we recall a basic definition in Karamata regular variation theory, which was first introduced and established by Karamata in 1930 and is a basic tool in stochastic process, see [15], [18], [19], and has been applied to study the exact asymptotic behavior of solutions near the boundary blow-up elliptic problems, see [1], [16], [27].

Definition 1.1 A positive measurable function g defined on some neighborhood $(0, a)$, for some $a > 0$, is called *regularly varying at zero with index $-\beta$* , written $g \in RVZ_{-\beta}$, if for each $\xi > 0$ and some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(\xi t)}{g(t)} = \xi^{-\beta}. \tag{1.9}$$

In particular, when $\beta = 0$, we say that g is *slowly varying at zero*.

It follows by Definitions that if $g \in RVZ_{-\beta}$ then there exists a function H which is slowly varying at zero such that

$$g(t) = u^{-\beta} H(t). \tag{1.10}$$

Let Λ denote the set of all positive non-increasing functions k satisfying $k \in L^1(0, \delta_0) \cap C^1(0, \delta_0)$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{K(t)}{k(t)} \right) = C_k \in (0, \infty), \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$K(t) = \int_0^t k(s) ds. \tag{1.12}$$

We note that for each $k \in \Lambda$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K(t)}{k(t)} = 0$ and $C_k \geq 1$.

The set Λ was first introduced for non-decreasing functions by Cîrstea and Rădulescu [1] and by Mohammed [16] for non-increasing functions for studying the exact asymptotic behaviour of solutions near the boundary to boundary blow-up elliptic problems, see also [27].

Some basic examples of the non-increasing functions in Λ are

- (i) $k \equiv C_0 > 0, K(t) = C_0 t, C_k = 1$;
- (ii) $k(t) = t^{-\sigma/2}$ with $\sigma \in (0, 2), K(t) = \frac{2t^{(2-\sigma)/2}}{2-\sigma}, C_k = \frac{2}{2-\sigma} > 1$;
- (iii) $k(t) = -\ln t, K(t) = t(1 - \ln t), C_k = 1$;
- (iv) $k(t) = \frac{-\ln t}{t^\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in (0, 1), K(t) = \frac{t^{1-\sigma}}{(1-\sigma)^2} (1 - (1-\sigma) \ln t), C_k = \frac{1}{1-\sigma} > 1$;
- (v) $k(t) = c_0 t^{-\sigma/2} \exp\left(\int_t^{\delta_0} \frac{y_1(s)}{s} ds\right), 0 < t < \delta_0$, where $c_0 > 0, \sigma > 0, y_1 \in C[0, \delta_0]$ is non-negative with $y_1(0) = 0, C_k = \frac{2}{2-\sigma} > 1$.

Our main results are summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $g \in C^1((0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ be decreasing in $(0, \infty), g' \in RVZ_{-\gamma-1}$ with $\gamma > 1$, and $b \in C_{loc}^\alpha(\Omega)$ be positive in Ω with $\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} b(x) = \infty$. If there exist non-increasing function $k \in \Lambda$ and a positive constant c_0 such that*

$$(b_4) \lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{b(x)}{k^2(d(x))} = c_0,$$

then the unique solution $u_\lambda \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$ to problem (1.1) satisfies

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_\lambda(x)}{\varphi(K(d(x)))} = \left(\frac{c_0(\gamma - 1)}{C_k(\gamma + 1) - 2} \right)^{1/(1+\gamma)}, \tag{1.13}$$

where $\varphi \in C[0, a] \cap C^2(0, a]$ is uniquely determined by

$$\int_0^{\varphi(t)} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2G(s)}} = t, G(t) = \int_t^b g(s) ds, \forall b > 0, t \in (0, b). \tag{1.14}$$

Moreover, $\varphi \in RVZ_{2/(1+\gamma)}$ and there exists $H \in RVZ_0$ such that

$$\varphi(t) = t^{2/(1+\gamma)} H(t). \tag{1.15}$$

Remark 1.1 By (1.14), we see that the asymptotic behavior (1.13) of u_λ is independent of $\lambda|\nabla u_\lambda|^q$.

Remark 1.2 Some basic examples of the functions which satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1.1 are:

- (i) $g(u) = u^{-\gamma}$, where $\gamma > 1$;
- (ii) $g(u) = u^{-\gamma} \arctan(u^{-1})$, where $\gamma > 1$;
- (iii) $g(u) = u^{-\gamma_1} (\ln(1 + u))^{-\gamma_2}$, where $\gamma_1 > 0$, $\gamma_2 > 0$ and $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 > 1$;
- (iv) $g(u) = u^{-\gamma_1} (e^u - 1)^{-\gamma_2}$, where $\gamma_1 > 0$, $\gamma_2 > 0$ and $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 > 1$;
- (v) $g(u) = u^{-\gamma} (-\ln u)^\sigma$, $0 < u < \delta_0 < 1$, where $\gamma > 1$, $\sigma > 0$;
- (vi) $g(u) = c_0 u^{-\gamma} \exp\left(\int_u^{\delta_0} \frac{y_2(s)}{s} ds\right)$, $0 < u < \delta_0$, where $c_0 > 0$, $\gamma > 1$, $y_2 \in C[0, \delta_0]$ is non-negative with $y_2(0) = 0$.

Remark 1.3 For the existence and uniqueness of classical solutions to problem (1.1), see [26].

Remark 1.4 We'll see in the following that $g' \in RVZ_{-\gamma-1}$, with $\gamma > 1$, implies that $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} g(u) = \infty$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let's continue to recall some basic properties to Karamata regular variation theory (see[15], [18], [19]).

Some basic examples of slowly varying functions are:

- (i) every measurable function on $(0, a)$ which has a positive limit at zero;
- (ii) $H(t) = \prod_{m=2}^{m=n} (\log_m(t^{-1}))^{\alpha_m}$, $\alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (iii) $H(t) = e^{(\prod_{m=2}^{m=n} (\log_m(t^{-1}))^{\alpha_m})}$, $0 < \alpha_m < 1$;
- (iv) $H(t) = t \int_b^{t^{-1}} \frac{ds}{\ln s}$, $b > a^{-1}$;
- (v) $H(t) = e^{((\ln t^{-1})^{1/3} \cos((\ln t^{-1})^{1/3}))}$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \inf L(t) = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \sup L(t) = +\infty$.

Lemma 2.1 If a functions H is slowly varying at zero, then for $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$\int_0^t s^\beta H(s) ds \cong (\beta + 1)^{-1} t^{1+\beta} H(t), \text{ for } \beta > -1; \tag{2.1}$$

$$\int_t^a s^\beta H(s) ds \cong (-\beta - 1)^{-1} t^{1+\beta} H(t), \text{ for } \beta < -1. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.2 If a function H is slowly varying at zero, then for every $\theta > 0$ and $t \rightarrow 0^+$,

$$t^{-\theta} H(t) \rightarrow \infty, \quad t^\theta H(t) \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.3}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we can directly show the following result.

Lemma 2.3 *If g satisfies (g_1) and $g' \in RVZ_{-\gamma-1}$ with $\gamma > 1$, $g'(t) = t^{-\gamma-1}H(t)$, where H is slowly varying at zero, then*

$$\int_0^1 g(t)dt = \infty, G(t) < \infty, \forall t \in (0, b) \text{ and } G(0) = \infty; \tag{2.4}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G(t)}{g(t)} = 0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sqrt{G(t)}}{g(t)}; \tag{2.5}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{tg'(t)}{g(t)} = -\gamma, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{tg(t)}{G(t)} = \gamma - 1. \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 2.4 *Let g, k and φ be in Theorem 1.1. Then*

- (i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{k'(t)K(t)}{k^2(t)} = 1 - C_k$;
- (ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi'(t)}{t\varphi''(t)} = -\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}$.

Proof.

(i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{k'(t)K(t)}{k^2(t)} = 1 - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{K(t)}{k(t)} \right) = 1 - C_k$.

(ii) We see by (1.14) and a direct calculation that

$$\varphi'(t) = \sqrt{2G(\varphi(t))}, \quad -\varphi''(t) = g(\varphi(t)), \quad 0 < t < b.$$

It follows by Lemma 2.3 and l'Hospital's rule that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi'(t)}{t\varphi''(t)} &= - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sqrt{2G(\varphi(t))}}{tg(\varphi(t))} = - \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sqrt{2G(u)}/g(u)}{\int_0^u \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2G(s)}}} \\ &= - \left(1 + 2 \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g'(u)G(u)}{g^2(u)} \right) = - \left(1 + 2 \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{ug'(u)}{g(u)} \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G(u)}{ug(u)} \right) = -\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished.

Lemma 2.5. *Under the assumption in Theorem 1.1, $\varphi \in RVZ_{2/(1+\gamma)}$.*

Proof. Let $f_1(t) = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2G(s)}} \forall t \in (0, \tau)$. By l'Hospital's rule and Proposition 0.8 in [18], we can easily see that $f_1 \in RVZ_{(1+\gamma)/2}$ and $\varphi = f_1^{-1} \in RVZ_{2/(1+\gamma)}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Set

$$\tau_0 = 1 + \frac{(C_k - 1)(\gamma + 1)}{\gamma - 1} = \frac{C_k(\gamma + 1) - 2}{\gamma - 1}, \quad \xi_0 = (c_0/\tau_0)^{1/(1+\gamma)}.$$

For arbitrary $\varepsilon \in (0, \tau_0/4)$, let

$$\xi_{1\varepsilon} = \left(\frac{c_0}{\tau_0 - 2\varepsilon} \right)^{1/(1+\gamma)}, \quad \xi_{2\varepsilon} = \left(\frac{c_0}{\tau_0 + 2\varepsilon} \right)^{1/(1+\gamma)}.$$

We see that

$$(2/3)^{1/(1+\gamma)}\xi_0 < \xi_{2\varepsilon} < \xi_0 < \xi_{1\varepsilon} < 2\xi_0.$$

Since $\partial\Omega \in C^2$, there exists a constant $\delta \in (0, \delta_0/2)$ which only depends on Ω such that

(i) $d(x) \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}_\delta)$ and $|\nabla d| \equiv 1$ on $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega : d(x) < \delta\}$.

By the assumption on b and Lemma 2.4, we see that corresponding to ε , there is $\delta_\varepsilon \in (0, \delta)$ sufficiently small such that

(ii) for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{k'(d(x))K(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{\varphi'(s)}{s\varphi''(s)} - (\tau_0 - 1) + \frac{K(d(x))}{k(d(x))} \frac{\varphi'(s)}{s\varphi''(s)} \Delta d(x) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\lambda \xi_{i\varepsilon}^{q-1} k^q(d(x)) (\varphi'(s))^q}{k^2(d(x)) \varphi''(s)} \right| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall (x, s) \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon} \times (0, \delta_\varepsilon); \end{aligned}$$

(iii) $\frac{\xi_{2\varepsilon} k^2(d(x)) g(\varphi(K(d(x))))}{g(\xi_{2\varepsilon} \varphi(K(d(x))))} (\tau_0 + \varepsilon) < b(x) < \frac{\xi_{1\varepsilon} k^2(d(x)) g(\varphi(K(d(x))))}{g(\xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi(K(d(x))))} (\tau_0 - \varepsilon)$ in $\Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}$.

For any $x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}$, define $\bar{u}_\varepsilon = \xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi(K(d(x)))$, and $\underline{u}_\varepsilon = \xi_{2\varepsilon} \varphi(K(d(x)))$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta \bar{u}_\varepsilon(x) + b(x)g(\bar{u}_\varepsilon(x)) + \lambda|\nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon(x)|^q \\ &= \xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi''(K(d(x)))k^2(d(x)) + \xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi'(K(d(x)))k'(d(x)) \\ & \quad + \xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi'(K(d(x)))k'(d(x))\Delta d(x) + b(x)g(\xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi(K(d(x)))) \\ & \quad + \lambda \xi_{1\varepsilon}^q (\varphi'(K(d(x))))^q k^q(d(x)) \\ &= \xi_{1\varepsilon} g(\varphi(K(d(x))))k^2(d(x)) \left[\frac{b(x)g(\xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi(K(d(x))))}{\xi_{1\varepsilon} k^2(d(x))g(\varphi(K(d(x))))} - 1 \right. \\ & \quad - \frac{k'(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{\varphi'(K(d(x)))}{\varphi''(K(d(x)))} - \frac{\varphi'(K(d(x)))}{k(d(x))\varphi''(K(d(x)))} \Delta d(x) \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\lambda \xi_{1\varepsilon}^{q-1} k^q(d(x)) (\varphi'(K(d(x))))^q}{k^2(d(x)) \varphi''(K(d(x)))} \right] \\ &= \xi_{1\varepsilon} g(\varphi(K(d(x))))k^2(d(x)) \left[\frac{b(x)g(\xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi(K(d(x))))}{\xi_{1\varepsilon} k^2(d(x))g(\varphi(K(d(x))))} - \tau_0 \right. \\ & \quad - \left(\frac{k'(d(x))K(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{\varphi'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi''(K(d(x)))} - (\tau_0 - 1) \right) \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{K(d(x))}{k(d(x))} \frac{\varphi'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi''(K(d(x)))} \Delta d(x) - \frac{\lambda \xi_{1\varepsilon}^{q-1} k^q(d(x)) (\varphi'(K(d(x))))^q}{k^2(d(x)) \varphi''(K(d(x)))} \right] \\ &\leq \xi_{1\varepsilon} g(\varphi(K(d(x))))k^2(d(x)) \left[(\tau_0 - \varepsilon) - \tau_0 \right. \\ & \quad - \left(\frac{k'(d(x))K(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{\varphi'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi''(K(d(x)))} - (\tau_0 - 1) \right) \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{K(d(x))}{k(d(x))} \frac{\varphi'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi''(K(d(x)))} \Delta d(x) - \frac{\lambda \xi_{1\varepsilon}^{q-1} k^q(d(x)) (\varphi'(K(d(x))))^q}{k^2(d(x)) \varphi''(K(d(x)))} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 0;$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) + b(x)g(\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x)) + \lambda|\nabla \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x)|^q \\ &= \xi_{2\varepsilon}\varphi''(K(d(x)))k^2(d(x)) + \xi_{2\varepsilon}\varphi'(K(d(x)))k'(d(x)) \\ & \quad + \xi_{2\varepsilon}\varphi'(K(d(x)))k'(d(x))\Delta d(x) + b(x)g(\xi_{2\varepsilon}\varphi(K(d(x)))) \\ & \quad + \lambda\xi_{2\varepsilon}^q k^q(d(x))(\varphi'(K(d(x))))^q \\ &= \xi_{2\varepsilon}g(\varphi(K(d(x))))k^2(d(x)) \left[\frac{b(x)g(\xi_{2\varepsilon}\varphi(K(d(x))))}{\xi_{2\varepsilon}k^2(d(x))g(\varphi(K(d(x))))} - 1 \right. \\ & \quad - \frac{k'(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{\varphi'(K(d(x)))}{\varphi''(K(d(x)))} - \frac{\varphi'(K(d(x)))}{k(d(x))\varphi''(K(d(x)))} \Delta d(x) \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\lambda\xi_{2\varepsilon}^{q-1}k^q(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{(\varphi'(K(d(x))))^q}{\varphi''(K(d(x)))} \right] \\ &= \xi_{2\varepsilon}g(\varphi(K(d(x))))k^2(d(x)) \left[\frac{b(x)g(\xi_{2\varepsilon}\varphi(K(d(x))))}{\xi_{2\varepsilon}k^2(d(x))g(\varphi(K(d(x))))} - \tau_0 \right. \\ & \quad - \left(\frac{k'(d(x))K(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{\varphi'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi''(K(d(x)))} - (\tau_0 - 1) \right) \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{K(d(x))}{k(d(x))} \frac{\varphi'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi''(K(d(x)))} \Delta d(x) - \frac{\lambda\xi_{2\varepsilon}^{q-1}k^q(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{(\varphi'(K(d(x))))^q}{\varphi''(K(d(x)))} \right] \\ &\geq \xi_{2\varepsilon}g(\varphi(K(d(x))))k^2(d(x)) \left[(\tau_0 + \varepsilon) - \tau_0 \right. \\ & \quad - \left(\frac{k'(d(x))K(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{\varphi'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi''(K(d(x)))} - (\tau_0 - 1) \right) \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{K(d(x))}{k(d(x))} \frac{\varphi'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi''(K(d(x)))} \Delta d(x) - \frac{\lambda\xi_{2\varepsilon}^{q-1}k^q(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{(\varphi'(K(d(x))))^q}{\varphi''(K(d(x)))} \right] \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $u_\lambda \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{2+\alpha}(\Omega)$ be the unique solution to problem (1.1). We assert

$$\xi_{2\varepsilon}\varphi(K(d(x))) = \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) \leq u_\lambda(x) \leq \bar{u}_\varepsilon(x) = \xi_{1\varepsilon}\varphi(K(d(x))), \quad \forall x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}.$$

In fact, denote $\Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon} = \Omega_{\delta_+} \cup \Omega_{\delta_-}$, where $\Omega_{\delta_+} = \{x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon} : u_\lambda(x) \geq \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x)\}$ and $\Omega_{\delta_-} = \{x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon} : u_\lambda(x) < \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x)\}$. We need to show $\Omega_{\delta_-} = \emptyset$. Assume the contrary. Then we see that there exists $x_0 \in \Omega_{\delta_-}$ (note that $\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) = u_\lambda(x)$, $\forall x \in \partial\Omega_{\delta_-}$) such that

$$0 < \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x_0) - u_\lambda(x_0) = \max_{x \in \Omega_{\delta_-}} (\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) - u_\lambda(x)),$$

and

$$\nabla \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x_0) = \nabla u_\lambda(x_0), \quad \Delta(\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x_0) - u_\lambda(x_0)) \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, we see by (g_1) and (b_1) that

$$-\Delta(u_\lambda - \underline{u}_\varepsilon)(x_0) = b(x_0)(g(\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x_0)) - g(u_\lambda(x_0))) < 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\Omega_{\delta^-} = \emptyset$, i.e., $u_\lambda(x) \geq \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x)$ in Ω_δ . The same way, we can see that $u_\lambda(x) \leq \bar{u}_\varepsilon(x) \forall x \in \Omega_\delta$. It follows that

$$\xi_{2\varepsilon} \leq \lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \inf \frac{u_\lambda(x)}{\varphi(K(d(x)))} \leq \lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \sup \frac{u_\lambda(x)}{\varphi(K(d(x)))} \leq \xi_{1\varepsilon}.$$

Thus let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we derive that

$$\frac{u_\lambda(x)}{\varphi(K(d(x)))} \rightarrow \xi_0.$$

By Lemma 2.5, the proof is finished.

References

- [1] F. Cîrstea, V.D. Rădulescu, *Uniqueness of the blow-up boundary solution of logistic equations with absorption*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I **335** (2002), 447-452.
- [2] M.M. Coclite, G. Palmieri, *On a singular nonlinear Dirichlet problem*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **14** (1989), 1315-1327.
- [3] M.G. Crandall, P.H. Rabinowitz and L. Tartar, *On a Dirichlet problem with a singular nonlinearity*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **2** (1977), 193-222.
- [4] S. Cui, *Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for singular semilinear elliptic boundary value problems*, Nonlinear Anal. **41** (2000), 149-176.
- [5] S. Cui, *Positive solutions for Dirichlet problems associated to semilinear elliptic equations with a singular nonlinearity*, Nonlinear Anal. **21** (1993), 181-190.
- [6] W. Fulks, J.S. Maybee, *A singular nonlinear elliptic equation*, Osaka J. Math. **12** (1960), 1-19.
- [7] M. Ghergu, V.D. Rădulescu, *Bifurcation and asymptotics for the Lane-Emden-Fowler equation*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I **337** (2003), 259-264.
- [8] M. Ghergu, V.D. Rădulescu, *Bifurcation for a class of singular elliptic problems with a quadratic convection term*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I **338** (2004), 831-836.
- [9] M. Ghergu, V. Rădulescu, *Multiparameter bifurcation and asymptotics for the singular Lane-Emden-Fowler equation with a convection term*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinb. **135A** (2005), 61-84.
- [10] E. Giarrusso, G. Porru, *Boundary behaviour of solutions to nonlinear elliptic singular problems*, Appl. Math. in the Golden Age, edited by J. C. Misra, Narosa Publishing House, New Dalhi, India, 2003, 163-178.
- [11] S.M. Gomes, *On a singular nonlinear elliptic problem*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **17** (1986), 1359-1369.
- [12] A.V. Lair, A.W. Shaker, *Classical and weak solutions of a singular elliptic problem*, J. Math. Anal Appl. **211** (1997), 371-385.

- [13] A.C. Lazer, P.J. McKenna, *On a singular elliptic boundary value problem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **111** (1991), 721-730.
- [14] H. Maagli, M. Zribi, *Existence and estimates of solutions for singular nonlinear elliptic problems*, J. Math Anal Appl. **263** (2001), 522-542.
- [15] V. Maric, *Regular Variation and Differential Equations*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1726, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [16] A. Mohammed, *Boundary asymptotic and uniqueness of solutions to the p -Laplacian with infinite boundary value*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **325** (2007), 480-489.
- [17] A. Nachman, A. Callegari, *A nonlinear singular boundary value problem in the theory of pseudoplastic fluids*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **28** (1980), 275-281.
- [18] S.I. Resnick, *Extreme Values, Regular Variation, and Point Processes*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1987.
- [19] R. Seneta, *Regular Varying Functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 508, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [20] J. Shi, M. Yao, *Positive solutions for elliptic equations with a singular nonlinearity*, Electronic J. Differential Equations **2005** (2005) No. 04, 1-11.
- [21] C.A. Stuart, *Existence and approximation of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Math. Z. **147** (1976), 53-63.
- [22] H. Usami, *On a singular nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem in a ball*, Nonlinear Anal. **13** (1989), 1163-1170.
- [23] Z. Zhang, J. Cheng, *Existence and optimal estimates of solutions for singular nonlinear Dirichlet problems*, Nonlinear Anal. **57** (2004), 473-484.
- [24] Z. Zhang, *The asymptotic behaviour of the unique solution for the singular Lane-Emden-Fowler equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **312** (2005), 33-43.
- [25] Z. Zhang, J. Yu, *On a singular nonlinear Dirichlet problem with a convection term*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **32** (2000), 916-927.
- [26] Z. Zhang, *The existence and asymptotical behaviour of the unique solution near the boundary to a singular Dirichlet problem with a convection term*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinb. **136 A** (2006), 209-222.
- [27] Z. Zhang, *Boundary blow-up elliptic problems with nonlinear gradient terms*, J. Differential Equations **228** (2006), 661-684.
- [28] Z. Zhang, *Asymptotic behaviour of the solution for the singular Lane-Emden-Fowler equation with nonlinear convection terms*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations **2006** (2006), No. 93, 1-8.