

Joel Levin

# How Judges Reason

The Logic of Adjudication



PETER LANG

New York • San Francisco • Bern  
Frankfurt am Main • Berlin • Wien • Paris

# Table of Contents

<b>Preface</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>I. A Structure for Judicial Decision-Making</b> .....	<b>13</b>
Judicial Propositions - An Overview; Judicial Role and Judicial Propositions; Judicial Views	
<b>II. Foundations of Judicial Decision-Making</b> .....	<b>57</b>
The Status of Judicial Propositions; The Logic of Judicial Propositions; Judicial Pluralism	
<b>III. Skepticism and Adjudication</b> .....	<b>105</b>
First Order Skepticism; Second Order Skepticism; Third Order Skepticism; The Emotivist Possibility	
<b>IV. The Concept of the Judicial Decision</b> .....	<b>133</b>
Reasons and Conclusions in Judicial Decisions; Legal Forms; Reasoning by Analogy	
<b>V. Rules and Rulings</b> .....	<b>175</b>
Rules and Criteria; Judicial Discretion; The Social Thesis	
<b>VI. Truth and the Solution to Judicial Cases</b> .....	<b>209</b>
The "One Right Answer" Theory; The Logic of Judicial Views	
<b>VII. Political Consequences of Pluralist Adjudication</b> ...	<b>239</b>
A Contract Law Illustration; Constitutional Questions; Conclusion	
<b>Index</b> .....	<b>263</b>